STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON		EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Fish and Wildlife	Margaret.Duncan		@wildlife.ca.gov	916-653-4676
ESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER				
§ 131 - Dungeness Crab and Rock Crab Emergency Closure, Title 14, CCR				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the I	rulemaking record.	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:			
a. Impacts business and/or employees		orting requirements		
b. Impacts small businesses	<u> </u>	criptive instead of pe	erformance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indi		criormanec	
d. Impacts California competitiveness		above (Explain belov	••	
u. Impacts camornia competitiveness				
If k in It 1			ery closure to protect	
	a through g is checked, con is checked, complete the Fi	-	-	
y ook in Hem 1.ii. i	s encencu, complete the 1 i	scui Impuci Sinie	тені из ирргоргине.	
2. The	estimates that the es	anamic impact of th	his regulation (which include	dos the fiscal income at is.
(Agency/Department)	estimates that the et	onomic impact of th	nis regulation (which includ	ies the fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million	91			
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact i	is over \$50 million, agencies are i	eauired to submit a S	itandardized Reaulatory Im	pact Assessment
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	_		9
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted	:			
Describe the types of husinesses (Include nonne	wafita).			*
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	oronis):			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:				
businesses impacted that are small businesses.				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated:	eliminated:		
	12			
Explain:				
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	_ Statewide			
	Local or regional (List areas):			
	1.15.7	21		
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	acted:			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-			
· 		*		0
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California	a businesses to compete with			
other states by making it more costly to produc	ce goods or services here?	YES	NO	
If YES, explain briefly:				
ii 123, explain bliefly.		- a		

PAGE 2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.
1	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$
•	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ Annual ongoing costs: \$ Years:
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES NO
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$
	Number of units:
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES NO
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$
<u> </u>	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.
1	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the
	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment:
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔲 specific statutory requirements, or 🔲 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?
	Explain:
2	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation:
D	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.
1	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not:
1.	

PAGE 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO Explain:	Summarize the	total statewide costs and be	nefits from this regulation and ea	ch alternative considered:		
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$			
3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Bulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO Explain: E. MAJOR REGULATIONS include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4. 1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO If YES, complete E2, and E3 I/NO, skip to E4 2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternative) just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness	Alternative 1:					
of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO Explain: E. MAJOR REGULATIONS include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) bands, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4. 1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO If YES, complete E2, and E3 If NO, skip to E4 2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Alternative 2: Action Additional pages for other alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 4: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 5: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 7: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 8: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 9: Total Co	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$			
regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compiliance costs? YES NO Explain:						6
submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4. 1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4 2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES	regulation ma actions or pro	ndates the use of specific tec cedures. Were performance :	chnologies or equipment, or pre standards considered to lower c	escribes specific ompliance costs? YES	∏. NO	,
California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4. 1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million?	E. MAJOR REGU	JLATIONS Include calculation	ons and assumptions in the rule	makina record		,
submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4. 1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4 2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons. 5. Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California					denartments are rea	uirad to
If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4 2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 6: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative						uireu io
## Life No. skip to E4 2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filled with the Secretary of State through 12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES	1. Will the estima	ted costs of this regulation to	California business enterprises e	xceed \$10 million? YES	NO	
2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filled with the Secretary of State through 12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons. 5. Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California						1
Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio:	2. Briefly describe	e each alternative, or combina		•	performed:	
Alternative 2: (Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 3: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio:	Alternative 1:			ě	100	100
(Attach additional pages for other alternatives) 3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio: Regulation: Total Cost \$						
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES	(Attach additio					
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES						
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES						
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be filly implemented? YES						
4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in Califor exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons. 5. Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The increase or decrease of investment in products, materials or processes: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California			N 101 m			
exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through12 month after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? YES NO If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons. 5. Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California		7				
If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons. 5. Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California	exceeding \$50	million in any 12-month peri	iod between the date the major r	t to business enterprises and in egulation is estimated to be file	dividuals located in or ad with the Secretary o	doing business in California f State through 12 months
Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons. 5. Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California		_				
The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California						
The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California	5. Briefly describe	e the following:				
The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California	The increase o	r decrease of investment in th	ne State:			
The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:	The incentive	for innovation in products, m	aterials or processes:			
	The benefits o residents, wor	f the regulations, including, b ker safety, and the state's env	ut not limited to, benefits to the ironment and quality of life, amo	health, safety, and welfare of Ca ng any other benefits identified	alifornia d by the agency:	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII E	nt State Fiscal Year which are reimbu B of the California Constitution and S	ursable by the State. (Approximate) ections 17500 et seq. of the Government Cod	e).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	-
b. Funding will be requested in th	e Governor's Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the curre (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII E	nt State Fiscal Year which are NOT re 3 of the California Constitution and S	eimbursable by the State. (Approximate) ections 17500 et seq. of the Government Cod	e).
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not rein		e information:	
a. Implements the Federal manda	e contained in		
b. Implements the court mandate	set forth by the		Court.
Case of		vs	
	conta of this State expressed in their	1.65	
c. Implements a mandate of the p	eople of this state expressed in their	approval of Proposition No.	
c. Implements a mandate of the p		-	
Date of Election		-	
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a spe	cific request from affected local enti	ty(s).	
Date of Election	cific request from affected local enti	-	
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a spe	cific request from affected local enti	ty(s).	
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific decided to the control of the control	cific request from affected local enti : ees, revenue, etc. from:	ty(s).	Code;
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific decided as a specific decided decid	ecific request from affected local enticles ees, revenue, etc. from:	ty(s).	
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific described and the specific described	ecific request from affected local enticles ees, revenue, etc. from:	ty(s). of the h will, at a minimum, offset any additional cos	
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific described and the specific described	ecific request from affected local enti ees, revenue, etc. from: ected unit of local government which	ty(s). of the h will, at a minimum, offset any additional cos	
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific decided as a specific decided decid	ecific request from affected local enticles. ees, revenue, etc. from: ected unit of local government which the penalty for a new crime or infraction.	ty(s). of the h will, at a minimum, offset any additional cos	
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific decided and the following decided d	ecific request from affected local enticles. ees, revenue, etc. from: ected unit of local government which the penalty for a new crime or infraction.	of theh will, at a minimum, offset any additional costion contained in	ts to each;
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific decided and the following decided d	ecific request from affected local enticles. ees, revenue, etc. from: ected unit of local government which the penalty for a new crime or infraction.	ty(s). of the h will, at a minimum, offset any additional cos	ts to each;
Date of Election d. Issued only in response to a specific decided and the following decided d	ecific request from affected local enticles. ees, revenue, etc. from: ected unit of local government which the penalty for a new crime or infract	of theh will, at a minimum, offset any additional costion contained in	ts to each;

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 d year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	Figgal Voor
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	Fiscal Year
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	. ·
4. Other. Explain The emergency crab fisheries closure may result in a drop in lar	ndings tax revenue of an estimated \$1,100 per week that the closure
is in effect. Sales and income tax losses may occur at about \$115,400	per week. Such losses are to avoid significant risks to public health
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropria impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agen	cy or program.
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
	1515
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the	e instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments no	t under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the
highest ranking official in the organization. AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
AGENCI SECRETARY	DATE / B //6
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 requi	re completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
	is a

STD399 Fiscal Calculations Notes:

Re: § 131. Dungeness Crab and Rock Crab Emergency Closure

Fiscal Impact Statement

- B. Fiscal Effect on State Government
- 4. Other.

Dungeness Crab Fishery

The Dungeness crab commercial season is normally November 15 onward to mid-July. Harvest data from five seasons (that had no emergency closures), from 2010-11 to 2014-15 for Dungeness crab landings were compared to the most recent 2015-16 harvest (that had emergency closures) to estimate the potential loss in landings tax receipts that may occur with this emergency action. The average weekly landings tax receipts over an uninterrupted 35-week season varies from highs of \$4,414 in the opening month of November; to a low at the end of the season in July of \$42; with an average over the entire season of \$1,050 per week. The closure is effectively a postponement of the season opening, and in the event that the closure is lifted, the opening season high catch volumes and landings tax receipts are anticipated. While the higher initial tax revenues should be recouped later at the delayed season opening, the entire season length would be shortened by the effective closure period resulting in total tax revenue losses of an estimated \$1,050 per week that the season is shortened.

Rock Crab Fishery

The rock crab commercial season is open year round. The last five years, 2010-11 to 2014-15 of rock crab landings in the closure area were examined to derive an estimate of the potential loss in landings tax receipts. The average weekly catch and associated landings tax receipts do not vary much throughout the year. The weekly average landings tax revenue is \$55 per week. The closure will effectively reduce the annual season length, such that the anticipated annual losses would be incurred at approximately \$55 per week. However upon first re-opening the rock crab fishery, the catch volume and landings tax revenue may exceed the average under the normal year round season.

Other Tax Revenues to the State

The total value (including state sales and income tax) of the Dungeness crab harvest was about \$60 million in the 2014-15 season as the catch from about 500 commercial vessels is brought to market and passed through to processors, dockworkers, truckers and others. The total value of the crab harvest is estimated to contribute about \$6 million in sales and income tax revenue to the state annually. This is approximately \$115,400 in sales and income taxes per week.