5.5 South Coast Province

5

5

1

5

5.5.1 Geophysical and Ecological Description of the Province

California's South Coast Province encompasses more than eight million acres, extending along the coast from the Santa Barbara County in the north to the Mexico border in the south (Figure 5.5-1). Inland, the region is bounded by the Peninsular Mountain Ranges and the transition to the Mojave and Colorado Deserts on the east and by the Transverse Mountain Ranges on the north. It is an area of strikingly varied landscapes, ranging from wetlands and beaches to hillsides, rugged mountains, arid deserts, and densely populated metropolitan areas.



Robb Hannawacker, NPS

The region's coastal habitats include coastal strand, lagoons, and river-mouth estuaries that transition from riparian wetlands to fresh and saltwater marshes. California least tern, western snowy plover, light-footed Ridgway's rail, California brown pelican, and other waterfowl and shorebirds depend on these habitats. Moving inland, the predominant hillside and bluff communities are coastal sage scrub and chaparral. Southern California's coastal sage scrub is composed of a mix of drought-resistant

Province-Specific Conservation Strategies – South Coast

shrubs and forbs found no place else in the country, commonly including California sagebrush, bush monkeyflower, buckwheat species, and black, purple, or white sage. Coastal sage scrub is a globally endangered community whose worldwide distribution is a narrow coastal strip from Ventura County to El Rosario in northern Baja. Chaparral plant communities (also drought tolerant) are characterized by a greater component of woody species, including chamise, manzanita, California lilac, and scrub oak. Inhabitants of sage scrub and chaparral communities include the Blainville's horned lizard, California gnatcatcher, San Diego cactus wren, Pacific pocket mouse, and Quino checkerspot butterfly. Isolated grasslands and vernal pool habitats are interspersed in the coastal landscape and support unique and endemic species such as Stephens' kangaroo rat and fairy shrimp species. Low- to mid-elevation uplands often feature oak woodlands, including Engelmann oak. Higher-elevation mountainous areas are dominated by coniferous forests, including Jeffrey pine, Ponderosa pine, big-cone Douglas fir, and white fir, and support sensitive species such as long-eared and long-legged myotis bats. Along the Peninsular Mountain Range, coniferous forests transition to the western edge of the Colorado and Mojave Desert ecosystems.

The province's largest river drainages include the Tijuana, San Diego, San Luis Rey, Santa Margarita, Santa Ana, San Gabriel, Los Angeles, Santa Clara, Santa Ynez, and Ventura rivers. Coniferous forests occur along high-elevation stream reaches, and some mountain drainages host mountain yellow-legged frog, California red-legged frog, Santa Ana sucker, and Santa Ana

| - | | ÷,4 | 3 | = | 4 | 1 | ÷. | L. | : }4 | =34 | ÷. |
|---|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----|-------------|-----|----|
| | : 4 | | : • | ÷. | : | | . | ÷. | | \$ | |
| | Р | rovince-Spe | ecific Conser | vation Strategies | s – South Coas | st | | | | | |

speckled dace. Lower-elevation river reaches support riparian vegetation species, including cottonwood, willow, sycamore, and coast live oak, which provide habitat for such riparian bird species as the least Bell's vireo, southwestern willow flycatcher, Swainson's thrush, yellow-billed cuckoo, and yellow warbler, as well as the arroyo chub and arroyo toad. In urbanized coastal areas, many sections of the province's river corridors are channelized with concrete and support mostly non-native species.

Coastal Cactus Wren Conservation


By Nancy Frost, CDFW

In 2010, CDFW South Coast Region biologists from multiple programs (Habitat Conservation Planning, Natural Community Conservation Planning, and Wildlife, Fisheries, and Lands) formed Taxa Teams to prioritize the species most in-need of conservation efforts (Taxa are groups of similar organisms, such as birds). To do so, they asked: "Is the species declining or in eminent danger of extirpation within the region? Has there been a significant reduction in its historic range? Is the species endemic within all or a portion of the region? To what degree is the species affected by recent habitat loss? Is a limited amount of the species' habitat conserved? Does the species have narrow habitat requirements such that it is sensitive to known threats?"

Based on this effort, coastal cactus wren (*Campylorhynchus brunneicapillus sandiegensis*) was ranked as the top priority bird species. In 2014, the Bird Taxa Team collaborated on a Conservation Blueprint, which included a description of the species, conservation partners and efforts underway, conservation goals, and strategies (priority acquisition areas, management directives for CDFW reserves, and resource assessment needs). Designated a California Species of Special Concern, the coastal cactus wren is an obligate, nonmigratory resident of coastal cholla and prickly pear cactus dominated stands of the coastal sage scrub plant community.

Key impacts include habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation due to urbanization and human-caused wildfires that have resulted in genetic isolation. Restoration of cactus habitat is needed to support genetic stepping stones to improve gene flow. Standardized population monitoring at regular intervals is needed to inform adaptive management efforts. This work will be done in partnership with the Coastal Cactus Wren Conservation Network, a regional effort developed between interested stakeholders to coordinate and exchange information for the protection and management of the species. CDFW used State Wildlife Grant funds to contract with the California Wildlife Foundation to complete a report titled "Cactus Wren Data Summary: California Natural Diversity Database and the Coastal Cactus Wren Conservation Network (CCWCN)" that mapped survey data collected by CCWCN members between 2006-2013 and analyzed California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) records to determine which sites should be resurveyed. Subsequently, CDFW staff resurveyed 29 high priority CNDDB records that had not been recently surveyed in Orange and San Diego counties.

Next steps will include working towards the conservation goals of protecting and enhancing the remaining cactus wren habitat to increase connectivity and occurrence size throughout core populations, obtaining taxonomic resolution of the subspecies, and keeping the coastal cactus wren from warranting protection by State or Federal Endangered Species Acts. Even though this SGCN does not occur in one of the current conservation targets, this is an example of how the needs of a habitat specialist can be addressed in the SWAP (for more information, see the Coastal Cactus Wren Data Portal at http://www.southcoastsurvey.org).



Data Source: California Protected Areas Database; US Geological Survey (hillshade); CDFW Lands

Figure 5.5-1 Land Ownership of the South Coast Province



The province is recognized as one of the world's hotspots of biological diversity and is home to more than 470 vertebrate animal species, approximately 38 percent of all the vertebrate species found in California. It is also distinguished by the tremendous population growth and urbanization that have transformed the landscape since the 1940s. This intersection of biological resources and urbanization has made the South Coast Province the most-threatened biologically diverse area in the continental U.S. (U.S. Geological Survey [USGS] 2003). More than 150 species of vertebrate animals and 200 species of plants are either listed as protected or considered sensitive by wildlife agencies and conservation groups (Hunter 1999).

Despite the province's rapid growth and subsequent loss of habitat, Southern California retains some large and valuable natural lands, including the national forests, which form an interconnected system of wildlands flanking the coast's metropolitan areas. Wide-ranging species, including the mountain lion, coyote, and golden eagle, can still be found in these large habitats.

On the outskirts of Los Angeles, hiking trails traversing canyons in the Santa Monica Mountains pass through the range of the mountain lion and golden eagle. Only from the mountaintops, where the view reveals the Los Angeles metropolis spreading to the ocean, is it clear that these natural lands exist within one of the world's most urbanized regions. The San Diego metropolitan area is the second most populous area in the state, but is also surrounded by natural areas with extraordinary biodiversity. This juxtaposition of urban landscapes with remaining significant natural areas is one of the defining characteristics of the South Coast. The ongoing pressures of growth and urbanization require substantial and timely efforts to preserve the province's remaining wildlife diversity.

The South Coast Missing Linkages

The South Coast Missing Linkages project has developed a comprehensive plan for such a regional network that would maintain and restore critical habitat linkages between existing reserves. These linkages form the backbone of a conservation strategy for southern California where the whole would be greater than the sum of the parts. This strategy represents the best hope for maintaining what remains of southern California's wildlife legacy, while ensuring quality of life for our citizens via clean air, clean water, and recreational opportunities. The plan is available at: http://www.scwildlands.org/reports/SCMLRegionalReport.pdf.

South Coast Missing Linkages is a highly collaborative inter-agency effort to identify and conserve the highestpriority linkages in the South Coast Ecoregion. Partners include South Coast Wildlands, National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, California State Parks, The Wildlands Conservancy, The Resources Agency, California State Parks Foundation, The Nature Conservancy, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, Resources Legacy Foundation, Conservation Biology Institute, San Diego State University Field Stations Program, Environment Now, Mountain Lion Foundation, and the Zoological Society of San Diego's Conservation and Research for Endangered Species, among others.

Cross-border alliances have also been formed with Pronatura, Universidad Autonoma de Baja California, Terra Peninsular, and Conabio, in recognition of our shared vision for ecological connectivity across the border into Baja.



5.5.2 Conservation Units and Targets

The conservation units associated with the South Coast Province are the Southern California Coast and Southern California Mountain and Valley ecoregions (Figure 5.5-2), and the Southern California Coastal hydrologic unit (Figure 5.5-3). The selected targets for each of these conservation units are summarized in Table 5.5-1. Figure 5.5-4 shows the distribution of the plant communities within the province.

Although numerous conservation targets were identified within the South Coast Province, conservation strategies were only developed for California grassland and flowerfields, freshwater marsh, American southwest riparian forest and woodland, native fish assemblage, and native aquatic herp assemblage, those targets which contained the greatest number of SCGN and were considered more immediately under threat. Coastal sage scrub was not among those targets selected because this habitat type is being conserved through the Natural Community Conservation Planning (NCCP) program. By developing conservation strategies for key conservation targets that are less emphasized under the NCCP program, SWAP both builds upon and complements the NCCP program. Additional key targets, including Salt Marsh and California Foothill and Valley Forests and Woodlands, will be addressed through future conservation planning efforts. Information about the methods used to prioritize conservation targets is presented in Appendix D.




Figure 5.5-2 Ecoregions of the South Coast Province




Figure 5.5-3 Hydrologic Units of the South Coast Province




Figure 5.5-4 Plant Communities of the South Coast Province

| k: | K: | | Ķ: | ه | <u>.</u> | ¥[: | | K: | | ×: | | к; | | ь; г |
|-----|----|----|----|-------------|--------------|-----|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----|---------|
| K.⁼ | | k: | | N .: | - K a | | ¥,≎ | | R. | | N 2 | | k,a | |
| | | | | | | Pi | rovince-Spe | ecific Cor | nservatio | n Strateg | jies – Sou | uth Coast | | |

Þ,

| Table 5.5-1 | Conservation Units and | l Targets – So | uth Coast Province* | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Conservation Unit | Geographic and Ecological Summary | Conservation Target | Target Summary | Focal CWHR Types Associated with Target |
| Southern California Coast Ecoregion | This unit contains mountains, hills, valleys, and plains of the Transverse Ranges and of the Peninsular Ranges that are close enough to the Pacific Ocean for the climate to be modified greatly by marine influence. Elevation range: 0 to 3,000 | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | Riparian forests and thickets are included in this target. The range of the main indicator trees and shrubs are the SW US and N Mexico. Most stands of this target occur below 4,000 feet elevation and are replaced by the cool-temperate version of riparian (Montane and North Coast Riparian Forest and Scrub) in the mountains. Diagnostic species include Fremont cottonwood, Black and red willow, California sycamore, California wild grape, arroyo willow, narrow-leaf willow, button-bush, spice bush and California fan palm (native stands in the warm desert). Most stands are found in permanently moist settings or riparian settings where sub-surface water is available year-round. | Valley Foothill Riparian; Palm Oasis |
| | | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Includes all annual forb/grass vegetation native and non-native, as well as native perennial grasslands growing within the California Mediterranean climate. This does not include the cool-moist north coastal terrace prairies, the montane meadow/upland grasslands, and non-native perennial pasture grasses. Native perennial grasslands include needle grass species (<i>Stipa, Achnatherum, Nassella</i>), melicgrass and giant wild rye. Annual native forb and wildflower fields including species of poppy, goldfields, popcorn flowers, <i>Phacelia</i> , fiddleneck, and others. Non-native annual grasslands composed of Eurasian species such as wild oat, brome, annual fescue, starthistle, mustards, fennel, and others are also included in this target. | Annual Grassland; Perennial Grassland |
| | | Freshwater Marsh | This vegetation type consists of freshwater emergent marshes and coastal/tidal marshes and meadows. It can be found surrounding streams, rivers, lakes and wet meadows. These habitats occur on virtually all exposures and slopes, provided a basin or depression is saturated or at least periodically flooded. Dominant species are generally perennial monocots including graminoids such as rushes, reeds, grasses and sedges. Dominant species include: common reeds, hardstem bulrush, small-fruited bulrush, water parsley, slough sedge, soft rush, salt rush, and pacific silverweed. | Fresh Emergent Wetland |
| Southern California Mountain and Valley Ecoregion | This unit includes mountains, hills and valleys of the Transverse Ranges and the Peninsular Ranges that are near the Pacific | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | See summary description in Southern California Coast Ecoregion. | Valley Foothill Riparian; Palm Oasis |
| | Ocean, but not bordering it. Much of the section is close enough to the Pacific Ocean for the climate to be modified moderately marine influence. Elevation range: 300 to 11,500 | California Grassland and Flowerfields | See summary description in Southern California Coast Ecoregion. | Annual Grassland; Perennial Grassland |
| Southern California Coastal HUC 1807 | Includes the drainage that discharges into the Pacific Ocean from the Rincon Creek Basin | Native Fish Assemblage | SGCN associated with target are unarmored three spine stickleback, tidewater goby, Santa Ana sucker, Santa Ana speckled dace, and arroyo chub. | N/A |
| | | South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage | SGCN associated with target are California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, mountain yellow-legged frog, arroyo toad, western pond turtle, coast range newt, and two-striped garter snake. | N/A |

* Description referenced from CDFG 1988, USDA 1994, USDA 2007 and Keeler-Wolf 2010.



5.5.3 **Key Ecological Attributes**

Key ecological attributes (KEAs) were identified for each conservation target. These attributes are considered the most important for the viability of the targets and their associated species. The KEAs for the South Coast Province are listed in Table 5.5-2. The most commonly identified attributes for the South Coast Province are:

- area and extent of community;
- connectivity among communities and ecosystems;
- community structure and composition; and
- surface water flow regime.

| Table 5.5-2 Key Ecological Attribut | tes – Soutl | h Coast I | Province | 9 | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------|--|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Conse | rvation Units | and Targets | | | |
| | Southern | California | a Coast | Southern Mountain | | | | |
| Key Ecological Attributes | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Freshwater Marsh | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Native Fish Assemblage | South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage | |
| Area and extent of community | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | |
| Community structure and composition | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Connectivity among communities and ecosystems | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| Fire regime | | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Hydrological regime | Х | | | Х | | | | |
| Nutrient concentrations and dynamics | | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Successional dynamics | | Х | Х | | Х | | | |
| Soil quality and sediment deposition regime | | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Surface water flow regime | Х | | Х | Х | | Х | Х | |
| Water level fluctuations | Х | | | Х | | Х | | |

Species of Greatest Conservation Need in the South Coast Province 5.5.4

The SWAP regional team identified species that would benefit from the conservation strategies for each target within the province. These species are the focus of the conservation strategies and will benefit from the actions taken to implement the conservation strategies (Table 5.5-3). Not all of the focal species meet the criteria to be considered Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN). SGCN are indicated with an asterisk. SGCN associated with the South Coast Province are shown by ecoregion in Tables C-20 and C-21 in Appendix C.

| к;÷ | | К. | | N. | | ×. | | ¥,≑ | | К;: | | ×: | <i>k</i> ; | | , kja |
|-----|-----|----|----|----|-------------|----|----|-----|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-----|-------|
| | ¥,≈ | | R: | | N .: | | ×. | | ₩,= | | e 19 | N. | | k,s | |
| | | | | | | | | Pro | ovince-Sp | ecific Con | servation | Strategies | – South Coas | t | |

| Coast P | rovince | | 6 | | notion United | and Terrer | -1 | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|
| | | Couthor | n California | | vation Units a Southern C | | | n California |
| | | | oast | a | Mountain a | | | HUC 1807 |
| Common Name | Scientific Name | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Freshwater Marsh | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Native Fish Assemblage | South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage |
| Invertebrates | | | | | | | | |
| Quino checkerspot butterfly* | Euphydryas editha quino | | Х | | | | | |
| Fishes | | | | | | | | |
| Arroyo chub* | Gila orcuttii | | | | | | Х | |
| Santa Ana speckled dace* | Rhinichthys osculus ssp. | | | | | | Х | |
| Santa Ana sucker* | Catostomus santaanae | | | | | | Х | |
| Unarmored threespine stickleback* | Gasterosteus aculeatus williamsoni | | | | | | Х | |
| Tidewater goby* | Eucyclogobius newberryi | | | | | | Х | |
| Amphibians | | | | | | | | |
| California Tiger salamander* | Ambystoma californiense | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | Х |
| California newt* (Monterey County and South) | Taricha torosa | Х | | | Х | | | Х |
| Western spadefoot* | Spea hammondii | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| Arroyo toad* | Anaxyrus californicus | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | Х |
| California red-legged frog* | Rana draytonii | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х |
| Southern mountain yellow-legged frog* | Rana muscosa | Х | | | Х | | | Х |
| Reptiles | | | | | | | | |
| Southern western pond turtle* | Actinemys pallida | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х |
| Two-striped gartersnake* | Thamnophis hammondii | Х | | | Х | | | Х |
| Birds | | | | | | | | |
| White-faced ibis | Plegadis chihi | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| Great egret | Adea alba | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Great blue heron | Ardea herodias | | | Х | | | | |
| Black-crowned night heron | Nycticorax nycticorax | | Х | Х | | Х | | |
| Least bittern* | Ixobrychus exilis | | | Х | | | | |
| California condor* | Gymnogyps californianus | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Osprey | Pandion haliaetus | Х | | Х | Х | | | |
| Golden eagle* | Aquila chrysaetos | | Х | Х | | Х | | |
| Ferruginous hawk | Buteo regalis | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Swainson's hawk* | Buteo swainsoni | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Northern harrier* | Circus cyaneus | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| White-tailed kite* | Elanus leucurus | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |

STATE WILDLIFE ACTION PLAN 2015 | A CONSERVATION LEGACY FOR CALIFORNIANS 5.5-11



| Table 5.5-3 Focal Sp Coast P | pecies of Conservatio rovince | n Strategie | es Develo | opeo | d for Conse | rvation [·] | Targets - | – South |
|------------------------------------|---|--|---|------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|
| | | | Co | onser | vation Units a | nd Target | ts ¹ | |
| | | | n California oast | | Southern C Mountain ar | alifornia | Souther | n California HUC 1807 |
| Common Name | Scientific Name | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Freshwater Marsh | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Native Fish Assemblage | South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage |
| Long-billed curlew | Numenius americanus | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Yellow-billed cuckoo* | Coccyzus americanus | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Greater roadrunner* | Geococcyx californianus | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Short-eared owl* | Asio flammeus | | Х | Х | | Х | | |
| Long-eared owl* | Asio otus | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| Burrowing owl* | Athene cunicularia | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Belted kingfisher | Megaceryle alcyon | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Southwestern willow flycatcher* | Empidonax traillii extimus | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Vermilion flycatcher* | Pyrocephalus rubinus | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Loggerhead shrike* | Lanius ludovicianus | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Least Bell's vireo* | Vireo bellii pusillus | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Cactus wren* | Campylorhynchus brunneicapillus | | х | | | Х | | |
| Yellow-breasted chat* | Icteria virens | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Summer tanager* | Piranga rubra | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Tricolored blackbird* | Agelaius tricolor | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| Yellow-headed blackbird* | Xanthocephalus xanthocephalus | x | | | Х | | | |
| Mammals | I | 1 | | | | | | |
| California leaf-nosed bat* | Macrotus californicus | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Pallid bat* | Antrozous pallidus | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Western red bat* | Lasiurus bossevillii | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Hoary bat | Lasiurus cinereus | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Long-eared bat* | Myotis evotis | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Yuma myotis | Myotis yumanensis | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Western mastiff bat* | Eumops perotis californicus | | Х | Х | | Х | | |
| Pocketed free-tailed bat* | Nyctinomops femorosaccus | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Big free-tailed bat* | Nyctinomops macrotis | Х | | | Х | | | |
| San Diego black-tailed jackrabbit* | Lepus californicus bennettii | | Х | | | Х | | |
| Pallid San Diego pocket mouse* | Chaetodipus fallax pallidus | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| Jacumba pocket mouse* | Perognathus longimembris internationalis | Х | | | Х | | | |
| Southern grasshopper mouse* | Onychomys torridus ramona | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| Ringtail | Bassariscus astutus | Х | | | Х | | | |



| | Table 5.5-3 Focal Species of Conservation Coast Province | | | | n Strategies Developed for Conservation Targets – South | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Co | onser | vation Units a | and Target | :s ¹ | | | | | |
| | | | n California oast | 3 | Southern C Mountain ar | | | n California HUC 1807 | | | | |
| Common Name | Scientific Name | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Freshwater Marsh | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Native Fish Assemblage | South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage | | | | |
| American badger* | Taxidea taxus | | Х | | | Х | | | | | | |
| Western spotted skunk | Spilogale gracilis | Х | | | Х | | | | | | | |

¹ A species is shown for a particular conservation unit only if it is associated with specific conservation targets identified for the unit. For a complete list of SGCN associated with each habitat type by ecoregion see Appendix C.

* Denotes a species on the SGCN list. Non-asterisked species are not SGCN but are identified as important species by CDFW staff.

5.5.5 Pressures on Conservation Targets

If the KEAs are degraded, then the target is experiencing some type of stress. Stresses are induced by negative impacts of pressures, anthropogenic (humaninduced) or natural drivers that have strong influences on the health of targets. Pressures can be positive or negative depending on intensity, timing, and duration. The major pressures identified as for conservation targets in the South Coast Province are summarized in Table 5.5-4. These are considered the most significant pressures to the selected conservation targets in the province but do not represent a complete list of pressures for the province. The relationship between the stresses and pressures is unique for each conservation target and is identified in Section 5.5.6. Some of the major pressures for the province are discussed in more detail below.

Housing and Urban Areas

Intensive population and development pressures in the South Coast have resulted in the greatest number of threatened and endangered species in California. By far, the most significant pressure on the South Coast's wildlife is urban, suburban, and rural development and resulting habitat loss and fragmentation. With approximately 24 million residents, the area is the state's most populous region. The two largest cities on the west coast, Los Angeles and San Diego are located in southern California (California Coastal Conservancy 2010). Despite comprising only eight percent of the land area of California, the South Coast contains 56 percent of the total population (Keeley 2010).

ે છે. છે છે છે છે છે છે છે છે. એ છે છે છે છે છે છે છે છે

Province-Specific Conservation Strategies – South Coast

| Table 5.5-4 Key Pressures on C | onservation | Targets | – Soutł | n Coast Provi | nce | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | Conserv | ation Units and | Targets | | | |
| | Southern | California (| Coast | Southern Ca Mountain an | | Southern California Coastal HUC 1807 | | |
| Pressure | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Freshwater Marsh | American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | California Grassland and Flowerfields | Native Fish Assemblage | South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage | |
| Agricultural and forestry effluents | | | Х | | | | | |
| Annual and perennial non-timber crops | | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | |
| Catastrophic geological events | Х | | | Х | | | | |
| Climate change | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Commercial and industrial areas | | | Х | | | | | |
| Dams and water management/use | Х | | Х | Х | | Х | Х | |
| Fire and fire suppression | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | | |
| Garbage and solid waste | Х | | | Х | | | | |
| Household sewage and urban waste water | Х | | Х | Х | | Х | | |
| Housing and urban areas | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Industrial and military effluents | | | Х | | | | | |
| Invasive plants/animals | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Livestock, farming, and ranching | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | |
| Mining and quarrying | Х | | | Х | | Х | Х | |
| Parasites/pathogens/diseases | | | | | | | Х | |
| Recreational activities | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | Х | |
| Roads and railroads | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | |
| Tourism and recreation areas | Х | | | Х | | | | |

Following World War II, Southern California experienced an economic and population boom spurred by military and industrial growth. The region's development patterns followed agricultural land uses and the availability of easily developed land. Across inland valleys that had supported citrus orchards and grazing, small agricultural towns grew to meet the needs of growing industry. Along the coast, development spread across the relatively flat coastal plains and mesas. Over the last few years, the region has continued to grow. Between 2010 and 2014, Los Angeles County grew from 9.82 million residents to 10.04 million, San Diego County from 3.09 to 3.19 million, and Orange County from 3.01 to 3.11 million (California Department of Finance 2014).



Large portions of the province's natural areas have been converted to other uses; currently, nearly 40 percent the South Coast's land area is in urban and suburban use (California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection [CAL FIRE] Fire and Resource Assessment Program 2010). Beyond the immediate footprint of development, urban, suburban, and rural growth patterns have fractured the landscape. Land-use planning and zoning laws have allowed sprawling development, including residential projects that are located far from existing urban centers, requiring new roads



Dave Feliz, CDFW

and infrastructure, along with communities designed with large lot sizes and little or no preserved open space. Presently, the region's remaining rural areas and natural lands are highly threatened by zoning for 4- to 8-acre lots for rural ranchette-style development.

As in other provinces, these development patterns not only reduce the amount of habitat available but also degrade the quality of adjacent habitat. With the expansion of the urbanwildland interface, remaining natural lands become more vulnerable to the incursion of invasive plants and animals, air and water pollution, and altered fire regimes. Developed areas, roads, and utility corridors fragment landscapes and sever connections between habitat areas.

Invasive Plants/Animals

As in other provinces across the state, invasive species problems on the South Coast are tied to regional land use and management issues. Many of the conservation actions described below address prevention, early detection, and rapid response to new invasive plants to prevent them from becoming widespread. Distribution maps and summary reports for invasive plants, as well as regional strategic plans for prioritized invasive plant species can be found on the CalWeedMapper website (http://calweedmapper.cal-ipc.org). Some of the invasive species affecting the province are discussed below.

In terrestrial ecosystems, a number of highly aggressive non-native plant species invade grasslands and scrub, including yellow starthistle, artichoke thistle, medusahead, Pampas grass, fennel, pepper weed, black mustard, vinca, fountain grass, ivy, iceplant, and castor bean. These species lower habitat quality for sensitive wildlife species such as the Quino checkerspot butterfly and the California gnatcatcher. Some of these species dry out earlier in the summer than native species and contribute to increased wildfire frequencies. Access roads and rights-of-way for infrastructure and powerline maintenance, as well as recreational use of natural areas, can facilitate the spread of these species. In addition to degrading habitat quality, invasive species change the community structure and composition within the target habitats, making them more vulnerable to altered fire regimes.

Among terrestrial animals, Argentine ants pose a significant regional threat. Favoring irrigated areas and edge habitats, such as irrigated golf courses and residential neighborhoods, Argentine



ants tend to outcompete and displace native ants in the region's fragmented landscapes, disrupting larger community food-web relationships. For example, the coast horned lizard (a California Species of Special Concern), whose major prey is native harvester ants, cannot sustain itself on a diet of Argentine ants and so can be driven locally extinct in fragmented habitat patches. Two pest species of boring beetles adversely affect trees and woodland habitats in portions of southern California. The goldspotted oak borer feeds beneath the bark of oak trees and damages tissues of the main stem and larger branches, eventually causing tree damage and mortality. The polyphagous shot hole borer is a relatively new pest in southern California; it infects a variety of tree species with a fungus, sometimes resulting in tree damage or mortality.

Nest parasitism by brown-headed cowbirds also threatens many of the region's sensitive bird species, including least Bell's vireo, southwestern willow flycatcher, and California gnatcatcher. Although a native species, cowbirds thrive in many human-altered habitats, including suburban areas and agricultural and grazing lands, where they are attracted to livestock droppings and feed. With the expansion of these land uses over the last century, cowbirds have thrived, greatly expanding both their range and population across California. Other problems are caused by introduced red fox, feral animals, and pets, which prey upon native wildlife, particularly ground-nesting birds.

European starling, introduced from Europe and now widespread in the region and in most human-modified habitats across much the state, aggressively competes with native woodpeckers, bluebirds, and other native song birds for cavity nest sites. In aquatic systems, the most problematic invasive plant species is *Arundo*, or giant reed. *Arundo* is widespread along major coastal river basins, particularly the Ventura, Santa Clara, Santa Ana, Santa Margarita, San Luis Rey and San Diego rivers. Tamarisk is less widespread but also invades regional riparian habitats. Tamarisk is distributed in coastal and desert drainages (Stephenson and Calcarone 1999). Both species choke waterways, increase flash flood risks, crowd out native plants, and provide inferior habitat for riparian species. Tamarisk also consumes prodigious amounts of water, reducing available surface water, and *Arundo* provides limited shade, resulting in higher water temperatures and lower dissolved oxygen levels.

Among non-native wildlife species, bullfrogs, African clawed frogs, non-native crayfish, mosquito fish (which are sometimes introduced for mosquito control), and introduced sport and bait fish (including sunfish, bass, bluegill, carp, and fathead minnow) all pose predatory or competitive threats to native fish and amphibians, particularly in stream systems. Many of these species are well adapted to the deep water conditions in ponded areas above dams, and dam releases can introduce them to downstream habitats. Most voracious and widespread are bullfrogs, which are documented predators of California red-legged frogs, California tiger salamanders, arroyo toads, western pond turtles, and two-striped garter snakes (Stephenson and Calcarone 1999). A broad diet and an extended breeding season give bullfrogs a competitive advantage over native amphibians. Additionally, human-modified habitats favor bullfrogs. They can tolerate elevated



water temperatures and, unlike native amphibians, make use of standing pools resulting from urban runoff to complete their two-year life cycle.

Aquatic invasive species pose a serious threat to aquatic habitat functions and ecosystem stability. In the South Coast Province, aquatic invasive animal species of concern include quagga mussel, New Zealand mud snail, African clawed frog, Asian clam, and bullfrog.

Recreational Activities

With nearly 20 million people living within driving distance of the region's national forests and other public lands, outdoor recreational access and its effects are a major concern. Recreational off-highway vehicle (OHV) use, particularly illegal use within protected conservation areas, can have adverse effects on natural communities and sensitive species. On public lands, OHV trails can open relatively undisturbed areas to increased use. The vehicles can disturb or run over wildlife, crush and uproot plants, spread seeds of invasive plants, and disturb soils, contributing to erosion and sedimentation of aquatic habitats. OHV use also increases the risk of human-caused fires.

Concentrated recreational use of streams and riparian areas is of particular concern in some locations. Hikers, picnickers, and equestrians, in large numbers, can damage these systems by reducing vegetative cover and disturbing sensitive species. Some recreational users build rock dams on streams to create ponds for swimming. The San Gabriel River, for example, has been altered by extensive ponded areas, as well as other effects of heavy recreational use, such as the deposition of trash and human waste



NPS

(California Department of Fish and Game [CDFG] 2005). Particularly vulnerable riparian species include the two-striped garter snake, southern mountain yellow-legged frog, and arroyo toad (Stephenson and Calcarone 1999).

Intensive recreational activities not only reduce the amount of habitat available, but can also degrade the quality of the habitat in some cases. Habitats become more vulnerable to the incursion of invasive plants and animals, air and water pollution, and altered fire regimes. Roads and trails fragment landscapes and sever connections between habitat areas. Roads and trails also serve as vectors for invasive plant introductions and subsequent spread.

Although recreation activities adversely affect biological resources in many cases, the specific effects of recreational uses on wildlife depend on several factors, including the type, magnitude, frequency, and predictability of recreation activity; location and timing of activity (e.g., seasonal

| L. | | | - 24 | - | - | 4 | - 64 | - 24 | =34 | 1 |
|----|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------|------|------|------|-----|---|
| | - 24 | - | ÷ | | ş. | - 24 | | ÷ | | |
| | Province-Spe | cific Conse | rvation Strate | gies – South (| Coast | | | | | |

and time of day); habitat types exposed to the activities; and the sensitivity of a species based on its life history characteristics (Knight and Cole 1995).

Annual and Perennial Non-Timber Crops

Despite the large urban population, the South Coast is still a base for significant agricultural production. Los Angeles County was once the most important agricultural county in the United States, measured by the value of its agricultural production. The South Coast's moderate climate and usually frost-free growing seasons make it suitable for high-value crops. Nursery products, foliage and flowers, avocados, citrus, strawberries, and wine grapes are the main crops in the region (Johnston 2003).

In agricultural river valleys, substantial habitat alteration results from river diversions and water use. Many small-scale irrigation diversions deplete the flows of regional river systems, sometimes resulting in rivers completely drying up. Stream habitats are also adversely affected by sedimentation. Agricultural consequences for the region's wildlife and ecosystems include runoff of agricultural chemicals and sediment, consumption of oversubscribed water resources, and conversion and fragmentation of habitat.

Climate Change

The projected climate changes in the South Coast are expected to mainly intensify patterns that are characteristic of a semi-arid Mediterranean climate (periodic droughts, intense cyclonic rainstorms, dry and hot summers). An important factor for coastal populations is the continuing role of the ocean in moderating coastal climates because of its high heat capacity (National Marine Fisheries Service 2012).

Temperature

Average annual temperatures across both the coastal and mountainous regions of the South Coast province are expected to increase between 1.7 to 2.2°C (3.1 to 4.0°F) by 2070 (PRBO 2011). January average temperatures are expected to increase 0.6 to 1.4°C (1 to 2.5°F) by 2050 and 2.8 to 3.3°C (5 to 6°F) by 2100. July average temperature increases are projected from 1.7



to 2.2°C (3 to 4°F) by 2050 and 2.8 to 5.6°C (5 to 10°F) by 2100, with larger increases projected inland (California Emergency Management Agency [CalEMA] 2012).

Precipitation

Annual precipitation is expected to vary by area but decline overall throughout the 21st century. Low-lying coastal areas will lose up to 5 cm (2 inches) by 2050 and 7.6 to 12.7 cm (3 to 5 inches)

| ы;÷ | | К;: | | ×: | | No. | | к; | K: | | ķ: | к; | | k]: |
|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-------------|-----|----|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|----|-----|
| | ¥,≈ | | k: | | N .= | | ×: | ₩¢= | | 粽 | ¥.: | | ×: | |
| | | | | | | | | Provinc | e-Specific C | Conservation | Strategies – S | outh Coas | st | |

by 2090, while high elevations will see a drop of 10.2 to 12.7 cm (4 to 5 inches) by 2050 and 20.3 to 25.4 cm (8 to 10 inches) by 2090 (CalEMA 2012). Annual rainfall will decrease in the most populous, urbanized areas. Wetter areas like the western part of Riverside and southwestern San Bernardino counties will experience a 5 to 10 cm (2 to 4 inch) decline by 2050 and 8.9 to 15.2 cm (3.5 to 6 inch) decline by the end of the century. Annual rainfall in the Big Bear vicinity is expected to decline by approximately 20.3 cm (8 inches) by 2090. Southern Imperial County is projected to experience a small decline of about 1.3 cm (0.5 inches).

March snowpack in the San Gabriel Mountains will decrease from the 1.8 cm (0.7-inch) level in 2010 to zero by the end of the century. Snowpack is also expected to decline and disappear at similar rates in the Big Bear area by the end of the century (CalEMA 2012).

Wildfire Risk

The South Coast province is already frequently at risk for wildfire, and as such the degree to which climate change will effect existing wildfire risk is variable (Westerling and Bryant 2006). Wildfire frequency and severity will depend on longer-term shifts in vegetation (e.g., from conifer forest to chaparral) and changes in Santa Ana wind behavior (Miller and Schlegal 2006; Westerling et al. 2009). Increased temperature and decreased moisture, along with longer drought periods, are expected to increase wildfire vulnerability in a number of areas, such as areas in San Diego County near Ojai, Castaic, Fallbrook, and Mission Viejo (CalEMA 2012).

Sea-Level Rise

By 2100, sea levels may rise 1.4 m (55 inches) or more, posing threats to many areas in the region including Venice Beach, the Port of Long Beach, the South Coast naval stations, and San Diego Harbor. As a result of sea level rise, 45 percent more land in Los Angeles County, 40 percent more land in San Diego County, 35 percent more land in Ventura County, and 28 percent more land in Orange County will be vulnerable to 100-year floods (CalEMA 2012).

5.5.6 Conservation Strategies

Conservation strategies were developed for five conservation targets in the South Coast Province. The goals for each target are listed below. The goals are set initially as a 5 percent improvement in condition, but will be refined over time using the adaptive management process described in Chapter 8. The strategies to achieve the goals for the target are provided, along with the objectives of the strategies and the targeted. When actions that are specific to the conservation unit have been identified, they are listed with the strategy. Tables 5.5-5 through 5.5-9 show the relationship between the stresses and the pressures for each target. Table 5.5-10 summarizes conservation strategies for the province.



Target: California Grassland and Flowerfields

Goals:

- By 2025, acres of habitat are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, acres connected are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, acres with desired endemic plant/animal diversity are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, acres with desired structural diversity are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, populations of key species are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 population.
- By 2025, acres/miles with desired plant/animal diversity are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres/miles.
- By 2025, acres with desired genetic connectivity are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, acres/miles with natural hydrologic regime are increased by at least 5 percent 2015 from acres/miles.

Conservation Strategy 1 (Land Acquisition/Easement/Lease): Acquire and conserve high-

value grassland habitats.

Objective(s):

• Identify, prioritize, and conserve high value grassland habitat.

Targeted pressure(s): Housing and urban areas; annual and perennial non-timber crops; livestock, farming, and ranching.

Conservation action(s):

 Coordinate with non-governmental organizations (NGOs), such as regional land trusts, to develop regional conservation strategies.

Conservation Strategy 2 (Data Collection and Analysis): Gather and analyze data to establish baseline inventory of SGCN distribution.

Objective(s):

• Establish a baseline inventory of SGCN distribution.

Targeted pressure(s): Housing and urban areas; annual and perennial non-timber crops; livestock, farming, and ranching; invasive plants/animals; recreational activities; climate change; fire and fire suppression.



Conservation Strategy 3 (Direct Management): Reduce extent and spread of invasive species, with emphasis on ecosystem function for SGCN.

Objective(s):

• Reduce the extent and spread of invasive species.

Targeted pressure(s): Livestock, farming, and ranching; invasive plants/animals.

Conservation action(s):

- Identify areas with high restoration potential.
- Develop management plans.
- Identify funding sources to implement management plans.
- Partner with California Invasive Plant Council on training, management, and advocacy.
- Identify appropriate and effective restoration techniques for each location.
- Identify restoration success criteria.
- Develop and implement monitoring plan.
- Implement priority invasive plant removal.
- Develop invasive plant tax.
- Develop public outreach program.
- Restore and enhance native plant species.

Conservation Strategy 4 (Management Planning): Coordinate with U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) and other agencies to assist local jurisdictions with conservation of grasslands (e.g., via the natural communities conservation plan/habitat conservation plan process) in light of increasing extent of vineyard development in grasslands.

Objective(s):

 Influence local government decision making processes for local land use plans to fully incorporate the ecological values of grassland habitat.

Targeted pressure(s): Annual and perennial non-timber crops; invasive plants/animals.

- Identify and prioritize areas of conservation emphasis (ACE).
- Identify existing conserved areas.
- Pursue conservation easements and habitat acquisitions to protect grassland habitats.
- Encourage/promote the use of NCCPs to identify and prioritize conservation areas.
- Direct project mitigation to priority areas needing conservation.
- Direct and use conservation banking.
- Create ACE database viewable by all CDFW staff.
- Split parcels for conservation.
- Incorporate conservation goals and best management practices (BMPs) into California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) comment letters.
- Provide input at local government public meetings on relevant land use decisions.



Conservation Strategy 5 (Partner Engagement): Partner for joint advocacy for the conservation of natural resources.

Objective(s):

- Establish partnerships with agencies and landowners that benefit wildlife.
- Implement habitat restoration projects jointly with agencies and landowners that benefit wildlife.

Targeted pressure(s): Livestock, farming, and ranching; fire and fire suppression; invasive plants/animals.

- Advocate for appropriate grazing practices.
- Review existing ranching and grazing BMPs.
- Partner and advocate for reducing rodenticide use.
- Work with Natural Resources Conservation Service, California Cattleman's Association, California Farm Bureau Federation, and landowners to modify BMPs as needed.
- Incorporate BMPs into CEQA comment letters.
- Identify key private land owners to whom outreach is directed.
- Coordinate with local landowners to determine what conservation efforts they are engaged with and determine how CDFW may assist in their efforts.
- Advocate for prescribed burns where appropriate (e.g., where risk of conversion of native habitat types as a result of burning is low).
- Advocate for post-burn weed control in collaboration with Cal-IPC and CAL FIRE.
- Work with local governments to incorporate structural fire treatments (e.g., building hardening, boxed eves, fire rated windows, etc.) to minimize impacts at the urban/wildland interface.

| Table 5.5-5 Stresses and Pr | ressures for Califorr | nia Gr | assla | | | fields | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------|--|
| | | 1 | | Stress | es | | | | |
| | Geophysical and Disturbance Regimes | | ind Se aracter | diment ristics | Ecosystem Conditions and Processes | | | | |
| Priority Pressures | Change in natural fire regime | Change in nutrients | Change in pollutants | Change in soil moisture | Change in spatial distribution of habitat types | Change community structure or composition | Change in biotic interactions (altered community dynamics) | Habitat fragmentation | |
| Annual and perennial non-timber crops | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Fire and fire suppression | Х | Х | | | | Х | Х | Х | |
| Housing and urban areas | Х | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Invasive plants/animals | Х | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | |
| Livestock, farming, and ranching | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Recreational activities | | | | | | Х | Х | | |



Target: Freshwater Marsh

Goals:

- By 2025, acres of freshwater emergent wetland habitat are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, miles of freshwater emergent wetland where native species are dominant are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 miles.
- By 2025, population abundance of key species (SGCN) is increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 population.
- By 2025, acres/miles of freshwater emergent wetland with desired inches of groundwater are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015.
- By 2025, acres of freshwater emergent wetland with suitable soil characteristics are increased by 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, population of key species (beaver) is increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 population.
- By 2025, acres of freshwater emergent wetland with desired stages of succession are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres.
- By 2025, acres/miles with desired channel pattern (connected floodplains) are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 acres/miles.
- By 2025, miles with desired level of discharge (mimicking natural flood frequency, seasonality, and magnitude) are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 miles.

Conservation Strategy 1 (Outreach and Education): Provide outreach and education.

Objective(s):

- Influence public awareness of proper land management for freshwater marshes by providing information to landowners regarding BMPs and proper wetland management.
- Coordinate with local landowners to determine what conservation efforts they are engaged with and determine how CDFW may assist in their efforts.

Targeted pressure(s): Livestock, farming, and ranching.

- Target Buckeye Conservancy and Resource Conservation Districts.
- Design and produce brochures with wetland conservation message.
- Employ web-based media for providing information to public.



Conservation Strategy 2 (Land Acquisition/Easement/Lease): Purchase land and

conservation easements.

Objective(s):

Improve land management by removing invasive species and creating better grazing practices.

Targeted pressure(s): Livestock, farming, and ranching; annual and perennial non-timber crops.

Conservation action(s):

• Prioritize with Conceptual Area Protection Plan (CAPP) and Environmental Site Assessment.

Conservation Strategy 3 (Law and Policy): Advocate for laws and policies.

Objective(s):

 Strengthen regulatory authority over wetlands and integrate beaver ecology into wetland restoration activities.

Targeted pressure(s): Livestock, farming, and ranching; annual and perennial non-timber crops.

Conservation action(s):

- Evaluate and update Wetlands Policy.
- Implement wetland and riparian technical memorandum.
- Review and modify CDFW policy on beaver depredation.
- Update Wetlands Implementation Policy.

Conservation Strategy 4 (Management Planning): Develop management plans.

Objective(s):

- Develop BMPs for ecosystem management on CDFW lands.
- BMPs provide guidance on managing CDFW lands for multi-species use and benefit both recreation and conservation of native species.

Targeted pressure(s): Invasive plants/animals; livestock, farming, and ranching; annual and perennial non-timber crops; climate change.

- Revise Land Management Plan (LMP) guidelines to include ecosystem management.
- Update LMPs to be consistent with new guidelines for managing at an ecosystem level.
- Develop policy on ecosystem management on public lands.



Conservation Strategy 5 (Economic Incentives): Provide economic incentives for improved resource management.

Objective(s):

• Provide economic incentives through restoration grants.

Targeted pressure(s): Livestock, farming, and ranching; annual and perennial non-timber crops.

| Table 5.5-6 | Stresses and | Pressures for F | resh | wat | er Mars | sh | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| | | | | | Str | esse | 5 | | | | | | |
| | Coastal and Oceanic Characteristics | Geophysical and Disturbance Regimes | | | lrology ar Character | | | | Soil and Sediment Characteristics | | /stem (nd Pro | | ons |
| Priority Pressures | Sea level rise | Change in sediment erosion-deposition regime | Change in runoff and river flow | Change in water levels and hydroperiod | Change in flood occurrence, frequency, intensity, and area flooded (including hydroperiod) | Change zin groundwater tables | Change in pollutants | Change in nutrients | Change in soil moisture | Change in spatial distribution of habitat types | Change in community structure and composition | Change in succession processes and ecosystem development | Habitat fragmentation |
| Agricultural and forestry effluents | | Х | | | | | Х | Х | | | Х | | |
| Annual and perennial non- timber crops | | Х | Х | х | Х | Х | х | х | Х | Х | Х | Х | х |
| Climate change | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Commercial and industrial areas | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Dams and water management/use | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Household sewage and urban waste water | | Х | | | | | Х | х | | | Х | | |
| Housing and urban areas | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Industrial and military effluents | | Х | | | | | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | |
| Invasive plants/animals | | Х | | Х | Х | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Livestock, farming, and ranching | | Х | Х | | Х | | | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Roads and railroads | | Х | Х | | Х | | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х |



Target: American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland

Goals:

- By 2025, acres of habitat are maintained or increased by at least 5 percent in every watershed throughout the ecoregion.
- By 2025, acres/miles of continuous riparian habitat are increased by at least 5 percent.
- By 2025, the range of more than one riparian SGCN is maintained or increased by at least 5 percent.
- By 2025, miles of stream that display the full range of age classes and vegetation layers (herb, shrub, subtree, trees) are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 levels.
- By 2025, miles of surface water flows, both ephemeral and permanent, are restored to be functional enough to provide flooding and low flow patterns by at least 5 percent from 2015 miles.
- By 2025, acres where native species are dominant are increased by at least 5 percent of riparian habitat (acres).
- By 2025, miles connected are increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 miles of riparian habitat connected.

Conservation Strategy 1 (Land Acquisition/Easement/Lease): Acquire and conserve high-functioning riparian areas that have the greatest ecological potential (e.g., Santa Clara, San Luis Rey, and Ventura River watersheds, followed by larger impaired systems and those that support SGCN), and functioning riparian habitat on private property.

Objective(s):

 Increase riparian habitat function and protection on private property (e.g., through conservation easement on agricultural land, fencing of cattle, limiting water diversions, and erosion control).

Targeted pressure(s): Housing and urban areas; livestock, farming, and ranching.

- Purchase lands or secure easements from willing sellers through grants and other funding sources.
- Integrate National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting and NCCPs to allow water quality mitigation to complement habitat conservation planning.
- Identify, prioritize, protect, and manage wildlife corridors necessary to complete regional protected area networks across the entire region to facilitate the movement of native species whose distributions are projected to shift with climate change, and to provide "refuge" areas, which may allow species to persist as the climate changes.



Conservation Strategy 2 (Data Collection and Analysis): Gather and analyze data to establish baseline inventory of SGCN distribution, habitats, and pressures.

Objective(s):

• Establish baseline inventory of SGCN/habitat and threat distributions.

Targeted pressure(s): Livestock, farming, and ranching; housing and urban areas; tourism and recreation areas; garbage and solid waste; household sewage and urban waste water; catastrophic geological events; fire and fire suppression; dams and water management/use; invasive plants/animals.

Conservation Strategy 3 (Outreach and Education): Provide outreach and education focused on improving vegetation structural diversity, reducing infestations of invasive species (for plants, specifically *Arundo* and tamarisk), and protecting functioning riparian habitat on private property.

Objective(s):

- Improve vertical and horizontal structural diversity of riparian habitat.
- Reduce the aerial extent of invasive infestations (to 35-50 percent of area that has invasive plant infestations [specifically *Arundo* and tamarisk] and/or invasive animal species). For controlling riparian invasive plant species such as *Arundo* and tamarisk, this objective includes identifying upstream stream bank sources.
- Increase riparian habitat function on private property.

Targeted pressure(s): Invasive plants/animals.

Conservation Strategy 4 (Law and Policy):

Advocate for effective enforcement laws to reduce impacts of waste and disturbance on significant riparian areas.

Objective(s):

• Reduce the number of riparian areas that are impacted by waste and disturbance.

Targeted pressure(s): Garbage and solid waste; household sewage and urban waste water.





Conservation Strategy 5 (Direct Management): Manage invasive species, with focus on reducing the extent of invasive species (particularly *Arundo* and tamarisk) and improving structural diversity of native vegetation.

Objective(s):

- Improve vertical and horizontal structural diversity of riparian habitat.
- Reduce the aerial extent of invasive infestations (to 35-50 percent of area that has invasive plant infestations [specifically *Arundo* and tamarisk] and/or invasive animal species).
- Pursue funding for invasive species eradication and control.

Targeted pressure(s): Invasive plants/animals.

Conservation action(s):

- Identify areas with greatest restoration potential and upstream sources of invasive species.
- Develop management plans.
- Identify and develop restoration partnerships.
- Identify appropriate and effective restoration techniques for each location.
- Identify restoration success criteria.
- Develop and implement monitoring plan.
- Implement priority invasive removal.
- Develop invasive plant tax.
- Develop public outreach program.
- Restore and enhance native plant species.
- Streamline permitting for restoration projects.

Conservation Strategy 6 (Direct Management): Manage barriers to water movement, with focus on improving stream water volume, groundwater levels, vegetation age-class heterogeneity, channel pattern, and seasonal flow variation.

Objective(s):

- Restore ephemeral and perennial surface water flows to mimic historic patterns of flooding and low-flow patterns (+/- 25 percent).
- Maintain low flows to sustain aquatic species.
- Increase age class heterogeneity and successional dynamics in impaired areas to maintain at least two age classes.
- Reduce channel incision and increase riparian vegetation in floodplains.
- Restore seasonal flow variation (so that annual hydrographs track the natural hydrographs of drainages [+/- 10 percent], particularly in reaches with breeding amphibian SGCN).
- Increase and maintain ground water levels.

Targeted pressure(s): Dams and water management/use.

| ¥,÷ | | K: | | ×: | | к; | | ¥,≊ | | K;: | | ×: | | N; | | ⇒ j e |
|-----|-----|----|----|----|-------------|----|----|-----|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----|--------------|
| | k,≈ | | Þ: | | N .: | | ×: | | ¥,≂ | | 烧 | | •2 | | k,a | |
| | | | | | | | | Pr | ovince-S | pecific Co | onservati | on Strate | egies – So | outh Coas | t | |

Conservation action(s):

- Inventory barriers and assess flow and water condition.
- Coordinate with private landowners.
- Prioritize watershed or reaches for barrier treatment.
- Develop an eco-regional water management plan.
- Obtain permits, conduct environmental review.
- Implement water management plan.
- Coordinate with the various dam operators to discuss opportunities and constraints.
- Engage in State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) permitting process.
- Streamline permitting for conservation projects.

Conservation Strategy 7 (Management Planning): Engage in local planning to encourage the use of bio (soft)-engineering for flood control, retention of functional floodplains, and deterrence and capture of waste and pollution.

Objective(s):

- Restore ephemeral and perennial surface water flows to mimic historic patterns of flooding and low-flow patterns (+/- 25 percent), maintain low flows to sustain aquatic species.
- Improve vertical and horizontal structural diversity of riparian habitat.
- Reduce the aerial extent of invasive infestations (to 35-50 percent of area that has invasive plant infestations [specifically *Arundo* and tamarisk] and/or invasive animal species).
- Increase SGCN diversity to 50-70 percent of historic/normal conditions
- Reduce channel incision and increase riparian vegetation in floodplains.
- Reduce the number of riparian areas that are impacted by waste and disturbance.

Targeted pressure(s): Garbage and solid waste; household sewage and urban waste water; dams and water management/use.

- Encourage use of bio filters for urban runoff.
- Maintain treated effluent flows into riparian areas.
- Engage in development and implementation of Integrated Regional Management Plans.
- Direct increased resources/staffing towards engagement in local planning.
- Encourage appropriate site-specific native riparian plants for adjacent landscaping.
- Communicate BMPs to local planners.
- Obtain funding for program implementation.
- Identify key areas within watersheds where wetland banks to streamline NPDES permitting can be established to improve water quality and provide benefits to biological resources.
- Integrate NPDES permitting and NCCPs to allow water quality mitigation to complement habitat conservation planning.



| Table 5.5-7 Stresses and | Pressure | es for A | meric | an So | uthwest F | Riparia | n Fore | est and | d Woo | dland | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | Stres | ses | | | | | |
| | a Distu | hysical nd rbance jimes | Hydr | ology a | nd Water C | haracte | eristics | Ecosystem Conditions and Processes | | | | ł |
| Priority Pressures | Change in sediment erosion- deposition regime | Change in natural fire regime | Change in runoff and river flow | Change in water levels and hydroperiod | Change in flood occurrence, frequency, intensity, and area flooded (including hydroperiod) | Change in groundwater tables | Change in pollutants | Change in spatial distribution of habitat types | Change in community structure or composition | Change in biotic interactions (altered community dynamics) | Change in succession processes and ecosystem development | Habitat fragmentation |
| Catastrophic geological events | Х | | Х | Х | | | | Х | Х | | Х | Х |
| Dams and water management/use | Х | | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | | Х | |
| Fire and fire suppression | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | Х | Х | | Х | Х |
| Garbage and solid waste | | | Х | | | | Х | | Х | | | |
| Household sewage and urban waste water | Х | | | | | | Х | | Х | | | |
| Housing and urban areas | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Invasive plants/animals | | | | Х | | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Livestock, farming, and ranching | Х | | | | | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Mining and quarrying | | | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | |
| Recreational activities | | | | | | | | | Х | | | |
| Roads and railroads | | | | | | | | | | | | Х |
| Tourism and recreation areas | | | | | | | | | Х | | | |

Target: Native Fish Assemblage

Goals:

- By 2025, miles of streams containing their historic native fish composition are increased by at least 5 percent.
- By 2025, at least two more streams than in 2015 have improved connectivity.
- By 2025, the ratio of native fish to non-native fish in Big Tujunga Creek, Haines Creek, and the Santa Clara River mainstem is increased by at least 5 percent.
- By 2025, all species and their life stages are present and commonly encountered during summer fish surveys within their currently known range.
- By 2025, suitable flows are released to maintain target populations below Big Tujunga and Cogswell dams.
- By 2025, the natural hydrologic regime in coastal lagoons that support target species is maintained or increased by at least 5 percent.



Conservation Strategy 1 (Land Acquisition/Easement/Lease): Protect and restore unarmored threespine stickleback (UTS) habitat within the Santa Clara River mainstem, Soledad Canyon, and Bouquet Canyon.

Objective(s):

 Protect and enhance UTS habitat within the Santa Clara River mainstem, Soledad Canyon, and Bouquet Canyon.

Targeted pressure(s): Dams and water management/use; housing and urban areas.

Conservation action(s):

- Develop and implement restoration and acquisition projects and funding sources.
- Survey and map extent of UTS populations in all three streams.
- Survey and map all potential UTS habitat in the three streams.
- Provide education and outreach.
- Obtain funding for plan implementation and staff.

Conservation Strategy 2 (Data Collection and Analysis): Collect and analyze data to establish a baseline inventory of SCGN distribution.

Objective(s):

- Establish baseline inventory of SGCN distribution.
- Complete comprehensive UTS surveys in the Santa Clara watershed with focus on Soledad and Bouquet Canyons.

Targeted pressure(s): Dams and water management/use; housing and urban areas.

Conservation Strategy 3 (Data Collection and Analysis): Identify areas that may act as climate refugia.

Objective(s):

• Identify representative habitats to accommodate species movement and adaptation.

Targeted pressure(s): Climate change.

Conservation Strategy 4 (Outreach and Education): Implement outreach.

Objective(s):

- Raise public awareness and support for native fish restoration projects.
- Educate public on risks of invasive species and importance of aquatic biodiversity management plans.

Targeted pressure(s): Invasive plants/animals.



Conservation Strategy 5 (Direct Management): Translocate species to increase current distribution; specifically, translocate Santa Ana sucker, Santa Ana speckled dace, and UTS into suitable habitat in the Big Tujunga, San Gabriel, and Santa Clara watersheds.

Objective(s):

• Increase the distribution of native fish.

Targeted pressure(s): Dams and water management/use; invasive plants/animals.

Conservation action(s):

- Develop a translocation plan.
- Work with federal agencies and flood control agencies to identify constraints and obtain buy-in.
- Monitor target fish populations.
- Obtain funding for plan implementation and staff.

Conservation Strategy 6 (Direct Management): Improve fish passage by working with federal, state, and local agencies to identify and remove key fish barriers to fish movement and sediment flow, and keep priority areas barrier free.

Objective(s):

Assess, prioritize, and remove/modify fish passage barriers.

Targeted pressure(s): Dams and water management/use.

Conservation action(s):

- Develop barrier assessment protocols.
- Develop barrier removal guidelines, BMPs, and plan to monitor barrier removal effectiveness.
- Obtain funding for plan implementation and staff.
- Coordinate with state, federal agencies, local government, and private landowners.
- Identify partners.

Conservation Strategy 7 (Direct Management): Protect and restore floodplain function.

Objective(s):

• Align policies, regulations, planning, and agency coordination to support multi-benefit floodplain management; implement and maintain priority floodplain restoration projects.

Targeted pressure(s): Annual and perennial non-timber crops; housing and urban areas; mining and quarrying.



Conservation Strategy 8 (Direct Management): Restore natural flows.

Objective(s):

- Identify streams/reaches in greatest need of flow remediation and create plans for restoration.
- Monitor restored stream reaches for recolonization and implement translocation, as necessary, to re-establish populations.
- Work with relevant agencies and partners to develop a flow prescription for Bouquet Creek and the Santa Clara River.

Targeted pressure(s): Dams and water management/use; invasive plants/animals.

Conservation action(s):

- Monitor flow compliance.
- Identify partners.
- Coordinate with state and federal agencies, local governments, and private landowners.
- Monitor fish populations.
- Obtain funding for plan implementation and staff.

Conservation Strategy 9 (Direct Management): Control invasive species.

Objective(s):

• Assess, map, and develop control plans for invasive aquatic species.

Targeted pressure(s): Invasive plants/animals.

- Compile maps of invasive species already completed for planning area.
- Conduct additional mapping as necessary to fill gaps.
- Develop control plans for priority species.
- Develop Invasives Coordination Group to streamline and coordinate current agencies, organizations, activities.
- Implement priority species control plans.
- Map invasive species and develop control plans.
- Implement top-priority controls plans.
- Monitor invasive species and continue removal efforts as needed to control populations.
- Implement outreach and education specific to spread of invasive species.



Province-Specific Conservation Strategies – South Coast

| Table 5.5-8 Stresses and | Pressu | res for | Native Fish A | Assem | blage | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| | | | | | Stre | esses | | | | |
| | | Hydrolo | gy and Water (| Charact | eristics | | Ecosystem | n Conditior | is and Proc | esses |
| Priority Pressures | Change in runoff and river flow | Change in water levels and hydroperiod | Change in flood occurrence, frequency, intensity, and area flooded (including hydroperiod) | Change in groundwater tables | Change in nutrients | Change in pollutants | Change in community structure or composition | Change in biotic interactions (altered community dynamics) | Change in succession processes and ecosystem development | Habitat fragmentation |
| Annual and perennial non-timber crops | х | Х | | Х | х | Х | х | Х | Х | Х |
| Dams and water management/use | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | | | Х |
| Household sewage and urban waste water | | | | | Х | Х | | | | |
| Housing and urban areas | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Invasive plants/animals | | | | | | | Х | Х | | |
| Mining and quarrying | | | | | | Х | | | Х | |

Target: South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage

Goals:

-4

- By 2025, area occupied by assemblage is increased by at least 5 percent from 2015 levels.
- By 2025, all populations contain both juvenile (egg and tadpole) and adult life stages in adequate abundance to ensure population sustainability.
- By 2025, non-native invasive aquatic species are reduced by at least 5 percent within sensitive amphibian habitat, and their source populations are identified to aid recovery of native amphibians.
- By 2025, flow regimes to provide access to suitable habitat for native species are restored by at least 5 percent from 2015.

Conservation Strategy 1 (Land Acquisition/Easement/Lease): Protect land in fee or with conservation easements, with focus on riparian habitats that have the greatest ecological potential such as larger impaired systems and those that support SGCN.

Objective(s):

- Increase riparian habitat function and protection on private property (e.g., through conservation easement on agricultural land, fencing of cattle, limiting water diversions, and erosion control).
- Conserve high functioning riparian areas, with focus on areas that have the greatest ecological potential such as larger impaired systems and those that support SGCN.

Targeted pressure(s): Annual and perennial non-timber crops; housing and urban areas; invasive plants/animals; recreational activities.



Conservation action(s):

- Purchase lands or secure conservation easements from willing sellers through grants and other funding sources.
- Encourage/promote the use of NCCPs to identify and prioritize conservation areas.
- Implement in lieu fee program.
- Develop CAPPs.
- Identify and prioritize ACE.
- Obtain funding for program implementation, land acquisition and restoration.
- Identify existing conserved areas.
- Direct project mitigation to priority areas needing conservation.
- Direct and use conservation banking.
- Create ACE database viewable by all CDFW staff.
- Split parcels for conservation.
- Identify which parcels to be acquired in fee or as conservation easement.
- Conduct baseline inventory.

Conservation Strategy 2 (Data Collection and Analysis): Conduct research to identify causal mechanism for Chytrid fungus and prevent its spread in amphibian populations.

Objective(s):

• Identify causal mechanisms for Chytrid fungus and prevent its spread in amphibian populations.

Targeted pressure(s): Parasites/pathogens/diseases.

Conservation action(s):

- Conduct literature review.
- Gather existing information.
- Develop study design.
- Consult with experts.
- Obtain funding.

Conservation Strategy 3 (Outreach and Education): Provide outreach and education.

Objective(s):

- Educate public on impacts associated with their activities and damage to native species from introduction of non-native species.
- Keep public informed on development and status of BMPs.

Targeted pressure(s): Recreational activities; annual and perennial non-timber crops; invasive plants/animals.



Conservation Strategy 4 (Direct Management): Protect and restore habitat, and create riparian buffers adjacent to streams.

Objective(s):

• Create buffers of properly functioning riparian habitat adjacent to streams.

Targeted pressure(s): Housing and urban areas; recreational activities; annual and perennial non-timber crops; invasive plants/animals; annual and perennial non-timber crops.

Conservation Strategy 5 (Direct Management): Manage invasive species to improve

conditions for native fish and aquatic herps.

Objective(s):

 Prevent additional future invasive species from becoming established, and manage invasive species levels to improve conditions for native fish and aquatic herps.

Targeted pressure(s): Invasive plants/animals.

Conservation action(s):

- Update data on extent and distribution of native and non-native species.
- Develop strategy for removal of non-native fish species and aquatic weeds.
- Coordinate with other agencies and private landowners.
- Obtain permits and environmental review if needed.
- Obtain funding for implementation and staff.
- Conduct management activities (e.g., electroshock, seine, etc.).
- Conduct post treatment monitoring.
- Initiate long-term monitoring and management plan.
- Implement mechanical and chemical treatment of invasive weeds within riparian areas.

Conservation Strategy 6 (Direct Management): Reintroduce native species.

Objective(s):

• Re-establish native amphibians and reptiles in their historic range.

Targeted pressure(s): Invasive plants/animals; housing and urban areas.

- Conduct feasibility analysis to identify target streams.
- Identify source population or propagate.
- Evaluate control methods for non-native species.
- Develop reintroduction plan including post-treatment monitoring.
- Coordinate with agencies and non-governmental organizations.
- Conduct environmental review and obtain permits.
- Obtain funding for implementation and staff.



Conservation Strategy 7 (Direct Management): Manage flows, dams, and other barriers to best benefit aquatic herps and for fish passage.

Objective(s):

• Allow more bypass flows through water conservation and allow fish passage.

Targeted pressure(s): Dams and water management/use.

Conservation action(s):

- Coordinate with state and federal agencies, counties, and private landowners.
- Inventory barriers and assess flow and water condition.
- Develop plan for prioritization and construction.
- Obtain funding for implementation and staffing.
- Obtain permits, conduct environmental review.
- Remove or retrofit barriers.
- Implement water conservation flow.

Table 5.5-9 Stresses and Pressures for South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage

| | | Stresses | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | F | lydrology ar | d Water Cl | naracteristic | s | Ecosystem | Conditio | ns and Processes | | | | |
| Priority Pressures | Change in runoff and river flow | Change in water levels and hydroperiod | Change in groundwater tables | Change in nutrients | Change in pollutants | Change in spatial distribution of habitat types | Change in community structure or composition | Habitat fragmentation | | | | |
| Annual and perennial non- timber crops | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| Housing and urban areas | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| Invasive plants/animals | | | | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| Dams and water management/use | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | | Х | | | | |
| Parasites/pathogens/diseases | | | | | | Х | | | | | | |
| Recreational activities | | | | | | Х | | | | | | |
| Roads and railroads | | | | | | Х | | Х | | | | |

| =34 | - | | - | | - | | | - 14 | | - | | =34 | | - 2 |
|-----|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----|------|----|---|----|-----|------|-----|
| | - 20 | - 24 | | - | | ÷. | ÷. | | ÷. | | ÷. | | - 14 | |
| | Province-Spe | ecific Cons | ervation | Strategie | s – South | n Coast | | | | | | | | |

This page intentionally left blank.

| 16: | K; | ý: | ÷. | R | Ķ: | ¢. | ₩ĵ: | K: | ų. | ¢. | ₩¢: | Ķ: |
|---------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|
| K: | 烧 | *: | \$ | К: | ¢. | * | K: | k: | \$ | \$ | K: | |

| Target | Goals ¹ | Key Ecological Attributes (KEAs) | Pressures ² | Strategy Categories |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| California Grassland and Flowerfields | By 2025, acres of habitat are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres. By 2025, acres connected are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres. By 2025, acres with desired endemic plant/animal diversity are increased by at least 5% from 2015. By 2025, acres with desired structural diversity are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres. By 2025, populations of key species are increased by at least 5% from 2015 population levels. By 2025, acres/miles with desired plant/animal diversity are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres/miles. By 2025, acres/miles with desired plant/animal diversity are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres/miles. By 2025, acres/miles with natural hydrologic regime are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres/miles. | Area and extent of community Community structure and composition Connectivity among communities and ecosystems Fire regime Nutrient concentrations and dynamics Successional dynamics Soil quality and sediment deposition regime | Annual and perennial non-timber crops Climate change Fire and fire suppression Housing and urban areas Invasive plants/animals Livestock, farming, and ranching Recreational activities Roads and railroads | Data Collection and Analysis Direct Management Land Acquisition/Easement/ Lease Management Planning Partner Engagement |
| Freshwater Marsh | By 2025, acres of freshwater emergent wetland habitat are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres. By 2025, miles of freshwater emergent wetland where native species are dominant are increased by at least 5% from 2015 miles. By 2025, population abundance of key species (SGCN) is increased by at least 5% from 2015 population levels. By 2025, acres/miles of freshwater emergent wetland with desired inches of groundwater are increased by at least 5% from 2015. By 2025, acres of freshwater emergent wetland with suitable soil characteristics are increased by 5% from 2015 acres. By 2025, population of key species (beaver) is increased by at least 5% from 2015 population levels. By 2025, acres of freshwater emergent wetland with desired stages of succession are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres. By 2025, acres/miles with desired channel pattern (connected floodplains) are increased by at least 5% from 2015 acres/miles. By 2025, miles with desired level of discharge (mimicking natural flood frequency, seasonality, and magnitude) are increased by at least 5% from 2015 miles. | Area and extent of community Community structure and composition Connectivity among communities and ecosystems Successional dynamics Surface water flow regime | Agricultural and forestry effluents Annual and perennial non-timber crops Climate change Commercial and industrial areas Dams and water management/use Household sewage and urban waste water Housing and urban areas Industrial and military effluents Invasive plants/animals Livestock, farming, and ranching Roads and railroads | Economic Incentives Land Acquisition/Easement/ Lease Law and Policy Management Planning Outreach and Education |
| American Southwest Riparian Forest and Woodland | By 2025, acres of habitat are maintained or increased by at least 5% in every watershed throughout the ecoregion. By 2025, acres/miles of continuous riparian habitat are increased by at least 5% from 2015 levels. By 2025, the range of more than one riparian SGCN is maintained or increased by at least 5%. By 2025, miles of stream that display the full range of age classes and vegetation layers (herb, shrub, subtree, trees) are increased by at least 5% from 2015 levels. By 2025, miles of surface water flows, both ephemeral and permanent, are restored to mimic historic patterns (hydrographs) of flooding and low flow patterns by at least 5% from 2015 miles. By 2025, acres where native species are dominant are increased by at least 5% of riparian habitat. By 2025, miles connected are increased by at least 5% from 2015 miles of riparian habitat. | Area and extent of community Community structure and composition Connectivity among communities and ecosystems Hydrological regime Surface water flow regime Water level fluctuations | Catastrophic geological events Climate change Dams and water management/use Fire and fire suppression Garbage and solid waste Household sewage and urban waste water Housing and urban areas Invasive plants/animals Livestock, farming, and ranching Mining and quarrying Recreational activities Roads and railroads Tourism and recreation areas | Data Collection and Analysis Direct Management Land Acquisition/Easement/ Lease Law and Policy Management Planning Outreach and Education |

Province-Specific Conservation Strategies – South Coast

| | * | | - | | - | - | ŧ | <u>8</u> | - | = <u>3</u> 4 | ÷. | 0 | | -34 | |
|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|---|---|----|----------|---|------------------|------|---|---|-----|----|
| ÷. | 1 | | - 10 | ÷. | | | ÷. | | | - 1 2 | - 19 | ÷ | ÷ | | ્ય |
| Province-9 | Specific Cons | ervation Stra | ategies – South | Coast | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Target | Goals ¹ | Key Ecological Attributes (KEAs) | Pressures ² | Strategy Categories |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Native Fish Assemblage | By 2025, miles of streams containing their historic native fish composition are increased by at least 5%. By 2025, at least two more streams than in 2015 have improved connectivity. By 2025, the ratio of native fish to non-native fish in Big Tujunga Creek, Haines Creek, and the Santa Clara River mainstem is increased by at least 5%. By 2025, all species and their life stages are present and commonly encountered during summer fish surveys within their currently known range. By 2025, suitable flows are released to maintain target populations below Big Tujunga and Cogswell dams. By 2025, the natural hydrologic regime in coastal lagoons that support target species is maintained or increased by at least 5%. | Community structure and composition Connectivity among communities and ecosystems Surface water flow regime Water level fluctuations | Annual and perennial non-timber crops Climate change Dams and water management/use Household sewage and urban waste water Housing and urban areas Invasive plants/animals Mining and quarrying | Data Collection and Analysis Direct Management Land Acquisition/Easement/ Lease Outreach and Education |
| South Coast Native Aquatic Herp Assemblage | By 2025, area occupied by assemblage is increased by at least 5% from 2015 levels. By 2025, all populations contain both juvenile (egg and tadpole) and adult life stages in adequate abundance to ensure population sustainability. By 2025, non-native invasive aquatic species are reduced by at least 5% within sensitive amphibian habitat, and their source populations are identified to aid recovery of native amphibians. By 2025, flow regimes to provide access to suitable habitat for native species are restored by at least 5% from 2015. | Area and extent of community Community structure and composition Surface water flow regime | Annual and perennial non-timber crops Climate change Dams and water management/use Housing and urban areas Invasive plants/animals Mining and quarrying Parasites/pathogens/diseases Recreational activities Roads and railroads | Data Collection and Analysis Direct Management Land Acquisition/Easement/ Lease Outreach and Education |

¹ The goals are set initially at 5 percent, but will be refined over time using the adaptive management process described in Chapter 8. ²Pressures can be positive or negative depending on the intensity, timing, and duration of the action on the target habitat.

