## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	Economic number states	VILLI VI	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Fish and Game Commission	Margaret Duncan margaret.duncan	@wildlife.ca.gov	916-653-4676
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		·	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Archery Equipment and Crossbow Regula	ations		Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	TS Include calculations and assumptions in the	rulemaking record.	·
	·		
<ol> <li>Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate</li> <li>a. Impacts business and/or employees</li> </ol>	whether this regulation:  e. Imposes reporting requirement	_	
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive instead of p	performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations			
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Explain belo	ow):	
	through g is checked, complete this Econchecked, complete the Fiscal Impact State		
2. The Fish and Game Commission (Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic impact of t	his regulation (which includ	des the fiscal impact) is:
🔀 Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a tt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	Standardized Regulatory Im	oact Assessment
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	0		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr	ofits): N/A		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	N/A		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ted: 0 eliminated: 0		
Explain: The proposed regulation will no	ot impact businesses directly nor indire	ctly by any change in I	nunter expenditures.
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide  Local or regional (List areas):		
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated: 0	_	
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac	ted: N/A		
<ol> <li>Will the regulation affect the ability of California l other states by making it more costly to produce</li> </ol>	·	] NO	
If YES, explain briefly:			

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

## **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with the a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ 0				
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ 0 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 0 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ 0 or 10-\$20/scale* Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0				
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 0 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ 0 or 10-\$20/scale* Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0				
c. Initial costs for an individual: $$0 \text{ or } 10-$20/scale*$$ Annual ongoing costs: $$0 \text{ or } 10-$20/scale*$$	Years: 1			
d Davids also and a state of the state of th				
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: *While it is not necessary to comp	oly with the proposed regulation, some			
individual archery hunters may choose to make a one-time purchase of a bowscale, that cost:	s from \$10 to \$20 dollars.			
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: N/A				
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may income	rur to comply with these requirements			
Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or	not the paperwork must be submitted. \$ N/A			
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?   YES   X NO				
If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing	unit: \$			
	units:			
	the state of the s			
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: FGC r	egulates the take of wildlife within the state.			
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal difference	ences: \$ no necessary new costs			
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by ru	lemaking law, but encouraged.			
Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:  Increased  Increased	d enforceability of bow strength regulation.			
More humane archery hunting practice resulting in less suffering by game species.				
2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or specific statutory requirements.	agency based on broad statutory authority?			
Explain: FGC code section 200 provides the Commission's Power to Regulate Taki	ing of Fish and Game.			
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ more humane hu				
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California	that would result from this regulation: N/A			
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemakin specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	g record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not			
List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain w	hy not: No alternatives were considered.			
No alternatives were identified by or brought to the attention of Commission staff that would have				

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	Economic infiner stritement (continued)				
2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:				
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ unknown* Cost: \$ 1,000 - \$2,000				
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ N/A Cost: \$ N/A				
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ N/A Cost: \$ N/A				
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  *Specific draw weights for bow and cross bows will enable				
	increased enforceability of bow strength regulation. Benefits of which are difficult to quantify.				
1.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?				
	Explain: The proposed regulation is a performance standard because archery hunters have the discretion to				
	achieve the specified bow or cross-bow draw weight by a variety of chosen means.				
<u>.</u>	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.				
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.				
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises <b>exceed \$10 million</b> ? YES NO				
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4				
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:				
	Alternative 1:				
	Alternative 2:				
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)				
2	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:				
٥.	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
4. '	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?				
	☐ YES ☒ NO				
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.				
5.	Briefly describe the following:				
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State:				
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes:				
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:				

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate apparent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ropriate boxes 1 through 6 and	attach calculations and assumption	ons of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year v     (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Co			
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
	Chapter , St	atutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget	: ACT OT		
Fisc	cal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year v (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Co			
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provid	de the appropriate information:		
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in —			
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			_Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State ex	xpressed in their approval of Pro	pposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from af			
Land autitu(a) offertad			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. fro	om:		
Authorized by Section:	of the		Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local go	overnment which will, at a minir	num, offset any additional costs to	each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new	w crime or infraction contained	in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only	technical, non-substantive or cla	rifying changes to current law regula	ations.
∑ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any	local entity or program.		
6. Other. Explain			

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
\$ 1,000 to \$2,000	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
$\overline{ imes}$ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
	t bowscales that range in cost from
\$10 to \$20 each which would total to approximately \$1,000 to \$2,000 in the first fiscal year 2019,	/20.
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attain impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
	1
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Original signed by D. Farrell 11/27/2018	
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Original signed by M. Miller-Henson 11/29/18	
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE

#### STD399 CALCULATIONS WORKSHEET

# Amend Section 354 Title 14, California Code of Regulations Re: Archery Equipment and Crossbow Regulations

#### Fiscal Impact Statement

#### **Section B. Fiscal Effect on State Government**

Question 1. Additional expenditures in the next State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) (FY 2019-20) \$1,000 - \$2,000

a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

Question 4. Other. Explain

About one hundred CDFW officers may purchase and request reimbursement for bowscales to aid in the enforcement of the proposed regulation. Bowscales range in cost from \$10 to \$20 each which may result in an estimated \$1,000 - \$2,000 additional expenditure in the first fiscal year and approximately \$0 in the two subsequent fiscal years, as shown in Table 1. CDFW Annual Expenditures on Archery Bowscales.

Table 1. CDFW Annual Expenditures on Archery Bowscales.

CDFW Officers	Bowscale cost	BY 2019/20	BY 2020/21	BY 2021/22
100	\$10 - \$20	\$1,000 - \$2,000	\$0	\$0