Use of Camera Traps to Survey and Monitor Mohave Ground Squirrels 2011 Results





DEPARTMENT OF

David Delaney Philip Leitner



Project Goals/Objectives 2011-12

Conduct large scale field test of camera trap surveys

- Provide data to help validate the PACT model that the CEC PIER program is evaluating
- Provide field data to help test the MGS habitat suitability model that the USGS has developed
- Vegetation sampling data will be directly applicable with ongoing CDFG vegetation mapping projects
- Research findings will be applicable across DoD and non-DoD lands
- Project results will improve our knowledge of MGS distribution

Approach

- Record ground squirrel presence at 2 x 5 grid pattern feeding stations (10 cameras with 150 m spacing)
- Reconyx trail cameras: Models RC-60, HC500 and PC800 (0.2 trigger speed, no delay between HD photos)
- Record animal visitation 24 hours/day during weekdays
- Monitor potential MGS presence at 60 grids 3 times from Feb-June using camera traps



MGS seen at cameras 1, 2, 3, and 6



Sample Camera Trap Data: Mohave Ground Squirrel



White-Tailed Antelope Ground Squirrel



Round-Tailed Ground Squirrel



Grid Name	MGS Detections	RTGS Detections
Searles Valley	83.3% (5 of 6)*	0.0% (0 of 6)
S. of China Lake	0.0% (0 of 6)	0.0% (0 of 6)
California City	33.3% (4 of 12)*	0.0% (0 of 12)
Kramer/Red Mtn	58.3% (7 of 12)*	0.0% (0 of 12)
S. of EAFB	25.0% (3 of 12)*	0.0% (0 of 12)
Lucerne Valley	0.0% (0 of 12)	16.7% (2 of 12)
Total	31.7% (19 of 60)	3.3% (2 of 60)

* Detections to date. Could find additional MGS sites once data reduction is complete.















Summary of Preliminary 2011 Camera Trap Results

- Surveyed 60 grids 3 times in 2011 using camera traps (~600 camera sites) between Feb-June
- Documented MGS, AGS, and RTGS presence at one or more locations
- Documented general visitation times
- Documented multiple visitations/day usually for all ground squirrel species
- Documented intra- and interspecific interactions
- Documented individual/group behavior
- Documented multiple non-target species

Intra-/Interspecific Interactions



Examples of Nocturnal Non-Target Species Detections



Examples of Diurnal Non-Target Species Detections















PC800 HYPERFIRE PRO

Advantages of Camera Traps

 Detect MGS if they are present at similar or greater effectiveness as live-traps

- Does not require specialized qualifications/ permits to operate, so is very cost effective
- Non-invasive technique that is not limited by weather conditions
- Documents activity patterns of animals
- Records multiple visitations per day by animals
- Documents intra-/interspecific behavioral interactions
- Expedite live-trapping efforts

Possible Future Uses of Camera Traps

- Determine the number of camera trap systems needed to fully sample conventional grid to directly compare with live-trapping surveys
- Utilize camera traps to locate future trapping sites to improve the cost effectiveness of live-trapping
- Investigate food preferences of MGS to possibly improve live-trapping effectiveness
- Investigate how MGS interact with live-traps to possibly improve trapping effectiveness
- Investigate if PIT tag reading devices can be effectively used in concert with camera traps/feeding stations