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#### Abstract

This report covers the 52nd annual inventory of Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, spawner populations in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River system. It is a compilation of sources estimating the late-fall-, winter-, spring-, and fall-run populations for streams which were surveyed. Estimates were based on counts of fish entering hatcheries and migrating past dams, from surveys of dead and live fish and redds in spawning areas, and from aerial counts.

The estimated 2004 total escapement of Chinook salmon in the Central Valley was 420,442 fish, which was $33 \%$ lower than in 2003 . The population consisted of 384,727 fall-, 13,982 -spring, 13,864 late-fall-, and 7,869 winter-run spawners. All of the late-fall-, spring-, and winter-run salmon were in the Sacramento River system. The entire Central Valley fall run consisted of 398,179 fish in the Sacramento River system and 22,263 fish in the San Joaquin River system. The fall run in the San Joaquin tributaries still only contributed a small portion (5\%) to the total Central Valley escapement.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Sacramento-San Joaquin River system (Figure 1), which flows through California's Central Valley, is the principle producer of Chinook salmon caught in the state's ocean fisheries; its salmon runs also contribute to the ocean fisheries of Oregon and Washington. This report is the 52nd compilation of Chinook salmon spawner stock surveys. The spring and fall runs have been monitored since 1953, and late-fall and winter runs since 1971. The four runs are distinguished as follows:

1) Late-fall run. These salmon spawn mainly in the upper Sacramento River and its tributaries near and upstream of Red Bluff. They arrive in this area in early November through February, with spawning occurring from January through mid-April. Adults of this run are usually larger in physical size than fall- and winter-run salmon spawning in the same area.
2) Winter run. These salmon spawn almost entirely in the Sacramento River and its tributaries upstream of Red Bluff, arriving there in December through early August, with spawning occurring from April through August.
3) Spring run. Once widespread in Central Valley tributaries, this run has disappeared from many of the streams in which dam construction has blocked access to spawning habitat. Spring-run spawners return to the system from the ocean in late January through August; early arrivals to their natal streams oversummer in holding pools. Spawning occurs from mid-August through October.
4) Fall run. These are presently the most numerous and widely distributed salmon in the Central Valley. They return from the ocean during June through November and spawn from early October through late December.

Monitoring of salmon spawner escapement in Central Valley tributaries is an important component of the California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG) fishery management effort. The primary objectives of this work are to determine size and composition of spawner populations. Any changes in spawning distribution and habitat conditions that may adversely affect salmon are noted to determine if corrective action is necessary.


FIGURE 1. Sacramento-San Joaquin River System of California's Central Valley.

## GENERAL METHODS

During 2004, spawner stock data were collected in some Central Valley tributaries known to support Chinook salmon runs by: monitoring fish entering hatcheries and migrating past dams; conducting stream surveys in holding or spawning areas for live fish, carcasses, and redds; or making aerial redd counts.

The data collected usually represented only a sampling of the tributaries' spawners. For some tributaries, data were not sufficient to calculate an estimate of the spawner population size; in some such cases, a decision of the number of spawners present was arrived at by "best professional judgment".

In other streams, salmon carcasses were marked throughout a series of survey periods. Discrete marks associated those carcasses with the individual surveys upon subsequent recovery trips. All counted carcasses were marked, or cut in half to prevent recounting. Estimated spawner numbers were calculated from mark-and-recovery data.

Specific details of surveys (e.g. timing, duration, location), or estimation methods are presented under the individual tributary sections.

In this report, adult saimon are considered those fish three years old and older. Two-year-old salmon, although sexually mature, are referred to as grilse.

## CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNER POPULATIONS FOR THE SACRAMENTO RIVER SYSTEM

## Sacramento River Mainstem

A total of 60,691 salmon was in the mainstem upstream of Red Bluff Diversion Dam (Figure 2), consisting of 43,604 fall-, 8,824 late-fall-, 7,869 winter- and 394 spring-run fish.

Late-fall run. The late-fall spawner population was estimated through a combination of salmon carcass surveys in a portion of the mainstem, aerial redd surveys of the entire mainstem, and sampling salmon at Keswick Dam. Carcass surveys were conducted from 15 December 2003 through 24 May 2004, covering the $15.6-\mathrm{km}(9.7-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the mainstem between Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District Dam (ACDD) and the powerlines just downstream of the mouth of Clear Creek ${ }^{\underline{2}!}$. Several surveys during the middle of the period were hampered by high flows when Keswick Dam releases reached $1,416 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(50,000 \mathrm{cfs})$.

Surveys were conducted from boats, or on foot along the shore, during which salmon carcasses were collected. Before further processing carcasses were categorized as being either in fresh or decayed condition, and either from a fish of hatchery or natural origin. Fresh carcasses were those having at least one clear eye and reddish colored gills. Carcasses of hatchery origin were identified by missing adipose fins, indicating the possible presence of a coded-wire tag in its snout, inserted when the fish was a juvenile at the hatchery. It was assumed that any carcasses with adipose fins intact were salmon produced from in-river (natural) spawning.

For estimation of the spawner population, carcasses were marked with numbered tags attached with hog rings. Fresh carcasses were tagged in the upper jaw, and decayed carcasses were tagged in the lower jaw. Hatchery-origin carcasses were excluded from marking, since their heads were removed and saved for retrieval of the coded-wire tag. Length measurements, determination of gender, and female degree of egg retention were also recorded. Other carcasses not marked, usually those in an advanced state of decay, were chopped in half. Carcasses were then returned to flowing water near the location where they were originally found, in an attempt to simulate "natural" carcass dispersion. During subsequent surveys, previously marked carcasses that were recovered were also chopped.

The carcass processing protocols were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult or grilse, based on length), condition (fresh or decayed), and origin (hatchery or natural), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated the Jolly-Seber model (Appendix 1.C) was the most appropriate.
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FIGURE 2. Upper Sacramento River System.

Only the mark-and-recovery data for carcasses of natural-origin females classified as adults (Fork length [FL] $\geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in]) were used to calculate an estimate. A total of 718 fresh and decayed carcasses was tagged, and 402 of those were subsequently recovered (Table 1), resulting in a estimate of 3,118 adult female fish in the surveyed area upstream of Clear Creek.

| TABLE 1. Chinook salmon fresh and decayed carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 late-fall-run adult female spawner population in the Sacramento River from the Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District Dam to the powerlines downstream of Clear Creek ${ }^{1 /}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surcey Period | Carcasses Recovered from Period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Marked <br> Careasses <br> Recovered $\left(R_{1}\right)^{2}\left(K_{1}\right)$ |  | Carcasses Obsenced <br> $\left(E_{1}\right)^{+}$ | Estimatc for Period |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  | (Ni) | (Di) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 1 | -- | -- | -- | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | -- | -- | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | -- | - | 0 | -- |  | 28 | 606 | 524 |
| 2 | 2 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -* | - | 2 | 4 | 102 |  | 490 |
| 3 | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 18 | -- | - | - | -- | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | -- | - | -- | - | - | -- | -- | - | 21 | 10 | 312 |  | 400 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 49 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | 53 | 49 | 277 |  | 267 |
| 5 |  | 5 | 36 | 64 | - | $\cdots$ | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | 105 | 30 | 429 |  | 223 |
| 6 |  | 1 | 4 | 17 | 84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | 106 | 21 | 328 |  | 147 |
| 7 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 11 | 34 | -- | -. | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | 49 | 22 | 139 |  | 125 |
| 8 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 6 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | 15 | 20 | 62 |  | 96 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 10 | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | -- | 28 | 2 | 123 |  | 36 |
| 10 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |  | 0 | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | 1 | 1 | 9 |  | -4 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |
| 13 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 38 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - | -- | - | - | - | -- | - | 0 | 1 | 28 |  | 9 |
| 15 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ddagger$ | -- | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 23 |  | 33 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | -- | - | 4 | 1 | 25 |  | 29 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | - | - | -- | -- | 1 | 1 | 12 |  | 156 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 3 | 23 |  | -72 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | -- | - | 3 | 2 | 16 |  | 11 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 11 |  | 1 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  | 0 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | $\ddagger$ | 2 |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | - | 4 |  |  |
| Toul recovered <br> $(C,)^{\circ}:$ <br> Toul marked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals: | 402 |  | 1.956 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 27 | 22 | 86 | 97 | 50 | 13 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand | tal maried) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jolly-Seber estimate ${ }^{9 /}$ : |  |  |  |  | ,118 |  |
| i Surveys were conducted from 15 Deeember 200 : through 24 May 2004 . Data shown from D. Killam. CDFG-NCNCR. Red Bluflothice. Personal communication. <br> : Toul recovered marked careasses tor the thperiod <br> : Toul marked eareasses recovered alier the the period, that were manked before the ; th period. <br> - Imiludes salmon eareasses which were marked, murhed carcasses that were recovered. and ungarked careasses that were chopped. <br> Number of carcasses in the population at the start of survey period 1 . <br> . Viumber of carcasses "joining" the populution between periods $l$ and $l-l$. <br> - Iowi recovered marked carcusses that were marked durme the ith period <br> 4. vumber of eareasses marked in the ith penod <br> ; $=N_{1}+D_{t}+D_{2}+\ldots . D_{1}$ (Appendex 1.C). Tolat shown mav be diferent than the sum of setuil numbers shown die to spreadsheet calculations and rounding to whole number. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Since the estimate from the Jolly-Seber calculations represented only a portion of the adult female population in the mainstem late-fall run, expansions/extrapolations were made to obtain the total in-river spawner population (Table 2).

- As only the mark-and-recovery data for natural-origin carcasses were used, the estimated number of adult female fish in the survey area was expanded to include those of hatchery origin. Based on the relationship of 359 fresh female carcasses observed in the survey, eight of which were of hatchery origin, the Jolly-Seber estimate was expanded to 3,189 adult females within the carcass survey area.
- The number of adult females in the survey area was then extrapolated to the entire mainstem through aerial redd count data. Using the relationship of 458 total redds observed in the mainstem, of which 422 were in the carcass survey area, it was calculated that 273 fish were outside the area, resulting in 3,462 total adult females for the entire mainstem.

- An estimate of adult male salmon was derived from the total number of adult females using the late-fall-run male-to-female sex-ratio at the Keswick Dam trapping station. Based on the 41 males and 28 females observed, it was calculated that a total of 5,069 adult males were in the mainstem.
- Estimates of grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) salmon for each gender were derived from the respective total numbers of adults using the proportions of grilse and adults observed in fresh carcasses sampled during the survey. Based on the female salmon relationship of three grilse and 359 adults, 29 total female grilse were calculated. Likewise, based on the male salmon relationship of nine grilse and 222 adults, a total of 205 male grilse was estimated.

Combining the estimates for each sex-age component of the run, 8,765 salmon spawned in the mainstem. All of these spawners were upstream of Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD), since during four aerial surveys conducted from 5 January through 15 April 2004, no late-fall-run redds were observed downstream (Table 3). An additional 59 fish were transferred from Keswick Dam to Coleman National Fish Hatchery (CNFH), resulting in a total 8,824 late-fall-run salmon for 2004 (Appendix 2).

The late-fall-run population consisted of $36 \%$ male adults, $24.6 \%$ female adults, $1.5 \%$ male grilse, and $0.2 \%$ female grilse.

| TABLE 3. Chinook salmon redd relative distribution observed during 2004 aerial surveys of the mainstem Sacramento River from Keswick Dam to Princeton Ferry. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Late-fall run |  | Winter run |  | Spring run |  | Fall run |  |
| River section | Redds counted ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Proportional distribution | Redds counted ${ }^{2}$ | Proportional distribution | Redds counted ${ }^{3}$ | Proportional distribution | Redds counted | Proportional distribution |
| Keswick Dam to A.C.I.D. Dam ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 385 | 84.1\% | 102 | 16.4\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 160 | 9.9\% |
| A.C.I.D Dam to Highway 44 Bridge | 16 | 3.5\% | 215 | 34.6\% | 12 | 27.3\% | 70 | 4.3\% |
| Highway 44 Bridge to Airpon Road Bridge | 27 | 5.9\% | 302 | 48.6\% | 30 | 68.2\% | 92 | 5.7\% |
| Airport Road Bridge to Balls Ferry Bridge | 28 | 6.1\% | 2 | 0.3\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 366 | 22.7\% |
| Balls Ferry Bridge to Battle Creek | 2 | 0.4\% | 0 |  | 2 | 4.5\% | 208 | 12.9\% |
| Battle Creek to Jellys Ferry Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 201 | 12.4\% |
| Jellys Ferry Bridge to Bend Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 85 | 5.3\% |
| Bend Bridge to Red Bluff Dam | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 79 | 4.9\% |
| Upstream proportion: |  | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  | $100.0 \%$ |  | $78.1 \%$ |
| Red Bluff Dam to Tehama Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 176 | 10.9\% |
| Tehama Bridge to Woodson Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 57 | 3.5\% |
| Woodson Bridge to Hamilıon City Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 81 | 5.0\% |
| Hamilton City Bridge to Ord Ferry Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 36 | 2.2\% |
| Ord Ferry Bridge to Princeton Ferry | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4 | 0.2\% |
| Downstream proportion: |  |  | $:$ | S! Se: | So: | Sos |  | $21.9 \%$ |
| Total Redds: | 458 |  | 621 |  | 44 |  | 1,615 |  |
| I/ Total count of new redds for four aerial surveys made from 5 January through 15 April 2004. <br> $2 /$ Total count of new redds for 12 aerial surveys made from 29 April through 25 August 2004. <br> 3/Total count of new redds for four aerial surveys made during I-29 September 2004. <br> 4/ Total count of new redds for four aerial surveys made from 5 October through 30 November 2004. 5/ Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District Dam. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The 2004 late-fall-run population of 8,824 fish for the Sacramento River mainstem upstream of RBDD was $65 \%$ higher than that of 2003 , but only $46 \%$ of the population average for 19982003; during that period estimates were also determined using carcass survey and redd data (Appendix 3).

Winter run. The winter-run spawner population was estimated through a combination of salmon carcass surveys in a portion of the Sacramento River mainstem, aerial redd surveys of the entire mainstem, and data from sampling salmon at Keswick Dam. Carcass surveys, conducted by CDFG and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Red Bluff Fish and Wildlife Office (FWSRBFWO), covered the $46-\mathrm{km}(28.6-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the mainstem from Keswick Dam downstream to the mouth of Cottonwood Creek ${ }^{\frac{3}{}}$. The study area was divided into four reaches, which were surveyed during 30 April through 3 September 2004. The two upstream-most reaches (from Keswick Dam to the Hwy-44 bridge) were both sampled on the same day throughout the period. Surveys in the downstream reach (Anderson Mill riffle to Cottonwood Creek) began on 10 June. Mean survey-period river flow averaged $355 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(12,537 \mathrm{cfs})$, ranging from $227 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to 462 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(8053-16,309 \mathrm{cfs})$ at Keswick Dam. Mean survey-period temperature averaged $11.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $53.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ), ranging from $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $13.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(50-57^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Water clarity, measured by secchi disk, averaged 3.7 m ( 12 ft ), ranging from 2.6 m to $4.9 \mathrm{~m}(8.5-16 \mathrm{ft})$.

[^2]Most of the surveys were conducted from two boats, each with at least two observers, and generally covered opposite shorelines out to the middle of the river. Collected carcasses were categorized as being either in fresh or decayed condition, and either from a fish of hatchery or natural origin. Fresh carcasses were those having at least one clear eye and reddish colored gills. A carcass of hatchery origin was identified by a missing adipose fin. Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery (LSNFH) had been the exclusive winter-run salmon rearing facility in the upper Sacramento river system since 1998, and all of its juvenile fish were released with adipose finclips, and coded-wire tags (identifying their race, age, and origin) implanted in their snouts. It was therefore assumed that any carcasses with adipose fins intact were winter-run salmon produced from in-river (natural) spawning. Length measurements, determination of gender, and female degree of egg retention were also obtained.

For estimation of the spawner population, carcasses were marked with colored plastic attached with hog rings; color was used to identify the survey period that the carcass was tagged. Fresh carcasses were tagged in the upper jaw, and decayed carcasses were tagged in the lower jaw. Hatchery-origin carcasses were excluded from marking, since their heads were removed and saved for retrieval of the coded-wire tag. Other carcasses not marked, usually those in an advanced state of decay, were chopped in half. Carcasses were returned to flowing water near the location where they were originally found, in an attempt to simulate "natural" carcass dispersion. During subsequent surveys, previously marked carcasses that were recovered were also chopped.

The carcass processing protocols were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult or grilse, based on length), condition (fresh or decayed), and origin (hatchery or natural), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated the Jolly-Seber model (Appendix 1.C) was the most appropriate.

A total of 3,280 individual salmon carcasses was observed, but only the mark-and-recovery data for those of natural-origin females classified as aduits ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in]) were used to calculate an estimate. A total of 1,436 of these, both fresh and decayed carcasses, was tagged, and 828 were subsequently recovered, resulting in an estimate of 3,025 adult female fish in the surveyed area (Table 4).

Since the estimate from the Jolly-Seber calculations represented only a portion of the adult female population in the mainstem winter run, expansions/extrapolations were made to obtain the total in-river spawner population (Table 5).

- As only the mark-and-recovery data for natural-origin carcasses were used, the estimated number of adult female fish in the survey area was expanded to include those of hatchery origin. Based on the relationship of 1,058 fresh female carcasses observed in the survey, of which 74 were of hatchery origin, the Jolly-Seber estimate was expanded to 3,252 adult females within the carcass survey area.
- Extrapolation of the number of adult females in the survey area to that for the entire mainstem was not necessary, since aerial surveys revealed that all of the mainstem redds were within the carcass survey area. It was assumed that no fish spawned outside the survey area, and the 3,252 adult females was the total for the entire mainstem.

| TABLE 4 . Chinook salmon frexh and decayed carcasy mark-and-recovery data uxed to estimate the 2004 winter-run natural-origin adult female spawner population in the Sacramento River between Kewick Dam and the mouth of Cottonwood Creck ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surce: | Caressuen Recovered from Priod: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | DGarked Carcaswes Recovered |  | Carcances Obveried ( F ) ${ }^{\text {? }}$ | Entimuse for Perious (N1) (D |  |
| Pcriod | 12 | 3 | $t$ | $\cdots$ | 6 | - | n | 9 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 1. | 1.4 | 15 | 14 |  | 7 | 13 | 39 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 26 | 27 | ${ }^{2 \mathrm{Na}}$ | 29 | 3 lt | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 31 | 36 |  | 3 m | (R, - | ( $\mathrm{c}^{\text {] }}$ - |  |  |  |
| 1 | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |  |  | - | $\cdots$ | 7 | 1 |  |
| : | 3 - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - |  | 3 | 0 | 7 | - | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |
| 3 | : | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 2 |
| 3 |  | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 3 | - |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | .- | - | - | - | 0 | I | : | - | $\vdots$ |
| * |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | s |
| * |  |  |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 4 | - | 7 |
| * |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | : | 1 | 7 | - |  |
| 10 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |  | - | - | - | -. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | -. | - | - | 1 | 2 | 6 | - | 24 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | - |  | 1 | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | 10 | $\sim$ | 11 |
| 12 |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 3 | 23 | - | 35 |
| 13 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |  | 3 | 5 | 13 | - |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - |  | * | s | 15 | - | $*$ |
| ${ }^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | * | 3 | 29 | - |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 6 | + | 24 | - |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | , | 6 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 6 | 43 | - | 4 |
| ${ }^{18}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | - | - | - | ~ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 11 | so | - | 117 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | : | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 16 | 9 | 80 | - | 8 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | . 1 |  |  | 1 | 87 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |  | 31 | 12 | 102 | - |  |
| : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - |  | $\bigcirc$ | こ | 2 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ |  |  | 31 | 33 | 131 | $\cdots$ | 1.4 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 0 | - | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - |  | 4 | 37 | 128 | - | 330 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 32 | 41 | 196 | - |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | S | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 62 | 3 | 207 | - | -7\% |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $=$ | 7 | 11 | S 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |  | 68 | 63 | 23 | - |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ? | 2 | ; | 2 | 5 | 9 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |  | 87 | 61 | 285 | - | 23: |
| = |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 | 3 | ? | 8 | s | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 73 | 70 | 29 | $\cdots$ |  |
| 2* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | $6_{6}$ | : | + | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 71 | 74 | 211 | - |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 3 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | \% | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 3 | 73 | 381 | - |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | $\leqslant$ | 2 | 3 | - | 10 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | 3 | 67 | 15 | - |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | \% | 3 | 8 | 17 | $\cdots$ | $=$ |  |  |  |  | - |  | 43 | S | ${ }_{97} 128$ | - |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 3 | , | \% | 3 | \% | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 3 | 38 | 97 | - | 197 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | 14 | 36 | so | - |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | $\pm$ | ! | 0 | $=$ | 3 | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | - | - | - | - |  | 17 | 2 | $s$ | - | $-26$ |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=$ | 1 | $=$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | $+$ | 3 | - | - | - |  | 18 | 15 | 40 | - |  |
| ${ }_{3}^{36}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | $=$ | , | 3 | $\cdots$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\because$ | - | - | - | 13 | 3 | 4 | - | 2 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | , | 5 | - |  | 7 | $\pm$ | 24 | - |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |  |  |  | - | $\geq$ | $\cdots$ | 7 | - |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 7 | - | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - | 3 | - | - |
| 1in yecovered <br> ( C ) " | $3=$ | - | $=$ | 1 | - | 0 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  | \& | " | 36 | 7 | 7 |  | 8 | 75 | 3 | 4 | 30 | 19 |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ | 3 | 6 | 0 | - |  | Grand | cosued |  |  |
| Total marked (Ti) ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | $\leqslant 4$ | 0 | $3$ | ' | 1 | 1 | $=$ | $\pm$ | 3 | 8 | 12 | $\square$ | 7 |  | 18 | 16 | 33 |  | 31 | 56 | 6 \% | * | 77 | 1 s | 120 | 1d2 | 1.3 | ミ1 | 101 | 78 | 3 | 38 | 33 | ${ }^{14}$ | , | 3 | to | 1 | 1 | 1,430 | Crand zous | matroc) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jolly | cber es | mate ${ }^{\text {P }}$ : |  |  |
| S Suvey werc sonducted tron 30 April through 3 Scpternber 2003. <br> - Toal recoverod mutted carcanvex for the it perioc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Inciusces silmon carcanwox which urfe maked, manded carcansex tat were recovered, and unmarked carsanmet hat were choppec <br> \$' Number of carcasmes in the population as the wiar of survey period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5. Estimated Components of the 2004 Winter-run Salmon Population in the Mainstem Sacramento River.

Adult Female Salmon
Within Carcass Survey Area
Natural-origin spawners ${ }^{1 /} \quad 3,025$
Natural and Hatchery-origin spawners ${ }^{2 /} \quad 3,252$
Mainstem Outside Carcass Survey Area ${ }^{3 /} 0$
Total Adult Females: $\quad$ 3,252
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total Adult Male Salmon }{ }^{4 /}: & \mathbf{3 , 0 5 2}\end{array}$
Total Grilse Female Salmon ${ }^{5 /}: \quad 40$
Total Grilse Male Salmon ${ }^{6 /}$ : $\quad \mathbf{1 , 4 4 0}$
TOTAL RUN:
7,784

[^3]- An estimate of adult male salmon ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 71 \mathrm{~cm}$ [28 in]) was derived from the total number of adult females using the winter-run male-to-female sex-ratio at the Keswick Dam trapping station. Based on the 61 males and 65 females observed, it was calculated that a total of 3,052 adult males were in the mainstem.
- Estimates of grilse salmon for each gender were derived from the respective total numbers of adults using the proportions of grilse and adults observed in fresh carcasses sampled during the survey. Based on the female salmon relationship of 13 grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61$ cm ) and 1,058 adults, 40 total female grilse were calculated. Likewise, based on the male salmon relationship of 150 grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<71 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and 318 adults, a total of 1,440 male grilse was estimated.

Combining the estimates for each sex-age component of the run, a total of 7,784 salmon spawned in the mainstem. All of these spawners were upstream of RBDD since during 12 aerial surveys conducted from 29 April through 25 August 2004, no winter-run redds were observed in the downstream stretch (Table 3). An additional 85 fish were transferred from Keswick Dam to LSNFH, resulting in a total 7,869 winter-run salmon for 2004 (Appendix 2).

The winter-run population consisted of $39.2 \%$ male adults, $41.8 \%$ female adults, $18.5 \%$ male grilse, and $0.5 \%$ female grilse.

The 2004 winter-run spawner population of 7,869 salmon in the mainstem upstream of RBDD was $4 \%$ lower than that of 2003. The 2004 population was also over $23 / 4$ times higher than the average for 1994-2003, but estimates previous to 2000 were determined from RBDD counts rather than carcass surveys (Appendix 3).

Spring run. Mainstem spring-run salmon estimates were determined, as in past years, by methodology which involved expansion of sampling the salmon passage at RBDD during midMay through mid-September. This interval was when the dam's gates were lowered, and its fishways were operable. Briefly, numbers of salmon counted weekly during discrete periods were adjusted for unsampled periods, then apportioned to an individual run. The total of a run's adjusted counts was further expanded using historical distributions of migration past RBDD. For the spring run this distribution was an average run timing from year-round samplings during 1970-1988. Expansion to include passage during the gates-up period in 2004 assumed that the total adjusted count represented the same proportion of the run as the period's historical distribution. The mainstem-only spawner population was calculated by removing estimated populations for upstream tributaries from the run's total estimated RBDD passage.

An estimated 575 salmon with spring-run characteristics passed RBDD in $2004^{4 \prime}$. Cottonwood, Clear, and Battle creeks accounted for 181 fish in the tributaries, so 394 fish were the mainstem spawner population. During aerial surveys conducted on 1-29 September 2004, spring-run redds were not observed downstream of RBDD (Table 3), and it was assumed that there were no spawners of this rum in that area.

[^4]The spring run consisted of $29.7 \%$ male adults, $16.7 \%$ female adults, and $53.6 \%$ grilse, based on samplings at RBDD.

The mainstem spawner population was $25 \%$ lower than the average for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).
Fall run. The fall-run spawner population was estimated through a combination of salmon carcass surveys in a portion of the mainstem, aerial redd surveys of the entire mainstem, and data from sampling salmon at RBDD. Carcass surveys covered the $15.6-\mathrm{km}(9.7-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the mainstem between ACID and the powerlines just downstream of Clear Creek ${ }^{5 /}$.

Surveys were conducted from boats, or on foot along the shore, during which salmon carcasses were collected. Before further processing carcasses were categorized as being either in fresh or decayed condition, and either from a fish of hatchery or natural origin. Fresh carcasses were those having at least one clear eye and reddish colored gills. Carcasses of hatchery origin were identified by missing adipose fins, indicating the possible presence of a coded-wire tag in its snout, inserted when the fish was a juvenile in the hatchery. It was assumed that any carcasses with adipose fins intact were salmon produced from in-river (natural) spawning. Length measurements, determination of gender, and female degree of egg retention were also recorded.

For estimation of the spawner population, carcasses were marked with numbered tags attached with hog rings. Fresh carcasses were tagged in the upper jaw, and decayed carcasses were tagged in the lower jaw. Hatchery-origin carcasses were excluded from marking, since their heads were removed and saved for retrieval of the coded-wire tag. Other carcasses not marked, usually those in an advanced state of decay, were chopped in half. Carcasses were returned to flowing water near the location where they were originally found, in an attempt to simulate "natural" carcass dispersion. During subsequent surveys, previously marked carcasses that were recovered were also chopped.

The carcass processing protocols were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult or grilse, based on length), condition (fresh or decayed), and origin (hatchery or natural), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated the Jolly-Seber model (Appendix 1.C) was the most appropriate.

Only the mark-and-recovery data for fresh carcasses of natural-origin female adults were used for calculation of an estimate. From a total of 736 carcasses marked, and 299 subsequently recovered, an adult female population of 3,297 fish was estimated (Table 6).

Since the estimate from the Jolly-Seber calculations represented only a portion of the adult female population in the mainstem fall run, expansions/extrapolations were made to obtain the total in-river spawner population (Table 7).

[^5]- As only the mark-and-recovery data for natural-origin carcasses were used, the estimated number of adult female fish in the survey area was expanded to include those of hatchery origin. Based on the relationship of 395 fresh female carcasses observed in the survey, of which 31 were of hatchery origin, the Jolly-Seber estimate was expanded to 3,578 adult females within the carcass survey area.
- The survey area adult females was extrapolated to the entire mainstem through aerial redd count data. Using the relationship of 1,615 total redds observed in the mainstem, of which 311 were in the carcass survey area, it was calculated that 15,002 fish were outside the area, resulting in 18,580 total adult females for the entire mainstem.
- An estimate of adult male salmon was derived from the total number of adult females using the fall-run male-to-female sex-ratio at the RBDD trapping station. Based on the 225 males and 210 females observed, it was calculated that a total of 19,907 adult males were in the mainstem.
- Estimates of grilse salmon for each gender were derived from the respective total numbers of adults using the proportions of grilse and adults observed in fresh carcasses sampled during the survey. Based on the female salmon relationship of 12 grilse and 395 adults, 564 total female grilse were calculated. Likewise, based on the male salmon relationship of 43 grilse and 188 adults, 4,553 male grilse were estimated.

Combining the estimates for each sex-age component of the run, a total of 43,604 salmon spawned in the mainstem. Based on four aerial surveys conducted during 5 October through 30 November 2004, fall-run redds upstream and downstream of RBDD constituted about $78.1 \%$ and $21.9 \%$, respectively, of the total mainstem spawning (Table 3 ). This represented a population distribution of 34,050 fish upstream of RBDD and 9,554 fish downstream (Appendix 2).

The fall-run spawner population consisted of $45.7 \%$ male adults, $42.6 \%$ female adults, $10.4 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $1.3 \%$ female grilse

The 2004 fall-run spawner population in the mainstem upstream of RBDD was about $49 \%$ lower than that in 2003, and $61 \%$ of the average population for 1994-2003. The downstream population was about $42 \%$ of that in 2003, and $74 \%$ of its 1994-2003 average (Appendix 3).

TABLE 6. Chinook salmon fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run natural-origin female adult spawner population in the Sacramento River from Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District Dam to the powerlines downstream of Clear Creel ${ }^{1 /}$.


1/Data shown from D. Killam, CDFG-NCNCR, Red Bluf office. Personal communication.
2/ Total recovered marked carcasses for the ith period
3/ Total marked carcasses recovered after the ith period, that were marked before the ith period.
4/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked. marked carcasses that were recovered, and unmarked carcasses that were chopped.
5/ Number of eareasses in the population at the start of survey period 1 .
6/ Number of eareasses "joining" the population between periods I and I + !
7/ Total recovered marked carcasses that were marked during the ith period
8/ Number of carcasses marked in the ith period.
9/ $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{1}+\mathrm{D}_{2}+\ldots . \mathrm{D}$, (Appendix l.C).

| TABLE 7. Estimated Components of the 2004 Fall-run Salmon Population in the Mainstem Sacramento River. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adult Female Salmon Within Carcass Survey Area |  |
| Natural-origin spawners ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |
| Natural and Hatchery-origin spawners 2/ | 3,578 |
| Mainstern Outside Carcass Survey Area ${ }^{3 /}$ | 15.002 |
| Total Adult Females: | 18,580 |
| Total Adult Male Salmon ${ }^{\text {4/ }}$ : | 19,907 |
| Total Grilse Female Salmon ${ }^{5 /}$ : | 564 |
| Total Grilse Male Salmon ${ }^{6 /}$ : | 4,553 |
| TOTAL RUN: | 43,604 |
| 1/ Jolly-Seber estimate calculated using mark-recovery data of non-adipose-fin-clipped adult female salmon carcasses. <br> 2/ Expansion of Jolly-Seber estimate to include hatchery-origin (adipose fin-clipped) fish. <br> 3/ Estimate derived from number of female salmon in the carcass survey area, based on relative proportions of redds. <br> 4/ Estimate derived from number of total adult females, based on female-male proportions at Keswick Dam. <br> 5/ Estimate derived from number of total adult females. based on adult-grilse porportions in the carcass survey. <br> 6/ Estimate derived from number of total adult males, based on adult-grilse porportions in the carcass survey. |  |

## Sacramento River Tributaries Keswick Dam to Red Bluff Diversion Dam

In 2004, a total of 103,679 salmon was estimated for the Sacramento River system tributaries upstream of RBDD, consisting of 98,458 fall-, 5,040 late-fall-, and 181 spring-run fish. Clear and Battle creeks were the only tributaries in this area for which individual fall-run population estimates were made.

## Clear Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2004.
Spring run. Based on a snorkeling survey conducted by the FWS-RBFWO during August, which was regarded as an index of annual adult abundance, the spring-run spawner population was judged to be 98 salmon ${ }^{6 /}$.

Fall run. Nine spawner surveys of Clear Creek were conducted during 12 October through 6 December 2004, in the $6.7-\mathrm{km}(4.2-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch downstream from the former location of the McCormick-Saeltzer Dam ${ }^{6 \prime}$. Salmon carcasses were marked by attaching colored tags to their jaws with hog rings, and replacing them back into running water for recovery during following surveys; different colors were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods.

Using fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), the spawner population in Clear Creek was estimated to be 6,365 fish (Table 8).

Based on examination of 3,225 salmon carcasses, the fall-run spawner population of Clear Creek consisted of $36 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in.]), $58 \%$ female adults, and $6 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<$ 61 cm ).

## Cottonwood Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2004.
Spring run. Four surveys were conducted for this run in Beegum Creek, a tributary to Cottonwood Creek ${ }^{6 /}$. A total of 17 salmon was counted, and judged to constitute the 2004 spring run for the Cottonwood Creek system.

Fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2004.

[^6]| TABLE 8. Chinook salmon fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run spawner population of Clear Creek in the reach from McCormick-Saeltzer Dam to 4.2 miles downstream. <br> Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| period (i): | 12-Oct | 18-Oct | 25-Oct | 1-Nov | 8-Nov | 15-Nov | (Rj) | (Cj) ${ }^{1 /}$ | (N) ${ }^{2 /}$ |
| 18-Oct | 14 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 14 | 306 | 682 |
| 25-Oct | 7 | 49 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 56 | 717 | 1,224 |
| $1-\mathrm{Nov}$ | 1 | 9 | 86 | -- | -- | -- | 96 | 808 | 1,492 |
| 8 -Nov |  | 9 | 31 | 92 | -- | -- | 132 | 953 | 1,652 |
| $15-\mathrm{Nov}$ |  | 1 | 7 | 18 | 36 | -- | 62 | 394 | 786 |
| 22-Nov |  |  | 0 | 2 | 14 | 15 | 31 | 159 | 362 |
| 29-Nov |  |  | 1 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 25 | 203 | 665 |
| 6-Dec |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 73 | 245 |
| Total recovered (Ri) | 22 | 68 | 125 | 113 | 62 | 29 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | tal estimate | 7,107 |
| marked (Mi): | 49 | 111 | 233 | 192 | 135 | 71 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted e | mate ${ }^{3 /}$ : | 6,365 |
| 1/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered. <br> 2/ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $N=\operatorname{SUM}(\operatorname{Rij} \times(\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{Ri}) \times(\mathrm{Cj} / \mathrm{Rj}))$. <br> 3/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate $(7,107-742=6,365)$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Battle Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were made for late-fall-run salmon spawning naturally in Battle Creek during 2004. The only available spawner data were for 5,040 salmon which entered CNFH ${ }^{7 /}$. These fish consisted of $39.5 \%$ male adults, $33.6 \%$ female adults, and $26.9 \%$ grilse.

Spring run. Based on FWS-RBFWO fish passage monitoring conducted at the CNFH barrier weir, it was estimated that 66 spring-run adult salmon passed upstream of the barrier weir ${ }^{8!}$.

Fall run. Carcass surveys were conducted during 14 October through 9 December 2004, covering the $5.6-\mathrm{km}(3.5-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of river from CNFH downstream to the old hatchery location ${ }^{8 /}$; Grover's Ditch was also surveyed. Salmon carcasses were marked by attaching colored tags to their jaws with hog rings, and placed into running water for recovery; different colors were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods.

Using fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), the spawner population in the surveyed sections was estimated to be 23,861 fish (Table 9). Combined with an additional 68,232 fish which entered CNFH, the total Battle Creek fall-run population was 92,093 salmon (Appendix 2).

I/ Null, R. USFWS, RBFWO. Personal communication.
8/ Killam, D., and C. Harvey-Arrison. Chinook Salmon Spawner Populations for the Upper Sacramento River Basin, 2004. Technical Report No. 05-2. Revised February 2006. CDFGNCNCR, SRSSAP.

TABLE 9. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run spawner population in Battle Creek from Coleman National Fish Hatchery to the old hatchery location.

| Recovery period (j): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered <br> (Ri) | Total carcasses observed (Cj) | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14-Oct | 21-Oct | 28-Oct | 4-Nov | $9-\mathrm{Nov}$ | 18-Nov | $24-\mathrm{Nov}$ |  |  |  |
| 21-Oct | 91 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 91 | 1,915 | 2,807 |
| 28-Oct | 12 | 127 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | 139 | 3,324 | 7,102 |
| $4-\mathrm{Nov}$ |  | 17 | 141 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 158 | 3,113 | 5,979 |
| $9-\mathrm{Nov}$ |  | 5 | 34 | 105 | -- | -- | -- | 144 | 1,693 | 2,932 |
| 18 -Nov |  | 1 | 8 | 29 | 51 | -- | -- | 89 | 1,725 | 3,421 |
| 24-Nov |  |  | 1 | 5 | 16 | 78 | -- | 100 | 737 | 1,282 |
| $1-\mathrm{Dec}$ |  |  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 62 | 85 | 588 | 1,174 |
| 9-Dec |  |  | 2 |  |  | 5 | 7 | 14 | 187 | 542 |
| Total recovered (Ri): | 103 | 150 | 186 | 141 | 73 | 98 | 69 | Total estimate: |  |  |
| Total carcasses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,240 |
| marked (Mi): | 151 | 330 | 351 | 234 | 159 | 162 | 143 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted estimate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 23,861 |

// Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
2/Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $N=\sum(R i j \times(M i / R i) \times(C j / R j))$.
3/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaush 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate $(25,240-1,379=23,861)$.

Based on examination of 12,612 salmon carcasses, the in-river fall run consisted of $32 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 24 in.$]$ ), $62 \%$ female adults, and $6 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). In comparison, fallrun fish entering CNFH consisted of $45 \%$ male adults, $31 \%$ female adults, and $24 \%$ grilse.

Pre-spawning mortality of female fall-run salmon in Battle Creek averaged $16 \%$ in 2004.
The 2004 fall-run spawner population in Battle Creek of 92,093 fish was only $60 \%$ of that in 2003, and $31 \%$ lower than the average population for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

## Sacramento River Tributaries Red Bluff Diversion Dam to Princeton Ferry

A total of 3,297 Chinook salmon spawners, consisting of 1,805 spring-, and 1,492 fall-run fish, was estimated for 2004 in the tributaries of the Sacramento River system between Red Bluff and Princeton Ferry (Figure 2).

## Antelope Creek

Spring run. A snorkeling-survey of the holding habitat of adult spring-run salmon in the upper Antelope Creek system was made on 26 July $2004^{9 /}$. A total stream length of 22.5 km ( 14 mi ) was covered, in the mainstem from the confluence of Little Grapevine Creek upstream, to Judd Creek on the North Fork, and into the south fork to South Antelope Gun Club. A total of

[^7]three adult salmon was observed, and judged to be the 2004 spring run for this system; all fish were observed in the mainstem upstream of Paynes crossing.

Fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2004.

## Mill Creek

Spring run. Surveys of Upper Mill Creek covered a stream length of approximately 66 $\mathrm{km}(41 \mathrm{mi})$ from just upstream of the Hwy-36 Bridge crossing downstream to the steel tower transmission line crossing, located $4.8 \mathrm{~km}(3 \mathrm{mi})$ downstream from the mouth of Little Mill Creek ${ }^{10}$. The reach from Hwy-36 to Buckhorn Gulch was surveyed from the ground during 29 September through 7 October 2004, while an aerial survey was made from Buckhorn Gulch to the powerlines on 27 September. Totals of 88 live fish, 50 carcasses, and 499 redds were observed. Based on the redds seen during the combined ground and aerial surveys, the total counted was determined to be the maximum number present, and judged to represent a springrun population of 998 fish.

Fall run. Four weekly spawner surveys of Mill Creek were conducted from $4 \mathrm{~km}(2.5 \mathrm{mi})$ upstream of the Los Molinos Mutual Water Company's Upper Diversion Dam to the confluence with the Sacramento River during 5-29 November $2004{ }^{10}$. Salmon carcasses were marked by attaching colored tags to their jaws with a hog-rings and replacing the fish back into running water for recovery during following surveys. Using carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer (Appendix 1.B) model, the spawner population in Mill Creek was estimated to be 1,192 fish (Table 10).

The composition of natural spawning fall-run salmon in Mill Creek was $42 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq$ 61 cm , [24 in], $41 \%$ female adults and $17 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), based on an examination of 405 carcasses. Pre-spawning mortality of female salmon in Mill Creek this season averaged 2\%.

TABLE 10. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run spawner population in Mill Creek from the Upper Diversion Dam to the Sacramento River.

| Recovery period (j): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses observed | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{21}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5-Nov | $12-\mathrm{Nov}$ | 19-Nov |  | $(\mathrm{Cj})^{1 /}$ |  |
| 12-Nov | 5 | -- | -- | 5 | 131 | 415 |
| $19-\mathrm{Nov}$ | 6 | 12 | -- | 18 | 165 | 371 |
| 29-Nov | 1 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 105 | 475 |
| Total recovered (Ri): | 12 | 14 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mi): | 38 | 25 | 43 | Total estimate: |  | 1,260 |
|  |  |  |  | Adjusted estimate ${ }^{3 /}$ : |  | 1,192 |

1/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
2/Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $N=\Sigma\left(R i j \times(M i / R i) \times\left(C_{j} / R j\right)\right)$.
3/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schacfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate ( $1,260-68=1,192$ ).

[^8]
## Deer Creek

Spring run . Snorkeling surveys of upper Deer Creek were conducted on 3 August 2004 covering the $35.4-\mathrm{km}(22-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch from Upper Deer Creek Falls downstream to Trail 2E1711/ . Surveys only extended to Trail 2E17 since few salmon were observed in the three-mile reach upstream of this point; $98 \%$ of the fish were holding in pools upstream of Ponderosa Way. A total of 804 adult salmon was counted, and judged to be the 2004 spring run in this tributary.

During spawning surveys for this run from 7-9 October, 394 redds were observed.

Fall run. Five spawner surveys were conducted during 28 October and 22 November 2004 between the gaging station upstream of the Deer Creek Irrigation District's upper diversion dam and the Southern Pacific Railroad crossing below Highway 99 Bridge ${ }^{117}$. A total of 131 carcasses and 149 redds were counted. It was judged that at least 300 fish spawned in Deer Creek in 2004; assuming that each redd observed represented at least two salmon (one male and one female).

Based on carcasses examined, the composition of natural spawning fall-run salmon in Deer Creek was $53 \%$ male aduits ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in]), $36 \%$ female adults, and $11 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61$ cm ).

Surveys for the fall run in Deer Creek are not routinely made, unless a significant rain event produces increased flows to attract salmon into the tributary. Since 1990, surveys have been conducted for fall-run Chinook only during five years, with estimated populations ranging from around 70 to 1,200 fish.

[^9]
## Sacramento River Tributaries Big Chico Creek to the American River

A total of 230,512 Chinook salmon was estimated for 2004 in the Sacramento River tributaries from Big Chico Creek to the American River (Figure 3). This total consisted of 218,910 fall-run and 11,602 spring-run fish (Appendix 2).

## Big Chico Creek

Spring run. Snorkeling surveys were conducted on 11 and 13 August 2004 in three reaches of Chico Creek between Higgin's Hole and Salmon Hole ${ }^{12}$. No adult salmon were observed, and it was judged that spring-run spawners did not use this tributary in 2004.

Fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2004.

## Butte Creek

Spring run. A snorkeling survey was conducted during 12-16 July 2004, covering four stretches from Quartz Bowl downstream to Parrott-Phelan Diversion Dam (PPDD) ${ }^{13 / 2}$. Total independent counts of live salmon by four observers ranged from 6,575 to 8,252 fish. All of the fish observed were upstream of the covered bridge. Based on these surveys, a population of 7,390 spring-run salmon were in the creek.

Fall run. Surveys in Butte Creek were conducted during 3 November through 23 December 2004 (McReynolds et. al. 2005). Carcass mark-and-recovery surveys covered the approximately $15.3-\mathrm{km}(9.5-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of river from PPDD downstream to Gorrill Ranch Dam, and included a $0.8-\mathrm{km}(0.5-\mathrm{mi})$ section near the Western Canal Siphon. Upstream of PPDD, spawning was assessed through observations made by kayak.

Fresh salmon carcasses (those with at least one clear eye and firm flesh) were marked by attaching colored ribbon to their lower jaws with hog rings, and replaced into running water near the location originally found. Different colors of marks were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods. Carcasses that were not marked were chopped in half, as were recoveries of previously marked ones.

Using carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), the spawner population in the surveyed sections was estimated to be 2,415 fish (Table 11). Combined with an additional 41 carcasses counted during the initial survey week and 60 fish estimated to be upstream of PPDD, the total fall run population was 2,516 salmon spawners.

[^10]

FIGURE 3. Sacramento River System from Big Chico Creek to the American River.

| Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered | Total carcasses observed | Population estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3-5$ Nov | $9-11$ Nov | 16-18 Nov | $22-24$ Nov | 30 Nov-16 Dec | 21-23 Dec | (Rj) | (Cj) ${ }^{1 /}$ | (N) ${ }^{2 /}$ |
| 9-11 Nov | 13 | - | -- | -- | - | -- | 13 | 204 | 312 |
| 16-18 Nov | 3 | 58 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 61 | 461 | 688 |
| 22-24 Nov | 0 | 0 | 75 | -- | -- | -- | 75 | 418 | 556 |
| 30 Nov - 16 Dec | 1 | 1 | 7 | 24 | -- | -- | 33 | 275 | 949 |
| 21-23 Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | -- | 7 | 75 | 230 |
| Total recovered (Ri): | 17 | 59 | 82 | 26 | 5 | 0 | Total estimate: |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mi): | 26 | 88 | 109 | 110 | 13 | 0 |  |  | 2,735 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | mate ${ }^{3}$ : | 2,415 |
| 1/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered. <br> 2/Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $\mathrm{N}=\Sigma(\mathrm{Rjj} \times(\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{Rj}) \times(\mathrm{Cj} / \mathrm{Rj}))$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Feather River

Spring run. A total of 4,212 salmon classified as spring-run fish entered Feather River Hatchery (FRH), consisting of $50.1 \%$ male adults, $36.3 \%$ female adults, and $13.6 \%$ grilse ${ }^{14}$. In the river itself, no attempt was made to estimate numbers of spring-run salmon.

The 4,212 spring-run salmon at FRH in 2004 was less than half of that in 2003, and $77 \%$ of the average for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

Fall run. Salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys were conducted in the Feather River between the hatchery barrier dam and Gridley Road bridge during 2 September through 17 December $2004{ }^{\frac{15}{\prime}}$. This stretch of river was surveyed in two sections, upstream (Section 1) and downstream (Section 2) of Thermalito Afterbay Outlet. Carcasses were marked with colored flagging, identifying them with a specific marking period, and released into flowing water for later recovery. Carcasses not marked were counted and then chopped in half, as were those that were recovered with previous marks.

Schaefer (Appendix 1.B) estimates, calculated from the mark-and-recovery data, were 36,986 salmon for Section 1, and 17,098 fish for Section 2 (Table 12). Combining both estimates, along with an additional 87 carcasses counted during the initial survey week, resulted in a total in-river population of 54,171 fish. A total of 21,297 fall-run salmon entered $F R H^{14 /}$, bringing the 2004 fall run in the Feather River to 75,468 fish (Appendix 2).

[^11]The overall composition of fall-run salmon in the river was $89.7 \%$ adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 68 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 26.8 in ]), and $10.3 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<68 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), based on carcasses examined during the surveys ${ }^{16 /}$. Salmon which entered FRH consisted of $40.3 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 24 in ]), $33.7 \%$ female adults, and $26 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

The 2004 total Feather River population of 75,468 salmon was $28 \%$ lower than in 2003, and $27 \%$ lower than the average for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3); 1998 and 1999 populations are not included in the average, since it was not possible to make in-river estimates for those years.


[^12]
## Yuba River

Spring run. Surveys were conducted weekly during 25 August through 1 October 2004, to determine the extent of spring-run salmon spawning in the Yuba River ${ }^{[171}$. The approximately $16-\mathrm{km}(10-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch from the Narrows pool downstream to Daguerre Point Dam was covered in four reaches, surveyed during two days each week. A total of 99 new redds was counted for the period, but an estimate of the spawner population was not made.

Fall run. Salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys for this run during 2004 were conducted in the Yuba River from the Narrows at Rose Bar downstream to Simpson Lane bridge in Marysville ${ }^{18 f}$. The surveyed reach was covered in three sections: the Narrows to Parks Bar at the Hwy. 20 bridge (Section 1), Parks Bar to Daguerre Point Dam (Section 2), and Daguerre Point Dam to Marysville (Section 3). These reaches included nearly all of the spawning areas used by Chinook salmon in the Yuba River. Some fish may have spawned upstream of the Narrows to Englebright Dam, although suitable habitat is scarce in that area. Weekly surveys were conducted in Section 1 during 7 October through 14 December, in Section 2 during 13 October through 21 December, and in Section 3 from 21 October through 22 December.

Yuba River flows below Englebright Dam ranged from $19.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $28.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(700-1000 \mathrm{cfs})$ throughout the spawning season. Flows near Marysville ranged between $14.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $25.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ $(500-900 \mathrm{cfs})$. The mean daily water temperature ranged from the mid 50 -degree to upper 40 degree Fahrenheit, while visibility through the water averaged $3.6 \mathrm{~m}(12 \mathrm{ft})$.

This season, both adult and grilse fresh salmon carcasses were marked; carcasses were considered fresh if they had firm flesh, at least one clear eye, and pink gills, while the adult designation was a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 64.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 25.4 in ). The length distinguishing adults and grilse was based on length frequency data from previous seasons' samplings.

Marking consisted of colored flagging attached to the fish's lower jaw with a hog ring; different colors were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods and survey reaches. Marked carcasses were returned into flowing water for subsequent recovery. Non-fresh carcasses and recovered marked carcasses were counted and then chopped in half. Length measurements and determination of gender were made for fresh adult carcasses.

Using carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), estimates of 2,819 adults and 1,424 grilse were calculated for Section 1 (Table 13), 3,929 adults and 1,827 grilse in Section 2 (Table 14), and 2,840 adults and 2,457 grilse in Section 3 (Table 15). Combining these estimates gave 15,296 total salmon as the 2004 Yuba River population.

[^13]Based on fresh carcasses observed during the surveys, the fall run population consisted of $45 \%$ adult males and $55 \%$ adult females. Although grilse salmon composed $37 \%$ of the estimated numbers of fish, they constituted $21 \%$ of the total fresh carcasses examined.

The 2004 Yuba River fall run of 15,296 salmon was a decrease of $46 \%$ from that in 2003, and $32 \%$ lower than the average population for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

TABLE 13. Chinook salmon fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run spawner population in the Yuba River from the Narrows at Rose Bar to Parks Bar (Section 1).


TABLE 14. Chinook saimon fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run spawner population in the Yuba River from Parks Bar to Dagurre Point Dam (Section 2).



## American River

Fall run. Surveys of fall-run salmon in the American River, during 18 October 2004 through 13 January 2005 , covered the $20.8-\mathrm{km}$ ( $12.9-\mathrm{mi}$ ) stretch from Nimbus Weir downstream to the Watt Avenue bridge ${ }^{\frac{19}{\prime}}$. This stretch of river was devided into three reaches, each surveyed weekly. Mean daily river flow ranged from $42.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ to $51.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ( $1514-1809 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) during the surveys. Visibility through the water, measured by secchi disk, ranged from 0.5 m to 4.0 m (1.7-13.2 ft). Water temperature ranged from $17.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(63.1-48.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

Marking-and-recovery of salmon carcasses was conducted in the period from 25 October through 6 January. All fresh carcasses were marked by attaching colored hog rings to their jaws; different colors were used each marking period. A carcass was considered fresh if it had either one clear eye or pink gills. Carcasses from adult fish (total length [TL] $>68 \mathrm{~cm}$ [26.8 in]) were tagged on their upper jaws, while grilse carcasses ( $\mathrm{TL} \leq 68 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were tagged on their lower jaws. Any fresh carcass with a missing adipose fin (indicating possible presence of a coded-wire tag), and those carcasses found downstream of Gristmill Fishing Access were not marked. Marked carcasses were replaced into running water for later recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those recovered with marks were counted and cut in half. Length measurements and determination of gender were made for a sample of the fresh carcasses.

Totals of 4,695 fresh and 36,211 non-fresh carcasses were observed, but only the mark-andrecovery data for fresh adult carcasses were used in the Schaefer calculation (Appendix 1.B). The estimated adult salmon population of the Watt Avenue to Sailor Bar section of the river was 74,991 fish (Table 16). This adult estimate was expanded for a $15.5 \%$ grilse proportion to 88,747 fish in the surveyed area. In addition, 26,400 fish entered Nimbus Hatchery ${ }^{20 /}$, and 10,483 salmon carcasses were removed from the Nimbus Racks, bringing the total American River 2004 fall-run population to 125,630 fish (Appendix 2).

| Recovery period (j): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses observed (Cj) ${ }^{1 /}$ | Population estimate $(\mathrm{N})^{2 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 25-28 \\ \mathrm{Oct} \end{gathered}$ | 1-3 Nov | $\begin{aligned} & 8-10 \\ & \text { Nov } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-18 \\ & \text { Nov } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22-24 \\ & \text { Nov } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \mathrm{Nov} \\ & 2 \mathrm{Dec} \end{aligned}$ | 6-9 Dec | $\begin{gathered} 13-16 \\ \mathrm{Dec} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20-22 \\ \text { Dec } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27-29 \\ \mathrm{Dec} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 1-3 Nov | 2 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | 94 | 141 |
| 8-10 Nov |  | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 897 | 5.382 |
| 15-18 Nov |  | 1 | 122 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 123 | 4,533 | 11.381 |
| 22-24 Nov |  |  | 11 | 231 | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 242 | 6,058 | 14.485 |
| 29 Nov-2 Dec |  |  | 1 | 51 | 238 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 290 | 6,615 | 14.578 |
| 6-9 Dec |  |  | 1 | 4 | 55 | 180 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 240 | 5,514 | 11,578 |
| 13-16 Dec |  |  | 4 | 1 | 12 | 45 | 237 | -- | - | -- | 299 | 5.498 | 9,107 |
| 20-22 Dec |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 46 | 72 | -- | -- | 129 | 2.764 | 6,111 |
| 27-29 Dec |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 11 | 40 | -- | 56 | 1.238 | 3.143 |
| 3-6 Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 | 25 | 41 | 942 | 2,156 |
| Total recovered (Ri) | 2 | 5 | 139 | 287 | 306 | 237 | 286 | 86 | 53 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | estimate: | 78.062 |
| marked (Mi): | 3 | 30 | 345 | 685 | 662 | 491 | 439 | 229 | 138 | 52 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | mate ${ }^{3 /}$ : | 74,991 |
| 1/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered. <br> $2 /$ Schacfer (1951) cstimate cquation: $N=\sum(R i j \times(M i / R i) \times(C j R j))$. <br> 3/ Adiusted estimate reflects the modificd Schacfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978). where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate (78,062$3.071=74,991$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Healey, M. Lower American River Chinook Salmon Escapement Survey, October 2004January 2005. File report. September 2005. CDFG-SVCSR, Rancho Cordova office.

[^14]Based on examination of 4,277 fresh carcasses, the run consisted of $35.6 \%$ male adults, $49 \%$ female adults, $9.5 \%$ male grilse, and $5.9 \%$ female grilse. Salmon entering Nimbus Hatchery consisted of $27.8 \%$ male adults (FL $>61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in]), $20.5 \%$ female adults, and $51.7 \%$ grilse (FL $\leq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

The 2004 run of 125,630 salmon in the American River was a decrease of $30 \%$ from the previous year's population, but still $30 \%$ higher than the average for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

## CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNER POPULATIONS FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN RIVER SYSTEM

The Mokelumne, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers of the San Joaquin River system (Figure 4) were surveyed for Chinook salmon spawners. A total of 22,263 salmon, consisting entirely of fall-run fish, was estimated to be in this system for 2004 (Appendix 2).

## Mokelumne River

Fall run. Fish passage at Woodbridge Irrigation District Dam was monitored by East Bay Municipal Utilities District (EBMUD), during 1 August 2004 through 5 April $2005{ }^{21 /}$. Passage through the high-stage fishway was monitored with a closed-circuit, underwater video system through 1 November, after which the lake behind the dam was drawn down for the winter. Subsequently, a combination of the video system and upstream migrant trapping was used in the low-stage fishway through 9 December, after which only the video monitoring continued. A total of 11,416 salmon was counted migrating past the dam.

An estimate of the in-river spawner population was made from salmon carcass surveys conducted during 18 October 2004 through 10 January 2005. The surveyed reach from Comanche Dam to Elliot Road was covered by boat or on foot along the shore, during one or two days each week.

Collected carcasses, in both fresh and decayed condition, were marked using uniquely numbered tags and colored flagging attached to their lower jaws. Fresh carcasses were identified as having clear eyes and blood still in the gills, while decayed ones had cloudy eyes and no blood. Extremely decayed carcasses (completely covered with fungus) and skeletons were not marked. Marked carcasses were redistributed into running water for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, its condition of decomposition was recorded, and if possible it was measured and its gender determined.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult or grilse, based on length) and condition (fresh or decayed). The salmon population was estimated using the Jolly- Dickson open population mark-recapture model through a POPAN 5 statistical package ${ }^{\frac{22 /}{} \text {. }}$

From a total of 770 distinct carcasses observed, of which 624 were tagged, and 172 subsequently recaptured (Table 17), an estimate of 1,588 in-river spawners was calculated. An additional 10,356 entered Mokelumne River Fish Hatchery (MRFH), for a total fall run of 11,944 fish (Appendix 2)

[^15]

FIGURE 4. San Joaquin River System.

Based on examination of 9,586 salmon at the dam, the run consisted of $43 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL}>$ 60 cm [ 23.6 in ]), $22 \%$ female adults, $25 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $10 \%$ female grilse. The composition of the salmon entering the hatchery was $35.3 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL}>61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 24 in ]), $17.6 \%$ female adults, and $47.1 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) ${ }^{\underline{23} /}$.

The 2004 spawner population of 11,944 fish in the Mokelumne River was $17 \%$ higher than the previous year's run, and $11 / 2$ times higher than the average for the 1994-2003 period; determination of previous years' populations were based on counts at Woodbridge Dam (Appendix 3).

| Table 17. Chinook Salmon Carcasses Observed During the 2004 Mark-and-recovery Surveys in the Mokelumne River from Camanche Dam to Elliot Road. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Date | Carcasses <br> Tagged | Carcasses Chopped | Total Observed | Marked <br> Recoveries ${ }^{1 / 1}$ |
| 18-Oct-04 | 40 | 1 | 41 | 0 |
| $25-\mathrm{Oct}-04$ | 43 | 0 | 43 | 5 |
| $1-\mathrm{Nov-04}$ | 40 | 0 | 40 | 13 |
| 8 -Nov-04 | 44 | 3 | 47 | 12 |
| 15-Nov-04 | 71 | 7 | 78 | 6 |
| 22-Nov-04 | 130 | 14 | 144 | 24 |
| 29-Nov-04 | 127 | 42 | 169 | 32 |
| $9-\mathrm{Dec}-04$ | 80 | 38 | 118 | 47 |
| 13-Dec-04 | 28 | 12 | 40 | 27 |
| 20-Dec-04 | 19 | 18 | 37 | 3 |
| 27-Dec-04 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| 3-Jan-05 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 |
| 10-Jan-05 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 624 | 146 | 770 | 172 |
| 1/ Numbers are not included in total observed. |  |  |  |  |

## Stanislaus River

Fall run. Spawner surveys in the Stanislaus River were conducted weekly during 4 October 2004 through 5 January $2005^{\frac{24}{\prime} /}$; marking-and-recovery surveys began during the fourth week. The $40.2-\mathrm{km}(25-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the river from Knight's Ferry to Jacob Meyers Park in Riverbank was covered by drift boat. Surveys upstream of Knight's Ferry in the $4.8-\mathrm{km}$ (3-mi) section of the Goodwin Canyon area were made on foot; salmon carcasses were only counted in this section.

[^16]River flows were increased, three weeks after the surveys began, to attract salmon into the tributary and improve spawning conditions. The higher flows were continued for five days (2530 October), with a maximum discharge from Goodwin Dam of $22.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 800 cfs ). Flows at the Orange Blossom Bridge gauge during the spawning period ranged from $7.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $18.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (280-650 cfs).

During the mark-and-recovery surveys, salmon carcasses in both fresh and decayed condition were marked using numbered aluminum tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings. Fresh carcasses were identified as having at least one clear eye and blood still in the gills, while decayed ones had cloudy eyes and no blood. Extremely decayed carcasses (completely covered with fungus) and skeletons were not marked. Marked carcasses were redistributed into running water for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, if possible, it was also measured and its gender determined.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult or grilse, based on length) and condition (fresh or decayed), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the salmon population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate.

The population in the Knight's Ferry to Riverbank stretch of the river was estimated to be 3,405 fish, using the mark-and-recovery data for fresh and decayed carcasses (Table 18). The data from surveys in the Goodwin Canyon area were not included in the Schaefer estimate calculations. The spawner population for this area was determined by expanding the 322 carcasses which were actually observed to an estimated 610 fish, using the overall mark recovery rate of $52.8 \%$ for the Knight's Ferry to Riverbank stretch. The combined estimates were a total of 4,015 salmon for the 2004 fall run.


The adult-grilse composition of the population was determined from frequency distributions of length measurements taken from carcasses during this season's San Joaquin basin-wide surveys. Distribution data was compiled separately for each gender of hatchery- and natural-origin fish. Hatchery origin salmon were identified as carcasses with missing adipose fins, indicating the possible presence of a coded-wire tag which was inserted at the hatchery. The length criteria used to distinguish adult from grilse salmon was a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 66 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 26 in ) for natural-origin females, a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 24.8 in ) for hatchery-origin females, a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 74 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 29.1 in ) for natural-origin males, and a FL $\geq 70 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 27.6 in ) for hatchery-origin males. Based on these criteria, the Stanislaus River run consisted of $28 \%$ male adults, $42 \%$ female adults, $12 \%$ male grilse, and $18 \%$ female grilse.

The 2004 Stanislaus River fall-run spawner population of 4,015 salmon was a decrease of $32 \%$ from the previous year's run, and 9\% lower than the average for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

## Tuolumne River

Fall run. Chinook salmon spawner surveys in the Tuolumne River were conducted weekly from 4 October 2004 through 6 January $2005^{25}$; marking-and-recovery surveys started during the fifth week. The river stretch from the riffles at river-mile-52 near LaGrange Dam downstream to Fox Grove, a distance of $41.8 \mathrm{~km}(26 \mathrm{mi})$, was covered both by boat and on foot.

River flows were increased, three weeks after the surveys began, to attract salmon into the tributary and improve spawning conditions. The higher flows were continued for about three days ( $27-30$ October) at about $13.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 490 cfs ), then were decreased to $5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 175 cfs ) for the remainder of the spawning season.

During the mark-and-recovery surveys, salmon carcasses in both fresh and decayed condition were marked using numbered aluminum tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings. Fresh carcasses were identified as having at least one clear eye, while decayed ones had cloudy eyes. Extremely decayed carcasses (completely covered with fungus) and skeletons were not marked. Marked carcasses were redistributed into running water for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, if possible, it was also measured and its gender determined.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult-grilse, based on length) and condition (fresh or decayed), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the salmon population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated that the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate. The salmon population in the Tuolumne River upstream of Fox Grove Park was estimated at 1,984 fish, using the mark-and-recovery data for fresh and decayed carcasses (Table 19).

[^17]The adult-grilse composition of the population was determined from frequency distributions of length measurements taken from carcasses during this season's San Joaquin basin-wide surveys. Distribution data was compiled separately for each gender of hatchery- and natural-origin fish. Hatchery origin salmon were identified as carcasses with missing adipose fins, indicating the possible presence of a coded-wire tag which was inserted at the hatchery. The length criteria used to distinguish adult from grilse salmon was a $F L \geq 66 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 26 in) for natural-origin females, a $F L \geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 24.8 in ) for hatchery-origin females, a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 74 \mathrm{~cm}$ (29.1 in) for natural-origin males, and a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 70 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 27.6 in) for hatchery-origin males. Based on these criteria, the Stanislaus River run consisted of $28 \%$ male adults, $42 \%$ female adults, $12 \%$ male grilse, and 18\% female grilse.

The 2004 Stanislaus River fall-run spawner population of 4,015 salmon was a decrease of $32 \%$ from the previous year's run, and 9\% lower than the average for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

## Tuolumne River

Fall run. Chinook salmon spawner surveys in the Tuolumne River were conducted weekly from 4 October 2004 through 6 January $2005{ }^{25 /}$; marking-and-recovery surveys started during the fifth week. The river stretch from the riffles at river-mile-52 near LaGrange Dam downstream to Fox Grove, a distance of $41.8 \mathrm{~km}(26 \mathrm{mi})$, was covered both by boat and on foot.

River flows were increased, three weeks after the surveys began, to attract salmon into the tributary and improve spawning conditions. The higher flows were continued for about three days (27-30 October) at about $13.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(490 \mathrm{cfs})$, then were decreased to $5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(175 \mathrm{cfs})$ for the remainder of the spawning season.

During the mark-and-recovery surveys, salmon carcasses in both fresh and decayed condition were marked using numbered aluminum tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings. Fresh carcasses were identified as having at least one clear eye, while decayed ones had cloudy eyes. Extremely decayed carcasses (completely covered with fungus) and skeletons were not marked. Marked carcasses were redistributed into running water for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, if possible, it was also measured and its gender determined.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult-grilse, based on length) and condition (fresh or decayed), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the salmon population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated that the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate. The salmon population in the Tuolumne River upstream of Fox Grove Park was estimated at 1,984 fish, using the mark-and-recovery data for fresh and decayed carcasses (Table 19).

[^18]The adult-grilse composition of the population was determined from frequency distributions of length measurements taken from carcasses during this season's San Joaquin basin-wide surveys. Distribution data was compiled separately for each gender of hatchery- and natural-origin fish. Hatchery origin salmon were identified as carcasses with missing adipose fins, indicating the possible presence of a coded-wire tag which was inserted at the hatchery. The length criteria used to distinguish adult from grilse salmon was a $F L \geq 66 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 26 in ) for natural-origin females, a $F L \geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ (24.8 in) for hatchery-origin females, a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 74 \mathrm{~cm}$ (29.1 in) for natural-origin males, and a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 70 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 27.6 in) for hatchery-origin males. Based on these criteria, the run consisted of $18 \%$ male adults, $45 \%$ female adults, $23 \%$ male grilse, and $14 \%$ female grilse.

The 2004 fall run of salmon in the Tuolumne River was $8 \%$ lower than that of 2003, and $70 \%$ lower than the average for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

TABLE 19. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run spawner population in the Tuolumne River from LaGrange Dam to Fox Grove ${ }^{1 /}$.

| Recovery period (j): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses observed$(\mathrm{Cj})^{2 /}$ | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{3 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 13 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 13 | 339 | 605 |
| 3 | 1 | 88 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 89 | 480 | 703 |
| 4 |  | 9 | 107 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 116 | 354 | 494 |
| 5 |  | 2 | 13 | 61 | -- | -- | -- | 76 | 195 | 301 |
| 6 |  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 19 | -- | -- | 33 | 132 | 200 |
| 7 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | -- | 10 | 42 | 105 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 | 5 | 24 | 75 |
| Total recovered (Ri): | 14 | 100 | 126 | 71 | 25 | 4 | 2 | Total estimate: |  |  |
| Total carcasses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,482 |
| marked (Mi): | 25 | 146 | 175 | 112 | 38 | 16 | 11 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted estimate ${ }^{4 /}$ : |  | 1,984 |

1/ Surveys were conducted from 4 October through 6 January 2005; marking-and-recovery began with the fifth week.
2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
3/ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $\mathrm{N}=\sum(\operatorname{Rij} \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{Ri}) \times(\mathrm{Cj} / \mathrm{Rj}))$.
4/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate ( $2,482-498=1,984$ ).

## Merced River

Fall run. Weekly salmon surveys were conducted from 28 September 2004 through 6 January 2005, in the $39.7-\mathrm{km}(24.7-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the Merced River from the Merced River Hatchery downstream to Santa Fe Road near Cressey ${ }^{26 /}$. River flows were increased, three weeks after the surveys began, to attract salmon into the tributary and improve spawning conditions. The higher flows were continued for about two weeks (15-28 October) and ranged

[^19]from $19.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $19.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( $694-704 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). Flows were decreased and stabilized at around 7.1 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 250 cfs ) for the remainder of the spawning season.

During the mark-and-recovery surveys, salmon carcasses in both fresh and decayed condition were marked using numbered aluminum tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings. Fresh carcasses were identified as having at least one clear eye, while decayed ones had cloudy eyes. Extremely decayed carcasses (completely covered with fungus) and skeletons were not marked. Marked carcasses were redistributed into running water for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, if possible, it was also measured and its gender determined.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult-grilse, based on length) and condition, so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated that the Jolly-Seber estimate (Appendix 1.C), using fresh and decayed carcass data, was the most appropriate. An estimate of 3,270 salmon was calculated for the river stretch from Merced River Hatchery to Santa Fe Road (Table 20). Merced River Hatchery took in 1,050 salmon ${ }^{271}$, for a total 2004 fall-run spawner population of 4,320 fish (Appendix 2).

The adult-grilse composition of the population was determined from frequency distributions of length measurements taken from carcasses during this season's San Joaquin basin-wide surveys. Distribution data was compiled separately for each gender of hatchery- and natural-origin fish. Hatchery-origin salmon were identified as carcasses with missing adipose fins, indicating the possible presence of a coded-wire tag which was inserted at the hatchery. The length criteria used to distinguish adult from grilse salmon was a $F L \geq 66 \mathrm{~cm}$ (26 in) for natural-origin females, a $F L \geq 63 \mathrm{~cm}$ (24.8 in) for hatchery-origin females, a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 74 \mathrm{~cm}$ (29.1 in) for natural-origin males, and a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 70 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 27.6 in ) for hatchery-origin males. Based on these criteria, the in-river run of the Merced River consisted of $23.4 \%$ male adults, $43.8 \%$ female adults, $21.1 \%$ male grilse, and $11.7 \%$ female grilse. Salmon which entered Merced River Hatchery consisted of $17.8 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 65 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 25.6 in ]), $25.6 \%$ female adults, $56.6 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<65 \mathrm{~cm}$ )".

The 2004 Merced River fall run was $29 \%$ higher than that in 2003 , but $29 \%$ lower than the average population for 1994-2003 (Appendix 3).

[^20]TABLE 20. Chinook salmon fresh and decayed carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2004 fall-run spawner population in the Merced River from the Merced River Hatchery to Cressey ${ }^{1 /}$.


[^21]
## SUMMARY

The total estimated 2004 Central Valley Chinook salmon spawner population was 420,442 fish, consisting of 398,179 fish in the Sacramento River system and 22,263 fish in the San Joaquin River system (Table 21). This total was $33 \%$ lower than the 624,808 salmon estimated in 2003.

All of the late-fall, winter, and spring runs, and the majority of the fall run were in the Sacramento River system. The fall run in the San Joaquin tributaries continued to contribute only a small portion (5\%) to the total Central Valley escapement.

TABLE 21. Summary of the 2004 Sacramento-San Joaquin river system Chinook salmon spawner populations.

| Spawning area | Late-fall <br> run $^{1 /}$ | Winter run | Spring run | Fall run | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sacramento River <br> mainstem | 8,824 | 7,869 | 394 | 43,604 | 60,691 |
| Sacramento River <br> tributaries <br> San Joaquin River <br> tributaries | 5,040 | -- | 13,588 | 318,860 | 337,488 |
| Totals: | 13,864 | 7,869 | 13,982 | 384,727 | 420,442 |
| $1 /$ Tributary data consists only of fish which entered Coleman National Fish Hatchery (Battle Creek). |  |  |  |  |  |
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APPENDIX 1. Calculation methods used with carcass mark-and-recovery data to estimate Chinook salmon spawner populations.
A. The Petersen equation as revised by Chapman (Ricker 1975):

$$
N=\frac{(M+1)(C+1)}{(R+1)}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}=$ estimated spawner population,
$\mathrm{M}=$ number of carcasses marked,
$\mathrm{C}=$ number of carcasses observed, including those marked and those recovered with marks, and
$\mathrm{R}=$ number of marked carcasses recovered.
B. A modification of the Schaefer (1951) equation, which was initially used in the 1976 Central Valley spawner stock report (Hoopaugh 1978);

$$
N=\Sigma\left(R_{i j} \times \frac{M_{i} x}{R_{i}} \frac{C_{j}}{R_{j}}-\Sigma{ }_{2}^{i} M_{i}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{N}=$ the estimated spawner population,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ carcasses marked in the ith marking period which were recovered in the jth recovery period,
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ carcasses marked in the ith marking period,
$R_{i}=$ total marked carcasses recovered from the ith marking period,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ total marked carcasses recovered during the $j$ th recovery period,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ total carcasses observed in the jth recovery period, including those with marks, and
${ }_{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ total carcasses marked from the second marking period on.
Subtraction of this factor adjusted for replacement of recovered marked fish.

## APPENDIX 1 (continued).

C. The Jolly-Seber calculations as modified by Boydston (1994) ${ }^{28 /}$ :

The estimated spawner population $=\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}+\ldots \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{j}}$, where
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ number of carcasses in the surveyed population in period 1, the first period of sampling;

$$
N_{1}=\frac{E_{1}+\left(N_{2}-T_{1} * S_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{S_{1}}}
$$

and,
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ number of carcasses joining the population between period $i$ and $i+1$, with $j$ being the last survey period. This accounts for carcasses "leaving" the population between survey periods.

$$
D_{i}=\frac{\left(N_{i+1}-S_{i}\right) *\left(N_{i}-E_{i}+T_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{S_{i}}}
$$

Variables used in the calculations are:
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ total number of carcasses examined for marks during the $i^{\text {th }}$ period, including those that were marked, those with marks that were recovered, and those that were not marked.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ estimated number of carcasses in the population immediately prior to each survey period.

$$
N_{i}=\frac{b_{i}^{*}\left(E_{i}+1\right)}{R_{i}+1}
$$

where,
$b_{i}=$ estimated number of marked carcasses available for recovery during each survey;

$$
b_{i}=\frac{\left(T_{i}+1\right)^{*}\left(K_{i}\right)}{\left(C_{i}+1\right)}+R_{i}
$$

and,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ total number of marked carcasses that were recovered during the $i^{\text {th }}$ period.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ number of carcasses marked during the $i^{\text {th }}$ period.
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ survival rate of marked carcasses from period $i$ to $i+1$;

$$
S_{i}=\frac{b_{i+1}}{b_{i}-R_{i}+T_{i}}
$$

[^22]APPENDIX 2. 2004 Chinook Salmon Spawner Population Estimates for the Central Valley River Systems.

|  | Estimated number of fish |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Late-fall run | Winter run | Spring run | Fall run | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total For All } \\ \text { Runs } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SACRAMENTO RIVER SYSTEM <br> Sacramento River Mainstem |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Upstream of Red Bluff Diversion Dam |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-river a/ | 8,765 | 7,784 | 394 | 34,050 | 50,993 |
| Livingstone Stone National Fish Hatchery | -- | 85 | -- | -- | 85 |
| Transferred to Coleman NFH from Keswick Dam | 59 | -- | -- | -- | 59 |
| -Downstream of Red Bluff Diversion Dam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,554 | 9,554 |
| Totals : | 8,824 | 7,869 | 394 | 43,604 | 60,691 |
| Sacramento River Tributaries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Keswick Dam to Red Bluff |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Clear Creek | c/ | -- | 98 | 6,365 | 6,463 |
| -Cottonwood (Beegum) Creek | c/ | -- | 17 | c/ | 17 |
| -Battle Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coleman National Fish Hatchery | 5,040 | -- | -- | 68,232 | 73,272 |
| In-river | c/ | -- | 66 | 23,861 | 23,927 |
| (Tributary total): | $(5,040)$ |  | (66) | $(92,093)$ | $(97,199)$ |
| Totals for area: | 5,040 |  | 181 | 98,458 | 103,679 |
| Red Bluff to Princeton Ferry |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Antelope Creek | -- | -- | 3 | c/ | 3 |
| -Mill Creek | -- | -- | 998 | 1,192 | 2,190 |
| -Deer Creek | -- | -- | 804 | 300 | 1,104 |
| Totals for area: |  |  | 1,805 | 1,492 | 3,297 |
| Big Chico Creek to American River |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Big Chico Creek | -- | -- | 0 | c/ | 0 |
| -Butte Creek | -- | -- | 7,390 | 2,516 | 9,906 |
| -Feather River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feather River Hatchery | -- | -- | 4,212 | 21,297 | 25,509 |
| In-river | -- | -- | c/ | 54,171 | 54,171 |
| (Tributary total): |  |  | $(4,212)$ | $(75,468)$ | $(79,680)$ |
| -Yuba River | -- | -- | b/ | 15,296 | 15,296 |
| -American River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nimbus Hatchery | -- | -- | -- | 26,400 | 26,400 |
| Nimbus Basin | -- | -- | -- | 10,483 | 10,483 |
| In-river | -- | -- | -- | 88,747 | 88,747 |
| (Tributary total): |  |  |  | $(125,630)$ | $(125,630)$ |
| Totals for area: |  |  | 11,602 | 218,910 | 230,512 |
| Sacramento River System Totals: | 13,864 | 7,869 | 13,982 | 362,464 | 398,179 |
| SAN JOAQUIN RIVER SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Mokelumne River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mokelumne River Hatchery | -- | -- | -- | 10,356 |  |
| In-river | -- | -- | -- | 1,588 |  |
| (Tributary total): |  |  |  | $(11,944)$ |  |
| -Stanislaus River | -- | -- | -- | 4,015 |  |
| -Tuolumne River | -- | -- | -- | 1,984 |  |
| -Merced River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merced River Hatchery | -- | -- | -- | 3,270 |  |
| In-river | -- | -- | -- | 1,050 |  |
| (Tributary total): |  |  |  | $(4,320)$ |  |
| San Joaquin River System Total: |  |  |  | 22,263 |  |
| a/ Estimates based on carcass survey and redd count data Diversion dams. <br> b/ An estimate of the run size was not made. <br> c/ Tributary was not surveyed for this run. | inter- and fall- | mates also bas | ampling at Ke | nd Red Bluff |  |
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