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A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS  Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:
   □ a. Impacts business and/or employees
   □ b. Impacts small businesses
   □ c. Impacts jobs or occupations
   □ d. Impacts California competitiveness
   □ e. Imposes reporting requirements
   □ f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
   □ g. Impacts individuals
   □ h. None of the above (Explain below):

   Emergency commercial fishery closure to protect public health.

   If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.
   If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.

2. The ___________________________ (Agency/Department) estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:
   □ Below $10 million
   □ Between $10 and $25 million
   □ Between $25 and $50 million
   □ Over $50 million [If the economic impact is over $50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: ___________________________

   Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits):

   Enter the number or percentage of total businesses that are small businesses: ___________________________

4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: ___________________________
   eliminated: ___________________________

   Explain: ___________________________

5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: □ Statewide
   □ Local or regional (List areas):

6. Enter the number of jobs created: ___________________________
   and eliminated: ___________________________

   Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:

   ___________________________

7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? □ YES □ NO

   If YES, explain briefly:

   ___________________________

   ___________________________
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS  Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? $ 
   a. Initial costs for a small business: $ Annual ongoing costs: $ Years: 
   b. Initial costs for a typical business: $ Annual ongoing costs: $ Years: 
   c. Initial costs for an individual: $ Annual ongoing costs: $ Years: 
   d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: 

2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: 

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. $ 

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? □ YES □ NO 
   If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: $ Number of units: 

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? □ YES □ NO 
   Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: 
   Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: $ 

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS  Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: 

2. Are the benefits the result of: □ specific statutory requirements, or □ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? 
   Explain: 

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? $ 

4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: 

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION  Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: 

________________________________________
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2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Benefit: $</th>
<th>Cost: $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>Benefit: $</td>
<td>Cost: $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>Benefit: $</td>
<td>Cost: $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? □ YES □ NO

Explain:

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed $10 million? □ YES □ NO

   If YES, complete E2. and E3
   If NO, skip to E4

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

   Alternative 1: 

   Alternative 2: 

   (Attach additional pages for other alternatives)

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

   Regulation: Total Cost $             Cost-effectiveness ratio: $

   Alternative 1: Total Cost $          Cost-effectiveness ratio: $

   Alternative 2: Total Cost $          Cost-effectiveness ratio: $

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding $50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? □ YES □ NO

   If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

5. Briefly describe the following:

   The increase or decrease of investment in the State:

   The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes:

   The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT  Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).
   $ ______________________
   a. Funding provided in ______________________
      Budget Act of ______________________ or Chapter ______________________, Statutes of ______________________
   b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of ______________________
      Fiscal Year: ______________________

☐ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
   (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).
   $ ______________________
   Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:
   a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in ______________________
   b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the ______________________ Court.
      Case of: ______________________ vs. ______________________
   c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. ______________________
      Date of Election: ______________________
   d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).
      Local entity(s) affected: ______________________
      ______________________
   e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: ______________________
      Authorized by Section: ______________________ of the ______________________ Code;
   f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;
   g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in ______________________

☐ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)
   $ ______________________

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

☐ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

☐ 6. Other. Explain ______________________
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B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT  Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

It is anticipated that State agencies will:

☐ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

☐ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the ______________________ Fiscal Year

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other. Explain  The emergency crab fisheries closure may result in a drop in landings tax revenue of an estimated $1,100 per week that the closure is in effect. Sales and income tax losses may occur at about $115,400 per week. Such losses are to avoid significant risks to public health

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS  Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ __________________________

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other. Explain

FISCIAL OFFICER SIGNATURE

Date  11/4/15

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

AGENCY SECRETARY

Date  11/6/2015

Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
STD399 Fiscal Calculations Notes:

Re: § 131. Dungeness Crab and Rock Crab Emergency Closure

Fiscal Impact Statement

B. Fiscal Effect on State Government

4. Other.

Dungeness Crab Fishery
The Dungeness crab commercial season is normally November 15 onward to mid-July. The last three years of dungeness crab landings in the closure area (Oregon border to southern Santa Barbara County) were examined to derive an estimate of the potential loss in landings tax receipts. The average weekly landings tax receipts over the 35-week season varies from highs of $4,414 in the opening month of November; to the low at the end of the season in July of $42; with the weekly average over the entire season being $1,050. The closure is effectively a postponement of the season opening, and in the event that the closure is lifted, the opening season high catch volumes and landings tax receipts are anticipated. While the higher initial losses should be captured at the later season opening, the entire season length would be shortened by the effective closure period resulting in total tax revenue losses of an estimated $1,050 per week that the season is shortened.

Rock Crab Fishery
The rock crab commercial season is open year round. The last three years of rock crab landings in the closure area (Oregon border to southern Santa Barbara County) were examined to derive an estimate of the potential loss in landings tax receipts. The average weekly catch and associated landings tax receipts do not vary much throughout the year. The weekly average landings tax revenue is $55 per week. The closure will effectively reduce the annual season length, such that the anticipated annual losses would be incurred at approximately $55 per week. However upon first re-opening the rock crab fishery, the catch volume and landings tax revenue may exceed the average under the normal year round season.

Other Tax Revenues to the State
The total value (including state sales and income tax) of the Dungeness crab harvest was about $59 million in the 2013-14 season as the catch from about 500 commercial vessels is brought to market and passed through to processors, dockworkers, truckers and others. The total value of the crab harvest is estimated to contribute about $6 million in sales and income tax revenue to the state annually. This is approximately $115,400 in sales and income taxes per week.