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## Introduction

An adult Striped Bass population study conducted by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife has been ongoing since 1969. Part of the study is a "high-value" reward tagging program. Presented here is a summary of the 2017 Striped Bass-tagging field season.

The tagging program is designed to understand and monitor the population dynamics of Striped Bass, with the ultimate goal being to provide the tools to inform science-based resource management decisions. These tools include relative and absolute abundance, harvest rate, mortality rate, individual growth rates, and large-scale movement/migration patterns.

Our objective during the field season was to capture, tag, measure, sex, and release in good condition as many Striped Bass as possible and to document previously-tagged Striped Bass. We also helped another group develop a large set of data that is complementary to ours.

## Methods and Gear

The crew (Appendix 1) typically included three Fish and Wildlife Technicians and a Mate.
Tagging was performed per procedure outlined in Appendix 2 of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Sport Fish Management Striped Bass Population Study Quality Control and Operating Manual.

Up to eight cylindrical fyke traps (length 20'; diameter 10'; 9 gauge 2¼-inch mesh) were fished in the Sacramento River near Knights Landing (see photo at right of a fyke trap). Five traps were placed on the east riverbank about two miles upstream of the Knights Landing Bridge (Highway 113). Three traps were placed on the east riverbank about one mile downstream of the Knights Landing Bridge. Traps were placed upwards of 250 feet apart
 from each other and were secured to temporary (i.e., for the season) moorings on the levee terrace.

Traps were completely submerged while fishing (collecting fish). Striped Bass and other fishes swam through the two openings (marked in photo above) and accumulated in the front (cone) of the trap. To remove fish from a trap, the trap was rolled up the riverbank until one of the doors was positioned to allow relatively easy access from the Kayot (~20foot pontoon boat) while ensuring the trap remained in enough water to minimize fish stress.

An electric winch was used to roll traps up and down the riverbank. When the trap and boat were in position, fish were netted from the trap and processed on board the Kayot.

Striped Bass were measured to the nearest centimeter fork length (cm


FL). Most were sexed and just over half were fitted with a Petersen disc-dangler tag (see photo below of disc tag as it was applied to the fish; inset is example of the two sides of the tag).

Each tag possessed a unique 6-digit numeric or alpha-numeric identifier and the location of the Fish and Wildlife office to where the tag should be returned. To evaluate return-rate, $\sim 10 \%$ of all tags applied offered rewards of $\$ 20$ (example shown), $\$ 50$, or $\$ 100$.

For fish possessing tags from previous years (i.e., recaptures), length, sex, and tag number were recorded.

All live Striped Bass were processed at and returned to the location of capture, and condition (general health) of the fish upon return to the water was noted. Dead Striped Bass were recorded accordingly and added to the total catch. Fish in poor condition were released without a tag, recorded as "over", and added to the total catch. In a protocol we term "creeling", healthy fish that could
 not be tagged safely (e.g., due to time constraints) were enumerated, measured, and sexed but not tagged. This season we did not collect scales of creeled fish.

## Results

Though we intended to begin the season on or about 1-April and end the season on or about 31May, the season began on 17-April and ended on 17-May. The late start was due to flooding of the terraces from which we must operate. The early conclusion was because higher-priority activities required redirection of the core tagging crew, and the core tagging crew was not replaced because the river stage was forecast to decline substantially and rapidly. Rapid and substantial decline in river stage creates a lot of extra work - very physical work - for the crew, and is usually associated with a decrease in Striped Bass CPUE.

Field days were Monday through Friday and tagging occurred Tuesday through Friday. Field days began at 0700 and ended at 1700 or earlier/later depending on the number of fish caught and/or the number of available personnel. Fyke traps were deployed 16 days, inspected each day for the presence of listed fishes, and tended 114 times. On average, traps fished 24.0 hours between inspections.

Five thousand five hundred sixty-eight $(5,568)$ Striped Bass were caught, of which 2,912 were then tagged (Table 1). One hundred four (104) fish were recorded as "over" and 2,499 fish were creeled. See Table 2 for other information about recaptures and Appendix 2 for the sequence of tags applied.

Table 1. Summary of fyke trap effort and Striped Bass catch during 2017

|  | Total <br> Caught | Total <br> Tagged | \# Traps <br> Fished | \# Traps <br> Tended | \# Days <br> Fished |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 5,568 | 2,912 | $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ | 16 |
| Daily Minimum | 89 | 0 | 3 | 3 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Daily Maximum | 957 | 427 | 8 | 8 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Daily Average | 348 | 182 | 7 | 7 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Minimum/Day/Trap | 4 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Maximum/Day/Trap | 267 | 106 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Average/Day/Trap | 48 | 25 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

A tended trap = fish handled and removed from the trap; this season all traps fished w ere tended
Forty-nine Striped Bass were recaptures and just one of those was tagged during a previous season (Table 2). Approximately $72 \%$ of the so-called "in-season recaptures" had been tagged one day earlier and all but one in-season recapture was recaptured in a different trap. The number of in-season recaptures was far greater than during the last 5 seasons ( $0-5$ fish), as was the proportion of recaptures that were in-season ( $0-62 \%$ ).
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Table 2. Striped Bass recaptured during 2017 Striped Bass-tagging field work

| Date of Recapture | Tag Number | Date Tagged | Days at Large | Length at Tagging (cm FL) | Length at Recapture (cm FL) | Growth per Year (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9-May | 292095 | 13-Apr-16 | 391 | 42 | 53 | 10.3 |
| 11-May | 293982 | 20-Apr-17 | 21 | 38 | NA | NA |
| 9-May | 293761 | 19-Apr-17 | 20 | 39 | NA | NA |
| 9-May | 293772 | 19-Apr-17 | 20 | 51 | 51 | NA |
| 9-May | Y12290 | 20-Apr-17 | 19 | 40 | 39 | NA |
| 10-May | 294393 | 25-Apr-17 | 15 | 45 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 293997 | 20-Apr-17 | 13 | 49 | NA | NA |
| 2-May | 293997 | 20-Apr-17 | 12 | 49 | 49 | NA |
| 9-May | 294503 | 27-Apr-17 | 12 | 38 | NA | NA |
| 11-May | 294982 | 2-May-17 | 9 | 43 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 294704 | 27-Apr-17 | 6 | 44 | NA | NA |
| 10-May | 295384 | 4-May-17 | 6 | 41 | NA | NA |
| 28-Apr | 294333 | 26-Apr-17 | 2 | 44 | NA | NA |
| 27-Apr | 294442 | 26-Apr-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 28-Apr | 294504 | 27-Apr-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 27-Apr | 294561 | 26-Apr-17 | 1 | 44 | NA | NA |
| 28-Apr | 294589 | 27-Apr-17 | 1 | 46 | NA | NA |
| 28-Apr | 294602 | 27-Apr-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 27-Apr | 294645 | 26-Apr-17 | 1 | 43 | NA | NA |
| 28-Apr | 294685 | 27-Apr-17 | 1 | 41 | NA | NA |
| 28-Apr | 294711 | 27-Apr-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 294878 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 294937 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 37 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 294942 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 46 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 294955 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 38 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 295000 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 38 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 295019 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 295050 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 295104 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 49 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 295113 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 43 | NA | NA |
| 4-May | 295137 | 3-May-17 | 1 | 43 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | 295191 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 52 | NA | NA |
| 4-May | 295223 | 3-May-17 | 1 | 46 | NA | NA |
| 4-May | 295263 | 3-May-17 | 1 | 42 | NA | NA |
| 4-May | 295271 | 3-May-17 | 1 | 44 | NA | NA |
| 4-May | 295344 | 3-May-17 | 1 | 75 | NA | NA |
| 10-May | 295830 | 9-May-17 | 1 | 39 | NA | NA |
| 10-May | 295944 | 9-May-17 | 1 | 47 | NA | NA |
| 10-May | 295985 | 9-May-17 | 1 | 48 | NA | NA |
| 10-May | 295991 | 9-May-17 | 1 | 45 | NA | NA |
| 10-May | 296042 | 9-May-17 | 1 | 41 | NA | NA |
| 28-Apr | C02213 | 27-Apr-17 | 1 | 45 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | C02231 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 39 | NA | NA |
| 27-Apr | F02207 | 26-Apr-17 | 1 | 41 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | F02232 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 41 | NA | NA |
| 3-May | F02234 | 2-May-17 | 1 | 51 | NA | NA |
| 4-May | F02248 | 3-May-17 | 1 | 46 | NA | NA |
| 27-Apr | Y12315 | 26-Apr-17 | 1 | 50 | NA | NA |
| 10-May | 295892 | <NA> | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Daily average river stage for the Knights Landing-portion of the Sacramento River was calculated from quarter-hourly readings ( $n=96 /$ day) posted on-line at the California Data Exchange Center's website. River stage remained constant until early May and then dropped steadily reaching a season-low of just below 27.5 feet (Figure 1 - top panel).

Water temperature was recorded by the field crew at the beginning of each tagging day. Average water temperature was 14.0 degrees Celsius ( ${ }^{\circ}$ C, or $\sim 57.2$ degrees Fahrenheit) for the tagging season (Figure 1 - middle panel).

Striped Bass catch per trap-hour ${ }^{1}$ by day was calculated and plotted with river stage and water temperature. Average catch per trap-hour for the tagging season was ~2.0 fish (Figure 1 bottom panel).


Figure 1. Daily Striped Bass catch per trap-hour for 2017 (bottom) with daily average river stage at Knights Landing (top) and daily water temperature (middle); notes: (1) date shown in x -axis is Monday, (2) dashed-line (orange) in bottom plot indicates season-average catch per trap-hour (~2.0)

We creeled a large fraction of the fish we caught in Weeks 4 and 5 because we deployed a large number of traps relative to our ability to rapidly tag fish and relative to fish condition given water temperature and density of fish in traps. See Table 3 for other information about weekly effort and catch and Appendix 3 for weekly variation in fork lengths.

[^0]Table 3. Weekly summary of fyke trap effort and Striped Bass catch in 2017; number in parentheses is calendar week

| Week | $\mathbf{1 ( 1 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 ( 1 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ (18) | $\mathbf{4 ( 1 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{5 ( 2 0 )}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tagged | 520 | 844 | 957 | 314 | 277 |
| Creeled / Not Tagged | 2 | 0 | 62 | 2,026 | 409 |
| Over | 13 | 39 | 26 | 16 | 10 |
| Dead | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Recapture (previous and within season) | 0 | 12 | 22 | 15 | 0 |
| Weekly Total Catch | 535 | 896 | 1,067 | 2,374 | 696 |
| Number of Traps Tended | 20 | 32 | 24 | 24 | 14 |
| Number of Days Fished | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Minimum FL (cm) | 32 | 31 | 31 | 22 | 35 |
| Maximum FL (cm) | 104 | 117 | 110 | 117 | 113 |
| Average FL (cm) | 44 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 44 |

Of the fish for which a length measurement was recorded ( $N=5,404$ ), length ranged $22-117 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL and averaged $44 \pm 9 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{FL}( \pm \mathrm{SD})$. Of the fish for which sex was recorded ( $\mathrm{N}=5,399$ ), 5,033 were male and 366 were female ( $14: 1 \mathrm{male}$ ). On average, females were larger than males ( $\circ$ = $58 \pm 16 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{FL}, \delta=43 \pm 7 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{FL}$ ). About $44 \%$ of all Striped Bass caught (and measured) were sub-legal size (Figure 2 - bottom panel), which was an increase of about 4\% from 2016 (40\%).
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Figure 2. Length frequency of all Striped Bass collected in fyke traps from 2012-2013 and 2015-2017; notes: (1) for simplicity fish $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL not included in figure ( $\mathrm{n} \leq 22$ per year), (2) vertical dashed line (blue) indicates annual median length cm FL \& median includes fish $\geq 90$ cm FL, (3) length bins by $3 \mathbf{c m}$

Twenty-two (22) Chinook Salmon were caught this season and their estimated lengths ranged $34-92 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Table 4). Most salmon were brightly colored, all had an adipose fin, and all were released alive in good to excellent condition.

Table 4. By-catch of the 2017 Striped Bass tagging season

| Other Species <br> (common name) | Scientific Name | Total Count |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| American Shad | Alosa sapidissima | 605 |
| Black Crappie | Pomoxis nigromaculatus | 11 |
| Channel Catfish | Ictalurus punctatus | 30 |
| Chinook Salmon ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 22 |
| Hardhead | Mylopharodon conocephalus | 1 |
| Pumpkinseed | Lepomis gibbosus | 4 |
| Sacramento Sucker | Catostomus occidentalis | 6 |
| Smallmouth Bass | Micropterus dolomieu | 7 |
| Spotted Bass | Micropterus punctulatus | 11 |
| White Cattish | Ameiurus catus | 10 |
| White Crappie | Pomoxis annularis | 1 |
| a Fish |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fish were released alive in good to excellent condition
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All had adipose fin

## Complementary Dataset

Like last season, this season we helped a California Department of Fish and Wildlife crew from Fisheries Branch develop a large complementary dataset while that crew was doing a pilot study to monitor adult Steelhead near Sacramento. We provided data sheets and training to that crew, who then - using fyke traps and methods patterned after ours - creeled 5,269 Striped Bass from 15-Mar to 17-May 2017. See Appendix 4 for length frequency distributions.

We will compare and contrast the datasets soon, but for now note that the two sampling efforts yielded (a) male:female ratios of 14:1 (April-May by us) and 9:1 (March-May by Fisheries Branch) and (b) comparing the length frequency distributions from Weeks 16-18 and 20 (Week 19 was a clear outlier) via scatter plots showed that slopes ranged 0.38-1.4 and averaged 0.75 , while Rsquared ranged 0.92-0.99 and averaged 0.94. Please contact Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist) Jonathan Nelson with questions about the pilot study.

## Discussion

Striped Bass catch this season was remarkable in that the male:female sex ratio (14) was far lower than last season (85) and than typical for the preceding 10 years (range=10-54; average=24), and the number of in-season recaptures was far higher than since at least $2011^{2}$. At this point we have no explanation for either matter, but we'll look into it. We suppose the sex ratio change is related to the fact that Sacramento River outflow during tagging was far greater than in many years. The exceptional number of in-season recaptures is no doubt attributable to milling behavior rather than the nature (e.g., size, age, and/or sex) or number of fish we tagged, and we suppose the milling behavior was related to exceptional Sacramento River outflow and relatively low water temperatures.

[^1]
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Appendix 1. Personnel list. All were employees of the CDFW

| Name | Position Title |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kevin Banks | Fish \& Wildlife Tech |
| Andrew Danos | Fish \& Wildlife Tech |
| Mike Grady | Fish \& Wildlife Tech |
| Dave Hull | Mate |
| Tim Keopadubsy | Key Data Operator |
| Jared Mauldin | Fish \& Wildlife Tech |
| Matt Siepert | Fish \& Wildlife Tech |
| Linda Warkentin | Senior Laboratory Assistant |

Appendix 2. Sequence of tags released in 2017

| Tag <br> Value | From | To | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| NR | 293608 | 293619 | 12 |
| $N R$ | 293681 | 293709 | 29 |
| $N R$ | 293733 | 295737 | 2,005 |
| $N R$ | 295739 | 295891 | 153 |
| $N R$ | 295893 | 296314 | 422 |
| $\$ 20$ | Y12278 | Y12278 | 1 |
| $\$ 20$ | Y12282 | Y12283 | 2 |
| $\$ 20$ | Y12285 | Y12379 | 95 |
| $\$ 50$ | F02177 | F02177 | 1 |
| $\$ 50$ | F02181 | F02182 | 2 |
| $\$ 50$ | F02185 | F02279 | 95 |
| $\$ 100$ | C02185 | C02279 | 95 |
| NR $=$ non-reward |  |  |  |

Appendix 3. Weekly length frequency distribution of Striped Bass caught (and measured) in fyke traps at Knights Landing during 2017; Notes: (1) for simplicity fish $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL not included in figure ( $n=3$, week 1 ; $n=7$, week 2 ; $n=9$, week 3 ; $n=2$, week 4 , $n=1$, week 5 ), (2) vertical dashed line (blue) indicates weekly median length cm FL \& median includes fish $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL, (3) length bins by 3 cm , (4) number in parentheses is calendar week

Legal Sub-legal


Appendix 4. Weekly length frequency distribution of Striped Bass caught (and measured) in fyke traps near Sacramento during 2017; Notes: (1) for simplicity fish $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL not included in figure ( $\mathrm{n} \leq 4$ in any given week), (2) vertical dashed line (blue) indicates weekly median length cm FL \& median includes fish $\geq 90 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL, (3) length bins by 3 cm , (4) number in parentheses is calendar week

Legal $\square$ Sub-legal



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rounded to nearest $1 / 4$-hour and cumulative for the number of traps fishing (for example, if 10 traps each fished 24 hours in one day, then trap-hours for that day equaled 240.) Catch includes any fish left in the trap from the preceding day.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In-season recaptures had been so rare that we started entering them into the database in 2011 and they exist only in notes prior to then. In-season recaptures this season were far greater than anyone can recall.

