ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE FOR 2008

COASTAL ANADROMOUS FISH PASSAGE ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION PROGRESS REPORT

Prepared:

AUGUST 2009

Prepared by the California Department of Transportation Division of Environmental Analysis

Report to the Legislature on Anadromous Fish Passage Assessment and Remediation Progress Report January to December 2008

Purpose

This is the fourth annual report prepared in accordance with Article 3.5 of Chapter 1 of Division 1 of the Streets and Highways Code that took effect 1 January 2006. The report directs the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to prepare an annual report describing the status of the department's progress in locating, assessing, and remediating project-related barriers to fish passage. The Code also requires that the department, with appropriate State and federal regulatory agencies, report progress in developing a programmatic environmental review process that will streamline the permitting process for remediating fish passage barrier projects. This annual report describes action between 1 January and 31 December 2008.

Assessment and Remediation of Fish Passage Barriers

Project level remediation

Remediation summary (this data has been reported; no new sites have been added for this period)

Table 1. Completed Salmonid Fish Passage Barrier Remediations Since January 1, 2006							
Project #	District	County	Route	Post Mile	Project Name		
1	1	Del Norte	101	4.04	Tributary to Elk Creek		
2	1	Humboldt	101	115.3	Stone Lagoon		
3	1	Humboldt	101	40.7	Chadd Creek		
4	2	Siskiyou	96	65.0/ 65.5	O'Neil Creek; Tributary to Klamath River		
5	2	Tehama	5	16.9	Elder Creek		
6	2	Tehama	5	28.1	Dibble Creek		
7	2	Shasta	299	20.75	Salt Creek, Sacramento Tributary		
8	3	Sierra/ Yuba	49	4.0/9.4	Sierra 49 Culvert Replacement		
9	5	Santa Barbara	101	33.9	El Capitan Creek		
10	5	Santa Barbara	101	41	Arroyo Hondo		
11	5	Santa Barbara	101	47.19	Gaviota Creek		
12	5	Santa Cruz	1	10	Valencia Creek; Tributary to Aptos Creek		
13	5	Santa Cruz	1 & 17	17.4/ 17.42	Branciforte Creek and Carbonera Creek		

Active Projects Summary

	Table 2. Active Fish Passage Projects							
Project #	District	County	Route	Post Mile	Date ¹	Project Name		
	Culvert Projects							
1	1	Mendocino	1	62.5	DNS	Culvert Rehabilitation, Tributary to Pudding Creek		
2	1	Mendocino	1	92.83	CCA 1/1/2014	Dunn Creek Fish Passage		
3	1	Mendocino	101	8.0/ 17.8	CCA 1/1/2017	Hopland Bypass		
4	1	Mendocino	101	81.4	CCA 10/1/2010	Rattlesnake Creek		
5	1	Mendocino	101	Multiple	CCA 11/1/2012	36 Culverts		
6	1	Mendocino	101	84	DNS	Rattlesnake Creek		
7	1	Mendocino	128	39.88	DNS	Beebe Storm Damage		
8	1	Mendocino	128 & 253	0.0/ 50.1	CCA 11/1/2012	264 Culverts		
9	1	Mendocino	101	14.4/ 14.8	CCA 12/1/2009	McBrindle Creek		
10	1	Humboldt	101	98.5/ 100.9	CCA 10/01/2010	Red Mountain Creek Fish Passage		
11	4	Marin	1	22.7	CCA 12/1/2014	Giacomini Creek		
12	4	Sonoma	1	32.4	CCA 12/1/2014	Fort Ross Creek		
13	4	Sonoma	1	15.1/ 15.8	CCA 12/1/2013	Gleason Beach		
14	5	Santa Barbara	1	15.6	CCA 4/1/2014	Salsipuedes Creek		
15	5	Santa Barbara	101	0	CCA 9/1/2014	Rincon Creek		
16	7	Los Angeles	1	50.3	CCA 11/9/2009	Solstice Creek		

 $^{^{1}}$ CCA means "Construction Contract Completion." DNS means "Date Not Scheduled." Dates are estimates that depend on funding, permitting, and negotiations.

Table 2. Active Fish Passage Projects, continued									
Project #	District	County	Route	Post Mile	Date ²	Project Name			
	Bridge Projects								
1	2	Tehama	99	13.9/ 14.2	CCA 7/15/2013	Craig Creek and Sunset Canal Bridges			
2	4	Alameda	84	12.1/ 13.3	CCA 10/1/2013	Niles Canyon Safety Improvement Project (Stonybrook Creek)			
3	5	Santa Barbara	101	2.2	DNS	Carpinteria Creek			
4	5	Santa Barbara	101	55	CCA 2/1/2011	Nojouqi Creek, Santa Ynez			
5	5	Santa Barbara	192	15.5	CCA 6/1/2013	Arroyo Parida Creek			
6	5	Santa Cruz	1	31.55	DNS	Scott Creek			
7	5	Santa Cruz	1	36.3	DNS	Waddell Creek			
8	7	Ventura	150	18.8	DNS	San Antonio Creek Bridge Replacement			
9	7	Ventura	150	28.7	CCA 8/1/2012	Santa Paula Creek			
10	7	Ventura	150	28.48/ 28.53	CCA 4/1/2009	Sisar Creek and Santa Paula Creek			
	Culvert and Bridge Projects								
1	1	Del Norte	101	43.6/ 45.8	CCA 12/1/2009	Smith River Widening (Lopez Creek)			
2	4	Napa	121	0.3/2.0	CCA 10/1/2014	Duhig Road Realign Curves and Widen Shoulders			
3	4	Santa Clara/ San Benito	101	5.0/ 0.0 / 4.9	CCA 10/1/2013	Widening of U.S. Highway 101 from Monterey Road (Santa Clara) to State Route 129 (San Benito)			

 $^{^2}$ CCA means "Construction Contract Completion." DNS means "Date Not Scheduled." Dates are estimates that depend on funding, permitting, and negotiations.

	Table 2. Active Fish Passage Projects, continued							
Project #	District	County	Route	Post Mile	Date ³	Project Name		
	Partnership Projects							
1	2	Trinity	299	68.0/ 68.2	CCA 9/15/2010	Trinity Dam Boulevard. Fish Ladder, Grass Valley Creek		
2	7	Ventura	150	22.7/ 22.8	CCA 3/30/2011	Lion Creek		
3	12	Orange	5	11.3	CalTrout Lead	Trabuco Creek		
4	12	Orange	5 & 241	0	CCA 5/1/2018	San Mateo Creek		

Planning level assessment

Capital-Funded Work

Caltrans' consultant completed a technical report, "Implications of Highway Bridge Crossing Effects on Coastal Lagoons" for District 5. The report provides background information, a synthesis of published reports, and an assessment of bridge conditions, and identifies key information needs. The report will assist the resolution of permit issues related to future replacement or repair of bridges over Scott and Waddell Creeks, including concerns for fish passage related to lagoon formation and breaching. The report recognizes multiple species and resource needs that will require clear natural resource management goals to focus bridge permit issues that will be affected by watershed-wide restoration and land-use decisions.

Grant-Funded Work

Planning-level work funded through grants was previously reported. Additional grant funding applications have been submitted, but additional funding was not yet secured. Two types of surveys are conducted for the planning-level studies: Reconnaissance (Recon) and Detailed Surveys. Recon surveys are simple surveys that do not require access to land outside existing transportation right-of-way and have received planning funding. Detailed surveys require access to land beyond Caltrans right-of-way, collect data critical to barrier determinations and remediation needs, and have been restricted to project-related grants or project funding.

In 2008, 798 Recon surveys were completed.

In 2008, 15 Detailed Surveys were completed.

With the completion of this work, nearly all accessible locations identified as upper-priority coastal survey sites have received Recon surveys (priorities for planning-level fish passage assessment according to, <u>Prioritization of Fish Passage Surveys on State Highway System Road-Stream Crossings in California's Coastal Watersheds</u> (March 2007).

³ CCA means "Construction Contract Completion." DNS means "Date Not Scheduled." Dates are estimates that depend on funding, permitting, and negotiations.

Programmatic Environmental Review Process

Agency Agreements

Caltrans continues to consult with the California Department of Fish and Game, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to streamline environmental review and permitting with programmatic environmental authorizations for a number of activities that can be characterized as routine maintenance or small projects that can most commonly improve or provide fish passage. Routine maintenance includes culvert repair, culvert cleaning, and vegetation management, while the relatively small-impact projects include fish passage remediation projects such as culvert installation, weir and baffle installation, and small bridge construction.

The scope of the current effort is coastal drainages from the Oregon border to Santa Cruz County. This will require consultation on approximately 58 plant species and 33 fish and wildlife species that may be incidentally affected. The effort, once complete, should streamline both capital project and routine maintenance project timelines and reduce overhead costs associated with environmental compliance.