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## FOREWORD

This is the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's (CDFW) Trinity River Basin Salmon and Steelhead Monitoring Project's thirtieth annual report to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). Reported activities were funded by CDFW/Reclamation Cooperative Agreement Number R18AC200070.

This report presents work performed on the main stem Trinity River and at Trinity River Hatchery. Necessity for performing our Klamath-Trinity basin monitoring activities are outlined in several Acts of Congress including Public Law 386 (69 Stat. 719), August 12, 1955; Public Law 98-541, October 24, 1984; the "Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Reauthorization Act" of 1995; and the Trinity River "Record of Decision", 2000.
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#### Abstract

California Department of Fish and Wildlife's Trinity River Project conducted tagging and recapture operations from June 2018 through March 2019 to produce run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement estimates of spring- and fall-run Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), Coho Salmon (O. kisutch), and fall-run steelhead (O. mykiss) in the Trinity River basin. Monitoring results inform the Trinity River Restoration Program's (TRRP) adaptive management decision making process and help evaluate progress toward achieving fundamental objectives outlined in the Integrated Assessment Plan (TRRP 2009). Additionally, run-size estimates are used in annual fishery management decisions, feeding into the Pacific Fishery Management Council's Klamath River basin fishery regulation and harvest allocation process.

Using a Petersen mark-recapture methodology, we estimated 8,032 (95\% CI 7,250 8,858 ) spring-run Chinook Salmon migrated into Trinity River basin upstream of Junction City weir. The run was comprised of an estimated 927 jacks [346 natural origin (NOR) and 581 hatchery origin (HOR)] and 7,105 adults (2,032 NOR and 5,073 HOR). Using tags returned by anglers we estimate zero jack and 265 adult spring Chinook were harvested, yielding a total escapement of 7,767 fish, including 2,908 spring Chinook that entered Trinity River Hatchery and 4,859 estimated natural area spawners. Escapement of 1,938 NOR adult spring Chinook Salmon is $32.3 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 6,000.

An estimated 26,848 ( $95 \%$ CI 24,413 - 29,634) fall-run Chinook Salmon migrated upstream of Willow Creek weir (WCW) in 2018. The run consisted of an estimated 22,402 (8,650 NOR and 13,752 HOR) adult and 4,446 (4,087 NOR and 359 HOR) jack fall Chinook Salmon. Using tags returned by anglers we estimate 961 fall Chinook Salmon were harvested, yielding an escapement of 25,887 . Escapement of 8,357 NOR adult fall Chinook Salmon is $13.5 \%$ of the 62,000 fish TRRP goal.

Both Coho Salmon run-size and escapement in the Trinity River upstream of WCW were estimated at 1,486 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1,084-2,100$ ), because no Coho Salmon were reported as harvested. Coho Salmon escapement was comprised of an estimated 42 NOR adults, 18 NOR jacks, 1,017 HOR adults, and 409 HOR jacks. Escapement of 42 NOR Coho Salmon adults was $3.0 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 1,400 fish.

Using a Petersen mark-recapture methodology we estimated 5,885 (95\% CI 5,007 6,835 ) adult fall steelhead returned to the Trinity River basin upstream of WCW. Anglers harvested an estimated 157 adult fall steelhead upstream of the weir, leaving 5,728 (2,326 NOR and 3,402 hatchery-origin) fish as potential spawners. Escapement of 2,326 NOR adult steelhead is $5.8 \%$ of the 40,000 fish TRRP goal.


## PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Determine run-size, age composition, hatchery/natural origin proportions, distribution, and timing of adult Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), Coho Salmon (O. kisutch), and steelhead (O. mykiss) in the Trinity River basin [Integrated Assessment Plan (IAP) assessment 13A - "Monitor adult escapement of hatchery and naturally produced spring and fall Chinook, coho, and fall steelhead (TRRP, 2009)]".
- Determine in-river sport angler harvest and spawner escapements of Trinity River Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and steelhead [IAP assessments 16A, 17A, 18A, and 19A - "Monitor harvest (tribal, sport and commercial) of naturally produced spring Chinook, fall Chinook, coho and steelhead]".


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife's (CDFW) Trinity River Project (TRP) annually monitors run-size and spawner escapement of spring-run Chinook Salmon (spring Chinook) in the Trinity River basin upstream of a weir near Junction City, California and the run-size and spawner escapement of fall-run Chinook Salmon (fall Chinook), Coho Salmon (Coho), and adult fall-run steelhead (steelhead) in the Trinity River basin upstream of a weir near Willow Creek, California. The project is conducted in cooperation with the Hoopa Valley Tribal Fisheries Department (HVTF). We use a Petersen type mark-recapture methodology to estimate run-size (the number of fish estimated to migrate from the ocean) into the Trinity River basin upstream of the weir sites. Spawner escapement is the number of fish that survive in-river tribal and recreational harvest to spawn in natural areas or enter Trinity River Hatchery (TRH). This is a continuation of studies that began in 1977.

Results from this investigation are provided to the Trinity River Restoration Program (TRRP) to help evaluate program objectives including natural-origin (NOR, progeny of fish that spawned in the river) salmonid escapement goals (13A, 17A, 16A, 18A and 19A) outlined in the IAP (TRRP 2009). Current Trinity River basin adult escapement goals set by the TRRP for NOR adults are 6,000 spring Chinook Salmon, 62,000 fall Chinook Salmon, 1,400 Coho Salmon and 40,000 steelhead. Similar goals for hatchery adult escapement are 3,000 spring Chinook, 9,000 fall Chinook, 2,100 Coho and 10,000 steelhead. Estimates from this project are used to assess progress toward the goals stated in the Record of Decision (ROD) (Interior, 2000), including increasing harvest opportunity for dependent fisheries. Data are also used in the short term to inform adaptive management decisions and stock management through the Pacific Fishery Management Council process, and in the long term for trend analysis in pre- and postROD fish populations, cross-functional ecological and physical evaluations, composition
(race and proportion of hatchery-marked ${ }^{1}$ or TRP-tagged ${ }^{2}$ fish), spatial distribution, and timing of salmonid runs in the Trinity River basin.

## 2. METHODS

Our general study design employs a simple Petersen mark-recapture experiment in which fish are marked at a weir (located near either Junction City or Willow Creek), then recaptured at a single recovery location, Trinity River Hatchery. A tag return program is integrated into the study design to estimate angler sport harvest. These methods have been followed essentially unchanged for the 41 years this project has been underway.

### 2.1. Trapping, Tagging and Marking

### 2.1.1. Locations and Periods

Trapping and tagging operations were conducted from June 12 through November 19, 2018 by TRP and HVTF personnel at two temporary weir sites located on the main stem Trinity River (Figure 1).

Junction City weir (JCW) was located near the town of Junction City at approximately 136.5 river kilometers (rkm) (~river mile [rm] 84.4) upstream from the Klamath River confluence near Weitchpec ( $40^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 0.24 " \mathrm{~N}, 123^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 37.71^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ). The JCW was operated June 12 through October 2, 2018, and is primarily operated to capture, bio-sample, and tag spring Chinook Salmon.

Willow Creek weir (WCW), was located near the town of Willow Creek at approximately 36.5 rkm ( $\sim$ rm 22.7) upstream from the Trinity River's confluence with the Klamath River ( $40^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 29.85^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 8.61^{\prime \prime}$ W) and was operated August 29 through November 19, 2018. The WCW is primarily operated to capture, bio-sample and tag fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult steelhead.

Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) is located at rkm 179.8 (~rm 111.7) just downstream of Lewiston Dam, the current terminus of anadromy on the main stem Trinity River. Prerelease clipping of fish reared at TRH is performed by TRP and HVTF staff to identify fish of hatchery origin. All steelhead and $25 \%$ of Chinook produced at TRH are adipose fin-clipped (ad-clipped) before release. Additionally, ad-clipped Chinook have codedwire tags (CWT) inserted into the snout portion of their heads. All Coho Salmon reared at TRH have their right maxillary (RM) clipped as a hatchery identifier.

[^0]

Figure 1. Location of trapping/tagging weirs near Willow Creek and Junction City, and Trinity River Hatchery, in the Trinity River basin, 2018.

### 2.1.2. Weir and Trap Design

Bertoni (Alaskan-style) weirs operated at both sites consist of fixed picket sections, trap boxes (1-3 per weir) and a boat gate. The weirs are supported by wooden tripods set 2.5 m apart. Weir panels consist of $3.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.9 \mathrm{~cm}(10 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{x} 3 / 4 \mathrm{in}$ ) electrical conduit spaced less than 5.1 cm apart on center, leaving a gap of 2.5 cm between conduit pieces. Conduit is supported by three sections of aluminum channel arranged 0.92 m apart, which are connected to supporting tripods. The tripods are anchored with cable to $1.8 \mathrm{~m}-2.4(6-8 \mathrm{ft})$ T-posts driven into the stream bottom. Weir panels are angled at roughly a $45^{\circ}$ angle, with the top of the weir standing 1.8 m above the river bottom (Figure 2 and Figure 3).


Figure 2. Photograph of Alaskan-style weir, tripods, support channels, and conduit (looking upstream).


Figure 3. Junction City weir (JCW) configuration in 2018 after the JW 30 rebuild. This shows the weir in trapping mode. Stream flow is right to left.

The trap boxes are made of $1.9 \mathrm{~cm}(3 / 4 \mathrm{in})$ electrical conduit spaced 2.5 cm ( 1 in ) apart and welded into panels. The panels are fastened together at the corners to produce a $2.4 \mathrm{~m}(8 \mathrm{ft})$ square box, which is fastened to a plywood floor and covered with a plywood lid. A fyke, also made of conduit panels, is installed on the downstream side of the trap to guide fish into the trap box and hinder their escape. The trap is placed on the upstream side of the weir, directly in front of 24 raised conduit pieces, creating an opening approximately $96 \mathrm{~cm}(3.1 \mathrm{ft})$. This opening allows fish to pass through the weir and into the trap. At WCW in 2018 the left trap (looking downstream) was located at the upstream end of a plywood-topped tunnel made with conduit panels (Figure 4).
Approximately mid-way on the tunnel an aluminum box housing video monitoring equipment was installed to record fish passage as part of a video monitoring pilot project. Further details regarding the video project will be available in a separate report (Lindke, in progress).


Figure 4. Willow Creek weir in 2018. Note the floating boat gate and tunnel with camera box downstream of trap.

To allow boat passage at JCW, a gate approximately $4.9 \mathrm{~m}(16 \mathrm{ft})$ wide is secured between two weir panels. The gate is constructed similarly to trap panels and is set perpendicular to the stream substrate. Weir personnel must remove and replace the gate panels to pass boats. In 2018, due to the addition of the video project, the gate at WCW consisted of six $0.9 \mathrm{~m}(3 \mathrm{ft})$ wide by $5.5 \mathrm{~m}(18 \mathrm{ft})$ long modified floating resistance board panels. The panels were strung on a cable attached on the upstream end to an iron substrate rail that was pinned to the riverbed. The gate was designed to be passable by boaters (by submerging under the weight of a boat) without aid from crew.

Flexible netting with a mesh size of approximately 1.9 cm ( $3 / 4$ inch) was secured to the edges of the Bertoni weir panel and resistance board weir panel at each side to prevent fish from passing upstream at the intersections of weir panels (Figure 4).

### 2.1.3. Trapping Schedule

Trapping at both weirs is scheduled five nights a week, beginning around dusk of each trapping night, and continuing until mid-day the next day. Fish are processed from the previous night's trapping at approximately 0830 hours, and again from the morning's trapping at approximately 1230 hours.

After the afternoon processing, each weir is opened to allow for fish passage for 4-8 hours, then closed again at dusk for overnight trapping. At JCW the opening procedure entails pulling up approximately 24 conduit/pickets in every other panel (creating a 96 cm opening), opening the boat gate, and opening any traps. The weir is also opened in the same manner from Friday afternoon to Sunday at dusk. Opening the weir in this configuration was found to reduce migration delays as compared to smaller and fewer openings (Strange, 2008). Video monitoring at WCW in 2018 required that all fish migrating upstream pass through a 70 cm ( 24 inch) wide opening in front of a video camera 24-7 throughout the season. Consequently, "opening" the weir when we were not trapping was reduced to removing a $1.2 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{ft})$ panel on the upstream side of the river left trap, allowing fish to move through the tunnel (past the video camera) through the trap and to continue upstream. No conduit was lifted, and the boat gate remained closed for the duration of the season.

Occasionally, trapping schedules are modified to allow for holidays or high flows that prevent trapping in a safe manner. The weirs generally operate in flows ranging from 300 to 1,700 cubic feet per second (cfs). When the river is anticipated to rise above this level, conduit is raised (like the above description for afternoons and weekends) to allow unimpeded migration and to protect equipment. The weir can usually be modified to safely remain in the river and withstand flows up to 3,500 cfs and will be removed from the river entirely if flows are anticipated to exceed 5,000 cfs. Trapping and tagging are not conducted if stream temperatures exceed $21^{\circ}$ Celsius.

### 2.1.4. Processing of Fish at Weirs

All salmonids are netted, placed into a submerged cradle, measured to the nearest cm fork length (FL), and examined for hook, predator, or gill-net wounds or scars, fin clips, signs of disease or parasites, and tags. Fish are not anesthetized and are released immediately after tagging to resume their upstream migration unless they appear stressed. Stressed fish are placed in an in-stream recovery tube until they are able to swim away on their own volition.

Each untagged, unspawned salmonid judged to be in good condition is tagged with a serially numbered two mm "spaghetti" tag (Floy Tag and Manufacturing, Inc. FT-43). Tags are applied with a solid applicator needle through the fish's back approximately two cm below and two cm anterior to the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin. We tag all salmon regardless of length. Steelhead less than 42 cm FL are considered "halfpounders" (immature) and are not tagged.

All salmonid carcasses recovered at the weir (washed back) were measured to the nearest cm FL, examined for wounds, tags, fin clips, and spawning condition. All heads from ad-clipped Chinook Salmon carcasses were removed for later CWT recovery and analysis. After processing, all carcasses were cut in half to prevent recounting and returned to the river downstream of the weir.

In 2018 we collected scales for age determination from every other Chinook Salmon in good condition that we encountered at WCW. Scales were removed from the left side of the fish above the lateral line and posterior to the dorsal fin with a sharp knife. Scale samples were then placed on Rite-in-the-Rain paper, folded, and put in a coin envelope labeled with the date, location, species, length, and tag number. Scales were also taken from every Chinook Salmon at JCW and archived at HVTF for analysis at a later date.

Chinook Salmon tagged at JCW received $\$ 10$ and $\$ 20$ tags at a $1: 1$ ratio, and adclipped adult steelhead received $\$ 10$ tags. Natural-origin steelhead (those with intact adipose fins) were not tagged at JCW. At WCW, fall Chinook were tagged 1:1 with nonreward and $\$ 50$ reward tags, and non-reward tags and $\$ 20$ rewards tags were applied to adult steelhead at a 1:1 ratio. All Coho Salmon at WCW were tagged with non-reward tags, and no Coho were trapped at JCW. Half-pounder steelhead were not tagged at either weir.

### 2.2. Recovery of Tagged Fish

Fish tagged at JCW and WCW were recovered from four sources: (1) angler return of tags, (2) tags found during Trinity River spawner surveys, (3) tagging mortalities found on or near the tagging weirs, and (4) fish returning to Trinity River Hatchery.

### 2.2.1. Angler Tag Returns

Spaghetti tags applied at JCW and WCW are inscribed with a reward amount and the address of the CDFW field office in Arcata, CA. Tags returned to the Arcata office through May 1, 2019 were used to estimate harvest and catch-and-release rates for steelhead and Chinook Salmon in 2018. Tags returned after that date are processed for payment but not used for analysis due to the need for meeting annual reporting deadlines. Public service announcements distributed to press throughout the Northern California region, posted online in social media, and displayed in store-front windows

[^1]throughout the Trinity basin encouraged the timely (same-season) return of tags and announced that after the 2018-19 season out-of-year tags would no longer be accepted for payment. This new policy was enacted to incentivize in-season tag returns in an effort to improve estimation of harvest and catch-and-release rates.

### 2.2.2. Spawner Surveys

Cooperative spawning ground surveys are conducted annually with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, Yurok Tribe, Hoopa Valley Tribe, and CDFW in the entire main stem Trinity River, except for a few reaches with limited spawning habitat or that are unsafe to survey. Tagged fish recovered in these surveys were examined for spawning success and project tags and those data are provided to the CDFW Arcata office. Spawner survey methods and results are presented in a separate report.

### 2.2.3. Weir Recovery

Dead salmonids recovered on the weir are measured to the nearest cm FL, and examined for tags, fin clips, and spawning condition. Heads of ad-clipped Chinook Salmon are collected for later recovery of the CWT. After examination, carcasses were cut in half to prevent recounting and returned to the river downstream of the weir. Weekly surveys were conducted via kayak in the 5.5 km upstream of WCW to look for tag mortalities.

Tagged salmonids recovered dead at the weir, in spawning surveys, or reported dead by anglers were considered tagging mortalities if there was no evidence they had spawned, and they were recovered $\leq 30$ days after tagging. Tagged fish recovered dead more than 30 days after tagging, or those that had spawned regardless of the number days after tagging, were not considered tagging mortalities.

### 2.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

Spawning operations began September 4, 2018 for spring Chinook Salmon and ended on March 12, 2019 with the last processing of steelhead. The fish ladder was closed for a "spawning break" October 2 to October 21 (all of Julian weeks 41-42), a practice at TRH designed to temporally segregate spring and fall runs of Chinook Salmon. Spawning operations were generally conducted two days per week during the Chinook spawn, with additional spawn days occurring during the peak of the fall Chinook run in November. Spawning of Coho Salmon and steelhead generally occur on different days than Chinook Salmon.

All fish entering TRH were sexed, measured to the nearest cm FL, and inspected for adclips, TRP tags, fin clips, maxillary clips, or other tags or marks. Scales were collected from every 5th fall Chinook Salmon by HVTF personnel beginning in JW 43. Heads from all ad-clipped Chinook were retained during the spawning process for later CWT extraction and analysis.

### 2.3. Recovery of Coded Wire Tags

All ad-clipped Chinook Salmon recovered dead at weirs, on spawning grounds or at TRH have the snout portion of their heads removed and put into a bag with a seriallynumbered head tag card with the unique head tag number, date, recovery location, species, run, sex, and length. Heads are frozen for later CWT extraction and decoding in the laboratory. The CWT code identifies the race, release type (fingerling or yearling), brood year (BY) and the location of release of each fish.

### 2.3.1. Chinook Salmon CWT Dissection

Heads from Chinook Salmon recovered at TRH, the weirs, or the spawner surveys are processed in our office lab as follows:

1) Heads and corresponding head tag numbers are removed from the storage bag one at a time.
2) Each head is run through a Northwest Marine Technologies FSD-I field metal detector. A beep from the machine indicates the presence of the tag (or other metal).
3) The head is cut into successively smaller pieces and each piece is passed through the detector until a small piece of head that contains the tag is left. The tag is then visually detected and removed using a magnetized pencil.
4) The tag is placed into a $2 \times 3$ inch sealed baggie which is stapled to the corresponding head tag for decoding. If no tag is detected in the initial and subsequent passes through the metal detector, then it is assumed the fish had shed its tag prior to recovery and a code (100000) is assigned to the head tag. If the tag was initially detected but lost during the dissection process a separate code (200000) is assigned to the head tag to indicate such. If the entire head is somehow lost, a code of 300000 is assigned.

All recovered CWTs are read using a stereozoom microscope equipped with a 10X wide-field eyepiece. The microscope has a continuous magnification zoom range of 7 X to 30X. The CWT code is identified and transferred to the head tag. If the CWT code is unreadable the head tag will be assigned a 400000 code.

All head tags and corresponding CWT codes are entered into a database and merged into the TRH recovery database based on the common "head tag" field. Thus, each CWT code, along with the corresponding release information becomes associated with all TRH recovery information for that individual fish.

### 2.4. Post-season Data Analysis

Methods used for estimating run-size, escapement, harvest, and hatchery-origin vs. natural-origin composition are similar for each of the three species and two runs, with slight variations.

### 2.4.1. Size Discrimination between Jack and Adult Chinook Salmon and Coho Salmon

The methods for separating jacks (2-years old) from adults (3-years old and older) differs for spring vs. fall Chinook Salmon; age composition of spring Chinook is determined from fork length-frequency analysis, and scale aging is used for fall Chinook. Combined length data of spring Chinook collected at JCW and TRH, excluding fish tagged at JCW and subsequently recovered at TRH, were analyzed to identify the nadir separating jacks and adults. Data were smoothed with a moving average of five, $1-\mathrm{cm}$ increments to determine the nadir if it was not otherwise readily identifiable. The resulting jack/adult size division or "cutoff" is used for all spring Chinook Salmon in all sectors. For fall Chinook Salmon, scales are collected from fish trapped at WCW and TRH to determine ages of individual fish. Age proportions are calculated directly from HVTF scale-read ages, separately for WCW and TRH. Age proportions at WCW are used for the entire fall run upstream of the weir, whereas age proportions at TRH are used only for TRH. Fall Chinook Salmon are also assigned a nadir-based jack /adult cutoff which is used only during harvest and catch-and-release fishery estimation.

Coho Salmon do not receive CWTs and we do not collect or age their scales, so exact ages are unknown. The separation of jack and adult Coho was based entirely on FL frequency distribution analysis.

### 2.4.2. Size Discrimination between Adult and Immature Steelhead

All steelhead $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were considered adults, steelhead $<42 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were assumed to be half-pounders (immature fish assumed to have migrated to the ocean). Halfpounders captured at weirs are measured but not tagged, but half-pounders that entered TRH were not measured or counted since we did not know whether they had migrated to the ocean or were residual fish.

### 2.4.3. Separation of Spring and Fall Chinook Salmon Runs at the Hatchery and Weirs

Trinity River spring Chinook Salmon immigrate mainly between April and September, whereas fall Chinook Salmon immigrate August through December. Although there is temporal overlap of runs, for analysis we separate spring and fall runs based on a hard cut-off date determined independently each year, and we group data by Julian Week (JW) to allow inter-annual comparisons of identical weekly periods (Appendix 1. List of Julian weeks and their calendar date equivalents.).

To determine the cut-off date at TRH, proportions of spring and fall Chinook Salmon arriving at TRH are estimated for each JW from expanded CWT recoveries, and the week in which the proportion of fall Chinook exceeds the proportion of spring Chinook is designated as the first week of the fall run. The "spawning break" closure of the fish ladder usually, but not always, coincides with the post-season identified break.

To determine the cut-off date at the weirs we estimate the proportion of each run for each JW based on CWT and TRP-tag recoveries of spring and fall Chinook Salmon
separately for each weir. Coded wire tag recoveries are of known run, and run is assigned to TRP-tagged fish subsequently recovered at TRH depending on whether the fish arrives before or after the cut-off date determined for TRH. At each weir, the JW in which the proportion of fall Chinook exceeds spring Chinook is designated as the first week of the fall run at that location. If there are two consecutive weeks with nearly identical proportions then the first week is designated spring-run and the second as fallrun.

### 2.4.4. Estimating Numbers of Spring and Fall Chinook Salmon at Trinity River Hatchery

A constant fractional marking program was instituted for Chinook Salmon in brood year 2000, attempting to mark $25 \%$ of each release group. We can count the numbers of Chinook with ad-clips and CWT, but to estimate the respective numbers of spring and fall Chinook Salmon without CWTs entering TRH, we expanded the numbers of tags recovered from each returning CWT group by the ratio of tagged to total Chinook (production multiplier) when they were originally released (same strain, BY, release site, release group and date). For example, 87,269 spring Chinook of CWT group 06-09-54 plus 283,043 unmarked spring Chinook were released directly from TRH in June of 2017. The expanded estimate for each returned CWT fish of this group is 4.24334 $(87,269+283,043) / 87,269)$. Thus, each CWT fish that returned to TRH was expanded by its production multiplier to estimate the total number of hatchery-origin (HOR) spring or fall Chinook Salmon that entered the hatchery. If more Chinook Salmon entered the hatchery than could be accounted for by the expansion of all CWT groups, we assumed the additional fish were of natural-origin (NOR). Conversely, if the expanded number of HOR fish exceeds the number of fish entering TRH, we assume all fish entering TRH are HOR. We designated these fish as spring- or fall-run in the same proportions that were determined by the expansion of the CWT groups by their week of entry.

### 2.4.5. Effectively Tagged Fish

The total number of fish tagged at each weir is greater than the number of fish that effectively remain in the marked population due to various types of tag loss. The number of "effectively" tagged fish was determined by subtracting from the total those fish that were classified as tagging mortalities (fish having died within 30 days of tagging without spawning), tagged fish recovered downstream of the tagging site, and those fish whose tags were removed by catch-and-release anglers.

### 2.4.6. Run-size Estimates

Run-size estimates in 2018 for spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon and adult steelhead were calculated using Chapman's version of the Petersen Single Census Method [as modified by Ricker (1975)]:

$$
N=\frac{(M+1)(C+1)}{(R+1)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\text { estimated run size } \\
& M=\text { the number of effectively tagged fish } \\
& C=\text { the number of fish examined for tags at TRH } \\
& R=\text { the number of } T R P-\text { tagged fish recovered at TRH }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumptions of the mark-recapture estimator include 1) fish tagged at the weir are a random sample representative of the population; 2) tagged and untagged fish are equally vulnerable to recapture at TRH; 3) all Project tags are recognized upon recovery; 4) tagged and untagged fish are randomly mixed throughout the population and among the fish recovered at TRH; and 5) we account for all tagging mortalities.

Each year we attempt to tag and recover enough fish to obtain 95\% confidence limits within $\pm 10 \%$ of the run-size estimate. We use criteria established by Chapman (1948) to select confidence interval estimator.

### 2.4.7. Harvest Estimates and Catch-and-Release Rates

Generally, in the steelhead fishery, which is mostly catch-and-release, anglers return reward and non-reward tags at approximately the same rate, but in the Chinook Salmon fishery reward tags are returned at a higher rate than non-reward tags. When reward tags are returned at a higher rate than non-reward tags, we use only reward tag returns to determine harvest rates. If non-reward tags are returned at the same or higher rates than reward tags, we combine the two to determine harvest rates. Harvest rates for each species, run, and age class (jacks or adults) are calculated by dividing the number of tags returned by anglers from fish reported as harvested by the number of fish that were effectively tagged.

The number of fish of each species, run, and age class harvested upstream of the respective weir was estimated by multiplying the respective harvest rate by the relevant run-size.

We estimated catch-and-release rates for each species, run and age class by dividing the number of tags returned by anglers from fish reported as caught-and-released by the number of fish effectively tagged plus the number of fish reported as released.

### 2.4.8. Hatchery- and Naturally-Produced Composition of Run-size Estimates

Estimating the total return of individual CWT groups depends first and foremost on a basin run-size estimate. Total run-size and CWT return estimates for spring and fall Chinook Salmon are calculated for the Trinity River basin upstream of the JCW and WCW, respectively. Escapement and harvest and corresponding CWT estimates for natural escapement areas below the respective weirs and harvest in the ocean are not included in the estimates presented in this report.

We estimated contribution rates of TRH-produced (HOR) Chinook Salmon to total
spring and fall Chinook Salmon run-sizes by expanding each of the individual CWT estimated run-sizes by its corresponding hatchery expansion factor (total releases represented by each CWT release group/CWT fish released). In doing this, we assume that marked fish are representative of their unmarked counterparts.

The information needed to estimate the numbers of salmon of a specific CWT group that returned to the Trinity River basin and contributed to the fisheries and spawner escapement are: 1) Jack and adult total run-size, 2) Angler harvest rate of jack and adults, 3) Proportion of the run comprised of ad-clipped fish, 4) Proportion of CWT groups recovered at TRH, and, 5) Independent estimates of spring and fall Chinook Salmon run-size and angler harvest rates for each race of Chinook.

Any single digit disagreement in numbers throughout this report is due solely to rounding discrepancies.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Run-size, Escapement and Harvest Estimates

Using a Petersen mark-recapture methodology, we estimated 8,032 (95\% CI 7,250 8,858) spring-run Chinook Salmon ( 927 jack and 7,105 adults) migrated into Trinity River basin upstream of JCW in 2018 (Table 1, Appendix 2, Appendix 3). The run was comprised of an estimated 346 NOR jacks, 2,032 NOR adults, 581 HOR jacks and 5,073 HOR adults (Appendix 4, Appendix 5). Based on expansions of the tags returned by anglers, we estimate zero jack and 265 adult spring Chinook Salmon were harvested, yielding an escapement of 7,767 fish, including the 2,908 spring Chinook that entered TRH and 4,859 estimated natural area spawners (Table 2). Spawning escapement of 1,938 NOR adult spring Chinook is $32.3 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 6,000 (Table 3). This year's run-size estimate of 8,032 is approximately $51 \%$ of the 39 -year average of 15,882 since 1978. Estimated spring Chinook Salmon run-size has ranged from 2,381 fish in 1991 to 62,692 fish in 1988.

An estimated 26,848 (95\% CI 24,413-29,634) fall-run Chinook Salmon (4,449 jack and 22,402 adults) migrated into the Trinity River basin upstream of WCW in 2018 (Table 1, Appendix 6, Appendix 7). The run consisted of an estimated 4,087 NOR jack, 8,650 NOR adult, 359 HOR jack and 13,752 HOR adult fall Chinook Salmon (Appendix 8, Appendix 9). Using tags returned by anglers we estimate 961 ( 200 jack and 761 adult) fall Chinook Salmon were harvested, yielding an escapement of 25,887 , including the 7,313 fall Chinook that entered TRH and the 18,574 estimated natural area spawners (Table 2). Spawning escapement of 8,357 NOR adult fall Chinook Salmon is $13.5 \%$ of the 62,000 fish TRRP (Table 3). This year's run-size estimate of 26,848 is approximately $66 \%$ of the 42 -year average of 40,854 since 1977 . Estimated fall Chinook Salmon run-size has ranged from 6,196 fish in 2016 to 147,888 fish in 1986.

Both Coho Salmon run-size and escapement in the Trinity River upstream of WCW were estimated at $1,486(95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1,084-2,100)$ because no Coho Salmon were reported as harvested (Table 1, Appendix 10, Appendix 11). The run consisted of an estimated 18 NOR jacks, 42 NOR adults, 409 HOR jacks and 1,017 HOR adults (Appendix 12, Appendix 13), with 742 of those fish entering TRH and an estimated 744 escaping to spawn in natural areas. The estimated escapement of 42 NOR Coho Salmon adults was $3.0 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 1,400 fish (Table 3). This year's run-size estimate of 1,486 is approximately $9.5 \%$ of the 42-year average of 15,633 since 1977. Estimated Coho Salmon run-size has ranged from 655 in 2017 to 59,079 in 1987.

An estimated 5,885 (95\% CI 5,007-6,835) adult fall steelhead returned to the Trinity River basin upstream of WCW (Table 1, Appendix 14). Anglers harvested an estimated 157 adult fall steelhead upstream of the weir, leaving 5,728 ( 2,326 NOR and 3,402 HOR) fish as potential spawners (Table 2, Appendix 15). Escapement of 2,326 NOR adult steelhead is $5.8 \%$ of the 40,000 fish TRRP goal (Table 3). This year's run-size is $41.4 \%$ of the average of 14,225 since 1980, with a range from 2,972 in 1998 to 53,885 in 2007.

Table 1. Run-size estimates and $95 \%$ confidence limits for Trinity River basin spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall steelhead during the 2018-19 season.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Species/ } \\ \text { race } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Area of Trinity River basin for run-size estimate | Stratum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number <br> effectively tagged ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Trinity River Hatchery recoveries |  | Run-size estimate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Confidence } \\ \text { limits } \\ 1-p=0.95 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Confidence <br> limit estimator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number examined for tags ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Number of tags in sample |  |  |  |
| Spring Chinook Salmon | Upstream of Junction City weir | Jacks Adults | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 911 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 420 \\ 2,488 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 331 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 927 \\ 7,105 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 7,250-8,858 | Normal Approximation |
|  |  | Total | 935 | 2,908 | 338 | 8,032 |  |  |
| Fall Chinook Salmon | Upstream of Willow Creek weir (WCW) | Jacks <br> Adults | $\begin{gathered} 231 \\ 1,273 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 224 \\ 7,089 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 401 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,446 \\ 22,402 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $24,413 \text { - }$ | Normal Approximation |
|  |  | Total | 1,504 | 7,313 | 409 | 26,848 |  |  |
| Coho Salmon | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Jacks <br> Adults | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 186 \\ & 556 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 427 \\ 1,059 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1,084-2,100 | Poisson Approximation |
|  |  | Total | 71 | 742 | 35 | 1,486 |  |  |
| Fall-run steelhead | Upstream of WCW | Adults | 455 | 1,896 | 146 | 5,885 | 5,007-6,835 | Normal Approx |

a/ Stratum: Jacks = two-year-old salmon; Adults = three years or older; Steelhead adults = fish greater than 41 cm FL.
b/ The number of effectively tagged fish was corrected for fish not tagged, tagging mortalities, and fish which had their tags removed (caught and released). c/ Numbers of spring and fall run Chinook Salmon were estimated from expansion of coded wire tag recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery; Coho Salmon and steelhead numbers were actual recoveries.
d/ For jack and adult estimate of total run size: spring Chinook Salmon was based on the proportion of jacks to adults observed at JCW and TRH combined, and the Coho Salmon jack/adult assignment was based on the WCW/TRH combined proportions (both using FL frequency analysis to split age classes). We applied the scale-aged proportions at WCW to reach jack/adult assignment of the fall Chinook Salmon run.

Table 2. Estimates of Trinity River basin spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall-run steelhead run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement during the 2018-19 season.

| Species/ race | Area of Trinity River basin for runsize estimate | Stratum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Run-size estimate | Angler Harvest |  | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Harvest rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number of fish ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Natural area spawners ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Trinity River Hatchery | Total |
| Spring | Upstream of | Jacks | 927 | 0.0\% | 0 | 507 | 420 | 927 |
| Chinook | Junction City | Adults | 7,105 | 3.7\% | 265 | 4,352 | 2,488 | 6,840 |
|  | weir | Total | 8,032 |  | 265 | 4,859 | 2,908 | 7,767 |
| Fall Chinook | Upstream of | Jacks | 4,446 | 4.5\% | 200 | 4,075 | 171 | 4,246 |
|  | Willow Creek | Adults | 22,402 | 3.4\% | 761 | 14,499 | 7,142 | 21,641 |
|  | weir | Total | 26,848 |  | 961 | 18,574 | 7,313 | 25,887 |
| Coho | Upstream of | Jacks | 427 | 0.0\% | 0 | 241 | 186 | 427 |
|  | Willow Creek | Adults | 1,059 | 0.0\% | 0 | 503 | 556 | 1,059 |
|  | weir | Total | 1,486 |  | 0 | 744 | 742 | 1,486 |
| Fall-run adult steelhead | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Natural | 2,354 | 1.2\% | 28 | 2,289 | 37 | 2,326 |
|  |  | Hatchery | 3,531 | 3.7\% | 129 | 1,543 | 1,859 | 3,402 |
|  |  | Total | 5,885 |  | 157 | 3,832 | 1,896 | 5,728 |

[^2]Table 3. Estimates of contribution of naturally-produced and hatchery-produced adult spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall-run steelhead to the Trinity River basin spawner escapement during the 2018-19 season.

| Species/ race | Area of Trinity River | Produced | Total Spawner Escapement |  |  | Natural-origin contribution to escapement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Natural area <br> spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Trinity River <br> Hatchery | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | TRRP Goal | \% of Goal |
| Spring Chinook | Upstream of | Naturally | 1,650 | 288 | 1,938 | 6,000 | 32.3\% |
|  | Junction City | Hatchery | 2,702 | 2,200 | 4,902 |  |  |
|  | weir | Total | 4,352 | 2,488 | 6,840 |  |  |
| Fall Chinook | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Naturally | 7,538 | 819 | 8,357 | 62,000 | 13.5\% |
|  |  | Hatchery | 6,961 | 6,323 | 13,284 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 14,499 | 7,142 | 21,641 |  |  |
| Coho | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Naturally | 1 | 41 | 42 | 1,400 | 3.0\% |
|  |  | Hatchery | 502 | 515 | 1,017 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 503 | 556 | 1,059 |  |  |
| Fall-run steelhead | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Naturally | 2,289 | 37 | 2,326 | 40,000 | 5.8\% |
|  |  | Hatchery | 1,543 | 1,859 | 3,402 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 3,832 | 1,896 | 5,728 |  |  |

a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery. Any difference between these numbers and others throughout this report are due to rounding.

### 3.2. Spring Chinook Salmon

### 3.2.1. Spring Chinook Salmon Trapping and Tagging

The CDFW and HVTF installed JCW on June 11, 2018 (JW 24) and trapped the first night. The weir was disabled by high flow releases from Lewiston Dam on July 27 (JW 30) which resulted from a mechanical failure associated with the Carr Fire. The weir was rebuilt and resumed trapping on August 6 (JW 32). The weir was removed for the season on October 2, 2018 (JW 40).

A total of 1,079 Chinook Salmon were trapped at JCW over 72 nights in 2018 (Table 4, Figure 5), of which 948 were determined to be spring Chinook Salmon (see Section 2.4.3.). The number of spring Chinook trapped peaked at 92.4 fish per night during JW 26. All Chinook trapped through JW 38 at JCW in 2018 were designated as spring Chinook Salmon, while Chinook trapped in JWs 39 and 40 were not included in our spring Chinook analysis. All Chinook trapped at JCW in 2018 were tagged.

Table 4. Weekly summary of Chinook Salmon trapped at Junction City weir on the Trinity River during $2018{ }^{\text {a }}$

| Julian <br> week | Inclusive dates | Nights trapped | Number trapped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ad-clip Jacks ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Adults | Ad-clip Adults | Total trapped | Ad-clips total | Fish/ night | Ad-clips /night |
| 24 | 11-Jun-17-Jun | 4 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 7 | 48 | 7 | 12.0 | 1.8 |
| 25 | 18-Jun-24-Jun | 5 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 41 | 202 | 41 | 40.4 | 8.2 |
| 26 | 25-Jun-1-Jul | 5 | 1 | 0 | 461 | 84 | 462 | 84 | 92.4 | 16.8 |
| 27 | 2-Jul - 8-Jul | 4 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 18 | 72 | 18 | 18.0 | 4.5 |
| 28 | 9-Jul - 15-Jul | 5 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 7 | 28 | 7 | 5.6 | 1.4 |
| 29 | 16-Jul - 22-Jul | 5 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 23-Jul - 29-Jul | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 31 | 30-Jul - 5-Aug | 0 |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 32 | 6-Aug - 12-Aug | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 33 | 13-Aug - 19-Aug | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| 34 | 20-Aug - 26-Aug | 5 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| 35 | 27-Aug - 2-Sep | 5 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 4.2 | 0.8 |
| 36 | 3-Sep - 9-Sep | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| 37 | 10-Sep-16-Sep | 5 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 3.8 | 0.4 |
| 38 | 17-Sep-23-Sep | 5 | 4 | 1 | 39 | 4 | 43 | 5 | 8.6 | 1.0 |
| $39^{\text {d }}$ | 24-Sep - 30-Sep | 5 | 8 | 0 | 89 | 13 | 97 | 13 | 19.4 | 2.6 |
| $40^{\text {d }}$ | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 2 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 34 | 3 | 17.0 | 1.5 |
|  | Chinook trapped: ean of all Chinook: | 72 | 37 | 4 | 1,042 | 185 | 1,079 | 189 | 15.0 | 2.6 |
| Total | g Chinook trapped: of spring Chinook: | 65 | 25 | 4 | 923 | 169 | 948 | 173 | 14.6 | 2.7 |

a/ Trapping at Junction City weir took place June 12 - October 2, 2018 (Julian weeks 24-40).
b/ Spring Chinook <51 cm FL were considered jacks in 2018.
c/ Adipose fin-clipped Chinook. Number shown is a subset of weekly jack and adult Chinook totals. d/ We determined Chinook Salmon trapped after JW 38 were fall Chinook, so are not included in Spring Chinook totals.


Figure 5. Mean catch of Chinook Salmon at Junction City weir on the Trinity River, 2018.
Spring Chinook Salmon trapped at JCW averaged 63.5 cm FL (Figure 6), and ranged from 37 cm to 86 cm FL (Appendix 16). Fork length distribution analysis, including all unique spring Chinook either trapped at JCW or recovered at TRH, showed the nadir separating jack from adult spring Chinook was between 51 and 52 cm FL. Fish $\leq 51 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were designated as jacks and fish $>51 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were designated as adults. Jacks averaged 45.0 cm FL and adults averaged 63.8 cm FL. Using 52 cm FL as the minimum adult size, only $2.6 \%$ of the 948 spring Chinook that were trapped at JCW were considered jacks. Ad-clipped fish comprised 18.2\% (173 of 948) of the spring Chinook Salmon trapped at JCW.


Figure 6. Spring Chinook Salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Junction City weir, Trinity River Hatchery, and both sites combined during the 2018-19 season. Note: Fish trapped at JCW then recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph. Also, the arrow denotes the size used to separate jacks and adults for analysis.

### 3.2.2. Spring/Fall Run Chinook Salmon Separation and Run Timing

We recovered 10,221 Chinook Salmon at TRH in 2018, of which 2,260 (22.1\%) had adclips (Appendix 17). We recovered CWTs from 615 known (ad-clipped with a readable CWT) spring Chinook Salmon and we recovered CWTs from 1,553 known fall Chinook Salmon; the remaining 92 ad-clipped fish had either shed their CWT ( 68 fish) or the CWT was lost or unreadable ( 24 fish). Those 92 Chinook were classified as spring-run ( 41 fish) or fall-run ( 51 fish) based on their date of entry into TRH, resulting in a total of 656 spring CWT Chinook Salmon (Appendix 18) and 1,604 fall CWT Chinook Salmon (Appendix 19).

Three hundred sixty-five Chinook Salmon tagged at JCW were subsequently recovered at TRH between JW 36 and 46 . Of those, 338 (including 71 spring CWT) entered TRH before JW 41, and the remaining 27 (including eight fall CWT) entered after the ladder was reopened in JW 43. Based on timing of passage through JCW, the arrival dates of JCW-tagged fish at TRH, and CWT analysis, we designated Chinook Salmon that passed through JCW from JW 24 through JW 38 to be spring-run, and those that passed after JW 38 to be fall-run (Figure 7).

One TRH-origin spring CWT Chinook Salmon was tagged at WCW during JW 38 and subsequently recovered at TRH in JW 44, and no Chinook Salmon tagged at WCW arrived at TRH prior to JW 43. Consequently, all Chinook tagged at WCW in 2018 were designated as fall Chinook.

### 3.2.3. Spring Chinook Salmon Recovery

### 3.2.3.1. Angler Tag Recovery

Anglers reported harvesting zero jack and 34 adult TRP-tagged spring Chinook Salmon, resulting in an estimated harvest of 265 adult spring Chinook Salmon and harvest rates for spring Chinook Salmon upstream of JCW of $0.0 \%$ for jacks and $3.73 \%$ for adults. There was one tag returned from a jack and 11 tags returned from adult spring Chinook in the catch-and-release fishery, resulting in catch-and-release rates of $4.0 \%$ for jacks and $1.2 \%$ for adults. Additionally, seven tags found loose or on dead fish were returned by anglers or other river users.

### 3.2.3.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

Mainstem Trinity River Chinook Salmon spawner surveys were conducted from August 28 to December 14, 2018. There was one jack and 42 adult TRP-tagged spring Chinook recovered during spawner surveys in 2018. None of those tags were recovered from unspawned dead fish less than 30 days after tagging, so none were identified as tagging mortalities.

### 3.2.3.3. Tagging Mortalities

There was one adult spring Chinook Salmon identified as a tagging mortality at JCW in 2018. This tag was omitted from the number of tagged fish used to estimate run size.


Figure 7. Percent recovery of Junction City weir and Willow Creek weir marked Chinook Salmon at Trinity River Hatchery during the 2018-19 season.

### 3.2.3.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

Spring Chinook Salmon began entering TRH on September 4, 2018 (JW 36). Most of the spring-run arrived before the spawning break in JW 41, although a few continued entering through JW 44 (Appendix 17). Recovery of spring Chinook peaked in JW 40 with 1,241 fish, which coincided with the peak of spring CWT Chinook recovery (Appendix 18). Of the 935 spring Chinook effectively tagged at JCW, 338 (36.1\%) were recovered at TRH. Based on run-timing determined from CWT recoveries, an estimated 2,908 (420 jack and 2,488 adult) spring Chinook Salmon returned to TRH in 2018.

### 3.2.3.5. $\quad$ Size and Age of Trapped Fish

Spring Chinook Salmon trapped at TRH averaged 60.9 cm FL (Figure 6). Fork length distribution analysis shows the nadir separating jack from adult spring Chinook was between 50 and 51 cm FL. Data from known age, hatchery-marked spring Chinook that entered TRH supported the minimum adult fork length of 51 cm (Appendix 20). There was some overlap in the size distributions of known age-2 and age-3 fish, but the mean lengths were markedly different. Known age-2 fish averaged 45.5 cm FL and known age-3 fish averaged 63.0 cm FL. Applying the minimum adult size of 51 cm FL, an estimated $2.6 \%$ and $14.4 \%$ of observed spring Chinook Salmon were jacks at JCW and TRH, respectively.

### 3.2.4. Spring Chinook Salmon Coded-Wire Tag Recovery and Hatchery Origin Contribution to Runs

The 615 CWTs recovered from spring Chinook Salmon at TRH represented 14 CWT release groups from BYs 2013 - 2016 (ages 2-5). It is rare to recover a known age-6 Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River, so we consider the age-5 fish returning in 2018 to represent the last returns for the complete BY 2013 cohort. Of the 362,633 (258,761 fingerling and 103,872 yearling) spring Chinook Salmon released from TRH with CWTs for BY 2013, 424 (0.12\%) returned to the Trinity River between 2015 - 2018, far below the mean of $0.64 \%$ (Figure 8, Appendix 21). For the full breakdown of run-size, percent return, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for TRH CWT spring Chinook Salmon by release group see Appendix 22.

Based on the total estimated spring Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of JCW (927 jacks and 7,105 adults), the estimated angler harvest rate ( $0.0 \%$ jacks, $3.7 \%$ adults), and the percentage of ad-clipped spring Chinook at JCW also containing CWTs ( $94.6 \%$ ), we estimate the contribution of spring-run CWT Chinook Salmon to the total run of spring Chinook upstream of JCW to be 1,371 in 2018, including 140 jacks and 1,231 adults (Appendix 23). The run is estimated to include 46 CWT spring Chinook Salmon harvested by anglers, 623 recovered at TRH and 702 available to spawn in natural areas. The age composition of 2018 CWT spring Chinook Salmon returns was $140(10.2 \%)$ age $2,1,177(85.8 \%)$ age $3,52(3.8 \%)$ age 4 , and $2(0.2 \%)$ age 5 fish.


Figure 8. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery produced, coded-wire tagged spring Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2013, based on estimated returns upstream of Junction City weir.

Applying production multipliers to CWT recoveries allows for the estimation of HOR spring Chinook Salmon contributions to the total Trinity River spring Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of JCW. In 2018, an estimated 5,654 (581 jack, 5,073 adult) HOR spring Chinook Salmon returned to the Trinity River upstream of JCW, which represents $70.4 \%$ of the combined HOR and NOR run and is above the 27 year mean of 59.5\% (Figure 9, Appendix 24).


Figure 9. Estimated contribution of Trinity River Hatchery-origin and natural-origin spring Chinook Salmon to total run-size upstream of Junction City weir, 1991-2018.

### 3.3. Fall Chinook Salmon

### 3.3.1. Fall Chinook Salmon Trapping and Tagging

Willow Creek weir started trapping the night of August 28, 2018 (JW 35). Trapping continued until November 19 (JW 47) when the weir was removed for the season in anticipation of an impending storm-related high flow event.

A total of 1,592 Chinook Salmon were trapped at WCW over 58 nights in 2018 (Table 5), all of which were determined to be fall Chinook Salmon (see Section 2.4.3). Tags were applied to 1,565 of those fish; 27 were not tagged due to poor condition, and one died from tagging stress. The number of fall Chinook trapped at WCW peaked at 104.0 fish per night during JW 39 (Figure 10), with a mean of 27.4 fish per night across the trapping period.

Table 5. Weekly summary of Chinook Salmon trapped at Willow Creek weir on the Trinity River during 2018. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Julian week | Inclusive dates | Nights trapped | Number trapped |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ad-clip ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Jacks | Adults | Ad-clip Adults | Total | Ad-clip total | Fish/ night |
| 35 | 27-Aug - 2-Sep | 3 | 5 |  | 43 | 2 | 48 | 2 | 16.0 |
| 36 | 3-Sep - 9-Sep | 4 | 19 |  | 50 | 2 | 69 | 2 | 17.3 |
| 37 | 10-Sep - 16-Sep | 5 | 12 |  | 42 | 14 | 54 | 14 | 10.8 |
| 38 | 17-Sep - 23-Sep | 5 | 25 |  | 146 | 64 | 171 | 64 | 34.2 |
| 39 | 24-Sep - 30-Sep | 5 | 113 | 3 | 407 | 32 | 520 | 35 | 104.0 |
| 40 | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 5 | 31 |  | 188 | 31 | 219 | 31 | 43.8 |
| 41 | 8-Oct - 14-Oct | 5 | 12 | 1 | 173 | 29 | 185 | 30 | 37.0 |
| 42 | 15-Oct - 21-Oct | 5 | 6 |  | 133 | 15 | 139 | 15 | 27.8 |
| 43 | 22-Oct - 28-Oct | 5 | 6 | 1 | 97 | 5 | 103 | 6 | 20.6 |
| 44 | 29-Oct - 4-Nov | 5 | 8 |  | 29 | 8 | 37 | 8 | 7.4 |
| 45 | 5-Nov - 11-Nov | 5 | 11 |  | 23 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 6.8 |
| 46 | 12-Nov - 18-Nov | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1.8 |
| 47 | 19-Nov - 25-Nov | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 0 | 4.0 |
|  | Total: <br> Mean: | 58 | 251 | 5 | 1,341 | 204 | 1,592 | 209 | 27.4 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place August 29 - November 19, 2018 (Julian weeks 35-47).
All Chinook trapped at Willow Creek weir in 2018 were considered Fall run.
b/ Chinook <51 cm FL were considered jacks in 2018 for this graphic, though scale-age proportions were used elsewhere in this report
c/ Adipose fin-clipped Chinook. Number shown is a subset of weekly jack and adult Chinook totals.


Figure 10. Mean catch of fall run Chinook Salmon at Willow Creek weir on the Trinity River, 2018.
Fall Chinook Salmon trapped at WCW averaged 60.5 cm FL (Figure 11), and ranged from 36 cm to 87 cm FL (Appendix 25). Fork length distribution analysis determined the nadir separating jacks from adults to be between 50 and 51 cm FL , although for assigning age classes to the entire run we used HVTFs scale age proportions (see Section 2.4.1). Jacks averaged 45.1 cm and adults averaged 63.2 cm FL. Using 51 cm FL as the minimum adult size, $15.8 \%$ of the 1,592 fall Chinook that were trapped at WCW were considered jacks. Ad-clipped fish comprised $13.1 \%$ (209 of 1,592 ) of the fall Chinook Salmon trapped at WCW.

### 3.3.2. Fall Chinook Salmon Recovery

### 3.3.2.1. Angler Tag Recovery

Anglers reported harvesting 35 ( 6 jack and 29 adult) TRP-tagged fall Chinook Salmon (Appendix 25) resulting in an estimated harvest of 961 fall Chinook Salmon. The estimated harvest rate of fall Chinook upstream of WCW was $4.5 \%$ for jacks and $3.4 \%$ for adults. There were 60 tags ( 15 jacks and 25 adults) returned from the catch-andrelease fishery, and there were 38 tags ( 1 jack and 27 adults) found loose (no live fish attached) that were returned by anglers or other river users.

### 3.3.2.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

There were 52 adult TRP-tagged fall Chinook Salmon recovered (Appendix 25) during spawner surveys in 2018. No TRP-tagged jacks were recovered. No tags were recovered from unspawned dead fish less than 30 days after tagging, so none were identified as tagging mortalities.

### 3.3.2.3. Tagging Mortalities

There was one observed fall Chinook Salmon tagging mortality at WCW in 2018.


Figure 11. Fall Chinook Salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Willow Creek weir, Trinity River Hatchery, and both sites combined during the 2018-19 season. Note: Fish trapped at WCW then recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph. Also, the arrow denotes the size used to separate jack and adults for harvest and catch and release fishery estimates.

### 3.3.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

Fall Chinook Salmon began entering TRH on October 22, 2018 (JW 43) and continued entering through JW 4 (Appendix 17). Recovery of fall Chinook peaked in JW 46 with 1,584 fish, whereas peak week for fall CWT Chinook recovery was JW 44 (Appendix 19). Of the 1,504 fall Chinook effectively tagged at WCW, 409 (27.2\%) were recovered at TRH. Based on run-timing determined from CWT recoveries, an estimated 7,313 (171 jack and 7,142 adult) fall Chinook Salmon returned to TRH in 2018.

### 3.3.2.5. Size and Age of Trapped Fish

Fall Chinook Salmon trapped at TRH averaged 62.7 cm FL (Figure 11). Data from known age, hatchery-marked fall Chinook that entered TRH indicated a minimum adult fork length of 51 cm (Appendix 26). There was little overlap between sizes of age-2 and age-3 fish, and the mean lengths were markedly different. Known age-2 fish averaged 46.5 cm FL and known age-3 fish averaged 63.4 cm FL. Applying the proportions determined from HVT scale analysis, jacks comprised 2.35\% and adults $97.66 \%$ of fall Chinook entering TRH. There were a few age-4 fish (1.2\%) and zero age-5 at TRH in 2018.

### 3.3.3. Fall Chinook Salmon Coded-Wire Tag Recovery and Hatchery Origin Contribution to Runs

The 1,553 CWTs recovered from fall Chinook Salmon at TRH represented eight CWT release groups from BYs 2014-2016 (ages 2-4). There were no known-age 5 fall Chinook recovered in 2018. We considered the 2013 BY to have completed their lifecycle this year. Of the 766,646 (526,760 fingerling and 239,886 yearling) fall Chinook Salmon released from TRH with CWTs for BY 2013, just 416 (0.05\%) returned between 2015 - 2018, well below the mean of 0.75\% (Figure 12, Appendix 27). For the full breakdown of run-size, percent return, and harvest and spawner escapement estimates for TRH CWT fall Chinook Salmon by release group see Appendix 28.


Figure 12. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery produced, coded-wire tagged fall Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2013, based on estimated returns upstream of Willow Creek weir.

Based on the total estimated fall Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of WCW (4,446 jacks and 22,402 adults), the estimated angler harvest rate ( $4.5 \%$ jacks, $3.4 \%$ adults), and the percentage of ad-clipped fall Chinook at WCW also containing CWTs (97.8\%), we estimate the contribution of fall-run CWT Chinook Salmon to the total run of fall Chinook upstream of WCW to be 3,417 in 2018, including 86 jacks and 3,331 adults (Appendix 29). The run is estimated to include 117 CWT fall Chinook Salmon harvested by anglers, 1,568 recovered at TRH and 1,732 available to spawn in natural areas. The age composition of 2018 CWT fall Chinook Salmon returns was 359 ( $2.5 \%$ ) age 2, $13,614(96.5 \%)$ age $3,138(1.0 \%)$ age 4 , and $0(0.0 \%)$ age 5 fish.

Applying production multipliers to CWT recoveries allows for the estimation of HOR fall Chinook Salmon contributions to the total Trinity River fall Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of WCW. In 2018, an estimated 14,111 (359 jack, 13,752 adult) HOR fall Chinook Salmon returned to the Trinity River upstream of WCW, which represents $52.6 \%$ of the combined HOR and NOR run and is slightly above the 28-year mean of 49.9\% (Figure 13, Appendix 30).


Figure 13. Hatchery- and natural-origin contributions to total fall Chinook Salmon run-size, upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1991-2018.

### 3.4. Coho Salmon

### 3.4.1. Coho Salmon Trapping and Tagging

A total of 73 Coho Salmon ( 48 jacks and 25 adults) were trapped at WCW between JWs 39-45 in 2018, all of which were tagged (Table 6, Appendix 31). Trapping averaged 1.3 Coho Salmon per night and peaked in JW 41 at 5.6 per night (Figure 14). Right
maxillary clipped fish comprised 95.9\% (70 of 73) of Coho Salmon trapped at WCW, indicating TRH origin.

Table 6. Weekly summary of Coho Salmon trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek weir during 2018. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Julian week | Inclusive dates | Nights trapped | Number trapped |  |  |  |  |  | Total Coho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | RMclip ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Jacks | Adults | RMclip Adults | Total trapped | Total RM clips |  |
| 35 | 27-Aug- 2-Sep | 3 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36 | 3-Sep - 9-Sep | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 37 | 10-Sep - 16-Sep | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 38 | 17-Sep - 23-Sep | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 39 | 24-Sep - 30-Sep | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 40 | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 1.4 |
| 41 | 8-Oct - 14-Oct | 5 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 28 | 27 | 5.6 |
| 42 | 15-Oct - 21-Oct | 5 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 3.0 |
| 43 | 22-Oct - 28-Oct | 5 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 2.0 |
| 44 | 29-Oct - 4-Nov | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 1.6 |
| 45 | 5-Nov - 11-Nov | 5 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0.6 |
| 46 | 12-Nov - 18-Nov | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 19-Nov - 25-Nov | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Total: Mean: | 58 | 48 | 46 | 25 | 24 | 73 | 70 | 1.3 |

a/Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place August 29 -November 19, 2018 (Julian weeks 39-47).
b/ Coho <49cm FL were considered jacks in 2017.
c/ Right maxillary clipped Coho. Number shown is a subset of weeklyjack and adult Coho totals.


Figure 14. Mean catch of Coho Salmon trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek weir, 2018.

Coho Salmon trapped at WCW and TRH averaged 46.6 cm FL and 56.9 cm FL, respectively, with a combined average of 56.3 cm FL (Figure 15). Using length distribution analysis of Coho trapped at WCW and TRH, the nadir separating jack from adult Coho Salmon was between 48 and 49 cm FL. Based on the nadir, jacks comprised $65.8 \%$ of the run at WCW, and $25.1 \%$ at TRH.

### 3.4.2. Coho Salmon Recovery

### 3.4.2.1. Angler Tag Recovery

There was no reported harvest of TRP-tagged Coho Salmon in 2018. However, there were two tags (from jacks) returned from the catch-and-release fishery, leaving 71 effectively tagged fish. There was one tag found loose (no live fish attached) and returned by an angler or other river user.

### 3.4.2.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

There were no TRP-tagged Coho Salmon recovered during spawner surveys in 2018.

### 3.4.2.3. Tagging Mortalities

We observed zero Coho Salmon mortalities at WCW in 2018.

### 3.4.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

The first Coho Salmon entered TRH during JW 43 and they continued returning through JW 52 of 2018 (Appendix 17). The run peaked in JW 48 when 267 Coho entered TRH.
A total of 742 Coho ( 186 jacks and 556 adults) were recovered at TRH during the season. Of the 71 Coho Salmon effectively tagged at WCW, 35 were recaptured at TRH (Appendix 31).

Of the 742 Coho Salmon that entered TRH in 2018, we observed 700 ( $94.3 \%$ ) with RM clips; 42 (5.7\%) had no clip. Unclipped fish are assumed to be NOR Coho Salmon.

Based on length frequency analysis, TRH-origin RM-clipped Coho Salmon were assigned into two brood years. The 185 Coho measuring less than 49 cm FL were considered jacks (age 2, from the 2016 BY), and the 515 greater than 48 cm FL were considered adults (age 3, from the 2015 BY). The 42 Coho without RM clips that entered the hatchery were also considered jacks or adults based on those lengths.

For information about the juvenile Coho Salmon marking at TRH in 2019, or brood year performance, refer to Appendix 32.

### 3.4.3. Coho Salmon Hatchery-Origin Contributions to Run

Trinity River Hatchery reared Coho Salmon receive RM clips before release to the Trinity River. We estimate the contribution of hatchery-origin fish to the total Trinity River run by applying the RM percentage at WCW to the run-size estimate. In 2018 95.8\% of the jacks and $96.0 \%$ of the adult Coho encountered at WCW were RM-clipped,
therefore we estimate 1,426 (409 jacks and 1,017 adults) of the 1,486 Coho Salmon run-size estimate to be of hatchery-origin.


Figure 15. Coho Salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Willow Creek weir, Trinity River Hatchery, and both sites combined during the 2018-19 season. Note: Fish trapped at WCW then recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph. Also, the arrow denotes the size used to separate jack and adults for analysis.

### 3.5. Adult Fall Steelhead

### 3.5.1. Adult Fall Steelhead Trapping and Tagging

A total of 532 steelhead ( 22 half-pounders and 510 adults) were trapped at WCW between JWs 35-47 in 2018 (Table 7, Figure 16), and 509 of the 510 adults were tagged (Appendix 33). Steelhead trapping peaked in JW 40 when we averaged 34.8 steelhead per night, and ad-clipped steelhead peaked the same week with 22.0 steelhead per night. Hatchery-origin fish comprised 60.0\% (306 of 510) of the adult steelhead trapped at WCW.

Table 7. Weekly summary of fall-run steelhead trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek weir during 2018.

| Julian <br> week | Inclusive dates | Nights trapped | Number trapped ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Fish/ night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1/2 lbers | Ad-clipped $1 / 2$ lbers $^{\text {c }}$ | Adults | Ad-clipped Adults | Total | Ad-clip total |  |
| 35 | 27-Aug - 2-Sep | 3 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 19 | 37 | 19 | 12.3 |
| 36 | 3-Sep - 9-Sep | 4 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 26 | 49 | 26 | 12.3 |
| 37 | 10-Sep - 16-Sep | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 3.0 |
| 38 | 17-Sep - 23-Sep | 5 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 16 | 27 | 17 | 5.4 |
| 39 | 24-Sep - 30-Sep | 5 | 1 | 1 | 85 | 47 | 86 | 48 | 17.2 |
| 40 | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 5 | 11 | 3 | 163 | 107 | 174 | 110 | 34.8 |
| 41 | 8-Oct - 14-Oct | 5 | 3 | 1 | 65 | 34 | 68 | 35 | 13.6 |
| 42 | 15-Oct - 21-Oct | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1.0 |
| 43 | 22-Oct - 28-Oct | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 1.2 |
| 44 | 29-Oct - 4-Nov | 5 | 4 | 1 | 54 | 35 | 58 | 36 | 11.6 |
| 45 | 5-Nov - 11-Nov | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 46 | 12-Nov - 18-Nov | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 47 | 19-Nov - 25-Nov | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | Total: Mean: | 58 | 22 | 8 | 510 | 306 | 532 | 314 | 9.2 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place August 29 - November 19, 2018 (Julian weeks 35-47).
b/ Steelhead $<42 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were considered $1 / 2$ lbers (half-pounders).
c/ Adipose fin-clipped steelhead. Number shown is a subset of weekly half-pounder and adult steelhead totals.

Steelhead trapped at WCW and TRH averaged 55.2 and 57.5 cm FL, respectively, with a combined average of 56.8 cm FL (Figure 17).

Escapement estimates of steelhead upstream of JCW are not an objective of this project, but steelhead are trapped there, and ad-clipped steelhead are tagged for qualitative studies not reported here. Steelhead were trapped nearly every week from JW 24 to 40; 66 total fish, peaking in JW 40 with 11 fish. Two half-pounders (<42 cm FL) and 64 adult steelhead were trapped, including 26 adult ad-clipped fish that were tagged. Fifteen of the JCW tagged steelhead were subsequently recovered at TRH.


Figure 16. Mean catch of fall-run steelhead in the Trinity River at Willow Creek weir, 2018.

### 3.5.2. Adult Fall Steelhead Recovery

### 3.5.2.1. Angler Tag Recovery

There were 11 TRP-tagged steelhead reported as harvested in 2018 (Appendix 33), and 16 tags were found loose on the riverbank and returned by anglers or other river users. There were 54 tags returned from the catch-and-release fishery, leaving 455 effective tags.

### 3.5.2.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

There were no Project-tagged steelhead recovered during spawner surveys in 2018.

### 3.5.2.3. Tagging Mortalities

There were zero steelhead identified as tagging mortalities at WCW in 2018.

### 3.5.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

Steelhead entered TRH during every week the fish ladder was open, except for JW 37 (Appendix 17). Recovery of steelhead peaked in JW 4 of 2019 when 278 steelhead entered TRH. A total of 1,896 adult steelhead (plus 14 half pounders) were recovered at TRH during the season. Of the 455 steelhead effectively tagged at WCW, 146 (32.1\%) were recaptured at TRH. Hatchery-origin fish comprised $98.0 \%(1,859$ of 1,896$)$ of the adult steelhead recovered at TRH in the 2018-2019 season.


Figure 17. Steelhead fork lengths (cm) observed at Willow Creek weir, Trinity River Hatchery and both sites combined during the 2018-19 season. Note: Fish trapped at WCW then recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph. Arrow denotes the size used to separate $1 / 2$ pounders (sub-adults) and adults for analysis.

### 3.5.3. Adult Fall Steelhead Hatchery-Origin Contribution to Run

All TRH reared steelhead receive an adipose clip before release to the Trinity River. We estimate the contribution of hatchery-origin fish to the total Trinity River run by applying the ad-clip percentage of steelhead at WCW to the total run-size estimate. In 2018 60\% of steelhead encountered at WCW were ad-clipped, therefore we estimate $60 \%$, or 3,531 , of the 5,885 adult fall steelhead run estimate to be of hatchery-origin.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Factors Influencing Run-Size, Harvest and Escapement Estimates

Attaining adult NOR salmonid production goals while providing dependent tribal and non-tribal harvest are fundamental objectives of the TRRP. Factors that directly affect salmonid run-size and, therefore, progress toward TRRP goals, include availability and quality of habitat for all life stages, natural mortality, and the amount of ocean and inriver harvest. Environmental conditions are also contributing factors and include oceanatmospheric climate variability over the North Pacific Ocean that result in inter-annual and inter-decadal changes in Pacific salmon survival (Beamish, et. al 2009). In addition, assessing progress toward meeting TRRP objectives depends on the accurate estimation of run sizes and escapements for adult salmonids.

Accuracy and precision of mark-recapture field studies and data analyses directly influence escapement estimates. Accuracy of the modified Petersen mark-recapture estimator relies on a set of assumptions, and estimator bias can occur if assumptions are violated. For example, unaccounted tagging mortality creates a positive bias in mark-recapture studies (Hankin, 2001). Hankin makes evident the magnitude of potential bias in the following scenario: "If 90\% of untagged fish that pass the WCW survive to arrive at TRH"..."but only 75\% of WCW-tagged fish survive to arrive at TRH, then the approximate proportional bias of the total run-size estimator would be ( $0.90 / 0.75$ ) - $1=1.29-1=0.29$, so that the estimator would have a positive proportional bias of almost $30 \%$ ". We take steps to minimize tagging-associated mortality through our operational protocol at the weirs. In the past we observed most tagging mortalities when water temperatures were high (near $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), therefore trapping is suspended if water temperatures exceed $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In addition, fish are not tagged if deemed in poor condition or if they have already spawned. We account for tagging mortalities through recovery of tagged fish found dead during surveys conducted upstream of the weir sites throughout the trapping season, in the main stem Trinity spawning surveys (any unspawned fish within 30 days of tagging), and by checking any TRP-tagged carcasses washed back on the weir for signs of spawning. Tagged fish that are judged to have died due to the stress of handling and/or tagging are removed from the tagged population for purposes of estimating total escapement. Reliance on
experienced crew and adherence to protocol contributes to a relatively small number of tagging mortalities.

Interruption in trapping, or missing part of the run, may violate the assumption that fish trapped and tagged at the weir are a random sample representative of the population. Most often interruption of trapping during the season or missing part of the run before or after the trapping season is due to high river flow. Flow variability results from storm events and releases from Lewiston Dam, both of which affect the timing and duration of high-flow events. This affects JCW more than WCW because of its proximity to the dam and the narrower channel in which JCW sits. The water year designation for the Trinity River in 2018 was "Critically Dry," which corresponds to an allocation of 369,000 acrefeet of water for release to the Trinity River (Interior, 2000). The spring recession of flow releases from Lewiston Dam allowed JCW to be installed by mid-June (Appendix 34), allowing sufficient trapping of the spring Chinook Salmon run. By the time complications from the Carr fire required emergency increases in flow releases from Lewiston Dam in late July the spring Chinook Salmon run was largely past JCW. We were able to begin installation of WCW on August 23, but due to the increased complexity of boat gate installation, video monitoring installation, and high water temperatures, trapping did not begin until August 28 when the downstream USGS (Hoopa Valley) gage measured $\sim 850$ cfs (Appendix 35). There were no fall augmentation flows or Hoopa tribal ceremonial flows in 2018, so we were able to fish throughout the season at WCW despite elevated flows related to the Carr fire and a few minor to moderate rain events.

Estimates of total run size is not affected by potential bias of estimated age proportions when a pooled (vs. stratified) Peterson estimator is used, but biased age proportions will result in biased adult estimates. We know splitting the run into jack and adults based on a hard length cutoff (i.e., using the nadir of fork length distributions) will assign some fish to the wrong age class. However, when we have compared jack vs. adult proportions based on mixture distribution analyses vs. our fork length distribution analysis, bias associated with using the nadir appeared to be insignificant (Kier and Hileman, 2016). We plan to rely solely on scale-age proportions for fall Chinook Salmon going forward, but we have not yet identified an appropriate replacement for other species. Further work should be done to compare the accuracy of nadir-based vs. mixture distribution-based estimates of age-2 proportions as they compare to scalebased estimates. We assume scale-based aging is the least biased method for fish without CWTs, but it is up to managers to allocate funding for scale-based aging of other species.

Estimates of hatchery contributions to total run-size are based, in part, on the overall run-size estimates for each race of Chinook Salmon and corresponding expansion of CWT recoveries. Consequently, they are subject to the precision and potential biases associated with the mark-recapture estimates, as well as the accuracy of reported CWT expansion factors. The effect of this potential bias is most relevant to estimates of NOR and HOR fish spawning in natural areas because hatchery recoveries are actual counts, whereas NOR and HOR proportions in natural spawning grounds are estimated by subtracting angler harvest and expanded CWT recoveries from the natural-area run size
estimate. Estimation of HOR vs. NOR proportions also rely on accurate estimates of expansion factors. If the reported expansion factor is greater or less than the true proportion of HOR fish with CWTs, total hatchery returns would be over- or underestimated, respectively. In addition, we assume the CWT fish that enter the hatchery are representative of the entire CWT population, but if an age or release type of HOR Chinook is more likely to stray than others, then the estimated proportions of HOR fish, based on fish recovered at TRH, will be biased. Data on the TRH-origin Chinook recovered during the 2018 carcass surveys is not yet available due to the 2018-19 federal government shutdown, but we anticipate they will represent tag groups across the range of those that entered TRH, as occurs in most years.

Run-size estimates have the potential for bias (which are positive under most scenarios) in many cases due to violations of underlying assumptions of the estimator. However, biases that may affect estimates of total may not affect hatchery contribution rates since hatchery contribution rates are based on ad-clip rates observed at either JCW or WCW. Even if total run-size were biased, the ad-clip rate would remain the same and result in the same hatchery contribution rates. If, however, HOR fish are more or less vulnerable to capture at the weirs than their natural counterparts, the estimated contribution of hatchery fish could be biased. This could occur, for example, if the run timing of hatchery fish coincided with weir operations more so than natural fish, or vise verse. It could also occur if the weirs were size selective and there is a systematic difference in size distributions of NOR vs. HOR fish. In 2018 we believe trapping at WCW and JCW spanned the majority of fall- and spring-runs, respectively, thus reducing potential bias due to vulnerability of capture based on timing, but we currently do not have a method to evaluate potential size selection at weirs.

The amount of sport and commercial ocean harvest, in-river sport harvest, and tribal harvest affect salmon and steelhead run-size and escapement. Ocean harvest rates and in-river harvest quotas are determined by the Pacific Fisheries Management Council only for the combined Klamath-Trinity fall Chinook Salmon stock and can range from no harvest up to two-thirds of the projected run-size to the basin. Thus, dependent fisheries may have a large impact on fall Chinook Salmon escapement to the basin and to the Trinity River. In 2018 the adult (> 22" total length) quota for the entire KlamathTrinity Basin fall Chinook Salmon run was 3,490, with the Trinity recreational harvest share (33.0\%) of just 1,151 fish. The preliminary estimated recreational harvest of 1,010 (CDFW 2019) has been adjusted to a final estimate closer to 1,045 ( 761 upstream of WCW, and 284 below WCW). The basin-wide estimated harvest of spring Chinook was unknown in 2018, though an estimated $3.7 \%$ of Trinity River spring Chinook Salmon were estimated to have been taken in the recreational fishery upstream of JCW. Coho salmon are protected from sport harvest entirely, and only hatchery marked steelhead are allowed for sport harvest.

Our harvest estimates are based on TRP tags returned by anglers and other river users. Unreported angler harvest of tagged fish results in an under-estimate of harvest rate and a corresponding over-estimate in escapement, even if the total run size is unbiased. Although the number of TRP tags returned is usually sufficient to generate a harvest
estimate, we are trying to increase the rate of tag return, especially from Chinook Salmon anglers. Even when we tag similar numbers of Chinook Salmon and steelhead, tags are returned from the steelhead fishery at a greater rate than from the salmon fishery. Likely explanations for this difference include the longer steelhead season, the fact that emigrating steelhead tend to be more active feeders than Chinook, and potential negative bias in tag return rates for Chinook.

We have attempted for the past number of years to run a side-study, similar to Heubach et al (1992) to determine the reward level at which 100\% of the tags are returned (one of our harvest estimate assumptions) per Bradford and Hankin's (2012) recommendation. Early analysis seemed to show that anglers tend to return tags with greater rewards at higher rates than tags with lessor or no value, as expected. However, small sample sizes continue to complicate drawing robust conclusions from the study overall. One thing we have observed as we have increased the proportion of higher value tags is an increase in the number of people seeking those tags while engaged in activities other than fishing. Numerous tags were returned by people who intentionally searched for them by diving pools below heavily spawned areas of the river, and many tags were returned from salmon carcasses found lying on the riverbanks. While these tags are still "effective," they do not inform the harvest estimate. The 2018 season is the last year we will honor reward tags returned to CDFW after the season is over (i.e., the following year or later), and we were pleased to see an abrupt end to returns shortly before the expiration date now printed on the tags.

Our goal is to trap and tag $5-10 \%$ of the target run(s) at each weir. In 2018, we sampled an estimated $11.6 \%$ of the spring Chinook Salmon run at JCW but only $5.9 \%$ of the fall Chinook Salmon run at WCW, meeting or exceeding our goal at both traps. In 2017 at WCW, we built a tunnel connecting the weir to the trap box for the first time, and we trapped more than $12 \%$ of the estimated run. Fish presumably came upon the barrier of the weir structure and, after exploring for upstream passage, either found the tunnel more easily and/or swam into it more readily than they would have with a trap situated directly upstream of the weir line. One hypothesis of crew members is that the disturbance in flow occurring immediately downstream of the trap box was no longer felt at the weir line. In 2018 we built a tunnel again, but we caught only about $6 \%$ of the run. However, because we also added a video monitoring system (with a light and a camera) and changed the degree to which we opened the weir during the afternoons and weekends, it is not appropriate to compare the effect of the tunnel on trap efficiency between the two years. Hoping to increase our catch at JCW in 2018, we installed a similar tunnel at the beginning of the season, but the weir failure due to the Carr fire damaged some weir panels and forced us to cannibalize the tunnel panels to reconfigure the weir. We hope to install tunnels at both weirs again in 2019.

The video monitoring aspect, a side-study, of the overall monitoring at WCW in 2018 will be reported separately (Lindke, in progress), but likely had some effect on trap efficiency. A light was used from dusk to dawn to illuminate the chute in front of the camera, which seemed to be a barrier for some fish. We observed more holding behavior in the tunnel and below the weir than we had noticed the previous year, and
some fish can be seen on video acting erratically, presumably in response to the light. The weir configuration in 2019 will be very similar to 2017 with a straight line (no "dog leg"), tunnel(s), and no video monitoring. We may test a sonar unit at WCW in 2019, but there will be no lighting and daily and weekend openings will be much more generous than in 2018.

### 4.2 Spring Chinook Salmon

Results from the 2018 mark-recapture study indicate the total run-size of 8,032 (95\% Cl $7,250-8,858$ ), was nearly twice (180\%) last year's run, with the majority of those "additional" fish HOR adults. The estimated contribution of NOR adults showed a slight increase from last year, but it remains below the TRRP annual escapement goal of 6,000 NOR adult spring Chinook (Figure 18).


Figure 18. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural origin spring Chinook Salmon to the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 2002-2018.

Shortly after Prince, et al (2017) published a study which found spring-run Chinook Salmon are genetically distinct from their fall-run counterparts, the Karuk Tribe and the Salmon River Restoration Council petitioned NOAA Fisheries to list under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) the Upper Klamath-Trinity (UKTR) basin Chinook Salmon Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) or, alternatively, to create a new, separate ESU to describe Klamath spring-run Chinook salmon and list that new ESU under the ESA. NOAA Fisheries is currently engaged in a status review to consider whether to
designate a new, separate ESU to describe spring-run Chinook in the UKTR basin, or to evaluate the status of the existing UKTR Chinook Salmon ESU and determine if it warrants listing.

### 4.3. Fall Chinook Salmon

Fall Chinook Salmon run-size of 26,848 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 24,413-29,634$ ) was ranked 27 th of the 42 -year period of record and is $65.7 \%$ of the average run-size of 40,854 across those years. The 2018 escapement of 8,357 natural-origin adult fall Chinook returning to the Trinity basin is well below the 62,000 TRRP goal but made for the third uptick in three years, suggesting the effects of the worst of California's nearly seven year drought may be easing (Figure 19).


Figure 19. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural origin fall Chinook Salmon to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2018.

The surprisingly large percentage of jacks in the 2017 run made for an astoundingly large proportion of three-year-old fall Chinook Salmon in 2018; virtually the entire run ( $82.6 \%$ ) were age three. The pre-season forecast for Klamath River basin fall Chinook Salmon adult returns to the mouth of the Klamath River was 91,900 (PFMC, 2018), and the post-season estimate was a close 92,300 (KRTT, 2019).

### 4.4. Coho Salmon

The 2018 estimated run-size of 1,486 Coho Salmon ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI} 1,084-2,100$ ) is the 39th lowest in 42 years (Figure 20). Coho jacks comprised $28.7 \%$, and the adults $71.3 \%$ of the estimated run, which is the average split across the years on record. We saw a far smaller proportion of adults at WCW than entered TRH (34\% v. 75\%). Natural origin adult contribution (2.9\%) to the total Coho Salmon run was nearly non-existent, and only $3.0 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 1,400 .


Figure 20. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural origin Coho Salmon to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2018.

In 2015, under EPIC v. Lehr, et al (2014), production of Coho Salmon at TRH was reduced from 500,000 to 300,000 until a hatchery genetics management plan can be adopted. Consequently, the fairly severe reduction in escapement observed from 20162018 cannot not be attributed solely to the severe California drought of 2012-2015. The hatchery genetics management plan was submitted in December 2017, and an Environmental Assessment has been written and is currently going through the NOAA Fisheries review/approval process.

The Hoopa Valley Tribe installed a harvest weir spanning the Trinity River downstream of WCW near the southern boundary of the Hoopa Valley Reservation (near Tish Tang Creek confluence) for the third consecutive year in 2018. No details on weir operations, including trapping schedules, or estimate of harvest for Coho Salmon are publicly available. We suspect that an additional weir six miles downstream of WCW could
increase weir wariness and stress, but effects on Coho Salmon have not been quantified or investigated.

### 4.5 Adult Fall Steelhead

The 2018 run-size estimate for adult fall steelhead of $5,885(95 \%$ CI $5,007-6,835)$ is ranked 26 (and is $41 \%$ of the average run-size of 14,225 ) over the 35 -year period of record. The 2018 total escapement of 5,728 adult steelhead was comprised of only $40 \%$ NOR fish (Figure 21) overall, up 5\% over 2017 and quite close to the average $43 \%$ for the 24 years we have all the information needed to make the comparison.


Figure 21. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural origin steelhead to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2018.

The lawsuit and consent decree that curtailed production of Coho Salmon at TRH also affected production of steelhead at TRH. In 2014 production was decreased from 800,000 to no more than 448,000 steelhead, and limitations were placed on time of release. Hatchery-origin fish make up a much larger proportion of populations of steelhead and Coho Salmon in the Trinity River as compared to Chinook Salmon. Consequently, reductions in hatchery production are expected to have a larger effect on total returns for these species, which makes it more difficult to associate the recent
decline in population sizes to recent changes in environmental conditions such as the 2012-2014 California drought and poor ocean conditions.

Harvest has been limited to hatchery-origin steelhead since 1998. Pre-1998 harvest rates on steelhead averaged $13.4 \%$ but has since dropped to $3.1 \%$. The catch-andrelease fishery continues to be more popular than harvest among steelhead anglers.

## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Run-size and escapement estimates of NOR and HOR spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall steelhead in the Trinity River basin should be continued on an annual basis to maintain short and long term baselines which help assess objectives stated in the IAP and ROD and inform adaptive management decision making.
- Management and operations of the TRRP and TRH should be coordinated to ensure that objectives for natural fish production and hatchery management goals are synchronized across restoration and mitigation programs.
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## 7. APPENDICES

Appendix 1. List of Julian weeks and their calendar date equivalents.


[^3]Appendix 2. Spring Chinook Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 1978-2018.

| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Adults |  | Total | Natural Area Spawers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks Adults |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Jacks | Adults |  | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | \% |  |  | Number | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  | estimates | S |  |  | estimat |  | 385 | 1,124 | 1,509 | no | mates |  |  |
| 1978 | 190 | 1.0 | 18,816 | 99.0 | 19,006 | 29 | 14,384 | 14,413 | 153 | 3,680 | 3,833 | 8 | 752 | 760 | c/ |
| 1979 | 113 | 1.4 | 7,964 | 98.6 | 8,077 | 0 | 5,008 | 5,008 | 113 | 1,658 | 1,771 | 0 | 1,298 | 1,298 |  |
| 1980 | 1,949 | 45.9 | 2,301 | 54.1 | 4,250 | 1,312 | 1,614 | 2,926 | 353 | 547 | 900 | 284 | 140 | 424 |  |
| 1981 | 347 | 4.2 | 7,913 | 95.8 | 8,260 | 242 | 3,362 | 3,604 | 95 | 2,405 | 2,500 | 10 | 2,146 | 2,156 |  |
| 1982 | 656 | 10.3 | 5,731 | 89.7 | 6,387 | 387 | 3,868 | 4,255 | 150 | 1,226 | 1,376 | 119 | 637 | 756 |  |
| 1983 |  |  | estimat | s |  |  | estimat | es | 385 | 930 | 1,315 | no esti | mates |  |  |
| 1984 | 255 | 9.4 | 2,465 | 90.6 | 2,720 | 140 | 1,354 | 1,494 | 76 | 736 | 812 | 39 | 375 | 414 |  |
| 1985 | 1,434 | 14.8 | 8,278 | 85.2 | 9,712 | 799 | 4,897 | 5,696 | 508 | 2,645 | 3,153 | 127 | 736 | 863 | d/ |
| 1986 | 7,018 | 23.1 | 23,403 | 76.9 | 30,421 | 4,335 | 13,371 | 17,706 | 1,461 | 7,083 | 8,544 | 1,222 | 2,949 | 4,171 |  |
| 1987 | 4,858 | 9.5 | 46,016 | 90.5 | 50,874 | 2,577 | 29,083 | 31,660 | 1,387 | 8,466 | 9,853 | 894 | 8,467 | 9,361 |  |
| 1988 | 720 | 1.1 | 61,972 | 98.9 | 62,692 | 241 | 39,329 | 39,570 | 377 | 13,905 | 14,282 | 102 | 8,738 | 8,840 |  |
| 1989 | 502 | 1.9 | 25,804 | 98.1 | 26,306 | 435 | 18,241 | 18,676 | 17 | 4,983 | 5,000 | 50 | 2,580 | 2,630 |  |
| 1990 | 265 | 4.1 | 6,123 | 95.9 | 6,388 | 126 | 2,880 | 3,006 | 104 | 2,433 | 2,537 | 35 | 810 | 845 |  |
| 1991 | 190 | 8.0 | 2,191 | 92.0 | 2,381 | 92 | 1,268 | 1,360 | 71 | 614 | 685 | 27 | 309 | 336 |  |
| 1992 | 1,671 | 41.5 | 2,359 | 58.5 | 4,030 | 944 | 942 | 1,886 | 533 | 1,313 | 1,846 | 194 | 104 | 298 | d/ |
| 1993 | 68 | 1.3 | 5,164 | 98.7 | 5,232 | 37 | 2,111 | 2,148 | 31 | 2,630 | 2,661 | 0 | 423 | 423 | d/ |
| 1994 | 1,793 | 26.4 | 4,995 | 73.6 | 6,788 | 550 | 2,897 | 3,447 | 944 | 1,943 | 2,887 | 299 | 155 | 454 | d/ |
| 1995 |  |  | estimat | es |  |  | estimat | es | 385 | 8,722 | 9,107 | no est | mates |  |  |
| 1996 | 489 | 2.1 | 22,927 | 97.9 | 23,416 | 370 | 16,283 | 16,653 | 119 | 5,131 | 5,250 | 0 | 1,513 | 1,513 | d/ |
| 1997 | 768 | 3.8 | 19,271 | 96.2 | 20,039 | 543 | 13,049 | 13,592 | 225 | 4,892 | 5,117 | 0 | 1,330 | 1,330 | d/ |
| 1998 | 802 | 5.0 | 15,365 | 95.0 | 16,167 | 567 | 9,057 | 9,624 | 184 | 4,679 | 4,863 | 51 | 1,629 | 1,680 | d/ |
| 1999 | 1,028 | 9.1 | 10,265 | 90.9 | 11,293 | 440 | 5,968 | 6,408 | 547 | 3,671 | 4,218 | 41 | 626 | 667 | d |
| 2000 | 2,159 | 8.3 | 23,923 | 91.7 | 26,082 | 1,264 | 10,846 | 12,110 | 571 | 11,594 | 12,165 | 324 | 1,483 | 1,807 | d/ |
| 2001 | 2,065 | 10.5 | 17,556 | 89.5 | 19,621 | 1,178 | 10,284 | 11,462 | 629 | 6,366 | 6,995 | 258 | 906 | 1,164 | d/ |
| 2002 | 2,575 | 6.7 | 35,910 | 93.3 | 38,485 | 1,883 | 23,674 | 25,557 | 617 | 10,440 | 11,057 | 75 | 1,796 | 1,871 | d/ |
| 2003 | 1,039 | 2.2 | 46,756 | 97.8 | 47,795 | 909 | 30,211 | 31,120 | 130 | 14,512 | 14,642 | 0 | 2,033 | 2,033 | d/ |
| 2004 | 2,929 | 18.1 | 13,218 | 81.9 | 16,147 | 1,708 | 7,314 | 9,022 | 985 | 5,251 | 6,236 | 236 | 653 | 889 | d/ |
| 2005 | 55 | 0.4 | 13,929 | 99.6 | 13,984 | 30 | 6,003 | 6,033 | 25 | 6,966 | 6,991 | 0 | 961 | 961 | d/ |
| 2006 | 1,963 | 26.2 | 5,520 | 73.8 | 7,483 | 1,127 | 2,955 | 4,082 | 819 | 2,565 | 3,384 | 17 | 0 | 17 | d/ |
| 2007 | 135 | 0.9 | 14,700 | 99.1 | 14,835 | 80 | 8,154 | 8,234 | 55 | 5,981 | 6,036 | 0 | 565 | 565 | d/ |
| 2008 | 2,218 | 21.6 | 8,065 | 78.4 | 10,283 | 1,741 | 4,470 | 6,211 | 329 | 3,437 | 3,766 | 148 | 158 | 306 | d |
| 2009 | 260 | 3.5 | 7,166 | 96.5 | 7,426 | 191 | 3,724 | 3,915 | 69 | 3,000 | 3,069 | 0 | 442 | 442 | d/ |
| 2010 | 1,554 | 13.8 | 9,731 | 86.2 | 11,285 | 1,309 | 6,810 | 8,119 | 245 | 2,457 | 2,702 | 0 | 463 | 463 | d/ |
| 2011 | 8,087 | 42.1 | 11,132 | 57.9 | 19,219 | 5,217 | 7,309 | 12,526 | 2,758 | 3,823 | 6,581 | 112 | 0 | 112 | d/ |
| 2012 | 813 | 3.2 | 24,804 | 96.8 | 25,617 | 542 | 16,117 | 16,659 | 109 | 6,712 | 6,821 | 163 | 1,976 | 2,139 | d/ |
| 2013 | 281 | 3.1 | 8,680 | 96.9 | 8,961 | 185 | 5,956 | 6,141 | 96 | 2,482 | 2,578 | 0 | 243 | 243 | d/ |
| 2014 | 660 | 9.5 | 6,298 | 90.5 | 6,958 | 282 | 2,833 | 3,115 | 362 | 3,255 | 3,617 | 16 | 210 | 226 | d/ |
| 2015 | 490 | 11.1 | 3,918 | 88.9 | 4,408 | 250 | 1,980 | 2,230 | 240 | 1,748 | 1,988 | 0 | 190 | 190 | d/ |
| 2016 | 545 | 14.0 | 3,359 | 86.0 | 3,904 | 250 | 1,331 | 1,581 | 277 | 1,830 | 2,107 | 18 | 198 | 216 | d/ |
| 2017 | 802 | 18.1 | 3,623 | 81.9 | 4,425 | 481 | 2,459 | 2,940 | 246 | 1,134 | 1,380 | 75 | 29 | 104 | d/ |
| 2018 | 927 | 11.5 | 7,105 | 88.5 | 8,032 | 507 | 4,352 | 4,859 | 420 | 2,488 | 2,908 | 0 | 265 | 265 | d/ |

a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
b/ Jacks are two year old salmon, adults are three years old or older.
c/ The 1978 sport harvest of spring Chinook Salmon was limited by a salmon fishing closure beginning August 25, 1978.
d/ The sport harvest of adult spring Chinook Salmonwas subject to seasonal and size limit restrictions.

Appendix 3. Spring Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 1978-2018. a


[^4]Appendix 4. Spring Chinook Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 2002-2018, showing natural- and Trinity River Hatchery-origin composition.

| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Adults |  | Total |  | Natural Area Spawers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |
|  |  |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number |  |  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 NATURAL | 1,238 | 10\% | 11,398 | 90\% | 12,636 | 1,109 | 10,097 | 11,206 | 87 | 722 | 809 | 41 | 579 | 620 |
| 2002 TRH | 1,337 | 5\% | 24,512 | 95\% | 25,849 | 774 | 13,577 | 14,351 | 530 | 9,718 | 10,248 | 34 | 1,217 | 1,251 |
| 2002 TOTAL | 2,575 | 7\% | 35,910 | 93\% | 38,485 | 1,883 | 23,674 | 25,557 | 617 | 10,440 | 11,057 | 75 | 1,796 | 1,871 |
| 2003 NATURAL | 740 | 5\% | 13,509 | 95\% | 14,249 | 729 | 11,490 | 12,219 | 11 | 1,432 | 1,443 | 0 | 587 | 587 |
| 2003 TRH | 299 | 1\% | 33,247 | 99\% | 33,546 | 180 | 18,721 | 18,901 | 119 | 13,080 | 13,199 | 0 | 1,446 | 1,446 |
| 2003 TOTAL | 1,039 | 2\% | 46,756 | 98\% | 47,795 | 909 | 30,211 | 31,120 | 130 | 14,512 | 14,642 | 0 | 2,033 | 2,033 |
| 2004 NATURAL | 1,266 | 26\% | 3,556 | 74\% | 4,822 | 1,009 | 2,966 | 3,975 | 154 | 410 | 564 | 103 | 180 | 283 |
| 2004 TRH | 1,663 | 15\% | 9,662 | 85\% | 11,325 | 699 | 4,348 | 5,047 | 831 | 4,841 | 5,672 | 133 | 473 | 606 |
| 2004 TOTAL | 2,929 | 18\% | 13,218 | 82\% | 16,147 | 1,708 | 7,314 | 9,022 | 985 | 5,251 | 6,236 | 236 | 653 | 889 |
| 2005 NATURAL | -14 | 0\% | 3,032 | 100\% | 3,018 | -2 | 2,028 | 2,026 | -11 | 799 | 788 | 0 | 206 | 206 |
| 2005 TRH | 69 | 1\% | 10,897 | 99\% | 10,966 | 32 | 3,975 | 4,007 | 36 | 6,167 | 6,203 | 0 | 755 | 755 |
| 2005 TOTAL | 55 | 0\% | 13,929 | 100\% | 13,984 | 30 | 6,003 | 6,033 | 25 | 6,966 | 6,991 | 0 | 961 | 961 |
| 2006 NATURAL | 914 | 24\% | 2,911 | 76\% | 3,825 | 792 | 2,418 | 3,210 | 114 | 494 | 608 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 2006 TRH | 1,049 | 29\% | 2,609 | 71\% | 3,658 | 335 | 537 | 872 | 705 | 2,071 | 2,776 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 2006 TOTAL | 1,963 | 26\% | 5,520 | 74\% | 7,483 | 1,127 | 2,955 | 4,082 | 819 | 2,565 | 3,384 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 2007 NATURAL | 56 | 2\% | 2,680 | 98\% | 2,736 | 67 | 1,705 | 1,772 | -11 | 862 | 851 | 0 | 113 | 113 |
| 2007 TRH | 79 | 1\% | 12,020 | 99\% | 12,099 | 13 | 6,449 | 6,462 | 66 | 5,119 | 5,185 | 0 | 452 | 452 |
| 2007 TOTAL | 135 | 1\% | 14,700 | 99\% | 14,835 | 80 | 8,154 | 8,234 | 55 | 5,981 | 6,036 | 0 | 565 | 565 |
| 2008 NATURAL | 1,846 | 32\% | 3,860 | 68\% | 5,706 | 1,614 | 3,210 | 4,824 | 108 | 571 | 679 | 123 | 79 | 202 |
| 2008 TRH | 372 | 8\% | 4,205 | 92\% | 4,577 | 127 | 1,260 | 1,387 | 221 | 2,866 | 3,087 | 25 | 79 | 104 |
| 2008 TOTAL | 2,218 | 22\% | 8,065 | 78\% | 10,283 | 1,741 | 4,470 | 6,211 | 329 | 3,437 | 3,766 | 148 | 158 | 306 |
| 2009 NATURAL | 175 | 5\% | 3,278 | 95\% | 3,453 | 155 | 2,672 | 2,827 | 20 | 404 | 424 | 0 | 202 | 202 |
| 2009 TRH | 85 | 2\% | 3,888 | 98\% | 3,973 | 36 | 1,052 | 1,088 | 49 | 2,596 | 2,645 | 0 | 240 | 240 |
| 2009 TOTAL | 260 | 4\% | 7,166 | 96\% | 7,426 | 191 | 3,724 | 3,915 | 69 | 3,000 | 3,069 | 0 | 442 | 442 |
| 2010 NATURAL | 1,020 | 15\% | 5,756 | 85\% | 6,776 | 959 | 5,066 | 6,025 | 61 | 321 | 382 | 0 | 368 | 368 |
| 2010 TRH | 534 | 12\% | 3,975 | 88\% | 4,509 | 350 | 1,744 | 2,094 | 184 | 2,136 | 2,320 | 0 | 95 | 95 |
| 2010 TOTAL | 1,554 | 14\% | 9,731 | 86\% | 11,285 | 1,309 | 6,810 | 8,119 | 245 | 2,457 | 2,702 | 0 | 463 | 463 |
| 2011 NATURAL | 3,592 | 38\% | 5,781 | 62\% | 9,373 | 3,350 | 5,577 | 8,927 | 193 | 204 | 397 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| 2011 TRH | 4,495 | 46\% | 5,351 | 54\% | 9,846 | 1,867 | 1,732 | 3,599 | 2,565 | 3,619 | 6,184 | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| 2011 TOTAL | 8,087 | 42\% | 11,132 | 58\% | 19,219 | 5,217 | 7,309 | 12,526 | 2,758 | 3,823 | 6,581 | 112 | 0 | 112 |
| 2012 NATURAL | 251 | 3\% | 9,060 | 97\% | 9,311 | 116 | 7,569 | 7,685 | 31 | 788 | 819 | 105 | 703 | 808 |
| 2012 TRH | 562 | 3\% | 15,744 | 97\% | 16,306 | 426 | 8,548 | 8,974 | 78 | 5,924 | 6,002 | 58 | 1,273 | 1,331 |
| 2012 TOTAL | 813 | 3\% | 24,804 | 97\% | 25,617 | 542 | 16,117 | 16,659 | 109 | 6,712 | 6,821 | 163 | 1,976 | 2,139 |
| 2013 NATURAL | 146 | 5\% | 2,669 | 95\% | 2,815 | 127 | 2,487 | 2,614 | 19 | 116 | 135 | 0 | 67 | 67 |
| 2013 TRH | 135 | 2\% | 6,011 | 98\% | 6,146 | 58 | 3,469 | 3,527 | 77 | 2,366 | 2,443 | 0 | 176 | 176 |
| 2013 TOTAL | 281 | 3\% | 8,680 | 97\% | 8,961 | 185 | 5,956 | 6,141 | 96 | 2,482 | 2,578 | 0 | 243 | 243 |
| 2014 NATURAL | 132 | 6\% | 1,998 | 94\% | 2,130 | 49 | 1,559 | 1,608 | 80 | 372 | 452 | 3 | 66 | 211 |
| 2014 TRH | 528 | 11\% | 4,300 | 89\% | 4,828 | 233 | 1,274 | 1,507 | 282 | 2,883 | 3,165 | 13 | 144 | 15 |
| 2014 TOTAL | 660 | 9\% | 6,298 | 91\% | 6,958 | 282 | 2,833 | 3,115 | 362 | 3,255 | 3,617 | 16 | 210 | 226 |
| 2015 NATURAL | 177 | 13\% | 1,146 | 87\% | 1,323 | 123 | 817 | 940 | 55 | 273 | 327 | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| 2015 TRH | 313 | 10\% | 2,772 | 90\% | 3,085 | 127 | 1,163 | 1,290 | 185 | 1,475 | 1,661 | 0 | 134 | 134 |
| 2015 TOTAL | 490 | 11\% | 3,918 | 89\% | 4,408 | 250 | 1,980 | 2,230 | 240 | 1,748 | 1,988 | 0 | 190 | 190 |
| 2016 NATURAL | 178 | 12\% | 1,337 | 88\% | 1,515 | 155 | 1,168 | 1,323 | 17 | 90 | 107 | 6 | 79 | 85 |
| 2016 TRH | 367 | 15\% | 2,022 | 85\% | 2,389 | 95 | 163 | 258 | 260 | 1,740 | 2,000 | 12 | 119 | 131 |
| 2016 TOTAL | 545 | 14\% | 3,359 | 86\% | 3,904 | 250 | 1,331 | 1,581 | 277 | 1,830 | 2,107 | 18 | 198 | 216 |
| 2017 NATURAL | 309 | 17\% | 1,466 | 83\% | 1,775 | 322 | 1,429 | 1,751 | -42 | 25 | -17 | 29 | 12 | 41 |
| 2017 TRH | 493 | 19\% | 2,157 | 81\% | 2,650 | 159 | 1,030 | 1,189 | 288 | 1,109 | 1,397 | 46 | 17 | 63 |
| 2017 TOTAL | 802 | 18\% | 3,623 | 82\% | 4,425 | 481 | 2,459 | 2,940 | 246 | 1,134 | 1,380 | 75 | 29 | 104 |
| 2018 NATURAL | 346 | 15\% | 2,032 | 85\% | 2,378 | 295 | 1,650 | 1,945 | 51 | 288 | 339 | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| 2018 TRH | 581 | 10\% | 5,073 | 90\% | 5,654 | 212 | 2,702 | 2,914 | 369 | 2,200 | 2,569 | 0 | 190 | 190 |
| 2018 TOTAL | 927 | 12\% | 7,105 | 88\% | 8,032 | 507 | 4,352 | 4,859 | 420 | 2,488 | 2,908 | 0 | 265 | 265 |

a/ Jacks are two year old salmon, adults are three years old or older.
b/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
c/ The sport harvest of spring Chinook Salmon was subject to seasonal and size limit restrictions

Appendix 5. Spring Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 2002-2018, showing natural origin (NOR) and Trinity River Hatchery (HOR) origin composition.


Appendix 6. Fall Chinook Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2018.

| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Adults |  | Total | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Jacks | Adults |  | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Perce |  |  | Number | Perce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 14,318 | 43.5 | 18,596 | 56.5 | 32,914 | 9,737 | 13,501 | 23,238 | 2,177 | 2,035 | 4,212 | 2,404 | 3,060 | 5,464 |  |
| 1978 | 6,037 | 14.0 | 37,086 | 86.0 | 43,123 | 4,712 | 31,052 | 35,764 | 1,325 | 6,034 | 7,359 | Fishing | closure | 0 |  |
| 1979 | 5,665 | 35.0 | 10,520 | 65.0 | 16,185 | 3,936 | 8,028 | 11,964 | 964 | 1,335 | 2,299 | 765 | 1,157 | 1,922 |  |
| 1980 | 21,549 | 62.7 | 12,797 | 37.3 | 34,346 | 16,837 | 7,700 | 24,537 | 2,256 | 4,099 | 6,355 | 2,456 | 998 | 3,454 |  |
| 1981 | 8,366 | 28.6 | 20,884 | 71.4 | 29,250 | 5,906 | 15,340 | 21,246 | 1,004 | 2,370 | 3,374 | 1,456 | 3,174 | 4,630 |  |
| 1982 | 14,938 | 52.2 | 13,653 | 47.8 | 28,591 | 8,149 | 9,274 | 17,423 | 4,235 | 2,058 | 6,293 | 2,554 | 2,321 | 4,875 |  |
| 1983 | 1,240 | 4.7 | 25,138 | 95.3 | 26,378 | 853 | 17,284 | 18,137 | 271 | 5,494 | 5,765 | 116 | 2,360 | 2,476 |  |
| 1984 | 4,575 | 34.8 | 8,556 | 65.2 | 13,131 | 3,416 | 5,654 | 9,070 | 766 | 2,166 | 2,932 | 393 | 736 | 1,129 |  |
| 1985 | 53,062 | 81.6 | 11,954 | 18.4 | 65,016 | 29,454 | 9,217 | 38,671 | 18,166 | 2,583 | 20,749 | 5,442 | 154 | 5,596 |  |
| 1986 | 27,506 | 18.6 | 120,382 | 81.4 | 147,888 | 20,459 | 92,548 | 113,007 | 3,609 | 15,795 | 19,404 | 3,438 | 12,039 | 15,477 |  |
| 1987 | 9,325 | 8.9 | 95,287 | 91.1 | 104,612 | 5,949 | 71,920 | 77,869 | 2,453 | 13,934 | 16,387 | 923 | 9,433 | 10,356 |  |
| 1988 | 18,113 | 20.3 | 71,309 | 79.7 | 89,422 | 10,626 | 44,616 | 55,242 | 4,752 | 17,352 | 22,104 | 2,735 | 9,341 | 12,076 |  |
| 1989 | 2,991 | 6.4 | 43,631 | 93.6 | 46,622 | 2,543 | 29,445 | 31,988 | 239 | 11,132 | 11,371 | 209 | 3,054 | 3,263 |  |
| 1990 | 634 | 6.3 | 9,358 | 93.7 | 9,992 | 241 | 7,682 | 7,923 | 371 | 1,348 | 1,719 | 22 | 328 | 350 |  |
| 1991 | 681 | 7.4 | 8,526 | 92.6 | 9,207 | 382 | 4,867 | 5,249 | 205 | 2,482 | 2,687 | 94 | 1,177 | 1,271 |  |
| 1992 | 2,932 | 20.7 | 11,232 | 79.3 | 14,164 | 2,563 | 7,139 | 9,702 | 211 | 3,779 | 3,990 | 158 | 314 | 472 |  |
| 1993 | 3,381 | 32.2 | 7,104 | 67.8 | 10,485 | 2,473 | 5,898 | 8,371 | 736 | 815 | 1,551 | 172 | 391 | 563 |  |
| 1994 | 7,494 | 34.2 | 14,430 | 65.8 | 21,924 | 2,505 | 10,906 | 13,411 | 4,442 | 3,264 | 7,706 | 547 | 260 | 807 |  |
| 1995 | 9,892 | 9.4 | 95,833 | 90.6 | 105,725 | 9,262 | 77,876 | 87,138 | 76 | 15,178 | 15,254 | 554 | 2,779 | 3,333 |  |
| 1996 | 5,072 | 9.1 | 50,574 | 90.9 | 55,646 | 4,478 | 42,646 | 47,124 | 249 | 6,411 | 6,660 | 345 | 1,517 | 1,862 |  |
| 1997 | 3,767 | 17.6 | 17,580 | 82.4 | 21,347 | 2,845 | 11,507 | 14,352 | 820 | 5,387 | 6,207 | 102 | 686 | 788 |  |
| 1998 | 2,307 | 5.3 | 40,882 | 94.7 | 43,189 | 1,974 | 24,460 | 26,434 | 192 | 14,296 | 14,488 | 141 | 2,126 | 2,267 |  |
| 1999 | 6,583 | 35.6 | 11,933 | 64.4 | 18,516 | 4,154 | 6,753 | 10,907 | 2,027 | 5,037 | 7,064 | 402 | 143 | 545 |  |
| 2000 | 3,163 | 5.7 | 52,310 | 94.3 | 55,473 | 1,964 | 24,880 | 26,844 | 1,028 | 26,018 | 27,046 | 171 | 1,412 | 1,583 |  |
| 2001 | 1,214 | 2.1 | 55,895 | 97.9 | 57,109 | 914 | 36,152 | 37,066 | 204 | 17,971 | 18,175 | 96 | 1,772 | 1,868 |  |
| 2002 | 3,812 | 21.0 | 14,344 | 79.0 | 18,156 | 2,566 | 10,310 | 12,876 | 1,078 | 3,475 | 4,553 | 168 | 559 | 727 |  |
| 2003 | 1,547 | 2.4 | 62,815 | 97.6 | 64,362 | 758 | 31,195 | 31,953 | 634 | 29,752 | 30,386 | 155 | 1,867 | 2,022 |  |
| 2004 | 5,224 | 17.7 | 24,310 | 82.3 | 29,534 | 3,839 | 11,545 | 15,384 | 1,059 | 12,384 | 13,443 | 327 | 381 | 708 |  |
| 2005 | 899 | 3.2 | 27,332 | 96.8 | 28,231 | 751 | 12,717 | 13,468 | 48 | 13,758 | 13,806 | 100 | 856 | 956 |  |
| 2006 | 12,290 | 35.2 | 22,622 | 64.8 | 34,912 | 8,228 | 14,566 | 22,794 | 3,938 | 8,056 | 11,994 | 124 | 0 | 124 |  |
| 2007 | 886 | 1.5 | 57,987 | 98.5 | 58,873 | 765 | 38,967 | 39,732 | 33 | 18,081 | 18,114 | 89 | 939 | 1,028 |  |
| 2008 | 7,856 | 34.2 | 15,141 | 65.8 | 22,997 | 6,861 | 10,408 | 17,269 | 801 | 4,451 | 5,252 | 194 | 281 | 475 |  |
| 2009 | 6,018 | 20.3 | 23,575 | 79.7 | 29,593 | 5,732 | 15,663 | 21,395 | 141 | 7,353 | 7,494 | 145 | 559 | 704 |  |
| 2010 | 12,554 | 30.8 | 28,238 | 69.2 | 40,792 | 10,969 | 20,301 | 31,270 | 1,458 | 7,749 | 9,207 | 127 | 188 | 315 |  |
| 2011 | 35,277 | 43.6 | 45,542 | 56.4 | 80,819 | 32,527 | 30,810 | 63,337 | 1,840 | 13,882 | 15,722 | 910 | 851 | 1,761 |  |
| 2012 | 5,243 | 7.1 | 68,423 | 92.9 | 73,666 | 5,120 | 49,317 | 54,437 | 92 | 17,461 | 17,553 | 31 | 1,644 | 1,675 |  |
| 2013 | 6,717 | 18.2 | 30,272 | 81.8 | 36,989 | 6,582 | 25,675 | 32,257 | 135 | 3,717 | 3,852 | 0 | 880 | 880 |  |
| 2014 | 6,938 | 18.3 | 30,892 | 81.7 | 37,830 | 6,603 | 23,105 | 29,708 | 221 | 6,975 | 7,196 | 114 | 812 | 926 |  |
| 2015 | 2,750 | 26.5 | 7,615 | 73.5 | 10,365 | 2,505 | 4,451 | 6,956 | 224 | 3,129 | 3,353 | 21 | 35 | 56 |  |
| 2016 | 1,661 | 26.8 | 4,535 | 73.2 | 6,196 | 1,260 | 3,353 | 4,613 | 401 | 1,142 | 1,543 | 0 | 40 | 40 |  |
| 2017 | 7,355 | 47.6 | 8,100 | 52.4 | 15,455 | 5,492 | 4,330 | 9,822 | 1,863 | 3,770 | 5,633 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2018 | 4,446 | 16.6 | 22,402 | 83.4 | 26,848 | 4,075 | 14,499 | 18,574 | 171 | 7,142 | 7,313 | 200 | 761 | 961 |  |

[^5]Appendix 7. Fall Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2018.


Appendix 8. Fall Chinook Salmon estimated run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2018, showing natural- and Trinity River Hatchery-origin composition.

a/ Natural area spawners include both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
b/ Jacks are two year old fish, adults are a minimum of three years old.
c/ Negative numbers occur when the estimated number of hatchery fish, based on expansion of coded-wire tag recoveries for sampling and production, exceeds the estimated total number of fish
d/ The 1999-2018 sport harvest of Klamath Basin fall Chinook was managed with a quota system. The quota for adult fall Chinook was 957 in 1999; 693 in 2000; 9,834 in 2001; 6,926 in 2002; 10,800 in 2003; 4,700 in 2004; 1,262 in 2005, zero in 2006, 10,600 in 2007, 20,500 in 2008, 30,800 in 2009, 12,000 in 2010, 7,900 in 2011, 67,600 in 2012, 40,006 in 2013, 4,128 in 2014, 14, 133 in 2015, 1,110 in 2016, zero (no allowable harvest) in 2017, and 3,490 in 2018.

Appendix 9. Fall Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2018, showing natural origin (NOR) and Trinity River Hatchery (HOR) origin composition.


Appendix 10. Coho Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for teh Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2018.

| YEAR | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Number | Percent | Total | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Adults |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |
| 1977 | 3,106 | 80.5 | 752 | 19.5 | 3,858 | 1,756 | 25 | 1,781 | 1,230 | 698 | 1,928 | 120 | 29 | 149 |
| 1978 | 6,685 | 73.2 | 2,447 | 26.8 | 9,132 | 4,309 | 1,168 | 5,477 | 2,376 | 1,279 | 3,655 | Fish | ing closu |  |
| 1979 | 9,067 | 78.0 | 2,557 | 22.0 | 11,624 | 5,567 | 1,695 | 7,262 | 2,793 | 742 | 3,535 | 707 | 120 | 827 |
| 1980 | 2,499 | 41.0 | 3,595 | 59.0 | 6,094 | 954 | 1,817 | 2,771 | 1,545 | 1,778 | 3,323 |  |  | 0 |
| 1981 | 6,144 | 56.0 | 4,826 | 44.0 | 10,970 | 3,486 | 1,995 | 5,481 | 1,994 | 2,529 | 4,523 | 664 | 302 | 966 |
| 1982 | 2,021 | 17.5 | 9,508 | 82.5 | 11,529 | 1,158 | 5,097 | 6,255 | 823 | 3,975 | 4,798 | 40 | 436 | 476 |
| 1983 | 536 | 27.2 | 1,435 | 72.8 | 1,971 | 295 | 788 | 1,083 | 192 | 514 | 706 | 49 | 133 | 182 |
| 1984 | 15,208 | 77.2 | 4,486 | 22.8 | 19,694 | 6,188 | 2,971 | 9,159 | 7,727 | 1,134 | 8,861 | 1,293 | 381 | 1,674 |
| 1985 | 9,216 | 23.7 | 29,717 | 76.3 | 38,933 | 4,798 | 21,586 | 26,384 | 4,237 | 7,549 | 11,786 | 181 | 582 | $763{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1986 | 18,909 | 67.6 | 9,063 | 32.4 | 27,972 | 13,034 | 6,247 | 19,281 | 5,402 | 2,589 | 7,991 | 473 | 227 | 700 |
| 1987 | 7,253 | 12.3 | 51,826 | 87.7 | 59,079 | 3,975 | 28,398 | 32,373 | 2,865 | 20,473 | 23,338 | 413 | 2,955 | 3,368 |
| 1988 | 2,731 | 7.0 | 36,173 | 93.0 | 38,904 | 1,850 | 22,277 | 24,127 | 743 | 12,073 | 12,816 | 138 | 1,823 | 1,961 |
| 1989 | 290 | 1.5 | 18,462 | 98.5 | 18,752 | 208 | 13,274 | 13,482 | 77 | 4,893 | 4,970 | 5 | 295 | 300 |
| 1990 | 412 | 10.6 | 3,485 | 89.4 | 3,897 | 234 | 1,981 | 2,215 | 173 | 1,462 | 1,635 | 5 | 42 | 47 |
| 1991 | 265 | 2.9 | 8,859 | 97.1 | 9,124 | 164 | 6,163 | 6,327 | 98 | 2,590 | 2,688 | 3 | 106 | 109 |
| 1992 | 2,378 | 23.0 | 7,961 | 77.0 | 10,339 | 1,168 | 5,565 | 6,733 | 1,210 | 2,372 | 3,582 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| 1993 | 573 | 10.2 | 5,048 | 89.8 | 5,621 | 416 | 3,024 | 3,440 | 93 | 2,024 | 2,117 | 64 | 0 | 64 |
| 1994 | 613 | 71.9 | 239 | 28.1 | 852 | 453 | 105 | 558 | 160 | 134 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 634 | 3.9 | 15,477 | 96.1 | 16,111 | 370 | 10,680 | 11,050 | 264 | 4,503 | 4,767 | 0 | 294 | 294 |
| 1996 | 1,269 | 3.5 | 35,391 | 96.5 | 36,660 | 1,149 | 25,308 | 26,457 | 120 | 9,835 | 9,955 | 0 | 248 | $248{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1997 | 5,951 | 75.0 | 1,984 | 25.0 | 7,935 | 5,038 | 1,097 | 6,135 | 871 | 887 | 1,758 | 42 | 0 | $42^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1998 | 2,471 | 19.8 | 10,009 | 80.2 | 12,480 | 1,494 | 5,995 | 7,489 | 977 | 4,014 | 4,991 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1999 | 623 | 11.3 | 4,912 | 88.7 | 5,535 | 234 | 1,696 | 1,930 | 389 | 3,118 | 3,507 | 0 | 98 | $98{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2000 | 5,486 | 35.3 | 10,046 | 64.7 | 15,532 | 4,560 | 6,585 | 11,145 | 926 | 3,461 | 4,387 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2001 | 3,670 | 11.4 | 28,470 | 88.6 | 32,140 | 2,644 | 18,715 | 21,359 | 1,026 | 9,755 | 10,781 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2002 | 1,709 | 10.7 | 14,307 | 89.3 | 16,016 | 1,006 | 7,812 | 8,818 | 703 | 6,495 | 7,198 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2003 | 3,501 | 12.4 | 24,651 | 87.6 | 28,152 | 2,038 | 14,255 | 16,293 | 1,463 | 10,396 | 11,859 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2004 | 5,819 | 15.0 | 33,063 | 85.0 | 38,882 | 4,742 | 23,117 | 27,859 | 1,077 | 9,906 | 10,983 | 0 | 40 | $40^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2005 | 3,093 | 9.8 | 28,326 | 90.2 | 31,419 | 1,341 | 11,702 | 13,043 | 1,731 | 16,624 | 18,355 | 21 | 0 | $21^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2006 | 1,369 | 6.8 | 18,709 | 93.2 | 20,078 | 708 | 8,870 | 9,578 | 661 | 9,839 | 10,500 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2007 | 545 | 9.5 | 5,205 | 90.5 | 5,750 | 270 | 2,552 | 2,822 | 275 | 2,653 | 2,928 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2008 | 2,379 | 23.8 | 7,603 | 76.2 | 9,982 | 1,730 | 3,064 | 4,794 | 649 | 4,539 | 5,188 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2009 | 1,762 | 27.5 | 4,634 | 72.5 | 6,396 | 888 | 2,157 | 3,045 | 874 | 2,477 | 3,351 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2010 | 1,278 | 16.1 | 6,669 | 83.9 | 7,947 | 752 | 2,770 | 3,522 | 526 | 3,899 | 4,425 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2011 | 9,722 | 64.6 | 5,318 | 35.4 | 15,040 | 6,792 | 3,394 | 10,186 | 2,886 | 1,924 | 4,810 | 44 | 0 | $44^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2012 | 3,389 | 18.2 | 15,268 | 81.8 | 18,657 | 2,510 | 7,912 | 10,422 | 879 | 7,357 | 8,236 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2013 | 2,819 | 12.9 | 19,087 | 87.1 | 21,906 | 2,392 | 12,883 | 15,275 | 427 | 6,204 | 6,631 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2014 | 3,338 | 24.7 | 10,199 | 75.3 | 13,537 | 2,401 | 7,228 | 9,629 | 937 | 2,971 | 3,908 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2015 | 935 | 20.2 | 3,684 | 79.8 | 4,619 | 657 | 625 | 1,282 | 278 | 3,059 | 3,337 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {de }}$ |
| 2016 | 208 | 15.7 | 1,117 | 84.3 | 1,325 | 163 | 635 | 798 | 45 | 482 | 527 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2017 | 244 | 37.3 | 411 | 62.7 | 655 | 94 | 141 | 235 | 150 | 270 | 420 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2018 | 427 | 28.7 | 1,059 | 71.3 | 1,486 | 241 | 503 | 744 | 186 | 556 | 742 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |

[^6]b/ Jacks are two year old fish, adults are three years.
c/ The 1978 sport harvest of Coho Salmon was essentially eliminated by a salmon fishing closure beginning August 25, 1978, and the 1985 sport harvest of adult Coho Salmon was limited by a closure for the taking of salmon > 55 cm total length beginning September 22, 1985.
d/ The 1996-2018 sport fishery was closed to the take of Coho Salmon.
e/ The methods used to estimate run-size and escapement of Coho in 2016 differs from other years due to insufficient sample marked at Willow Creek weir.

Appendix 11. Coho Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2018.


Appendix 12. Coho Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1997-2018, showing natural- and Trinity River Hatchery (TRH)-origin composition.

| Strata |  | Run-size estimate |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |
| YEAR | Component | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
| 1997 | Natural | 277 | 481 | 758 | 224 | 461 | 685 | 13 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
|  | TRH | 3,879 | 3,298 | 7,177 | 3,021 | 2,431 | 5,452 | 858 | 867 | 1,725 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 4,156 | 3,779 | 7,935 | 3,245 | 2,892 | 6,137 | 871 | 887 | 1,758 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| 1998 | Natural | 131 | 1,001 | 1,132 | 123 | 886 | 1,009 | 8 | 115 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 2,340 | 9,008 | 11,348 | 1,371 | 5,109 | 6,480 | 969 | 3,899 | 4,868 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,471 | 10,009 | 12,480 | 1,494 | 5,995 | 7,489 | 977 | 4,014 | 4,991 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | Natural | 31 | 556 | 586 | 23 | 453 | 477 | 8 | 103 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 592 | 4,356 | 4,949 | 217 | 1,239 | 1,455 | 375 | 3,021 | 3,396 | 0 | 96 | 96 |
|  | TOTAL | 623 | 4,912 | 5,535 | 240 | 1,692 | 1,932 | 383 | 3,124 | 3,507 | 0 | 96 | 96 |
| 2000 | Natural | 197 | 342 | 539 | 187 | 288 | 475 | 10 | 54 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 5,289 | 9,704 | 14,993 | 4,373 | 6,297 | 10,670 | 916 | 3,407 | 4,323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 5,486 | 10,046 | 15,532 | 4,560 | 6,585 | 11,145 | 926 | 3,461 | 4,387 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | Natural | 297 | 3,075 | 3,372 | 295 | 2,945 | $3,240$ | 2 | 130 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | $3,373$ | 25,395 | 28,768 | 2,349 | 15,770 | $18,119$ | 1,024 | 9,625 | 10,649 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,670 | 28,470 | 32,140 | 2,644 | 18,715 | 21,359 | 1,026 | 9,755 | 10,781 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | Natural | 138 | 458 | 596 | 123 | 372 | 495 | 15 | 86 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,571 | 13,849 | 15,420 | 883 | 7,440 | 8,323 | 688 | 6,409 | 7,097 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,709 | 14,307 | 16,016 | 1,006 | 7,812 | 8,818 | 703 | 6,495 | 7,198 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2003 | Natural | 163 | 3,930 | 4,093 | 149 | 3,264 | 3,414 | 14 | 666 | 680 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,338 | 20,721 | 24,059 | 1,889 | 10,991 | 12,880 | 1,449 | 9,730 | 11,179 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,501 | 24,651 | 28,152 | 2,038 | 14,255 | 16,294 | 1,463 | 10,396 | 11,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | Natural | 154 | 8,901 | 9,055 | 145 | 7,830 | 7,975 | 9 | 1,071 | 1,080 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 5,665 | 24,162 | 29,827 | 4,597 | 15,287 | 19,884 | 1,068 | 8,835 | 9,903 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
|  | TOTAL | 5,819 | 33,063 | 38,882 | 4,742 | 23,117 | 27,859 | 1,077 | 9,906 | 10,983 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| 2005 | Natural | 81 | 2,648 | 2,729 | 71 | 1,728 | 1,799 | 10 | 920 | 930 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,012 | 25,678 | 28,690 | 1,270 | 9,974 | 11,244 | 1,721 | 15,704 | 17,425 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,093 | 28,326 | 31,419 | 1,341 | 11,702 | 13,043 | 1,731 | 16,624 | 18,355 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 2006 | Natural | 38 | 1,586 | 1,624 | 34 | 1,416 | 1,450 | 4 | 170 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,331 | 17,123 | 18,454 | 674 | 7,454 | 8,128 | 657 | 9,669 | 10,326 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,369 | 18,709 | 20,078 | 708 | 8,870 | 9,578 | 661 | 9,839 | 10,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | Natural | 42 | 1,157 | 1,199 | 37 | 940 | 977 | 5 | 217 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 503 | 4,048 | 4,551 | 233 | 1,612 | 1,845 | 270 | 2,436 | 2,706 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 545 | 5,205 | 5,750 | 270 | 2,552 | 2,822 | 275 | 2,653 | 2,928 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | Natural | 89 | 1,223 | 1,312 | 83 | 861 | 944 | 6 | 362 | 368 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 2,290 | 6,381 | 8,671 | 1,647 | 2,204 | 3,851 | 643 | 4,177 | 4,820 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,379 | 7,604 | 9,983 | 1,730 | 3,065 | 4,795 | 649 | 4,539 | 5,188 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | Natural | 117 | 525 | 643 | 114 | 438 | 552 | 3 | 87 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,645 | 4,108 | 5,753 | 774 | 1,718 | 2,492 | 871 | 2,390 | 3,258 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,762 | 4,633 | 6,396 | 888 | 2,156 | 3,044 | 874 | 2,477 | 3,352 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | Natural | 44 | 817 | 861 | 34 | 624 | 658 | 10 | 193 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,233 | 5,852 | 7,085 | 717 | 2,146 | 2,863 | 516 | 3,706 | 4,222 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,277 | 6,669 | 7,946 | 751 | 2,770 | 3,521 | 526 | 3,899 | 4,425 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | Natural | 208 | 1,205 | 1,413 | 187 | 991 | 1,178 | 21 | 214 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 9,514 | 4,113 | 13,627 | 6,606 | 2,403 | 9,009 | 2,865 | 1,710 | 4,575 | 44 | 0 | 44 |
|  | TOTAL | 9,722 | 5,318 | 15,040 | 6,793 | 3,394 | 10,187 | 2,886 | 1,924 | 4,810 | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| 2012 | Natural | 192 | 1,774 | 1,966 | 184 | $1,577$ | $1,761$ | 8 | 197 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,198 | 13,494 | 16,692 | 2,327 | 6,335 | 8,662 | 871 | 7,159 | 8,030 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,390 | 15,268 | 18,658 | 2,511 | 7,912 | 10,423 | 879 | 7,356 | 8,235 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 | Natural | 152 | 4,305 | 4,457 | 149 | 3,948 | 4,097 | 3 | 357 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 2,667 | 14,782 | 17,448 | 2,243 | 8,935 | 11,177 | 424 | 5,847 | 6,271 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,819 | 19,087 | 21,905 | 2,392 | 12,883 | 15,274 | 427 | 6,204 | 6,631 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | Natural | 99 | 902 | 1,001 | 94 | 823 | 917 | 5 | 79 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,239 | 9,297 | 12,536 | 2,307 | 6,405 | 8,712 | 932 | 2,892 | 3,824 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,338 | 10,199 | 13,537 | 2,401 | 7,228 | 9,629 | 937 | 2,971 | 3,908 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2015 | Natural | 65 | 748 | 814 | 57 | 459 | 517 | 8 | 289 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 870 | 2,936 | 3,805 | 600 | 166 | 765 | 270 | 2,770 | 3,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 935 | 3,684 | 4,619 | 657 | 625 | 1,282 | 278 | 3,059 | 3,337 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | Natural | 163 | 635 | 798 | insufficient sample to make estimation of |  |  | 0 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 45 | 482 | 527 |  |  |  | 45 | 408 | 453 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 208 | 1,117 | 1,325 | 163 | 635 | 798 | 45 | 482 | 527 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017 | Natural | 9 | 57 | 65 | 8 | 34 | 41 | 1 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 236 | 354 | 590 | 87 | 107 | 194 | 149 | 247 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 244 | 411 | 655 | 94 | 141 | 235 | 150 | 270 | 420 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | Natural | 18 | 42 | 60 | 17 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 41 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 409 | 1,017 | 1,426 | 224 | 502 | 726 | 185 | 515 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 427 | 1,059 | 1,486 | 241 | 503 | 744 | 186 | 556 | 742 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

a/ Natural area spawners include both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery
b/ Jacks are two year old fish, adults are three years
c/ The 1996-2018 sport fishery was closed to the take of Coho Salmon
d/ The methods used to estimate run-size and escapement of Coho Salmon in 2016 differs from other years due to insufficient sample size.

Appendix 13. Coho Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1997-2018, showing natural-origin (NOR) and Trinity River Hatchery (HOR) origin composition.


Appendix 14. Fall-run adult steelhead (>41 cm FL) run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2018.

a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
b/ Trinity River Hatchery-produced steelhead
c/ Naturally produced steelhead.
d/ The natural spawner escapement reflects an overestimate due to the unknown number of fish harvested by anglers upstream of Willow Creek Weir.
e/ Harvest was limited to hatchery-produced fish only. Hatchery fish are those with an adipose fin-clip.

Appendix 15. Fall-run adult steelhead (>41 cm FL) estimated in the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1980-2018.


Appendix 16. Fork length (FL) distribution of spring Chinook Salmon trapped and tagged at Junction City weir (JCW), and subsequently recovered during the 2018-19 season.

| FL (cm) | JCW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trapped and Tagged ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ad-clips ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest ${ }^{e}$ | $\mathrm{TRH}^{f}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | Found Tags ${ }^{n}$ | Angler Released ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| 37 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 41 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 43 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 44 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 46 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 48 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 42.9 |
| 49 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 66.7 |
| 50 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 66.7 |
| 52 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 53 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 54 | 10 | 5 |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 70.0 |
| 55 | 14 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 28.6 |
| 56 | 28 | 5 |  | 1 | 16 |  | 2 | 1 | 20 | 71.4 |
| 57 | 33 | 9 |  | 2 | 14 | 1 | 2 |  | 19 | 57.6 |
| 58 | 30 | 4 |  | 2 | 17 | 2 |  |  | 21 | 70.0 |
| 59 | 53 | 11 |  | 3 | 19 | 2 |  | 1 | 25 | 47.2 |
| 60 | 68 | 13 |  |  | 26 | 2 |  | 1 | 29 | 42.6 |
| 61 | 61 | 11 |  | 2 | 26 | 4 |  | 1 | 33 | 54.1 |
| 62 | 71 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 25 | 4 |  | 3 | 36 | 50.7 |
| 63 | 72 | 9 |  |  | 31 | 4 |  |  | 35 | 48.6 |
| 64 | 77 | 13 |  | 4 | 24 | 6 |  |  | 34 | 44.2 |
| 65 | 68 | 11 |  | 3 | 24 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 48.5 |
| 66 | 63 | 15 |  | 3 | 21 | 3 |  |  | 27 | 42.9 |
| 67 | 58 | 6 |  | 2 | 20 | 2 |  |  | 24 | 41.4 |
| 68 | 46 | 7 |  | 3 | 13 | 4 | 1 |  | 21 | 45.7 |
| 69 | 30 | 5 |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 33.3 |
| 70 | 25 | 3 |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  | 10 | 40.0 |
| 71 | 42 | 8 |  | 3 | 16 | 1 |  | 1 | 21 | 50.0 |
| 72 | 17 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 11.8 |
| 73 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 74 | 11 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 | 45.5 |
| 75 | 6 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 33.3 |
| 76 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 60.0 |
| 77 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 78 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 81 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 82 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 84 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 86 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Totals: | 948 | 173 | 1 | 34 | 338 | 43 | 7 | 12 | 435 | 45.9\% |
| Mean FL: | 63.5 | 63.0 | 62.0 | 63.3 | 62.7 | 63.8 | 58.6 | 60.5 | 62.8 |  |
| Total jacks: ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 36.0\% |
| Total adults: | 923 | 169 | 1 | 34 | 331 | 42 | 7 | 11 | 426 | 46.2\% |

a/ Trapping at JCW took place June 12 - October 2, 2018 (Julian weeks 30-40). Chinook trapped after JW38 in 2018 were considered fall Chinook and excluded from this table.
b/ All Chinook trapped at Junction City weir in 2018 were tagged.
c/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
d/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging are considered tagging mortalities.
e/ Fish reported as harvested by angle
f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
g/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys
$\mathrm{h} /$ Fish tags found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts.
i/ Fish caught and released by anglers, their tag removed.
j/ Spring Chinook < 51 cm FL were considered jacks in 2018.

Appendix 17. Total number (by entry week) and numbers of Junction City weir (JCW) and Willow Creek weir (WCW) tagged Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon and adult steelhead that entered Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2018-19 season.

| Julian week | Inclusive dates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Chinook Salmon |  |  |  |  | Coho Salmon |  | Steelhead |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total entering TRH | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Spring } \\ \text { tagging } \end{array} \\ & \hline \text { JCW } \end{aligned}$ | ing run <br> ng site <br> WCW | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ \text { taggin } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { JCW } \end{gathered}$ | run <br> WCW | Total entering TRH | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tagged } \\ \text { at } \\ \text { WCW } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total entering TRH | Tagged at <br> WCW |
| 36 | 3-Sep - 9-Sep | 83 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 37 | 10-Sep-16-Sep | 277 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 17-Sep-23-Sep | 564 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 39 | 24-Sep - 30-Sep | 743 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 40 | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 1,241 | 84 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| 41 | 8-Oct - 14-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 15-Oct - 21-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 22-Oct - 28-Oct | 531 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 93 | 38 | 1 | 22 | 1 |
| 44 | 29-Oct - 4-Nov | 1,044 |  | 4 | 5 | 117 | 53 | 5 | 8 |  |
| 45 | 5-Nov-11-Nov | 1,386 |  |  |  | 48 | 93 | 4 | 9 |  |
| 46 | 12-Nov-18-Nov | 1,584 |  |  | 1 | 47 | 53 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 47 | 19-Nov-25-Nov | 1,494 |  |  |  | 68 | 45 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 48 | 26-Nov-2-Dec | 811 |  |  |  | 30 | 267 | 16 | 99 | 8 |
| 49 | 3-Dec - 9-Dec | 319 |  |  |  | 4 | 133 | 3 | 181 | 13 |
| 50 | 10-Dec - 16-Dec | 106 |  |  |  | 2 | 39 | 1 | 199 | 22 |
| 51 | 17-Dec-23-Dec | 15 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 54 | 5 |
| 52 | 24-Dec - 31-Dec | 13 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 1 | 267 | 22 |
| 1 | 1-Jan - 7-Jan | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 | 11 |
| 2 | 8-Jan - 14-Jan | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 2 |
| 3 | 15-Jan-21-Jan | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157 | 7 |
| 4 | 22-Jan-28-Jan | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 278 | 25 |
| 5 | 29-Jan - 4-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 271 | 11 |
| 6 | 5-Feb - 11-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 | 12 |
| 7 | 12-Feb-18-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 3 |
| 8 | 19-Feb - 25-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 2 |
| 9 | 26-Feb-4-Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 10 | 5-Mar - 11-Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 1 |
| 11 | 12-Mar - 18-Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |
|  | Totals: | 10,221 | 338 | 5 | 27 | 409 | 742 | 35 | 1,910 | 146 |

a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).

Appendix 18. Recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH), by Julian week, of TRH-origin coded-wire tagged spring Chinook Salmon during the 2018-19 season.

| Coded-wire tag number and release type ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood } \\ \text { year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of spring Chinook Salmon entering TRH, by Julian week ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 |  |
| 060605-f | 2013 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 060690-f | 2014 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 060691-f | 2014 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 060696-y | 2014 |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 068772-f | 2014 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 060772-f | 2015 | 3 | 27 | 32 | 21 | 12 |  |  | 1 |  | 96 |
| 060773-f | 2015 | 8 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 30 |  |  | 1 |  | 88 |
| 060774-f | 2015 | 3 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 33 |  |  |  | 4 | 90 |
| 060779-y | 2015 | 20 | 44 | 57 | 49 | 49 |  |  | 1 |  | 220 |
| 060781-f | 2015 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 060954-f | 2016 | 4 | 12 | 19 | 11 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| 060955-f | 2016 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 060956-f | 2016 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  | 12 |
| 060961-y | 2016 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Lost CWT ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 2 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| No CWT ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| Weekly totals: |  | 44 | 136 | 163 | 132 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 656 |

a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed all of JWs 41-42).
b/ Release types are either fingerling (f) or yearling (y).
c/ CWTs were lost or unreadable (CWT codes 200,00-400,000). Chinook with lost or unreadable tags recovered before JW 42 were consided spring run.
d/ No CWTs were recovered from these ad-clipped fish. Chinook with shed tags recovered before JW 42 were consided spring run.

Appendix 19. Recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH), by Julian week, of TRH-origin coded-wire tagged fall Chinook Salmon during the 2018-19 season.

| CWT number and | Brood |  |  | er | C | ok | on | ing | , b | ian |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| release type ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | year | 40 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | Totals |
| 060697-y | 2014 |  | 3 | 6 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 060775-f | 2015 |  | 31 | 48 | 25 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 4 |  |  |  | 161 |
| 060776-f | 2015 |  | 21 | 46 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 12 | 3 |  |  |  | 146 |
| 060777-f | 2015 |  | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 62 |
| 060778-f | 2015 |  | 3 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 19 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 62 |
| 060780-y | 2015 | 3 | 188 | 268 | 107 | 142 | 179 | 128 | 28 | 15 |  | 1 | 1,059 |
| 060782-f | 2015 |  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 060962-y | 2016 |  | 10 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 36 |
| Lost CWT ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| NoCWT ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | 4 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 35 |
| Weekly | totals: | 3 | 269 | 418 | 173 | 218 | 258 | 200 | 44 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 1,604 |

a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed all of JWs 41-42).
b/ Release types are either fingerling (f) or yearling (y).
cl CWTs were lost or unreadabe (CWT code 200,00-400,000). Chinook with lost or unreadable tags recovered after JW 40 were considered fall Chinook.
d/ No CWTs were recovered from these ad-clipped fish. Chinook with shed or lost tags recovered after Julian week 40 were considered fall Chinook

Appendix 20. Fork length distribution of coded-wire tagged, Trinity River Hatchery origin spring Chinook Salmon recovered at TRH during the 2018-19 season. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FL } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Brood Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2014 |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 060605-f | 060690-f | 060691 | 060696-y | 068772-f | 060772 | 060773 | 060774 | 60779-y | 060781-f | 06095 | 060955 | 060956 | 060961-y |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | 1 |  | 9 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 | 1 | 2 |  | 12 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 1 |  | 8 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 59 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 6 |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 5 | 7 | 25 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 64 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 6 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 39 |
| 65 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 8 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 41 |
| 66 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 67 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 9 | 6 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 68 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 70 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 71 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 72 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 73 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 74 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 75 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 76 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 77 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 80 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 81 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Totals: | 1 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 96 | 88 | 90 | 220 | 10 | 54 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 615 |
| Mean | 81.0 | 71.8 | 70.5 | 69.9 | 75.0 | 63.7 | 64.9 | 65.2 | 61.1 | 62.3 | 46.0 | 47.3 | 44.5 | 42.6 | 60.8 |

a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12,2019 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
b/ Age at release: $f=$ fingerlings, $y=$ yearlings.

Appendix 21. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery origin, coded-wire tagged spring Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2013.

| Brood year | Fingerlings-f |  |  | Yearlings-Y |  |  | f+Y Combined |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number released | Number of returns | Percent return | Number released | Number of returns | Percent return | Number released | Number of returns | Percent return |
| 1986 | 197,113 | 103 | 0.05\% | 101,030 | 1,960 | 1.94\% | 298,143 | 2,063 | 0.69\% |
| 1987 | 185,718 | 208 | 0.11\% | 0 | 0 | --- | 185,718 | 208 | 0.11\% |
| 1988 | 181,698 | 84 | 0.05\% | 98,820 | 112 | 0.11\% | 280,518 | 196 | 0.07\% |
| 1989 | 186,413 | 7 | 0.00\% | 102,555 | 176 | 0.17\% | 288,968 | 183 | 0.06\% |
| 1990 | 196,908 | 479 | 0.24\% | 94,639 | 82 | 0.09\% | 291,547 | 561 | 0.19\% |
| 1991 | 198,277 | 297 | 0.15\% | 110,797 | 68 | 0.06\% | 309,074 | 365 | 0.12\% |
| 1992 | 215,038 | 2,766 | 1.29\% | 109,856 | 1,272 | 1.16\% | 324,894 | 4,038 | 1.24\% |
| 1993 | 222,056 | 1,125 | 0.51\% | 111,525 | 958 | 0.86\% | 333,581 | 2,083 | 0.62\% |
| 1994 | 113,236 | 202 | 0.18\% | 113,491 | 513 | 0.45\% | 226,727 | 715 | 0.32\% |
| 1995 | 196,211 | 450 | 0.23\% | 101,934 | 1,581 | 1.55\% | 298,145 | 2,031 | 0.68\% |
| 1996 | 222,950 | 743 | 0.33\% | 112,464 | 312 | 0.28\% | 335,414 | 1,055 | 0.31\% |
| 1997 | 209,155 | 1,834 | 0.88\% | 147,507 | 4,471 | 3.03\% | 356,662 | 6,305 | 1.77\% |
| 1998 | 176,968 | 845 | 0.48\% | 137,602 | 2,186 | 1.59\% | 314,570 | 3,031 | 0.96\% |
| 1999 | 148,380 | 3,372 | 2.27\% | 129,919 | 4,288 | 3.30\% | 278,299 | 7,660 | 2.75\% |
| 2000 | 261,193 | 4,422 | 1.69\% | 99,304 | 2,029 | 2.04\% | 360,497 | 6,451 | 1.79\% |
| 2001 | 253,248 | 412 | 0.16\% | 104,627 | 1,480 | 1.41\% | 357,875 | 1,892 | 0.53\% |
| 2002 | 244,754 | 2,217 | 0.91\% | 106,139 | 514 | 0.48\% | 350,893 | 2,731 | 0.78\% |
| 2003 | 265,556 | 310 | 0.12\% | 104,974 | 339 | 0.32\% | 370,530 | 649 | 0.18\% |
| 2004 | 253,830 | 2,095 | 0.83\% | 104,478 | 1,269 | 1.21\% | 358,308 | 3,364 | 0.94\% |
| 2005 | 263,108 | 317 | 0.12\% | 107,607 | 111 | 0.10\% | 370,715 | 428 | 0.12\% |
| 2006 | 486,833 | 229 | 0.05\% | 104,019 | 1,354 | 1.30\% | 590,852 | 1,583 | 0.27\% |
| 2007 | 180,083 | 252 | 0.14\% | 96,803 | 626 | 0.65\% | 276,886 | 878 | 0.32\% |
| 2008 | 229,956 | 1,107 | 0.48\% | 104,078 | 231 | 0.22\% | 334,034 | 1,338 | 0.40\% |
| 2009 | 161,053 | 4,364 | 2.71\% | 108,824 | 959 | 0.88\% | 269,877 | 5,323 | 1.97\% |
| 2010 | 168,702 | 994 | 0.59\% | 97,128 | 361 | 0.37\% | 265,830 | 1,355 | 0.51\% |
| 2011 | 167,205 | 406 | 0.24\% | 97,771 | 292 | 0.30\% | 264,976 | 698 | 0.26\% |
| 2012 | 260,105 | 349 | 0.13\% | 101,471 | 192 | 0.19\% | 361,576 | 541 | 0.15\% |
| 2013 | 258,761 | 349 | 0.13\% | 103,872 | 75 | 0.07\% | 362,633 | 424 | 0.12\% |
| Means: | 218,018 | 1,084 | 0.54\% | 104,044 | 993 | 0.89\% | 322,062 | 2,077 | 0.64\% |

a/ Based on estimated returns upstream of Junction City weir. No estimate was produced in 1995, therefore returns of age 2 through 5 Chinook from that year are hatchery returns only. Does not include ocean harvest, in-river harvest, and escapement below Junction City weir.

Appendix 22. Brood year release and return data for Trinity River Hatchery (TRH)-origin, CWT spring Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River basin upstream of Junction City weir, 2015 2018.

| Release data |  |  |  |  | Estimated returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT a/ code | Brood year | Date b/ | Number | Site | Age | Runsize | \% of release | Angler harvest | Spawning escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH c/ | Natural | Total ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 060605 | 2013 | 06/01-04/14 | 80,615 | TRH | 2 | 36 | 0.04 | 0.0 | 21 | 15 | 36 |
| 060605 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 123 | 0.15 | 7.2 | 105 | 10 | 115 |
| 060605 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 10 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| 060605 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | otals: d/ |  | 171 | 0 | 7 | 133 | 30 | 163 |
|  |  |  | Total a | dults: e/ |  | 135 | 0 | 7 | 112 | 16 | 127 |
| 060606 | 2013 | 06/01-04/14 | 69,846 | TRH | 2 | 22 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 060606 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 63 | 0.09 | 3.7 | 54 | 5 | 59 |
| 060606 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 14 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| 060606 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: |  | 99 | 0 | 4 | 74 | 21 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | adults: |  | 77 | 0 | 4 | 61 | 12 | 73 |
| 060607 | 2013 | 06/01-04/14 | 89,761 | TRH | 2 | 14 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 8 | 6 | 14 |
| 060607 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 46 | 0.05 | 2.7 | 39 | 4 | 43 |
| 060607 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 16 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| 060607 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: |  | 75 | 0 | 3 | 55 | 17 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | adults: |  | 61 | 0 | 3 | 47 | 11 | 58 |
| 060612 | 2013 | 10/01-22/14 | 103,872 | TRH | 2 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 060612 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 51 | 0.05 | 3.0 | 44 | 4 | 48 |
| 060612 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 22 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 11 | 10 | 22 |
| 060612 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: |  | 75 | 0.07 | 3 | 56 | 15 | 72 |
|  |  |  | Tota | adults: |  | 73 | 0.07 | 3 | 55 | 15 | 70 |
| 068848 | 2013 | 03/14-6/26/14 | 10,065 | TRH | 2 | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 068848 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 068848 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 068848 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: |  | 4 | 0.04 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Tota | adults: |  | 2 | 0.02 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 068849 | 2013 | 03/14-6/26/14 | 8,474 | RIVER | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 068849 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 068849 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 068849 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: |  | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Tota | adults: |  | 1 | 0.01 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 060690 | 2014 | 06/01-15/15 | 85,278 | TRH | 2 | 31 | 0.04 | 1.0 | 22 | 8 | 30 |
| 060690 | 2014 |  |  |  | 3 | 214 | 0.25 | 1.7 | 110 | 102 | 212 |
| 060690 | 2014 |  |  |  | 4 | 12 | 0.01 | 0.4 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 060691 | 2014 | 06/01-15/15 | 88,724 | TRH | 2 | 16 | 0.02 | 0.5 | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| 060691 | 2014 |  |  |  | 3 | 57 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 29 | 27 | 56 |
| 060691 | 2014 |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 060696 | 2014 | 10/01-15/15 | 102,032 | TRH | 2 | 7 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 060696 | 2014 |  |  |  | 3 | 81 | 0.08 | 0.7 | 41 | 38 | 80 |
| 060696 | 2014 |  |  |  | 4 | 33 | 0.03 | 1.2 | 14 | 17 | 32 |
| 068772 | 2014 | J3/11-06/15/15 | 17,668 | RIVER | 2 | 3 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 068772 | 2014 |  |  |  | 3 | 14 | 0.08 | 0.1 | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| 068772 | 2014 |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 060772 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 89,636 | TRH | 2 | 31 | 0.03 | 2.9 | 18 | 10 | 28 |
| 060772 | 2015 |  |  |  | 3 | 226 | 0.25 | 8.4 | 98 | 120 | 217 |
| 060773 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 68,126 | TRH | 2 | 16 | 0.02 | 1.5 | 9 | 5 | 14 |
| 060773 | 2015 |  |  |  | 3 | 206 | 0.30 | 7.7 | 89 | 109 | 198 |
| 060774 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 89,986 | TRH | 2 | 35 | 0.04 | 3.2 | 20 | 11 | 31 |
| 060774 | 2015 |  |  |  | 3 | 211 | 0.23 | 7.9 | 91 | 112 | 203 |
| 060779 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 107,160 | TRH | 2 | 33 | 0.03 | 3.1 | 19 | 11 | 30 |
| 060779 | 2015 |  |  |  | 3 | 516 | 0.48 | 19.2 | 223 | 274 | 497 |
| 060781 | 2015 | 03/29-7/11/16 | 12,943 | RIVER | 2 | 5 | 0.04 | 0.5 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 060781 | 2015 |  |  |  | 3 | 23 | 0.18 | 0.9 | 10 | 12 | 22 |
| 060954 | 2016 | 06/16-23/17 | 87,269 | TRH | 2 | 86 | 0.10 | 0.0 | 55 | 32 | 86 |
| 060955 | 2016 | 06/16-23/17 | 73,142 | TRH | 2 | 16 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 10 | 6 | 16 |
| 060956 | 2016 | 06/16-23/17 | 101,275 | TRH | 2 | 19 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| 060961 | 2016 | 10/21-26/17 | 105,153 | TRH | 2 | 19 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 12 | 7 | 19 |

a/ CWT = coded-wire tag.
b/ Chinook Salmon released during June were fingerlings, those released in October were yearlings.
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 2013. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle.
e/ The term "adults" includes Chinook Salmon aged three through five.
$\mathrm{f} /$ Rounding sometimes makes for seeming addition errors in this column.

Appendix 23. Run-size, angler harvest and spawning escapement estimates, and associated expanded estimates, by tag code, of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) orgin spring Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River basin during the 2018-19 season. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CWT } \\ \text { code }^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | $B Y^{\text {c }}$ | Age | TRH <br> expansion factor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | TRH <br> Total CWTs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Percent of total CWTs | Run-size | Expanded run-size ${ }^{f}$ | Angler harvest | Expanded angler harvest ${ }^{f}$ | Spawning escapement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | Expanded TRH ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | River | Expanded River ${ }^{f g}$ | Total ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Expanded Total |
| Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060605-f | 13 | 5 | 4.22 | 1.00 | 0.19\% | 2.3 | 9.74 | 0.09 | 0 | 1.00 | 4 | 1.22 | 5 | 2.22 | 9 |
| 060690-f | 14 | 4 | 4.27 | 5.08 | 0.95\% | 11.7 | 50.01 | 0.44 | 2 | 5.08 | 22 | 6.20 | 26 | 11.29 | 48 |
| 060691-f | 14 | 4 | 4.14 | 2.03 | 0.38\% | 4.7 | 19.37 | 0.17 | 1 | 2.03 | 8 | 2.48 | 10 | 4.51 | 19 |
| 060696-y | 14 | 4 | 4.27 | 14.23 | 2.67\% | 32.8 | 140.23 | 1.22 | 5 | 14.23 | 61 | 17.36 | 74 | 31.59 | 135 |
| 068772-f | 14 | 4 | 4.23 | 1.03 | 0.19\% | 2.4 | 10.06 | 0.09 | 0 | 1.03 | 4 | 1.26 | 5 | 2.29 | 10 |
| 060772-f | 15 | 3 | 4.15 | 97.54 | 18.27\% | 224.9 | 934.30 | 8.39 | 35 | 97.54 | 405 | 118.96 | 494 | 216.51 | 899 |
| 060773-f | 15 | 3 | 4.12 | 89.01 | 16.67\% | 205.2 | 844.75 | 7.66 | 32 | 89.01 | 366 | 108.56 | 447 | 197.57 | 813 |
| 060774-f | 15 | 3 | 4.13 | 91.11 | 17.06\% | 210.1 | 866.73 | 7.84 | 32 | 91.11 | 376 | 111.12 | 458 | 202.24 | 834 |
| 060779-y | 15 | 3 | 4.08 | 222.85 | 41.74\% | 513.8 | 2,097.22 | 19.16 | 78 | 222.85 | 910 | 271.79 | 1109 | 494.64 | 2019 |
| 060781-f | 15 | 3 | 4.36 | 10.05 | 1.88\% | 23.2 | 101.09 | 0.86 | 4 | 10.05 | 44 | 12.25 | 53 | 22.30 | 97 |
| Adult totals: |  |  |  | 533.94 | 100.00\% | 1,231.1 | 5,073 | 45.92 | 189 | 533.94 | 2,200 | 651.21 | 2,684 | 1185.15 | 4,884 |
| Jacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060954 | 16 | 2 | 4.24 | 54.85 | 61.53\% | 86.4 | 358.77 | 0.00 | 0 | 54.85 | 228 | 31.51 | 131 | 86.36 | 359 |
| 060955 | 16 | 2 | 4.16 | 10.10 | 11.33\% | 15.9 | 65.47 | 0.00 | 0 | 10.10 | 42 | 5.80 | 24 | 15.91 | 65 |
| 060956 | 16 | 2 | 4.08 | 12.10 | 13.57\% | 19.1 | 78.61 | 0.00 | 0 | 12.10 | 50 | 6.95 | 29 | 19.05 | 79 |
| 060961 | 16 | 2 | 4.36 | 12.09 | 13.56\% | 19.0 | 77.71 | 0.00 | 0 | 12.09 | 49 | 6.95 | 28 | 19.04 | 78 |
|  | Jack totals: |  |  | 89.15 | 100.00\% | 140 | 581 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 369 | 51 | 212 | 140 | 581 |
|  | Spring Totals: |  |  | 623.09 |  | 1,371 | 5,654 | 46 | 189 | 623 | 2,569 | 702 | 2,896 | 1,326 | 5,465 |

a/ Estimate is for upstream of Junction City weir.
b/ CWT=coded-wire tag code. Fish are of the same race and release type (f=fingerling and y=yearling).
c/ BY=brood year.
d/ Expansion factors used to account for untagged releases of the same BY and release type for each CWT group.
e/ Number of ad-clipped fish observed at Trinity River Hatchery, expanded by the number of ad-clipped fish with lost or unreadable tags.
f/ Expanded run-size, angler harvest, TRH escapement and river (natural area) escapement estimates are the product of each of those respective estimates multiplied by the TRH expansion factors.
g/ River (natural area) escapement estimates equal the total escapment minus the TRH escapement.
$\mathrm{h} /$ Run-size estimate minus harvest estimate equals escapment estimate.

Appendix 24. Estimated contribution of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) origin spring Chinook Salmon to the total estimated run-size upstream of Junction City weir, 1991-2018 seasons.

| Year | Run-size | TRH <br> component | Natural <br> component | $\%$ TRH <br> composition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 2,381 | 1,016 | 1,365 | $42.7 \%$ |
| 1992 | 4,030 | 1,794 | 2,236 | $44.5 \%$ |
| 1993 | 5,232 | 3,206 | 2,026 | $61.3 \%$ |
| 1994 | 6,788 | 2,659 | 4,129 | $39.2 \%$ |
| 1995 | No estimate | No estimate | No estimate | No estimate |
| 1996 | 23,416 | 12,524 | 10,892 | $53.5 \%$ |
| 1997 | 20,039 | 8,303 | 11,736 | $41.4 \%$ |
| 1998 | 16,167 | 8,774 | 7,393 | $54.3 \%$ |
| 1999 | 11,293 | 7,616 | 3,677 | $67.4 \%$ |
| 2000 | 26,083 | 19,730 | 6,353 | $75.6 \%$ |
| 2001 | 19,622 | 12,051 | 7,571 | $61.4 \%$ |
| 2002 | 38,485 | 24,599 | 13,886 | $63.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | 47,795 | 33,546 | 14,249 | $70.2 \%$ |
| 2004 | 16,147 | 11,324 | 4,823 | $70.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | 13,984 | 10,966 | 3,018 | $78.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | 7,483 | 3,649 | 3,834 | $48.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 14,835 | 12,099 | 2,736 | $81.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 10,283 | 4,577 | 5,706 | $44.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 7,426 | 3,973 | 3,453 | $53.5 \%$ |
| 2010 | 11,285 | 4,505 | 6,780 | $39.9 \%$ |
| 2011 | 19,219 | 9,846 | 9,373 | $51.2 \%$ |
| 2012 | 25,617 | 16,306 | 9,311 | $63.7 \%$ |
| 2013 | 8,961 | 6,146 | 2,815 | $68.6 \%$ |
| 2014 | 6,959 | 4,828 | 2,131 | $69.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 4,408 | 3,085 | 1,323 | $70.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 3,904 | 2,389 | 1,515 | $61.2 \%$ |
| 2017 | 4,425 | 2,650 | 1,775 | $59.9 \%$ |
| 2018 | 8,032 | 5,654 | 2,378 | $70.4 \%$ |
| Mean: | 14,233 | 8,808 | 5,425 | $59.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 25. Fork length (FL) distribution of fall Chinook Salmon trapped and tagged at Willow Creek weir (WCW), and subsequently recovered during the 2018-19 season. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| FL (cm) | WCW |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Trapped | Total Tagged ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ad- } \\ & \text { clips }^{\text {c }} \end{aligned}$ | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest ${ }^{e}$ | TRH ${ }^{f}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | Found Tags ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Angler Released ${ }^{i}$ | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| 36 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 37 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 38 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 39 | 7 | 7 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 14.3 |
| 40 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 41 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 18 | 18 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 11.1 |
| 43 | 29 | 28 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 7.1 |
| 44 | 25 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4.0 |
| 45 | 29 | 28 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 6 | 21.4 |
| 46 | 29 | 29 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 10.3 |
| 47 | 29 | 28 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 17.9 |
| 48 | 17 | 17 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 17.6 |
| 49 | 28 | 26 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 15.4 |
| 50 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 18.8 |
| 51 | 14 | 13 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 7.7 |
| 52 | 18 | 18 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 22.2 |
| 53 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 33.3 |
| 54 | 19 | 17 | 6 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 23.5 |
| 55 | 25 | 25 | 4 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 2 | 12 | 48.0 |
| 56 | 30 | 29 | 3 |  |  | 10 | 2 |  | 1 | 13 | 44.8 |
| 57 | 38 | 38 | 11 |  |  | 19 | 3 |  | 1 | 23 | 60.5 |
| 58 | 58 | 57 | 11 |  | 1 | 17 | 4 |  | 2 | 24 | 42.1 |
| 59 | 84 | 82 | 13 |  | 2 | 28 | 1 |  | 4 | 35 | 42.7 |
| 60 | 106 | 105 | 19 |  |  | 38 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 53 | 50.5 |
| 61 | 95 | 92 | 23 |  | 4 | 32 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 50 | 54.3 |
| 62 | 112 | 110 | 17 |  | 2 | 36 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 54 | 49.1 |
| 63 | 122 | 121 | 19 |  | 4 | 35 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 55 | 45.5 |
| 64 | 97 | 96 | 15 |  | 3 | 30 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 42 | 43.8 |
| 65 | 90 | 89 | 15 |  | 2 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 32 | 36.0 |
| 66 | 76 | 76 | 10 |  | 2 | 23 | 4 | 1 |  | 30 | 39.5 |
| 67 | 70 | 69 | 16 |  | 2 | 25 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 35 | 50.7 |
| 68 | 61 | 59 | 5 |  | 3 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 28 | 47.5 |
| 69 | 38 | 37 |  |  |  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 40.5 |
| 70 | 47 | 47 | 5 |  | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 14 | 29.8 |
| 71 | 26 | 26 | 4 |  | 1 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 38.5 |
| 72 | 23 | 23 | 2 | 1 |  | 8 |  |  | 3 | 12 | 52.2 |
| 73 | 17 | 17 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 11.8 |
| 74 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 31.3 |
| 75 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 9.1 |
| 76 | 8 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 37.5 |
| 77 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 16.7 |
| 78 | 7 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 20.0 |
| 80 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 81 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 82 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 83 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- |
| 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- |
| 86 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 87 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Totals: | 1,592 | 1,565 | 209 | 1 | 35 | 409 | 52 | 38 | 60 | 595 | 38.0 |
| Mean FL: | 60.5 | 60.5 | 62.0 | 72.0 | 60.1 | 62.7 | 63.1 | 62.7 | 58.5 | 62.2 |  |
| Total jacks: ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 251 | 246 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 30 | 12.2 |
| Total adults: | 1,341 | 1,319 | 204 | 1 | 29 | 401 | 52 | 37 | 45 | 565 | 42.8 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place August 29 - November 11,2018 (Julian weeks 35-47). All Chinook trapped at WCW in 2018 were considered fall Chinook.
b/ Twenty-seven ( 5 jack and 22 adult) fall Chinook were not tagged due to poor condition.
c/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
d/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging are considered tagging mortalities
e/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers.
f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43). g/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
h/ Fish tags found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts.
i/ Fish caught and released by anglers, their tag removed.
j/ Fall Chinook <51 cm FL were considered jacks in 2018 (for this analysis).

Appendix 26. Fork length distribution of coded-wire tagged, Trinity River Hatchery origin fall Chinook Salmon recovered at TRH during the 2018-19 season.

|  | Brood Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |
| FL (cm) | 060697-y | 060775 | 060776 | 060777-f | 060778 | 060780- | 060782- | 060962-y | TOTALS |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 | 1 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 53 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 7 |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| 55 |  | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 19 |  |  | 25 |
| 56 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 32 |  |  | 38 |
| 57 |  | 7 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 54 |  |  | 72 |
| 58 |  | 6 | 7 |  | 5 | 62 | 2 |  | 82 |
| 59 |  | 6 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 54 | 1 |  | 80 |
| 60 |  | 10 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 86 | 1 |  | 115 |
| 61 |  | 14 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 106 |  |  | 143 |
| 62 | 1 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 98 | 1 |  | 133 |
| 63 | 1 | 17 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 87 | 2 |  | 131 |
| 64 | 1 | 16 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 91 | 1 |  | 131 |
| 65 |  | 8 | 16 | 1 | 8 | 71 |  |  | 104 |
| 66 |  | 14 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 52 |  |  | 81 |
| 67 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 63 | 2 |  | 90 |
| 68 |  | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 51 | 1 |  | 74 |
| 69 |  | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 21 |  |  | 40 |
| 70 | 2 | 8 | 5 |  | 2 | 30 |  |  | 47 |
| 71 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 20 |  |  | 32 |
| 72 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 9 |  |  | 13 |
| 73 | 2 |  | 2 | 5 | 1 | 9 |  |  | 19 |
| 74 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  | 9 |
| 75 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 10 |
| 76 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |
| 77 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 78 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 5 |
| 79 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 80 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| 81 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 82 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 85 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Totals: | 15 | 161 | 146 | 62 | 62 | 1,059 | 12 | 36 | 1,517 |
| Mean | 72.5 | 63.6 | 63.7 | 65.3 | 63.8 | 62.8 | 63.9 | 46.5 |  |

a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43). b/ Age at release: $f=$ fingerlings, $y=$ yearlings .

Appendix 27. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery origin, coded -wire tagged fall Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2013.

| Brood year | Fingerlings -f |  |  | Yearlings-Y |  |  | $\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{Y}$ combined |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number released | Number of returns | Percent return | Number released | Number of returns | Percent return | Number released | Number of returns | Percent return |
| 1986 | 393,955 | 292 | 0.07\% | 153,700 | 4,899 | 3.19\% | 547,655 | 5,191 | 0.95\% |
| 1987 | 172,980 | 129 | 0.07\% | 92,300 | 418 | 0.45\% | 265,280 | 547 | 0.21\% |
| 1988 | 194,197 | 138 | 0.07\% | 143,934 | 796 | 0.55\% | 338,131 | 934 | 0.28\% |
| 1989 | 201,622 | 21 | 0.01\% | 143,978 | 174 | 0.12\% | 345,600 | 195 | 0.06\% |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | --- | 103,040 | 166 | 0.16\% | 103,040 | 166 | 0.16\% |
| 1991 | 206,416 | 937 | 0.45\% | 115,300 | 517 | 0.45\% | 321,716 | 1,454 | 0.45\% |
| 1992 | 192,032 | 2,503 | 1.30\% | 108,894 | 5,369 | 4.93\% | 300,926 | 7,872 | 2.62\% |
| 1993 | 201,032 | 158 | 0.08\% | 110,336 | 798 | 0.72\% | 311,368 | 956 | 0.31\% |
| 1994 | 216,563 | 374 | 0.17\% | 113,124 | 756 | 0.67\% | 329,687 | 1,130 | 0.34\% |
| 1995 | 216,051 | 285 | 0.13\% | 110,327 | 3,106 | 2.82\% | 326,378 | 3,391 | 1.04\% |
| 1996 | 217,981 | 445 | 0.20\% | 112,746 | 394 | 0.35\% | 330,727 | 839 | 0.25\% |
| 1997 | 216,772 | 1,707 | 0.79\% | 313,080 | 11,396 | 3.64\% | 529,852 | 13,103 | 2.47\% |
| 1998 | 184,781 | 292 | 0.16\% | 334,726 | 7,173 | 2.14\% | 519,507 | 7,465 | 1.44\% |
| 1999 | 181,301 | 693 | 0.38\% | 296,892 | 5,833 | 1.96\% | 478,193 | 6,526 | 1.36\% |
| 2000 | 522,316 | 3,909 | 0.75\% | 216,593 | 5,245 | 2.42\% | 738,909 | 9,154 | 1.24\% |
| 2001 | 499,919 | 476 | 0.10\% | 230,055 | 5,894 | 2.56\% | 729,974 | 6,370 | 0.87\% |
| 2002 | 508,963 | 3,563 | 0.70\% | 236,319 | 3,561 | 1.51\% | 745,282 | 7,124 | 0.96\% |
| 2003 | 534,219 | 289 | 0.05\% | 225,798 | 944 | 0.42\% | 760,017 | 1,233 | 0.16\% |
| 2004 | 486,369 | 4,125 | 0.85\% | 218,386 | 3,909 | 1.79\% | 704,755 | 8,034 | 1.14\% |
| 2005 | 488,466 | 157 | 0.03\% | 227,903 | 675 | 0.30\% | 716,369 | 832 | 0.12\% |
| 2006 | 486,833 | 849 | 0.17\% | 238,156 | 3,240 | 1.36\% | 724,989 | 4,089 | 0.56\% |
| 2007 | 446,316 | 324 | 0.07\% | 244,661 | 2,330 | 0.95\% | 690,977 | 2,654 | 0.38\% |
| 2008 | 518,269 | 3,576 | 0.69\% | 259,330 | 4,211 | 1.62\% | 777,599 | 7,787 | 1.00\% |
| 2009 | 496,761 | 2,988 | 0.60\% | 230,461 | 7,361 | 3.19\% | 727,222 | 10,349 | 1.42\% |
| 2010 | 475,062 | 856 | 0.18\% | 231,430 | 2,221 | 0.96\% | 706,492 | 3,077 | 0.44\% |
| 2011 | 406,418 | 461 | 0.11\% | 200,337 | 2,489 | 1.24\% | 606,755 | 2,950 | 0.49\% |
| 2012 | 393,038 | 84 | 0.02\% | 221,247 | 714 | 0.32\% | 614,285 | 798 | 0.13\% |
| 2013 | 526,760 | 136 | 0.03\% | 239,886 | 280 | 0.12\% | 766,646 | 416 | 0.05\% |
| Means: | 342,335 | 1,063 | 0.31\% | 195,462 | 3,031 | 1.46\% | 537,798 | 4,094 | 0.75\% |

a/ Based on estimated returns upstream of Willow Creek weir. Does not include ocean harvest, in-river
harvest, and escapement below Willow Creek weir.

Appendix 28. Run-size, percent return, in-river sport harvest, and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery (TRH)-origin, CWT fall Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River basin upstream of Willow Creek weir during the period 2015-2018.

| Release data |  |  |  |  | Estimated returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Brood code year |  | Date ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number | Site | Age | Runsize | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { release } \end{gathered}$ | River harvest | Spawning escapement |  |  |
|  |  | TRH ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural | Total ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| 060608 | 2013 |  | 06/01-04/14 | 128,061 | TRH | 2 | 26 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 9 | 17 | 26 |
| 060608 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 21 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 14 | 7 | 21 |
| 060608 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 060608 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Totals: ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | 51 | 0.04 | 0.4 | 26 | 24 | 50 |
|  |  |  | Total adults: ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | 25 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 17 | 7 | 24 |
| 060609 | 2013 | 06/01-04/14 | 124,107 | TRH | 2 | 17 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 6 | 11 | 17 |
| 060609 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 20 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 13 | 6 | 19 |
| 060609 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 060609 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Totals: <br> Total adults: |  |  | 41 | 0.03 | 0.3 | 22 | 18 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 16 | 7 | 23 |
| 060610 | 2013 | 06/01-04/14 | 127,893 | TRH | 2 | 9 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 060610 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 060610 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| 060610 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Totals: ${ }^{\text {d }}$ <br> Total adults: ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | 18 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 10 | 8 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 7 | 2 | 10 |
| 060611 | 2013 | 06/01-04/14 | 128,022 | TRH | 2 | 9 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 060611 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 9 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 060611 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 060611 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Totals: <br> Total adults: |  |  | 20 | 0.02 | 0.2 | 11 | 9 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 8 | 3 | 11 |
| 060613 | 2013 | 10/01-22/14 | 239,886 | TRH | 2 | 64 | 0.03 | 0.5 | 22 | 41 | 63 |
| 060613 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 160 | 0.07 | 1.4 | 107 | 52 | 158 |
| 060613 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 56 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 48 | 8 | 56 |
| 060613 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Totals: <br> Total adults: |  |  | 280 | 0.12 | 1.9 | 177 | 100 | 278 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 216 | 0.09 | 1.4 | 155 | 59 | 214 |
| 060614 | 2013 | 06/01-04/14 | 9,305 | TRH | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 060614 | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 060614 | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 060614 | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Totals: ${ }^{\text {d }}$ <br> Total adults: ${ }^{e}$ |  |  | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 068850 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2013 | 5/16-8/28/14 | 9,372 | River | 2 | 3 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 068850 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2013 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 068850 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2013 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 068850 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2013 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Totals: ${ }^{\text {d }}$ <br> Total adults: |  |  | 4 | 0.05 | 0.0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 060697 | 2014 | 10/01-15/15 | 236,204 | TRH | 2 | 20 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 11 | 9 | 20 |
| 060697 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 733 | 0.31 | 0.0 | 532 | 201 | 733 |
| 060697 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 35 | 0.01 | 1.2 | 15 | 18 | 34 |
| 060775 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 116,945 | TRH | 2 | 102 | 0.09 | 0.0 | 60 | 42 | 102 |
| 060775 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 372 | 0.32 | 12.7 | 163 | 197 | 360 |
| 060776 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 115,416 | TRH | 2 | 116 | 0.10 | 0.0 | 68 | 48 | 116 |
| 060776 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 338 | 0.29 | 11.5 | 148 | 179 | 326 |
| 060777 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 111,222 | TRH | 2 | 62 | 0.06 | 0.0 | 36 | 26 | 62 |
| 060777 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 143 | 0.13 | 4.9 | 63 | 76 | 138 |
| 060778 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 111,020 | TRH | 2 | 41 | 0.04 | 0.0 | 24 | 17 | 41 |
| 060778 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 144 | 0.13 | 4.9 | 63 | 76 | 139 |
| 060780 | 2015 | 10/01-15/16 | 239,139 | TRH | 2 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 060780 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2,447 | 1.02 | 83.2 | 1,069 | 1,295 | 2,364 |
| 060782 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2015 | 06/19-8/30/16 | 6,444 | River | 2 | 337 | 5.22 | 0.0 | 197 | 140 | 337 |
| 060782 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  | 3 | 28 | 0.43 | 0.9 | 12 | 15 | 27 |
| 060962 | 2016 | 10/21-26/2017 | 247,474 | TRH | 2 | 91 | 0.04 | 4.1 | 36 | 51 | 87 |

a/ CWT = coded-wire tag
b/ Chinook Salmon released during June were smolts, those released in October were yearlings.
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 2013. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle
e/ The term "adults" includes Chinook aged three through five.
f/ Experimental release group. Fish used in screw trap efficiency studies; released near North Fork Trinity River or
Willow Creek
g/Rounding sometimes makes for seeming addition errors in this column.

Appendix 29. Run-size, angler harvest and spawning escapement estimates, and associated expanded estimates, by tag code, of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) orgin fall Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River during the 2018-19 season. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| CWT code | $B Y^{\text {c }}$ Age |  | TRH expansion factor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | TRH <br> Total CWTs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Percent of total CWTs | Run-size | Expanded run-size ${ }^{f}$ | Angler harvest | Expanded angler harvest ${ }^{f}$ | Spawning escapement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TRH |  |  |  |  |  |  | Expanded TRH $^{\mathrm{f}}$ | River | Expanded River ${ }^{f g}$ | Escapement Total ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expanded } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060697-y | 14 | 4 |  | 4.18 | 15.18 | 0.99\% | 33.0 | 137.9 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 15.2 | 63.4 | 16.7 | 69.8 | 31.9 | 133.2 |
| 060775-f | 15 | 3 | 4.10 | 162.64 | 10.62\% | 353.7 | 1,451.6 | 12.0 | 49.4 | 162.6 | 667.5 | 179.0 | 734.8 | 341.7 | 1,402.2 |
| 060776-f | 15 | 3 | 4.09 | 147.46 | 9.63\% | 320.7 | 1,310.7 | 10.9 | 44.6 | 147.5 | 602.7 | 162.3 | 663.5 | 309.8 | 1,266.2 |
| 060777-f | 15 | 3 | 4.12 | 62.56 | 4.08\% | 136.1 | 560.9 | 4.6 | 19.1 | 62.6 | 257.9 | 68.9 | 283.9 | 131.4 | 541.9 |
| 060778-f | 15 | 3 | 4.13 | 62.72 | 4.10\% | 136.4 | 563.4 | 4.6 | 19.2 | 62.7 | 259.1 | 69.0 | 285.2 | 131.8 | 544.2 |
| 060780-y | 15 | 3 | 4.14 | 1068.84 | 69.79\% | 2,324.5 | 9,613.9 | 79.0 | 326.9 | 1,068.8 | 4,420.7 | 1,176.6 | 4,866.3 | 2,245.4 | 9,287.1 |
| 060782-f | 15 | 3 | 4.28 | 12.13 | 0.79\% | 26.4 | 112.9 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 12.1 | 51.9 | 13.3 | 57.2 | 25.5 | 109.1 |
|  |  |  | Adult totals: | 1531.53 | 100.00\% | 3,330.7 | 13,751.5 | 113.2 | 467.5 | 1,531.5 | 6,323.3 | 1,685.9 | 6,960.7 | 3,217.4 | 13,283.9 |
| Jacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060962 | 16 | 2 | 4.15 | 36.39 | 100.00\% | 86.5 | 359.3 | 3.9 | 16.2 | 36.4 | 151.2 | 46.2 | 191.9 | 82.6 | 343.1 |
|  |  |  | Jack totals: | 36.39 | 100.00\% | 86.5 | 359.3 | 3.9 | 16.2 | 36.4 | 151.2 | 46.2 | 191.9 | 82.6 | 343.1 |
| Fall Chinook CWT Totals: |  |  |  | 1567.92 |  | 3,417.2 | 14,110.7 | 117.1 | 483.7 | 1,567.9 | 6,474.4 | 1,732.1 | 7,152.6 | 3,300.0 | 13,627.0 |

a/ Estimate is for upstream of Willow Creek weir (WCW).
b/ CWT=coded-wire tag code. Fish are of the same race and release type (f=fingerling and y=yearling).
c/ $\mathrm{BY}=$ brood year.
d/ Expansion factors used to account for untagged releases of the same BY and release type for each CWT group.
e/ Number of ad-clipped fish observed at Trinity River Hatchery, expanded by the number of ad-clipped fish with lost or unreadable tags.
f/ Expanded run-size, angler harvest, TRH escapement and river (natural area) escapement estimates are the product of each of those respective estimates multiplied by the TRH expansion factors.
$\mathrm{g} /$ River (natural area) escapement estimates equal the total escapment minus the TRH escapement.
$\mathrm{h} /$ Run-size estimate minus harvest estimate equals escapment estimate.

Appendix 30. Estimated contribution of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) origin fall Chinook Salmon to the total estimated run-size upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1991-2018 seasons.


Appendix 31. Fork length (FL) distribution of Coho Salmon trapped and tagged at Willow Creek
weir and subsequently recovered during the 2018-19 season.

| FL (cm) | Willow Creek Weir |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recovered | \% <br> Recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Trapped } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Tagged }^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RM- } \\ & \text { clips }^{\text {c }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tag} \\ \text { Morts } \end{gathered}$ | Angler Harvest ${ }^{e}$ | TRH ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Found } \\ & \text { Tags }{ }^{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Angler Released ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |
| 35 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 36 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 100.0 |
| 37 | 9 | 9 | 9 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 44.4 |
| 38 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 33.3 |
| 39 | 13 | 13 | 13 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 7 | 53.8 |
| 40 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 57.1 |
| 41 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 42 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 44 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 52 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 54 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 58 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 59 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 75.0 |
| 60 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 61 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 60.0 |
| 62 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 63 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 64 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 50.0 |
| 65 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 66 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 68 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Totals: | 73 | 73 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 38 | 52.1 |
| Mean FL: | 46.6 | 46.6 | 46.6 | -- | -- | 48.3 | -- | -- | -- | 47.9 |  |
| Total jacks: ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 48 | 48 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 45.8 |
| Total adults: | 25 | 25 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 64.0 |

[^7]
## Appendix 32. Juvenile Coho Salmon Marking at Trinity River Hatchery, 2019.

In order to distinguish natural origin (NOR) from hatchery-origin (HOR) Coho Salmon in the Trinity River, CA Department of Fish and Wildlife crew excised the right maxillaries (RM) of the Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) BY 2017 yearling Coho Salmon from February 15 - March 18, 2019. Marking of TRH Coho Salmon has been performed since 1994.

Approximately 2\% (3,041) of the BY 2017 fish were sampled for RM clip quality and FL prior to the start of their volitional release in April 2019. We estimate 149,659 of the 149,807 yearling Coho released from TRH were effectively marked with a RM clip (Table CA1). Based on the quality control sampling, an estimated $99.90 \%$ of the BY 2017 production was effectively RM clipped. Although there was a court-mandated decrease in production from the approximately 500,000 to no more than 300,000 beginning with the 2013 BY, the release number of BY 2017 Coho was particularly small due to a lack of eggs.

Table CA1. Production, marking totals, and quality control data for BY 2017 TRH Coho Salmon volitionally released in April 2019.
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccc}\hline \text { Net } & \text { QC \# } & \text { Estimated \% } & \text { Effectively } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { unmarked }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Marked }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Releases }\end{array} \\ \text { released }\end{array}\right]$
a/ Effectively marked = Net marked + QC re-clipped

## Coho Salmon Returns

Coho Salmon of the Trinity River typically have a three-year life-cycle with juveniles rearing in freshwater during their first year, then migrating to the ocean. After approximately one year at sea, some return to the river as two-year-old jacks (mostly males) and a year later as three-year-old adults (Table ). Coho adults (age 3) returning to the Trinity River in 2018 were of BY 2015 brood stock, Coho Salmon jacks (age 2) returning during 2018 were of BY 2016 brood stock.

Table CA2. Release and recovery data for right maxillary-clipped Coho Salmon recovered at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2018-19 season.

| Release data |  |  |  |  |  | TRH Recovery data |  |  |  |  | Number recovered Tagging site |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Egg Brood <br> Mark source year |  |  | Date | Number | Site | Males |  | Females |  | Total No. |  |  |
|  |  |  | No. |  |  | $\mathrm{FL}^{\text {a }}$ | No. | $\mathrm{FL}^{\text {a }}$ | WCW |  | JCW |
| RM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TRH | 2015 |  | 3/16-24/17 | 248,102 | TRH | 308 | 63.5 | 207 | 62.0 | 515 | 15 | -- |
| RM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TRH | 2016 | 3/15-25/18 | 258,243 | TRH | 185 | 38.6 | 0 | -- | 185 | 20 | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Coho: | 493 |  | 207 |  | 700 | 35 | -- |

a/ FL = Mean fork length in cm.
b/ Since 1996, all Coho Salmon produced at TRH have received a right maxillary clip (RM). Coho Salmon <49 cm FL were classified as brood year 2016 and Coho Salmon $>48 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were classified as brood year 2015. Age cutoff based on fork length distribution.

Total percent return for RM-clipped TRH-origin Coho from BY 2015 was $0.51 \%$. Since 1994 the BY total return rate has ranged from 0.17 to 6.60 \%. (Figure CA1, Table CA3).


Figure CA1. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery origin Coho Salmon to Trinity River Hatchery, 1994-2018.

The 2018 estimated escapement of Coho Salmon to the Trinity River (upstream of Willow Creek weir) was an estimated 1,486 fish. This consisted of 427 jacks ( 18 NOR, 409 HOR) and 1,059 adults (42 NOR and 1,017 HOR) for a total of 95.9\% HOR fish.

Table CA3. Run-size, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for right maxillary clipped, Trinity River Hatchery-produced Coho Salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, brood years, 1994-2015.

| Release data |  |  |  | Return data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood year | Date | Effective <br> Number | Site | Age | Run-size | \% ofrelease | In-river <br> harvest | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | Natural | Total |
| 1994 | 3/17-21/96 | 72,311 | TRH | 2 | 970 | 1.34\% | 0 | 105 | 865 | 970 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 1,732 | 2.40\% | 0 | 867 | 865 | 1,732 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 2,702 | 3.74\% | 0 | 972 | 1,730 | 2,702 |
| 1995 | 3/17-21/97 | 580,880 | TRH | 2 | 5,552 | 0.96\% | 39 | 858 | 4,655 | 5,513 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 9,008 | 1.55\% | 0 | 3,899 | 5,109 | 9,008 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 14,560 | 2.51\% | 39 | 4,757 | 9,764 | 14,521 |
| 1996 | 3/16-20/98 | 513,663 | TRH | 2 | 2,340 | 0.46\% | 0 | 969 | 1,371 | 2,340 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,357 | 0.85\% | 86 | 3,015 | 1,256 | 4,271 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 6,697 | 1.30\% | 86 | 3,984 | 2,627 | 6,611 |
| 1997 | 3/15-22/99 | 517,196 | TRH | 2 | 592 | 0.11\% | 0 | 381 | 211 | 592 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 9,704 | 1.88\% | 0 | 3,407 | 6,297 | 9,704 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 10,296 | 1.99\% | 0 | 3,788 | 6,508 | 10,296 |
| 1998 | 3/15-20/00 | 493,233 | TRH | 2 | 5,289 | 1.07\% | 0 | 916 | 4,373 | 5,289 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 25,395 | 5.15\% | 0 | 9,625 | 15,770 | 25,395 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 30,684 | 6.22\% | 0 | 10,541 | 20,143 | 30,684 |
| 1999 | 3/15-22/01 | 512,986 | TRH | 2 | 3,373 | 0.66\% | 0 | 1,024 | 2,349 | 3,373 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 13,849 | 2.70\% | 0 | 6,409 | 7,440 | 13,849 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 17,222 | 3.36\% | 0 | 7,433 | 9,789 | 17,222 |
| 2000 | 3/17-19/02 | 524,238 | TRH | 2 | 1,571 | 0.30\% | 0 | 688 | 883 | 1,571 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 20,721 | 3.95\% | 0 | 9,730 | 10,991 | 20,721 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 22,292 | 4.25\% | 0 | 10,418 | 11,874 | 22,292 |
| 2001 | 3/17-19/03 | 416,201 | TRH | 2 | 3,338 | 0.80\% | 0 | 1,449 | 1,889 | 3,338 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 24,162 | 5.81\% | 40 | 8,835 | 15,287 | 24,122 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 27,500 | 6.60\% | 40 | 10,284 | 17,176 | 27,460 |

Table CA3 (continued). Run-size, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for right maxillary clipped, Trinity River Hatcheryproduced Coho Salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, brood years, 1994-2015.

| Release Data |  |  |  | Return data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood year | Date | Effective <br> Number | Site | Age | Run-size | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of } \\ \text { release } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 3/15-18/04 | 516,906 | TRH | 2 | 5,665 | 1.10\% | 0 | 1,068 | 4,597 | 5,665 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 25,678 | 4.97\% | 0 | 15,704 | 9,974 | 25,678 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 31,343 | 6.06\% | 0 | 16,772 | 14,571 | 31,343 |
| 2003 | 3/14-18/05 | 520,847 | TRH | 2 | 3,012 | 0.58\% | 21 | 1,269 | 1,721 | 2,990 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 17,123 | 3.29\% | 0 | 7,454 | 9,669 | 17,123 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 20,135 | 3.90\% | 21 | 8,723 | 11,390 | 20,113 |
| 2004 | 3/15-20/06 | 545,199 | TRH | 2 | 1,331 | 0.24\% | 0 | 657 | 674 | 1,331 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,048 | 0.74\% | 0 | 2,436 | 1,612 | 4,048 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 5,379 | 0.99\% | 0 | 3,093 | 2,286 | 5,379 |
| 2005 | 3/15-20/07 | 511,961 | TRH | 2 | 503 | 0.10\% | 0 | 270 | 233 | 503 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 6,381 | 1.25\% | 0 | 4,177 | 2,204 | 6381 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6,884 | 1.34\% | 0 | 4,447 | 2,437 | 6,884 |
| 2006 | 3/15-20/08 | 455,482 | TRH | 2 | 2,290 | 0.50\% | 0 | 643 | 1,647 | 2,290 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,067 | 0.89\% | 0 | 2,386 | 1,681 | 4,067 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 6,357 | 1.40\% | 0 | 3,029 | 3,328 | 6,357 |
| 2007 | 3/16-20/09 | 457,478 | TRH | 2 | 1,645 | 0.36\% | 0 | 871 | 774 | 1,645 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 5,852 | 1.28\% | 0 | 3,706 | 2,146 | 5,852 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 7,497 | 1.64\% | 0 | 4,577 | 2,920 | 7,497 |
| 2008 | 4/6-8/10 | 413,178 | TRH | 2 | 1,233 | 0.30\% | 0 | 516 | 707 | 1,233 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,113 | 1.00\% | 0 | 1,710 | 2,403 | 4,113 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 5,346 | 1.29\% | 0 | 2,226 | 3,110 | 5,336 |
| $2009$ | 3/15-28/11 | 490,998 | TRH | 2 | 10,982 | 2.24\% | 0 | 2,862 | 8,120 | 10,982 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 13,494 | 2.75\% | 0 | 7,159 | 6,335 | 13,494 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 24,476 | 4.98\% | 0 | 10,021 | 14,455 | 24,476 |

Table CA3 (continued). Run-size, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for right maxillary clipped, Trinity River Hatcheryproduced Coho Salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, brood years, 1994-2015.

| Release Data |  |  |  | Return data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood year | Date | Effective <br> Number | Site | Age | Run-size | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { release } \end{gathered}$ | In-river harvest | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | Natural | Total |
| 2010 | 3/15-26/12 | 489,429 | TRH | 2 | 3,198 | 0.65\% | 0 | 871 | 2,327 | 3,198 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 14,782 | 3.02\% | 0 | 5,847 | 8,935 | 14,782 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 17,980 | 3.67\% | 0 | 6,718 | 11,262 | 17,980 |
| 2011 | 3/15-20/13 | 511,618 | TRH | 2 | 2,667 | 0.52\% | 0 | 424 | 2,243 | 2,667 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 9,297 | 1.82\% | 0 | 2,892 | 6,405 | 9,297 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 11,964 | 2.34\% | 0 | 3,316 | 8,648 | 11,964 |
| 2012 | 3/15-18/14 | 528,016 | TRH | 2 | 3,239 | 0.61\% | 0 | 932 | 2,307 | 3,239 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 2,936 | 0.56\% | 0 | 2,770 | 166 | 2,936 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 6,175 | 1.17\% | 0 | 3,702 | 2,473 | 6,175 |
| 2013 | 3/15-23/15 | 287,720 | TRH | 2 | 870 | 0.30\% | 0 | 270 | 600 | 870 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 482 | 0.17\% | 0 | 408 | 74 | 482 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 1,352 | 0.47\% | 0 | 678 | 674 | 1,352 |
| 2014 | 3/15-21/16 | 230,821 | TRH | 2 | 45 | 0.02\% | 0 | 45 | 0 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 354 | 0.15\% | 0 | 247 | 107 | 354 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 399 | 0.17\% | 0 | 292 | 107 | 399 |
| 2015 | 03/16-24/17 | 248,102 | TRH | 2 | 236 | 0.10\% | 0 | 149 | 87 | 236 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 515 | 0.21\% | 0 | 515 | 502 | 1,017 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 751 | 0.30\% | 0 | 664 | 589 | 1,253 |

Appendix 33. Fork length (FL) distribution of fall steelhead trapped and tagged at Willow Creek weir and subsequently recovered during the 2018-19 season.

| FL (cm) | WCW |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trapped | Total Tagged ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ad-clips ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler <br> Harvest ${ }^{e}$ | TRH ${ }^{\text {f }}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | Found <br> Tags ${ }^{h}$ | Angler <br> Released ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| 30 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- |
| 32 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 33 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 34 | 5 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 35 | 5 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 36 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 37 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- |
| 40 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 41 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 42 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 43 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 44 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 45 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 46 | 5 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 20.0 |
| 47 | 11 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 27.3 |
| 48 | 13 | 12 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 25.0 |
| 49 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 50 | 28 | 28 | 17 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 11 | 39.3 |
| 51 | 34 | 34 | 21 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 5 | 11 | 32.4 |
| 52 | 38 | 38 | 21 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 9 | 19 | 50.0 |
| 53 | 38 | 38 | 27 |  | 1 | 9 |  | 1 | 6 | 17 | 44.7 |
| 54 | 31 | 31 | 22 |  | 4 | 12 |  | 1 | 2 | 19 | 61.3 |
| 55 | 35 | 35 | 25 |  | 2 | 11 |  |  | 3 | 16 | 45.7 |
| 56 | 42 | 42 | 30 |  | 2 | 17 |  |  | 3 | 22 | 52.4 |
| 57 | 36 | 36 | 25 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 7 | 15 | 41.7 |
| 58 | 36 | 36 | 20 |  | 1 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 13 | 36.1 |
| 59 | 25 | 25 | 16 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 3 | 13 | 52.0 |
| 60 | 30 | 30 | 16 |  | 1 | 14 |  | 2 | 2 | 19 | 63.3 |
| 61 | 22 | 22 | 10 |  |  | 7 |  | 1 | 1 | 9 | 40.9 |
| 62 | 14 | 14 | 7 |  |  | 4 |  | 5 | 1 | 10 | 71.4 |
| 63 | 9 | 9 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 1 | 6 | 66.7 |
| 64 | 5 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 40.0 |
| 65 | 6 | 6 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 50.0 |
| 66 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 67 | 7 | 7 | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 28.6 |
| 68 | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 69 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 70 | 8 | 8 | 7 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 62.5 |
| 71 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 50.0 |
| 72 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 66.7 |
| 73 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | -- |
| 75 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 76 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Totals: | 532 | 509 | 314 | 0 | 11 | 146 | 0 | 16 | 54 | 227 | 44.6 |
| Mean FL: | 55.2 | 56.1 | 56.0 | -- | 55.4 | 57.3 | -- | 60.4 | 55.0 | 56.9 |  |
| Total 1/2lbers | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total adults ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 510 | 509 | 306 | 0 | 11 | 146 | 0 | 16 | 54 | 227 | 44.6 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place August 29 - November 19, 2018 (Julian weeks 35-47).
b/ Twenty four steelhead were trapped but not tagged at WCW in 2018; 22 were half-pounders (too small), and 2 adults were in poor condition. c/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
d/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging are considered tagging mortalities.
e/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers.
f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
g/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys; of which we found none in 2018.
h/ Fish tags found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts
i/ Fish caught and released by anglers, their tag removed.
$\mathrm{j} /$ Adult steelhead are all those $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL.

Appendix 34. Daily mean flow (CFS) recorded at USGS gauge (11526250) and water ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) temperature for Trinity River upstream of Junction City, 2018.


Appendix 35. Daily mean flow (CFS) recorded at USGS gauge (11530000) and water ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) temperature for Trinity River near Willow Creek weir, 2018.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adipose fin-clipped and coded-wire-tagged (ad-clipped and CWT), hatchery-produced Chinook and right-maxillary (RM)-clipped Coho Salmon.
    ${ }^{2}$ Serially numbered "spaghetti" tags applied by CDFW personnel to salmonids on their up-river migration (spawning run).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The use of brand or trade names is for identification purposes only and does not imply the endorsement of any product by the CDFW.

[^2]:    a/ Stratum: Jacks = two-year-old salmon, Adults = three years old or older; Steelhead adults were fish greater than 41 cm FL.
    b/ Harvest rates were based on the return of reward tags for spring and fall Chinook Salmon and steelhead.
    There was no legal Coho Salmon harvest allowed, nor any reported.
    c/ Calculated as the run-size times the harvest rate.
    d/ Calculated as run-size minus angler harvest minus hatchery escapement. Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery. Any difference between these numbers and others throughout this report are due to rounding.

[^3]:    * Eight-day Julian week only during leap years
    **Eight-day Julian week every year

[^4]:    a. No estimate in 1983 or 1995 due to lack of funding

[^5]:    a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
    b/ The 1978 sport harvest of fall Chinook was restricted by a salmon fishing closure beginning August 25, 1978.
    c/ The sport harvest of adult fall Chinook was subject to seasonal and size limit restrictions.
    d/ The 1999-2018 sport harvest of Klamath Basin fall Chinook was managed with a quota system. The quota for adult fall Chinook was 957 in 1999; 693 in 2000; 9,834 in 2001; 6,926 in 2002; 10,800 in 2003; 4,700 in 2004; 1,262 in 2005, zero in 2006, 10,600 in 2007, 20,500 in 2008, 30,800 in 2009, 12,000 in $2010,7,900$ in 2011, 67,600 in 2012, 40,006 in 2013, 4,128 in 2014, 14, 133 in 2015, 1,110 in 2016, zero (no allowable harvest) in 2017, and 3,490 in 2018.
    e/ Jacks are two year old fish, adults are a minimum of three years old.

[^6]:    a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.

[^7]:    a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place August 29 - November 19, 2018 (Julian weeks 35-47).
    b/ No trapped Coho went untagged in 2018 due to poor condition.
    c/ RM-clips = Right maxillary clipped fish of Trinity River Hatchery origin.
    d/ There were no tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging (considered tagging mortalities) in 2018
    e/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers. There were zero reported as harvested by anglers in 2018.
    f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 4, 2018 - March 12, 2019 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
    g/ There were no WCW tagged Coho recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
    h/ There was one tag found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts in 2018.
    i/ There were two Coho reported as caught and released by anglers, their tag removed, in 2018.
    j/ Coho <49 cm FL were considered jacks in 2018.

