

## **EXPLANATION OF RESPONSES TO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

### **I. AESTHETICS**

- a) The project will not have an adverse effect on a scenic vista. Such an impact will not occur because the project will stabilize, restore, and revegetate damaged and eroded sites to produce a more natural and esthetically pleasing appearance.
- b) The project will not damage scenic resources such as trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings. Such an impact will not occur because the project will not disturb large trees or other scenic features in the process of restoring damaged sites.
- c) The project will not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the work sites and their surroundings. Such an impact will not occur because in most cases the restoration project will restore the natural character of disturbed sites. Where non-natural structures (such as fish screens) are constructed, they will be of small size and compatible with the appearance of their surroundings.
- d) The project will not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area of the worksites. Such an impact will not occur because none of the restoration project action items require installation of artificial lighting.

### **II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES**

- a) The project will not convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program (FMMP) of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use. Such an impact will not occur because most project worksites are located away from FMMP designated farmland. Project actions associated with farmland (such as fish screens) are designed to allow continued use of farmland with reduced impacts to anadromous salmonids.
- b) The project will not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract. Fish habitat restoration actions will not change existing land use.
- c) The project will not conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land, timberland, or timber zoned Timberland Production. Fish habitat restoration actions will not change existing land use.
- d) There will be no loss of forest land and the project will not result in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Road decommissioning projects in forest land will reduce fine sediment delivery to the streams while restoring forest land by planting with native vegetation.
- e) The project will not involve other changes in the existing environment, which due to their location or nature, could not result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use. Fish habitat restoration actions are either away from, or are compatible with, existing agricultural uses.

### **III. AIR QUALITY**

- a) The project will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan. Such an impact will not occur because implementation of the project does not create any features that would be a source of air pollution.

The work window for restoration activities is generally limited from June 15 to November 1. Under a worst-case scenario, the most work that a project can have in a single field season is eighteen weeks and the most number of years a project has to be completed is four years. Based on the worst-case scenario, the CDFW finds that each restoration activity will not likely adversely affect air quality plans through the use of vehicle and heavy equipment because of the short duration of each restoration activity. For most projects, work does not occur for the entire eighteen week field season and most restoration activities do not take four years to implement. Also, projects do not need to be implemented in consecutive years. Thus, the amount of time it takes to complete a restoration activity varies. Additionally, not all projects require the use of heavy equipment (although heavy equipment may be used to transport materials to the work site) and not all projects occur simultaneously. Calculating the emissions from a single restoration activity to use as an example would not be representative of the other restoration activities in Appendix A for the reasons listed above.

- b) The project will not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Such an impact will not occur because of the limited scope of construction activities and the fact that work sites are located in rural areas that are in overall attainment of air quality standards.
- c) The project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors). Such an impact will not occur because the project involves no ongoing sources of air pollution.
- d) The project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. Such an impact will not occur because the project will not significantly increase pollutant concentrations.
- e) The project will not create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people. Project actions are designed to restore natural habitat conditions for salmonids, and will not create any stagnant water that might produce objectionable odors.

### **IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

- a) The project will not have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration (NOAA) or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Such an impact will not occur because project activities are designed to improve and restore stream habitat, to provide a long-term benefit to both anadromous salmonids and other fish and wildlife. The project will be implemented in a manner that will avoid short-term adverse impacts to rare plants and animals and cultural resources during construction; the mitigation measures that will be implemented to avoid short-term impacts to rare plants and animals and cultural resources are described in Appendices B, C, D, and E. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance. In addition,

Species Impacts for the following species include (mitigation measures are included in Appendix B):

i. Arroyo toad (*Anaxyrus californicus*)

The arroyo toad was federally listed as endangered in 1994. Typically found in coastal areas, the toad ranges from Salinas River Basin in Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties south to Arroyo San Simón in northern Baja California, México. The preferred habitat for arroyo toad during breeding season (February–July) includes low gradient sections of slow moving streams which have adjacent stream terraces, sandbars, and shallow pools. In non-breeding months, this species can be found in a variety of upland habitats such as coastal sage scrub, chaparral, sycamore-cottonwood woodlands, oak, woodlands and grasslands.

During the implementation of a project, activities such as (but not limited to) channel dewatering, unscreened pumping, heavy equipment usage, work with hand tools, removal of riparian vegetation, spills from refueling vehicles, and introduction of non-native species into streams may have the potential to impact arroyo toad—this does not result in habitat removal and/or degradation. All impacts that occur are temporary and can be minimized to avoid take of the species. Furthermore, many of these projects involve restoring the riparian corridor that is absent.

ii. California freshwater shrimp (*Syncaris pacifica*)

In 1998, the US Fish and Wildlife Service listed California freshwater shrimp (CAFS) as endangered. The distribution of CAFS is limited to four drainage units in the California counties of Marin, Sonoma, and Napa: 1) tributary streams of the lower Russian River drainage, that flow westward to the Pacific Ocean, 2) coastal streams flowing westward directly into the Pacific Ocean, 3) streams draining into Tomales Bay, and 4) streams flowing southward into San Pablo Bay. California freshwater shrimp depend on the availability of slow moving perennial water adjacent to continuous, stable, well vegetated stream banks, or deep stable undercuts banks during winter high flows.

Salmonid restoration projects typically enhance or create habitat that is also suitable for CAFS. Although project activities in wetted stream habitats may directly impact individuals when present, project activities in dry stream habitats will not have a direct impact on individuals. Mitigation measures are implemented to avoid directly impacting individuals when present however, some short term direct and indirect impacts can occur.

iii. California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*)

The California red-legged frog (CRLF) was listed as threatened in the Federal Registry in 1996. This species is the largest native frog in the western United States and is primarily found in streams and drainages along the California coast, ranging from southern Mendocino County south to northwestern Baja California. An eastern extension of this population can be found in the Sierra Nevada foothills, though a majority of the species is found in Monterey, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara counties. Individuals found in coastal areas are active year round and those found farther inland are less active during the colder months. Breeding season is typically November through March, slightly earlier in southern regions. This species of frog prefers permanent quiet bodies of water but can be found in damp thickets and forest as well as along riparian corridors.

Impacts to the CRLF have the potential to occur during project implementation activities such as (but not limited to) channel dewatering, degradation of water quality, heavy equipment usage, work with hand tools, removal of riparian vegetation, spills from refueling vehicles, and introduction of non-native species into stream. All impacts that occur are temporary and can be minimized to avoid take of the species and does not result in habitat removal and/or degradation. Furthermore, many of these projects involve restoring the riparian corridor that is absent.

iv. California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*)

The central California population of California tiger salamander was federally listed as threatened in 2004 but had been endangered in Santa Barbara County since 2000 and in Sonoma County since 2002. The state of California listed the entire population as threatened in 2010. The salamander can be found coastally from Sonoma to Santa Barbara counties as well as in the Central Valley and surrounding foothills—primarily in grassland or open woodland areas from Alameda County south to Monterey County and east to Merced and Madera counties. This nocturnal salamander breeds during the rainy season (November – May) depositing egg masses in standing water. Outside of estivation, the California tiger salamander spends a majority of its time underground finding refuge in animal burrows.

Impacts to the species are highly unlikely as most implementation projects occur in or near the stream and riparian corridor. Upslope projects are typically limited to road upgrading and decommissioning in areas that are steep, eroding, and often in areas vegetated with trees and shrubs. The species uses

ponds and vernal pools for breeding and grassland habitat for estivation, both of which are usually not in proximity to anadromous fish-bearing streams.

- v. Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and coastal cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki clarki*)

Winter-run chinook has been listed as endangered by the state since 1989 and federally since 1994. Spring-run chinook was listed in 1999 as threatened by both the state of California and USFWS. Depending on the evolutionary significant unit (ESU) of the coho salmon, the species is listed either as threatened or endangered; federally since 1996 and by the state since 2005. In 1997, USFWS listed the distinct population segment (DPS) of the southern California steelhead as endangered. The four other DPS of steelhead (south central, central, Central Valley, and northern) have been federally listed as threatened as early as 1997. Although, coastal cutthroat trout is not listed as threaten or endangered, it is listed as a species of special concern.

Salmonids can be found throughout the coastal and inland river systems of north and central California. The salmonid lifecycle involves adults maturing in the ocean, migrating back to their home streams and spawning, embryos incubating, fry emerging, juveniles growing , and smolts migrating to the estuary to acclimate to saltwater and moving out into the ocean.

Habitat loss and modification are believed to be the major factors determining the current status of salmonid populations. Conservation and recovery of salmonid depend on having diverse habitats with connections among those habitats. While all of the work proposed under this program will enhance habitat for one or more of these species, impacts to the species have the potential to occur during project implementation activities such as, but not limited to, channel dewatering, disturbance of banks, and fish relocation. All impacts are temporary and can be minimized to avoid take of the species.

- vi. Least Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii pusillus*)

The least Bell's vireo was listed as endangered federally in 1986 and by the state in 1980. The breeding season distribution of these small, monogamous, territorial birds range from coastal southern California east to the foothill Central Valley with the majority of the population found in San Diego County (March – September). In colder, non-breeding months, the least Bell's vireo migrates south into Baja California. Many return to their same lowland riparian territory to breed, with some building nests in the same scrub used the previous year.

Impacts to the species have the potential to occur as a result of removal of riparian vegetation (willows and low scrub) during the spring and summer or from disturbance within a 0.25 mile radius of the sites. Typically removal of riparian vegetation for the purpose of implementing a project does not occur, but is minimal when it does. Many projects involve restoring the riparian corridor that is absent. Removal of willow branches for revegetation at

restoration sites has the potential to degrade existing vireo habitat. Noise from heavy equipment has the potential to cause nesting birds to abandon nests. All impacts are temporary and can be minimized to avoid take of the species.

vii. Marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*)

In 1992, the marbled murrelet was federally listed as threatened and as endangered by the State. As coastal birds that range from Alaska to Santa Barbara County, CA, they can be found nesting and brooding along the California coastline in old-growth or mature forests from April to September and possibly using the same nest in successive years. In the winter, they can be found using the same habitat for roosting and courtship.

Noise from heavy equipment has the potential to cause nesting birds to abandon nests. Limiting such work (e.g. culvert removal or placement of large woody debris) to the fall and winter months will greatly reduce adverse effects. Projects will not remove or degrade suitable habitat, only restore and protect habitat.

viii. Northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*)

The Northern spotted owl has been federally listed threatened since 1990 and has recently (2013) been listed as a threatened species candidate by the state of California. Old growth and mature forests of northwestern California and Pacific Northwest are the preferred habitat for these monogamous, territorial, medium-sized birds of prey. A pair of owls can occupy up to a 40 sq. km territory, nesting in hollow trees and cliff crevices from February to June.

Noise from heavy equipment has the potential to cause nesting birds to abandon nests. Preventing such work (e.g. culvert removal or placement of large woody debris) from occurring during February to July will greatly reduce adverse effects. Projects will not remove or degrade suitable habitat, only restore and protect habitat.

ix. Point Arena mountain beaver (*Aplodontia rufa nigra*)

In 1991, the US Fish and Wildlife listed the Point Arena mountain beaver (PAMB) as an endangered species. This beaver is a burrowing rodent found in coastal Mendocino County, in an area of approximately 24 square miles (from about 2 miles north of Bridgeport Landing south to about 5 miles south of the town of Point Arena, and from the coast to about 5 miles inland). Mountain beaver inhabit underground burrow systems, associated with moist areas with well drained soils and lush herbaceous vegetation. Populations of PAMB are typically found in riparian, coastal scrub, or dune scrub habitats; however, they may occur in any habitat with brushy or herbaceous cover. The presence of PAMB is evaluated by surveying for burrows of characteristic size and shape, with signs of recent activity.

Potential impacts to PAMB from salmonid habitat improvement projects include disruption of nesting or other activities due to equipment noise; collapse or

damage to burrows from heavy equipment, riparian planting, or foot traffic; and removal of vegetation (such removal is usually temporary, but may nonetheless impact PAMB).

x. San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*)

The San Francisco garter snake was federally listed as endangered in 1967 and by the State in 1970. Endemic to California, this multi-colored garter snake is only found from southern San Francisco County south to San Mateo County in grasslands or wetlands near ponds, marshes, and sloughs. Breeding season starts in spring. Females bare live young from June to September. Typically found in densely vegetative ponds nears hills however, the San Francisco garter snake will find animal burrows when ponds dry up in the summer months and will go into a dormant state.

The potential for impacts to the San Francisco garter snake will be mitigated by consulting with the USFWS prior to the implementation of the projects.

xi. Southwestern Willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*)

The southwestern willow flycatcher (a sub species of the Willow flycatcher, *Empidonax traillii*) was placed on the federal species list in 1995 as endangered. Extirpated from most of its California range, this small migratory bird has been reported to return to various river systems in southern California during breeding season. Breeding season is from May to September, with a majority of breeders returning to the same sites in areas of dense mature riparian woodlands along streams and rivers. Native vegetation is preferable for nesting, but this bird will also nest in thickets of non-native species (e.g. tamarisk and Russian olive).

Impacts to the southwestern willow flycatcher have the potential to occur as a result of removal of riparian vegetation (willows and low scrub) during the spring and summer or from disturbance within a 0.25 mile radius of the sites. Typically, removal of riparian vegetation for the purpose of implementing a project does not occur, but is minimal when it does. Many projects involve restoring the riparian corridor that is absent. Removal of willow branches for revegetation at restoration sites has the potential to degrade existing southwestern Willow flycatcher habitat. Noise from heavy equipment has the potential to cause nesting birds to abandon nests. All impacts are temporary and can be minimized to avoid take of the species.

xii. Tidewater goby (*Eucyclogobius newberryi*)

The tidewater goby was listed by the state of California for protection in 1987, and federally listed in 1994. The species, which is endemic to California, is typically found in coastal lagoons, estuaries, and marshes with relatively low salinities. Tidewater gobies can withstand a range of habitat conditions: they have been documented in waters with salinity levels from 0 to 42 parts per thousands, temperatures from 8 to 25° C, depths from 25 to 200 cm, and dissolved oxygen levels of less than one milligram per liter. Reproduction

occurs from late April or May to July and as late as November or December, depending on the seasonal temperature and rainfall.

Measures to reduce impacts to tidewater goby habitat will include adjusting the timing of projects to avoid disruption to breeding activities, the use of silt fencing to reduce sediment loads and as barricades around project sites, and installing coffer dams above and below project sites. Additional measures include, moving individual tidewater gobies found within the enclosures prior to dewatering, minimizing project areas, and requiring qualified biologists to oversee project activities.

xiii. Willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii*)

The Willow flycatcher was listed as endangered by the State of California in 1991. This small migratory bird can be seen during their summer migration throughout a majority of northern and western US. In California, the Willow flycatcher can be found primarily in dense moist willow thickets and riparian woodlands in northern California and along the western side of the Sierras. During spring (May to June), adults can be seen in north central California counties during the spring migration to their breeding sites farther north. Fall migration occurs primarily in August as the travel to the winter habitats in Central and South America.

Impacts to the Willow flycatcher have the potential to occur as a result of removal of riparian vegetation (willows and low scrub) during the spring and summer or from disturbance within a 0.25 mile radius of the sites. Typically removal of riparian vegetation for the purpose of implementing a project does not occur, but is minimal when it does. Many projects involve restoring the riparian corridor that is absent. Removal of willow branches for revegetation at restoration sites has the potential to degrade existing Willow flycatcher habitat. Noise from heavy equipment has the potential to cause nesting birds to abandon nests. All impacts are temporary and can be minimized to avoid take of the species.

- b) The project will not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities identified in local or regional plans, policies and regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Such an impact will not occur because the project actions are designed to correct past habitat degradation and restore and enhance riparian habitat and associated upland habitats. In accordance with the Regional General Permits 12, 78, and the § 401 Water Quality Certification, construction of action items is allowed during the summer dry season (generally June 15-November 1) to avoid impacts to aquatic habitats. Work that is permitted after November 1 is limited to hand planting of seedlings. Planting of seedlings generally occurs after December 1, or when there is sufficient rainfall to ensure the best survival chance of the seedlings. Mitigation measures to avoid impacts to riparian habitat are found in Appendix B: Mitigation measures, monitoring, and reporting program for the 2016 Fisheries Restoration Grant Program (§ IV subsection C).



Furthermore, the CDFW LSAAs include project-specific terms and conditions that set out reasonable measures determined by CDFW to be necessary to protect fish and wildlife resources that may be affected by the project.

- c) The project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by § 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means. The project actions will have either no effect on wetlands or will be beneficial to wetlands.
- d) The project will not substantially interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. The project will enhance the movement of anadromous fish by the replacement or removal of culverts and bridges that are barriers to fish migration.
- e) The project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance. Such an impact will not occur because project actions are designed to restore and enhance biological resources. Some minor disturbance of grasses and shrubs will occur where stream structures are keyed into the stream banks. Care will be taken not to disturb any mature trees. Riparian vegetation will be reestablished where construction activities disturb existing plants, and additional native plants will be planted to enhance the riparian vegetation.
- f) The project will not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan. Such a conflict will not occur because the project restoration actions will not have a significant adverse impact on any species or habitat. Project actions are designed to restore the natural character of the fish and wildlife habitat at the project work sites. The project specifically supports the California Salmon, Steelhead Trout and Anadromous Fisheries Program Act (Fish and Game Code § 6900 et. seq.)

## **V. CULTURAL RESOURCES**

- a) The project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines § 15064.5. While ground disturbance will be required to implement the project at some work sites that have the potential to affect historical resources, this potential impact will be avoided through implementation of the protective measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program and Appendix E, Procedure for the Programmatic Evaluation of Archaeological Resources for all work sites. Resources identified during site-specific surveys will be protected before ground-disturbing activities are permitted at a site. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.

- b) The project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15064.5. While ground disturbance will be required to implement the project at some work sites that have the potential to affect archaeological resources, this potential impact will be avoided through implementation of the protective measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program for all work sites. Resources identified during site-specific surveys will be protected before ground-disturbing activities are permitted at a site. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.
- c) The project will not directly or indirectly destroy any unique paleontological resources or sites, or unique geologic features. While ground disturbance to implement the project at some work sites has the potential to affect these resources, this potential impact will be avoided through implementation of the protective measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program and Appendix D, Procedure for the Programmatic Evaluation of Paleontological Resources for all work sites. Resources identified during site-specific surveys will be protected before ground-disturbing activities are permitted at a site. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.
- d) The project will not disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries. While ground disturbance will be required to implement the project at some work sites that have the potential to affect these resources, this potential impact will be avoided through implementation of the protective measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program for all work sites. Resources identified during site-specific surveys will be protected before ground-disturbing activities are permitted at a site. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.

## **VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

- a) The project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area, or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault. Such an impact will not occur because the project does not create any structures for human habitation.
  - i. The project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving strong seismic ground shaking. Such an impact will not occur because the project does not create any structures for human habitation.
  - ii. The project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving

seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. Such an impact will not occur because the project does not create any structures for human habitation.

- iii. The project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving landslides. Such an impact will not occur because the project does not create any structures for human habitation.
- b) The project will not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil. Such an impact will not occur because implementation of the restoration project is designed to contribute to an overall reduction in erosion and sedimentation. Existing roads will be used to access work sites. Ground disturbance at most work sites will be minimal, except for road improvements or decommissioning. Road improvements and decommissioning will involve moving large quantities of soil from road fills and stream crossings to restore historic land surface profiles and prevent chronic erosion and sediment delivery to streams. The potential for substantial soil loss associated with road improvement and decommissioning will be avoided through implementation of the mitigation measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.
- c) Some project worksites are on unstable soils; however, the project will not increase the risk of landslides, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse. The project actions are designed to stabilize conditions at these sites in order to reduce sediment delivery to salmonid habitat. Actions implemented to stabilize sites may not be successful in all cases, but site instability will not be increased when compared to existing conditions.
- d) Some project work sites will be located on expansive soil; however, the project will not create substantial risks to life or property. Such an impact will not occur because the project will create no habitations, and the majority of the restoration actions will not create rigid structures that could be damaged by expansive soils. The few rigid structures to be created by the project (such as fish screens) will be engineered to withstand expansive soils, if they are present.
- e) The project will not create any sources of waste water requiring a septic system.

## **VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS**

The project will emit greenhouse gases (GHG) through the use of fuel to operate vehicles and heavy equipment. The work window for restoration activities is generally limited from June 15 to November 1. Construction is limited to at most eighteen weeks during that window, and work must be completed within four years. However, for most projects, work does not occur for the entire eighteen week field season and most restoration activities do not take four years to implement. Some action items do not require heavy equipment use at the restoration site, but may use vehicles to transport

materials. Furthermore, for an individual restoration action, GHG emissions may fluctuate during the implementation, as vehicles and equipment will be necessary to varying degrees. Watershed restoration projects often require more time to construct (six to twelve weeks) than other action items. Projects may be completed in a single year of construction, or may require several years. Thus, the amount of time it takes to complete a restoration activity and the use of heavy equipment varies greatly among the actions. Although the project construction schedules and details are constrained by permit and grant conditions, the exact details cannot be specifically stated at this time. However, based on the short duration and small scale of the action items, the project will not generate a significant increase in GHG emissions above existing baseline levels because action items are discrete, limited in scope and implemented during a short time period.

- a) Additionally, some action items involve decommissioning of existing paved or dirt roads in forested landscapes. The decommissioned roads are re-planted with native conifer tree species. Additionally, when plants are removed to implement the restoration activity, the replanting ratio is 1:2 (for every plant removed, two native plants are planted). Once established native habitat restoration requires little to no maintenance and therefore little to no GHG emissions and will increase the presence of native plant species that sequester carbon dioxide.
- b) Due to each action item's short duration, small scale, and minimal on-going maintenance, the project will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHG. The short term impacts to the GHG levels are less than significant. Furthermore, the long term impacts to the GHG levels from re-vegetation actions will aid in decreasing the GHG levels by reforesting areas where roads have been removed and where restoration work has been done.

## **VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

- a) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. Any potential significant hazard associated with the accidental release of coolant and petroleum products used with equipment during construction will be avoided through implementation of the mitigation measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.
- b) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. At work sites requiring the use of heavy equipment, there is a small risk of an accident upsetting the machine and releasing fuel, oil, and coolant. The potential for accidental release will be reduced to a less than significant level through implementation of the mitigation measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program. As a result,

mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.

- c) The project will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. Such impact is avoided because the project will not create any feature that will emit hazardous substances.
- d) The project worksites are not located on any site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5.
- e) No project work site is located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport.
- f) No project work site is located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.
- g) The project will not impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. Except for the case of road decommissioning, the project has no effect on access. The planned decommissioning of selected unused wild land roads will not have a significant impact on emergency vehicle access.
- h) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wild land fires. At work sites requiring the use of heavy equipment, there is a small risk of an accidental spark from equipment igniting a fire. The potential for accidental fire will be reduced to a less than significant level through implementation of the mitigation measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.

## **IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY**

- a) The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. There is the potential for minor short-term increase in turbidity during installation of instream structures or culvert removal, however the mitigation measures described in Appendix B Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting will assure that the project actions are in compliance with water quality standards. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant short-term impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.
- b) The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge. Upslope restoration activities will return drainage to historic patterns thereby decreasing surface runoff and increasing infiltration to the ground water.
- c) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the work sites in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site. Such an impact will not occur because the project actions are designed to produce decreased erosion overall. Instream habitat structures, such as boulder weirs or flow

deflectors, will produce local redistribution of sediments. These structures will produce a local redistribution of bed load, facilitating the deposition of spawning gravel in riffles, and improving scour to maintain pools for juvenile fish habitat. This local redistribution of bed load will not produce a net increase of erosion.

- d) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the work sites, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site. The project will decrease the risk of flooding through upslope restoration activities that will return drainage to historic patterns, thereby increasing infiltration and decreasing surface runoff.
- e) The project will not create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm-water drainage systems, or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff. Such an impact will not occur because upslope restoration activities will stabilize slopes and return drainage to historic patterns, thereby decreasing surface runoff and decreasing the silt load delivered to streams in the area of the project.
- f) The project will not substantially degrade water quality. During placement of stream habitat structures and culvert replacement, some minor turbidity may be generated. The potential for degradation of water quality will be reduced to a less than significant level through implementation of the mitigation measures presented in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program. Some short-term minor increase in turbidity may also occur as the streambed around instream structures adjusts during the first high stream flow following activity completion. However, this is not expected to produce a significant increase over background turbidity. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant short-term impacts to water quality are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.
- g) The project will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on any flood hazard delineation map. No housing will be created as part of this project.
- h) The project will not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would significantly impede or redirect flood flows. Culvert removal and replacement to be done as part of the project will remove existing impediments to flood flows. Instream habitat structures, such as boulder weirs, deflectors, and bank armor, are built to change the direction and velocity of stream flow. However, these structures are small (sized to affect conditions in the low flow channel) and will not impede flood flows.
- i) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam. Such an impact will be avoided because all instream structures to be created are small and will not significantly impede flood flows.
- j) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow. Such an impact will not occur because project actions are designed to improve or stabilize conditions at the work sites. Upslope restoration

actions will reduce the chance of mudflow by stabilizing disturbed areas, and restoring natural drainage patterns. Project work sites are not located in areas at risk to inundation by seiche or tsunami.

## **X. LAND USE AND PLANNING**

- a) The project will not physically divide an established community. This impact will not occur because no culvert removal or road decommissioning is proposed in any established community.
- b) The restoration activities that comprise this project do not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. Such an impact will not occur because the project's restoration activities are designed to be compatible with local land use plans and ordinances.
- c) The project will not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. Such an impact will not occur because project actions are designed to improve aquatic habitat conditions without adversely affecting any other species or their habitats.

## **XI. MINERAL RESOURCES**

- a) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state. Such an impact will not occur because project actions are only designed to stabilize and restore habitat and soils within the actions area.
- b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan. Such an impact will not occur because no mineral resource recovery sites occur at the project work sites.

## **XII. NOISE**

- a) The project will not result in exposure of persons to, or generation of noise levels in excess of, standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. There may be a minor temporary increase in noise levels at those work sites requiring the use of heavy equipment. While such short-term increase in noise will not produce a significant increase in the noise level in the general environment, there is a potential for equipment noise to affect workers in close proximity to equipment producing noise levels  $\geq 85$  db, such as chainsaws or backhoes. However, such an impact will not occur because personnel operating noisy equipment will be required to wear hearing protection. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant noise impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.

- b) The project will not result in exposure of persons to, or generation of, excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels. Such an impact will not occur because only minor amounts of ground-borne vibration or noise will be generated short-term at those work sites requiring the use of heavy equipment.
- c) The project will not result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project. Such an impact will not occur because most project structures are passive (i.e., contain no moving parts). The only exceptions are the proposed fish screens, which will contain moving brushes to clean the screens. These brushes are driven by slow speed (10-15 RPM) water wheels and will not substantially increase ambient noise levels where installed.
- d) The project will not result in a substantial temporary, or periodic, increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project. Such an impact will not occur because only minor amounts of noise will be generated temporarily at those work sites requiring the use of heavy equipment. At those sites near nesting or breeding sites for listed species, heavy equipment will only be used outside the sensitive periods for nesting or breeding, as described in Appendix B, Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program. As a result, mitigation measures will ensure that any potentially significant noise impacts are avoided or mitigated to below a level of significance.
- e) None of the project work sites are located within two miles of a public airport or public use airport.
- f) None of the project work sites are located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

### **XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING**

- a) The project will not induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly or indirectly. Such an impact will not occur because the project will not construct any new homes, businesses, roads, or other human infrastructure.
- b) The project will not displace any existing housing and will not necessitate the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.
- c) The project will not displace any people and will not necessitate the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.

### **XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES**

- a) The project will not have any significant environmental impacts associated with new or physically altered governmental facilities. Issuance of restoration grants to government agencies could, in some cases, lead to minor increases in staffing to complete projects. Such increases will not lead to any significant adverse impacts, because the increases are short term, and no significant construction will be required to accommodate additional staff.



## **XV. RECREATION**

- a) The project would not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks, or other recreational facilities. Such an impact will not occur because the project actions will restore anadromous fish habitat and do not significantly alter human use or facilities at existing parks or recreational facilities. Overall, the Restoration Program is expected to increase recreation opportunities by assisting in restoring populations of anadromous fish.
- b) The project does not include recreational facilities and does not require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities.

## **XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC**

- a) The project will not conflict with any applicable plans, ordinances or policies that establish measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation systems. Such a conflict will not occur because the project will result in only minor temporary increases in traffic to primarily wild land sites during implementation of habitat improvement measures.
- b) The project will not conflict, either individually or cumulatively, with any applicable congestion program established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways. Such an impact will not occur because the habitat improvement actions will not generate a significant amount of traffic at each individual work site and because the work sites are dispersed throughout the coastal counties.
- c) The project will not result in any change in air traffic patterns.
- d) The project will not alter roads in any way that will substantially increase hazards to transportation. The proposed project will reduce hazards to transportation, because the proposed project will correct and reduce landslide and erosion damage on the selected rural roads.
- e) The project will not result in inadequate emergency access. Such an impact will not occur because during replacement of small road crossings, an alternate route for traffic will be provided around the construction.
- f) The project will not significantly affect parking capacity or demand for parking.
- g) The project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.

## **XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS**

- a) The project will not produce wastewater.
- b) The project will not require, or result in the construction of, new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities. Such an impact will not occur because the project will not produce wastewater.

- c) The project will not cause significant adverse environmental effects associated with the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities.
- d) The project will have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources.
- e) The project will not produce wastewater.
- f) The project will not generate solid waste requiring disposal in a landfill.

### **XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

- a) The project does have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. However, the potential is reduced to less than significant by implementing the mitigation measures in Appendix B: Mitigation Measures, Monitoring and Reporting Program. The project shall be implemented in a manner that will avoid short-term adverse impacts to rare plants and animals, and cultural resources during construction. The project activities are designed to improve and restore stream habitat; thereby providing long-term benefits to both anadromous salmonids and other fish and wildlife.
- b) The project does not have adverse impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable. Cumulative adverse impacts will not occur because potential adverse impacts of the project are only minor and temporary in nature. It is the goal of the project that the beneficial effects of habitat enhancement actions will be cumulative over time and contribute to the recovery of listed anadromous salmonids.
- c) The project does not have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly. The habitat enhancement measures implemented as part of this project will contribute to improved water quality, increased soil stability, and the recovery of listed salmonids, all of which will be beneficial to human beings.