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#### Abstract

This report covers the 49th annual inventory of Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, spawner populations in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River system. It is a compilation of sources estimating the late-fall-, winter-, spring-, and fall-run populations for streams which were surveyed. Estimates were based on counts of fish entering hatcheries and migrating past dams, from surveys of dead and live fish and redds in spawning areas, and from aerial counts.

The estimated 2001 total escapement of Chinook salmon in the Central Valley was 672,583 fish, which was $33 \%$ higher than in 2000. The population consisted of 624,947 fall-, 22,603 late-fall-, 16,809 spring-, and 8,224 winter-run spawners. All of the late-fall-, spring-, and winter-run salmon were in the Sacramento River system. The entire Central Valley fall run consisted of 590,174 fish in the Sacramento River system and 34,773 fish in the San Joaquin River system. In the Feather and American rivers of the Sacramento system, record high fall runs occurred. The fall run in the San Joaquin tributaries still only contributed a small portion (5.2\%) to the total Central Valley escapement.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Sacramento-San Joaquin River system (Figure 1), which flows through California's Central Valley, is the principle producer of Chinook salmon caught in the state's ocean fisheries; its salmon runs also contribute to the ocean fisheries of Oregon and Washington. This report is the 49th compilation of Chinook salmon spawner stock surveys. The spring and fall runs have been monitored since 1953, and late-fall and winter runs since 1971. The four runs are distinguished as follows:

1) Late-fall run. These salmon spawn mainly in the upper Sacramento River and its tributaries near and upstream of Red Bluff. They arrive in this area in early November through February, with spawning occurring from January through mid-April. Adults of this run are usually larger in physical size than fall- and winter-run salmon spawning in the same area.
2) Winter run. These salmon spawn almost entirely in the Sacramento River and its tributaries upstream of Red Bluff, arriving there in December through early August, with spawning occurring from April through August.
3) Spring run. Once widespread in Central Valley tributaries, this run has disappeared from many of the streams in which dam construction has blocked access to spawning habitat. Spring-run spawners return to the system from the ocean in late January through August; early arrivals to their natal streams oversummer in holding pools. Spawning occurs from mid-August through October.
4) Fall run. These are presently the most numerous and widely distributed salmon in the Central Valley. They return from the ocean during June through November and spawn from early October through late December.

Monitoring of salmon spawner escapement in Central Valley tributaries is an important component of the California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG) fishery management effort. The primary objectives of this work are to determine size and composition of spawner populations. Any changes in spawning distribution and habitat conditions that may adversely affect salmon are noted to determine if corrective action is necessary.


FIGURE 1. Sacramento-San Joaquin River System of California's Central Valley.

## GENERAL METHODS

During 2001, spawner stock data were collected in some Central Valley tributaries known to support Chinook salmon runs by: monitoring fish entering hatcheries and migrating past dams; conducting stream surveys in holding or spawning areas for live fish, carcasses, and redds; or making aerial redd counts.

The data collected usually represented only a sampling of the tributaries' spawners. For some tributaries, data were not sufficient to calculate an estimate of the spawner population size; in some such cases, a decision of the number of spawners present was arrived at by "best professional judgment".

In other streams, salmon carcasses were marked throughout a series of survey periods. Discrete marks associated those carcasses with the individual surveys upon subsequent recovery trips. All counted carcasses were marked, or cut in half to prevent recounting. Estimated spawner numbers were calculated from mark-and-recovery data.

Specific details of surveys (e.g. timing, duration, location), or estimation methods are presented under the individual tributary sections.

In this report, adult salmon are considered those fish three years old and older. Two-year-old salmon, although sexually mature, are referred to as grilse.

# CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNER POPULATIONS FOR THE SACRAMENTO RIVER SYSTEM 

Keswick Dam to Red Bluff Diversion Dam ${ }^{2 /}$

Spawner population sizes were estimated for the late-fall, winter, and fall runs of Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River mainstem (Figure 2) upstream of Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD). Clear and Battle creeks were the only tributaries in this area for which individual fallrun population estimates were made. Spawning distribution in the mainstem was determined from aerial redd counts.

In 2001, a total of 224,455 salmon was estimated for the Sacramento River system upstream of Red Bluff, consisting of 194,343 fall-, 21,678 late-fall-, 8,189 winter- and 245 spring-run fish. The mainstem portion of the fall- run spawner population was 57,792 fish. The mainstem totals which are reported include fish for tributaries in which a run might have occurred, but where no estimates were possible; e.g., the spring runs in Clear and Battle creeks, and the fall run in Cottonwood Creek.

## Sacramento River Mainstem

RBDD monitoring. Numbers of winter-, spring-, and fall-run salmon passing RBDD were based on daily counts made by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and on sampling of fish at the dam by CDFG. Counts were obtained through video monitoring of salmon passing through the fishway ladders.

Numbers of fish counted each week were adjusted for those periods when the fishways remained open but no counts were possible (e.g., turbid conditions, when no observations were made at night, and when counting took place during only part of a week due to temporary opening of the dam gates). Adjustments to lapses in daytime counts were made by interpolation. Adjustments for the non-monitored nighttime hours were made by multiplying the 14-h day counts by a "night-factor", which was generated from night counts made twice a week.

The adjusted weekly number of fish was apportioned among the runs based on their relative proportions seen that week in samples of salmon from the dam's east-bank trapping facility. These sampled fish were assigned to a run by assessing when they would spawn, as indicated by physiological characteristics (coloration, scale absorption, secondary sexual development, and relative degree of ripeness).

To facilitate upstream migration of winter-run salmon, the RBDD gates were raised from the beginning of the year to 15 May, and from 15 September through the end of the year. When the
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FIGURE 2. Sacramento River System from Keswick Dam downstream to Princeton Ferry.
dam gates are up, the fishways are essentially inoperable, and counts are not possible. To account for salmon passing the dam when the gates were up, total adjusted numbers from actual counts were expanded, using migrational distributions for each run based on historical data.

The migrational distributions were an average timing derived from RBDD data when the gates were down year-round, based on the 1982-1986 winter runs, and the 1970-1988 spring and fall runs (Table 1). Numbers of fish estimated from actual counts for the gates-down period in 2001 were assumed to represent the same proportion of the run as the period's historical distribution, and expanded accordingly.

Mainstem spawner populations were typically determined from the estimated RBDD passage by adjusting for harvest in the sport fishery, and for populations in upstream tributaries. Sport-caught fall-run salmon were estimated from angler census surveys conducted by CDFG upstream of RBDD. However, no winter- or spring-run fish were assumed harvested, due to an angling closure in effect from 15 January through 16 July. Upper Sacramento River system tributary populations included estimated numbers in streams which were surveyed and counts made at upstream hatcheries.

Late-fall run. The RBDD gates were raised during the entire late-fall migration period, so counts of this run's fish passing the dam were not possible. Instead, the population was estimated from salmon carcass surveys in a portion of the mainstem, and from aerial redd surveys of the entire mainstem.

Weekly carcass surveys were conducted from 3 January through 26 April 2001, covering the $26.5-\mathrm{km}(16.5-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the mainstem from Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District Dam (ACID) downstream to Anderson River Park ${ }^{3 /}$. This stretch of river was covered weekly in three reaches, each surveyed on one day. Mean river flows upstream from ACID were more stable than during previous years' surveys, ranging from $93.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $195.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(3300-6900 \mathrm{cfs})$. Weekly average water clarity in the surveyed section, measured by secchi disk, ranged from 1.8 m to $5.1 \mathrm{~m}(6-17 \mathrm{ft})$. Water temperatures in the survey area ranged from $7.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $11.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (46-53 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ).

Salmon carcasses were marked with colored ribbon attached to their jaws with hog rings; for each week a different color was used. Carcasses that were not marked included those that were headless, those on shore in a dessicated condition, and those at the downstream end of the survey area which would have drifted out of the area. Unmarked carcasses, as well as those that were recovered with marks, were chopped in half to prevent recounting. Marked carcasses were returned to running water for subsequent recovery. Measurements of fork length (FL), and determination of gender were made for a subsample of fresh carcasses (those with a clear eye or pink gills).

[^2]TABLE 1. Distribution of migration for Chinook salmon runs past Red Bluff Diversion Dam. Proportions were used to expand estimated numbers of fish passing the dam for gates-down periods, to include numbers passing during gates-up periods.

| Approximate <br> monthly period | Concurrent week | Proportion of run (\%) ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Winter run | Spring run | Fall rutn |
| January | 1 | 170 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1.78 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0.35 |  |  |
|  | - 4 | -1.28 |  |  |
| February | 5 | 2.38 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 3.12 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 3.08 |  |  |
|  | 8 | 0.97 |  |  |
| March | 9 | 6.35 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 7.72 |  |  |
|  | 11 | 9.23 |  |  |
|  | 12 | 7.79 | 010 |  |
|  | 13 | 4.91 | -0.25 |  |
| April | 14 | 7.64 | 0.59 |  |
|  | 15 | 8.26 | 096 |  |
|  | 16 | 9.19 | 1.38 |  |
|  | -17 | -3.47 | -1.63 |  |
| May | 18 | 2.02 | 160 |  |
|  | 19 | 1,60 | 1.71 |  |
|  | 20 | 2.17 | 216 |  |
|  | -21 | -3.09 | 263 |  |
|  | 22 | 2.03 | 286 | 0.01 |
| June | 23 | 163 | 2.61 | 0.00 |
|  | 24 | 184 | 2.93 | 0.01 |
|  | 25 | 051 | 3.50 | 0.03 |
|  | -26 | - 0.76 | -310 | 0.08 |
|  | 27 | 1.60 | 367 | 0.10 |
| July | 28 | 0.31 | 6.02 | 0.29 |
|  | 29 | 1.04 | 4.75 | 0.49 |
|  | - 30 | O.44 | - 321 | -0.70 |
|  | 31 | 0.01 | 4.12 | 0.96 |
| August | 32 |  | 6.97 | 1.68 |
|  | 33 |  | 607 | 2.95 |
|  | 34 |  | 6.75 | 3.53 |
|  | -35 |  | 5.74 | 3.91 |
|  | 36 |  | 722 | 4.54 |
| September | 37 |  | 668 | 5.59 |
|  | 38 |  | 5.23 | 8.58 |
|  | -39 |  | 370 | 9.24 |
|  | 40 |  | 119 | 10.49 |
| October | 41 |  | 069 | 10.59 |
|  | 42 |  |  | 8.97 |
|  | 43 |  |  | 6.99 |
|  | 44 |  |  | 670 |
| November | 45 |  |  | 467 |
|  | 46 |  |  | 271 |
|  | -47 |  |  | 2.23 |
|  | 48 |  |  | 1.68 |
| December | 49 | 0.17 |  | 0.90 |
|  | 50 | 0.38 |  | 0,66 |
|  | 51 | 0.49 |  | 0.51 |
|  | 52 | 0.71 |  | 0.19 |
| 1/ Distributions are averages based on the following years of data <br> -Winter-run, 1982 through 1986. <br> -Spring-run, 1970 through 1988. <br> -Fall-runt, 1970 through 1988. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

A total of 4,694 salmon carcasses was observed, but only the mark-and-recovery data for those fresh carcasses classified as adults ( $\mathrm{FL}>67 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 26.4 in ) were used to calculate an estimate using the Petersen formula (Appendix 1.A). Adults comprised 4,573 of the observed carcasses, of which 560 were marked and 212 subsequently recovered, for an estimate of 12,605 adult fish in the surveyed area. The adult estimate was expanded to include an approximately $4.1 \%$ grilse proportion, for a population of 13,148 salmon from ACID to Anderson River Park.

Five aerial surveys of the mainstem up- and downstream of RBDD were conducted from 12 December 2000 through 4 April 2001 (Table 2). Based on data from these surveys, approximately $68.2 \%$ of the late-fall-run spawning occurred within the mark-and-recovery area, and $95.2 \%$ of those total redds were upstream of RBDD. The carcass survey population estimate was therefore expanded for an entire mainstem spawner population ( 19,276 fish), which was then proportioned to 18,351 fish for only the mainstem upstream of RBDD.

The late-fall population consisted of $46.9 \%$ male adults, $48.9 \%$ female adults, $3.6 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 67 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $0.6 \%$ female grilse. This composition was based on 533 fresh carcasses examined during the mark-and-recovery surveys.

The 2001 late-fall-run population of 18,351 fish for the mainstem upstream of RBDD was over twice that for the year 2000, but only about half that of 1998; for both these other years, estimates were also made from carcass survey and redd data (Appendix 3).

Winter run. The 2001 winter run passing upstream of RBDD was estimated to be 5,499 salmon; due to the dam gates being open, only $15 \%$ of this estimate was derived from actual counts at the dam (Table 3). In previous years, Sacramento River mainstem spawner populations were determined from these estimates at the dam. However, for the 2001 population, carcass survey data were used. Carcass surveys of the winter-run mainstem spawner populations had been conducted since 1996, and had been used primarily to study the age and sex composition of the population, pre-spawning mortality, and temporal and spatial distribution of spawning activity. Evaluation by CDFG's Winter-run Technical Team supported the recommendation to use the carcass surveys also for estimating the mainstem population.

The carcass surveys covered the $22.5-\mathrm{km}$ ( $14-\mathrm{mi}$ ) stretch of the mainstem from Keswick Dam downstream to the Redding Water Treatment Plant, and were conducted from 2 May through 29 August $2001^{4 /}$. The study area was divided into two equal-length reaches, which were surveyed on consecutive days starting with the upstream reach. After a one day pause, and the cycle was repeated, for 40 survey periods. Mean survey-period river flow averaged more than $348 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( $12,300 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), ranging from $241 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $430 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(8500-15,200 \mathrm{cfs})$. Mean survey-period temperature averaged $11.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(52.8^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$, ranging from $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $12.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(50-55^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$. Water clarity, measured by secchi disk, averaged $5.9 \mathrm{~m}(19.5 \mathrm{ft})$, ranging from 4.4 m to $6.4 \mathrm{~m}(14.4-$ 21.2 ft ).

Most of the surveys were conducted from two boats, each with two observers, and generally covered opposite shorelines out to the middle of the river. Efforts were made to assure adequate coverage of areas where carcasses were known to accumulate, and several short stretches of river were surveyed on foot.

Carcasses were collected using a gaff or gig, and before further processing were categorized as being either fresh or decayed, and either from a fish of hatchery or natural origin. Fresh carcasses were those having firm flesh and at least one clear eye. A carcass of hatchery origin was

[^3]TABLE 2. Chinook salmon redd relative distribution observed during 2001 gerial surveys of the mainstem Sacramento River from Keswick Dam to Princeton Ferry.

|  | Late-fall run |  | Winter run |  | Spring run |  | Fall run |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River section | $\begin{gathered} \text { Redds } \\ \text { counted } \end{gathered}$ | Proportional distribution | Redds counted ${ }^{2 y}$ | Proportional distribution | Redds counted ${ }^{3}$ | Proportional distribution | Redds <br> counted ${ }^{4 /}$ | Proportional distribution |
| Keswick Dam to A C I D. Dam ${ }^{5 /}$ | 444 | 25\%\% | 484 | 34\% | 0 |  | 256 | 68\% |
| ACI ID Dam to Hıghway 44 Bridge | 311 | 179\% | 215 | $154 \%$ | 0 |  | 206 | 55\% |
| Highway 44 Bridge to Aıport Road Brıdge | 427 | 24 \% | 624 | 44\% | 7 | $241 \%$ | 381 | 101\% |
| Airport Road Bridge to Balls Ferry Bridge | 246 | 142\% | 55 | 39\% | 7 | $241 \%$ | 449 | 120\% |
| Balls Ferry Bridge to Battle Creek | 82 | 47\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 5 | 17.2\% | 347 | $92 \%$ |
| Battle Creek to Jellys Ferry Bridge | 84 | 48\% | 2 | 0.1\% | 8 | 27.6\% | 536 | 143\% |
| Jellys Ferry Brudge to Bend Bridge | 39 | 23\% | 8 | 0.6\% | 1 | 3.4\% | 414 | 110\% |
| Bend Bridge to Red Bluff Dam | 17 | 10\% | 0 |  | 0 |  | 301 | 80\% |
| Upstream proportion: |  | 95.2\% |  | 99.6\% |  | 96.6\% |  | 76.9\% |
| Red Bluff Dam to Tehama Bridge | 67 | $39 \%$ | 6 | 04\% | 1 | 3.4\% | 503 | $134^{\circ} \%$ |
| Tehama Bridge to Woodson Bridge | 16 | 0.9\% | 0 |  | 0 |  | 140 | 37\% |
| Woodson Bridge to Hamilton City Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | - |  | 153 | 4.1\% |
| Hamilton City Brıdge to Ord Ferry Bridge | 0 |  | 0 |  | -- |  | 60 | 16\% |
| Ord Ferry Bradge to Prınceton Ferry | 0 |  | 0 |  | -- |  | 11 | 03\% |
| Downstream proportion: |  | 4.8\% |  | 0.4\% |  | 3.4\% |  | 23.1\% |
| Total Redds: | 1,733 |  | 1,396 |  | 29 |  | 3,757 |  |

1/Total count for five aerial surveys made from 12 December 2000 through 4 April 2001.
2/Total count for 15 aerial surveys made from 4 May through 14 August 2001,
3 / Count for survey made on 18 September 2001
4/Total count for three aerial surveys made from 4 October through I November 2001
5/ Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District Dam
identified by a missing adipose fin. Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery (LSNFH) had been the exclusive winter-run salmon facility in the upper Sacramento river system since 1998, and all of its juvenile fish were released with adipose fin-clips, and coded-wire tags (identifying their race, age, and origin) implanted in their snouts. It was therefore assumed that any carcasses with adipose fins intact were winter-run salmon produced from in-river spawning.

For estimation of the spawner population, carcasses were tagged with small colored plastic ribbons attached with hog rings; tag color was used to identify the survey period that the carcass was tagged. Fresh carcasses were tagged in the upper jaw, and decayed carcasses were tagged in the lower jaw. The exceptions were fresh, hatchery-origin carcasses, which had the ribbon attached to the anterior portion of the backbone, since their heads were removed and saved for retrieval of the coded-wire tag. Length measurements, determination of gender, and female degree of egg retention were also recorded. Carcasses not tagged, usually those in an advanced state of decay, were chopped in half. Tagged carcasses were returned to flowing water near the location where they were originally found, in an attempt to simulate "natural" carcass dispersion. During subsequent surveys, previously tagged carcasses that were recovered were also chopped.

The carcass processing protocols were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult or grilse, based on length), condition (fresh or decayed), and origin (hatchery or in-river), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated the Jolly-Seber model (Appendix 1.C) was the most appropriate.

TABLE 3. Estimation of 2001 winter-, spring- and fall-run Chinook salmon spawners passing Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD).


1/ Covers the period from 15 May through 15 September 2000, when the dam gates were in.
2/ Fish were assigned to a run based on coloration, scale absorpton, secondary sexual characteristucs, and spawung readiness Data includes both adipose fin-clupped and non-fin-clipped salmon
3/ Video counts expanded to adjust for periods when no counts were made.
4/ Weekly run proportion $x$ Adjusted coumt
5/ Totals shown may be different than the sum of the numbers in the columin due to spreadsheet calculations and roundng to whole numbers.
6/ Based on lustorical average nun distrubutions (Table 1)
7/ Calculated total for period of gates-in + Proportion of run represented = Run size of spawners migrating past RBDD.

A total of 5,145 salmon carcasses was observed, but only the mark-and-recovery data for those classified as adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in] for females, and FL $\geq 67 \mathrm{~cm}$ [26.4 in] for males) were used to calculate the Jolly-Seber estimate. A total of 4,012 hatchery- and natural-origin adult carcasses (both fresh and decayed) were tagged and 2,135 of those were subsequently recovered (Table 4), resulting in an estimate of 6,489 adult fish in the surveyed area. The adult estimate was expanded to include an approximately $9.7 \%$ grilse proportion, for a population of 7,186 salmon from Keswick Dam to the Redding Water Treatment Plant.

Fifteen aerial surveys of the mainstem up- and downstream of RBDD were conducted from 4 May through 14 August 2001 (Table 2). Based on data from these surveys, approximately $88.5 \%$ of the winter-run spawning occurred within the survey area, and approximately $99.6 \%$ of the total redds were upstream of RBDD. The carcass survey population estimate was further expanded for an entire mainstem spawner population ( 8,120 fish), which was then proportioned to 8,085 fish for only the mainstem upstream of RBDD. A total of 104 fish ( 100 from Keswick


Dam and four from RBDD traps) were transferred to the Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery winter-run broodstock program.

The winter-run population consisted of $26.6 \%$ male adults, $64.8 \%$ female adults, $8.2 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<67 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $0.4 \%$ female grilse ( $\mathrm{Fl}<61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). This composition was based on 1,842 fresh carcasses examined during the mark-and-recovery surveys.

The 2001 winter-run spawner population of 8,085 salmon in the mainstem upstream of RBDD was $61 / 2$ times higher than the average for 1991-2000 (Appendix 3); however, those previous estimates were determined from RBDD counts rather than carcass surveys.

Spring run. An estimated 956 salmon with spring-run characteristics passed RBDD in $2001 ; 81 \%$ of this estimate was derived from actual counts at the dam (Table 2). It was assumed that no spring-run salmon were caught in the sport-fishery upstream of RBDD, and a total of 245 fish, most of which were considered to be of this run, were accounted for in the upper reach of Cottonwood Creek. The remaining 711 salmon may have spawned in the mainstem and in
tributaries upstream of RBDD. However, CDFG considers this to be unlikely, as available spawning habitat in those areas are also utilized by fall-run salmon during the same periods. Therefore, an estimate of the spawner population was not made. Based on 128 salmon sampled at RBDD, the spring run consisted of $52 \%$ adults and $48 \%$ grilse.

Fall run. An estimated 74,246 fall-run potential spawners passed RBDD in 2001; due to the RBDD gates being open, only $25 \%$ of this estimate was derived from actual counts at the dam (Table 2). This estimate, however, was judged to be inappropriate for determining the mainstem population as was done in past years, since it was less than the total population estimated for the upstream tributaries which were surveyed (Battle and Clear creeks). Instead, salmon carcass surveys conducted in a portion of the mainstem, and aerial redd surveys of the entire mainstem were used to estimate the population.

The carcass surveys were conducted from 1 October through 20 December 2001, covering the $25.5-\mathrm{mi}$ stretch of the mainstem from ACID downstream to the mouth of Cottonwood Creek ${ }^{5 /}$. This stretch of river was covered weekly in four separate reaches, each surveyed on one day; during four of the weeks some of the reaches were not covered. Mean weekly river flows decreased from $215 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(7600 \mathrm{cfs})$ at the beginning of the surveys to $108 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(3800 \mathrm{cfs})$ at the end. Weekly average water clarity in the surveyed section, measured by secchi disk, ranged from 1.8 m to $7.3 \mathrm{~m}(6-24 \mathrm{ft})$. Mean weekly water temperatures in the survey area ranged from $11.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $13.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(53-57^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

Most of the salmon carcasses observed were marked with colored ribbon attached to their jaws with hog rings; for each week a different color was used. Carcasses that were not marked included those that were headless, those on shore in a dessicated condition, those at the downstream end of the survey area which would have drifted out of the area, and those that were in excess of what could be processed in a day. Unmarked carcasses, as well as those that were recovered with marks, were chopped in half to prevent recounting. Marked carcasses were returned to running water for subsequent recovery. Length measurements and determination of gender were made for a subsample of fresh carcasses (those with a clear eye or pink gills).

A total of 7,785 carcasses was observed, but only the mark-and-recovery data for fresh, adult carcasses were used in the Schaefer (Appendix 1.B) calculation; the adult distinction was a FL > 76 cm [29.9 in] for males, and FL> 74 cm [25.2 in] for females. For a total of 1,805 carcasses marked, and 291 subsequently recovered, an adult population of 26,001 fish was estimated (Table 5). This estimate was expanded to include an approximately $10.6 \%$ grilse proportion, for a population of 29,084 salmon for the mainstem between ACID and Cottonwood Creek.

Three aerial surveys of the mainstem up- and downstream of RBDD were conducted from 4 October through 1 November 2001 (Table 2). Based on data from these surveys, approximately $38.7 \%$ of the fall-run spawning occurred within the mark-and-recovery area, and $76.9 \%$ of the total redds were upstream of RBDD. The carcass survey population estimate was

[^4]| Recoveryperiod (1). period (J). | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses observed (Cj) ${ }^{2 /}$ | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{3 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | , | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 13 | -- | -- | -- | *- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | 13 | 520 | 1,820 |
| 3 | 5 | 23 | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 28 | 745 | 2,225 |
| + | 3 | 3 | 59 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | 65 | 1,045 | 2,696 |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 63 | 1,306 | 4,857 |
| 6 |  | 2 | 6 | 12 | 23 | - | - | -- | -- | -- | 43 | 661 | 3,456 |
| 7 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 20 | 576 | 2,964 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | 12 | 270 | 1,340 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | -- | -- | 9 | 503 | 2,901 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 10 | -- | 11 | 314 | 1,361 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 17 | 22 | 605 | 1,530 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 5 | 817 | 1,860 |
| Total recovered | 22 | 32 | 85 | 53 | 33 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (M1) |  | 92 |  |  |  | 78 | 47 | 25 | 65 | 37 | Total estim |  | 27,009 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | nate ${ }^{5 /}$ : | 26,001 |
| 1/Surveys were conducted from 1 October through 20 December 2001 <br> 2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered. <br> 3/ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation $N=\Sigma(R \mathbf{j} \times(M 1 / R i) \times(C j / R j))$. <br> 4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding . <br> 5/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mı) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate ( $27,009-1,008=26,001)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

therefore expanded to an entire mainstem spawner population ( 75,152 fish), which was then proportioned to 57,792 fish for only the mainstem upstream of RBDD.

The fall-run population consisted of $33 \%$ male adults, $56.4 \%$ female adults, $9.8 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 76 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $0.8 \%$ female grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 64 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). This composition was based on 1,277 fresh carcasses examined during the mark-and-recovery surveys.

The 2001 fall-run spawner population of 57,792 salmon in the mainstem upstream of RBDD was slightly higher than the average for 1991-2000 populations (Appendix 3). However, estimates for those previous years, ranging from 5,718 to 133,365 fish, were determined from RBDD counts rather than carcass surveys.

## Clear Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2001.
Spring run. The USFWS conducted surveys in Clear Creek primarily to determine presence of spring-run salmon, but an estimate of the spawner population was not made.

Fall run. Eight spawner surveys of Clear Creek were conducted during 9 October through 26 November 2001, in the $6.7-\mathrm{km}(4.2-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch downstream of the McCormick-Saeltzer Dam site. Salmon carcasses were marked by attaching colored tape to their jaws with hog rings, and replacing them back into running water for recovery during following surveys; different colors of tape were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods.

Using fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), the spawner population in Clear Creek downstream of McCormick-Saeltzer Dam was estimated to be 10,865 fish (Table 6). Although the population upstream of the dam site was not estimated, 34 redds, 15 live fish, and 16 carcasses were observed in that area.

Based on examination of 3,868 salmon carcasses, the fall-run spawner population of Clear Creek consisted of $41 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24in.]), $51 \%$ female adults, and $8 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<$ 61 cm ).

Pre-spawning mortality of female salmon in Clear Creek this season was less than one percent.

## Cow Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys for this run in this tributary were made in 2001.
Fall run. An aerial redd survey was conducted on 1 November 2001, covering the creek from its confluence with the Sacramento River upstream, into the North Fork to Cow Creek School, and into the South Fork to Clover. A total of 31 redds was counted in the mainstem, but none were seen in the North and South forks. An estimate of the fall-run spawner population was not made.

## Cottonwood Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2001.
Spring run. Beegum Creek, a tributary to Cottonwood Creek, was surveyed monthly during March through October 2001. The $12.2-\mathrm{km}(7.5-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the creek from upstream of the North and South forks' confluence to the Hwy-36 Bridge crossing was covered by snorkeling. A total of 245 salmon was counted, and judged to constitute the 2001 spring run for the Cottonwood Creek system; water temperatures in the upper 70-degree Fahrenheit may have reduced the number of actual spawners.

Fall run. An aerial redd survey was conducted on 1 November 2001, covering the creek from its confluence with the Sacramento River upstream to Little Dry Creek. A total of 116 redds was counted, all of which were downstream of the power line crossing. An estimate of the fall-run spawner population was not made.

| TABLE 6. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2001 fall-run spawner population in Clear Creek from the site of McCormick-Saeltzer Dam to 4.2 miles downstream ". |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery penod (): |  | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i). |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered | Total carcasses observed | Population estimate |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | (Rj) | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)^{2}$ | (N) ${ }^{3 /}$ |
| 2 | 11 | - | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 11 | 323 | 646 |
| 3 | 3 | 33 | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 36 | 839 | 1,892 |
| 4 |  | 2 | 55 | -- | -- | -- | - | 57 | 1,201 | 3,536 |
| 5 |  |  | 15 | 38 | $\cdots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | 53 | 813 | 2,272 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | -- | -- | 3 | 102 | 337 |
| 7 |  |  |  | 9 | 29 | 5 | - | 43 | 472 | 1,773 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 0 | 12 | 17 | 312 | 1,045 |
| Total recovered (Ri)' | 14 | 35 | 70 | 54 | 30 | 5 | 12 | Total estimate ${ }^{4 /}$. |  |  |
| Total carcasses | 32 | 82 | 209 | 148 | 146 | 10 | 40 |  |  | 11,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | timate ${ }^{67}$ : | 10,865 |

1/Surveys were conducted from 9 October through 26 November 2001
2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
3/Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $\mathrm{N}=\Sigma(\mathrm{Rij} \times(\mathrm{Mi} / \mathrm{Ri}) \times(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{RJ}))$.
4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding.
5/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schacfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking penod on were subtracted from the total estimate $(11,500-635=10,865)$

## Battle Creek

Late-fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run spawning naturally in Battle Creek during 2001. The only available spawner data were for 3,327 late-fall-run salmon which entered Coleman National Fish Hatchery (CNFH). These fish consisted of $50 \%$ male adults, $44 \%$ female adults, and $6 \%$ grilse.

Spring run. The USFWS conducted surveys in Battle Creek primarily to determine presence of spring-run salmon, but an estimate of the spawner population was not made.

Fall run. Six carcass surveys were conducted during 2 October through 28 November 2001, covering the $5.6-\mathrm{km}(3.5-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of river from CNFH downstream to the old hatchery location. Salmon carcasses were marked by attaching colored tape to their jaws with hog rings, and placed into running water for recovery; different colors of tape were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods.

Using fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data with the Schaefer model (Appendix 1.B), the spawner population in Battle Creek downstream of CNFH was estimated to be 100,604 fish (Table 7). Combined with an additional 25,082 fish which entered CNFH, the total 2001 Battle Creek fall-run population was 125,686 salmon (Appendix 2); although the creek upstream of CNFH was not surveyed, some fall-run adults may have passed the barrier dam.

Based on examination of 24,558 salmon carcasses, the fall run consisted of $39 \%$ male adults (FL $\geq 61 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24 in.]), $58 \%$ female adults, and $3 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). In comparison, fall-run fish entering CNFH consisted of $48 \%$ male adults, $28 \%$ female adults, and $24 \%$ grilse.

Pre-spawning mortality of female fall-run salmon in Battle Creek averaged 29\% in 2001.


The 2001 fall-run spawner population in Battle Creek of 125,686 fish was over $11 / 2$ times higher than in 2000, and almost twice the population average for 1991-2000 (Appendix 3).

## Red Bluff Diversion Dam to Princeton Ferry

A total of 21,054 Chinook salmon spawners, consisting of 17,360 fall-, 2,734 spring-, 925 late-fall-, and 35 winter-run fish, was estimated for 2001 in the Sacramento River system between Red Bluff and Princeton Ferry (Figure 2).

## Sacramento River Mainstem

Estimates of salmon spawner populations in the Sacramento River mainstem downstream of RBDD were derived from aerial redd counts for the entire mainstem and from the upstream mainstem population estimates. The proportional distribution of a run's redds that were upstream and downstream of RBDD was assumed to represent the distribution of that run's entire mainstem population.

Late-fall run. Five aerial surveys were conducted during 12 December 2000 through 4 April 2001. Late-fall-run redds downstream of RBDD constituted about $4.8 \%$ of the total mainstem spawning (Table 2), which was estimated to represent a spawner population of 925 fish.

Winter run. Based on 15 aerial surveys conducted during 4 May through 14 August 2001, winter-run redds downstream of RBDD constituted about $0.4 \%$ of the total mainstem spawning (Table 2). This was estimated to represent a spawner population of 35 fish.

Spring run. An aerial survey was conducted on 18 September 2001. Spring-run redds downstream of RBDD constituted about $3.4 \%$ of the total mainstem spawning (Table 2), but an estimate of the spawner population was not made.

Fall run. Based on three aerial surveys conducted during 4 October through 1 November 2001, fall-run redds downstream of RBDD constituted about $23.1 \%$ of the total mainstem spawning (Table 2), which was estimated to represent a spawner population of 17,360 fish. This estimate was almost twice that for the year 2000, and was $38 \%$ higher than the population average for 1991 to 2000 (Appendix 3).

## Antelope Creek

Spring run. Snorkeling-surveys of the holding habitat of adult spring-run salmon in the upper Antelope Creek system were made on 23 and 26 July 2001. A total stream length of 23.5 $\mathrm{km}(14.6 \mathrm{mi})$ was covered, from Facht Place on the mainstem upstream, to Judd Creek on the North Fork, and into sections of the south fork to South Fork Falls. A total of 8 adult salmon was observed, and judged to be the 2001 spring run for this system.

Fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2001.

## Mill Creek

Spring run. Surveys of Upper Mill Creek were made during 3-11 October 2001, covering a stream length of approximately $41 \mathrm{~km}(25 \mathrm{mi})$ from the Hwy-36 Bridge crossing downstream to the powerline crossing located $4.8 \mathrm{~km}(3 \mathrm{mi})$ downstream from Little Mill Creek ${ }^{6}$. The reach from Hwy-36 to the ranch house downstream of Black Rock was surveyed from the ground, while aerial surveys were made from Black Rock to the powerlines. Total of 167 live salmon and 54 carcasses were observed. Based on redd counts made through the combined ground and aerial surveys, a total of 552 redds was determined to be the maximum number present, and judged to represent a spring-run population of 1,104 fish.

Fall run. Surveys of Mill Creek were conducted on 20 and 26 November 2001 primarily to count redds and determine spawning distribution. Surveys extended from the canyon mouth downstream to the confluence with the Sacramento River. Most of the fall run spawning (97\%) occurred downstream of Los Molinos Mutual Water District Dam. Totals of 236 redds, 120 live salmon, and 84 carcasses were observed. An estimate of the fall-run population was not made.

[^5]
## Deer Creek

Spring run . Snorkeling surveys of upper Deer Creek were conducted on 8 and 20 August 2001 covering the $38.6-\mathrm{km}(24-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch from Upper Deer Creek Falls to downstream of Trail 2E17 ${ }^{7 \prime}$. A total of 1,622 adult salmon was counted, and judged to be the 2001 spring run in this tributary.

Fall run. A ground survey was conducted in Deer Creek on 27 November 2001 to count redds and determine spawning distribution. Surveys covered the area from the Deer Creek Irrigation District upper diversion dam to the confluence with the Sacramento River. Totals of 61 redds, 25 live salmon, and 35 carcasses were observed. An estimate of the fall-run population was not made.

[^6]Big Chico Creek to the American River
A total of 392,301 Chinook salmon was estimated for 2001 in the Sacramento River tributaries from Big Chico Creek to the American River (Figure 3). This total consisted of 13,830 springrun and 378,471 fall-run fish (Appendix 2).

## Big Chico Creek

Spring run. A snorkeling survey was conducted on 8 August 2001 in the stretch of Chico Creek from Higgin's Hole downstream to Salmon Hole in Bidwell Park ${ }^{8 /}$. A total of 39 salmon was counted, and judged to be the spring-run spawner population in this tributary for 2001.

Fall run. No surveys were conducted for this run in 2001.

## Butte Creek

Spring run. A snorkeling survey was conducted during 13-16 August 2001 covering the stretch from Quartz Bowl downstream to Parrott-Phelan Diversion Dam ${ }^{9 /}$. Total counts of live salmon by four independent observers ranged from 7,435 to 11,066 fish. All of the salmon observed were upstream of the covered bridge. Based on these surveys, a population of 9,605 spring-run salmon were in the creek.

Fall run. Carcass surveys for fall-run salmon in Butte Creek covered the approximately $15.3-\mathrm{km}(9.5-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of river from Parrott-Phelan Diversion Dam downstream to Gorrill Ranch Dam, and a $0.8-\mathrm{km}(0.5-\mathrm{mi})$ section near the Western Canal Siphon (Ward et. al. 2004). Surveys were conducted during 22 October through 4 December 2001, terminating before spawning was completed. Fresh salmon carcasses (those with at least one clear eye and firm flesh) were marked by attaching colored tape to their lower jaws with hog rings, and replaced into running water near the location originally found. Different colors of tape were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods. Carcasses that were not marked were chopped in half, as were recoveries of previously marked ones.

An estimate of the spawner population was based on the total of all carcasses observed, and the recovery rate of marked carcasses. A total of 1,476 carcasses was examined, of which 225 fresh carcasses were marked, with 81 subsequently recovered, for about 4,100 fish in the surveyed area. In addition, it was judged that an additional 330 salmon were upstream of Parrott-Phelan Dam (from limited observations made there), for a total of 4,430 fish in the fall run.

[^7]
## Feather River

Spring run. A total of 4,078 salmon classified as spring-run fish entered Feather River Hatchery (FRH) during 1-15 September $2001{ }^{10 \%}$. These fish consisted of $52.9 \%$ male adults, $46.4 \%$ female adults, and $0.7 \%$ grilse. In the river itself, no attempt was made to estimate numbers of spring-run salmon.

The 4,078 spring-run salmon at FRH in 2001 was $3 \%$ higher than in 2000, and $15 \%$ lower than the average for 1991-2000 (Appendix 3).

Fall run. Salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys were conducted in the Feather River between the hatchery barrier dam and East Gridley Road bridge during 3 September through 10 December 2001 II/. This stretch of river was surveyed in two sections, characterized by different flow regimes. The reach between the hatchery and Thermalito Afterbay Outlet (Section 1) had constant mean daily flows of $17 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(600 \mathrm{cfs})$ throughout the survey periods. Flows downstream of Thermalito Afterbay to Gridley (Section 2) ranged from $23.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(839 \mathrm{cfs})$ to $45.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 1593 cfs ).

Only fresh carcasses were marked, with numbered tags attached to the lower jaws with hog rings. Each carcass' tag had a unique number identifying it with a specific marking period and survey section. Fresh carcasses were identified by having at least one clear eye or pink gills. Marked carcasses were released into flowing water for later recovery. Carcasses not marked were counted and then chopped in half, as were non-fresh carcasses and those that were recovered with marks. The length and gender of fresh carcasses was also recorded. Adult salmon carcasses were classified by a $F L \geq 68 \mathrm{~cm}(26.8 \mathrm{in})$.

Schaefer (Appendix 1.B) estimates, calculated from the mark-and-recovery data, were 106,969 salmon for Section 1, and 71,503 fish for Section 2 (Table 8). Combining both estimates, along with an additional 173 carcasses counted during the initial survey week, resulted in a total inriver population of 178,645 fish. A total of 24,870 fall-run salmon entered FRH ${ }^{10 \prime}$, bringing the 2001 fall run in the Feather River to 203,515 salmon (Appendix 2).

The composition of fall-run salmon in the river was $39.4 \%$ male adults, $55.5 \%$ female adults, and $5.1 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<68 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Salmon which entered FRH consisted of $55.7 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq$ 55.9 cm [22 in]), $40.8 \%$ female adults, and $3.5 \%$ grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<55.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

The 2001 total Feather River population of 203,515 salmon was the highest recorded for that tributary, and over $11 / 2$ times that of the previous year (Appendix 3).

[^8]TABLE 8. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2001 fall-run spawner population in the Feather River from Feather River Hatchery to East Gridley Road Bridge ${ }^{1 /}$.


## Yuba River

Spring run. Chinook salmon emigrating in the Yuba River during 1 March through 31 July 2001 were monitored by trapping at Daguerre Point Dam ${ }^{122}$. Fish were trapped in holding cages placed in the top bays of each of the dam's two fish ladders. Fish entered the cages voluntarily though "one-way" doors, which prevented them from exiting. Movable trap floors were raised allowing captured fish to be examined, counted, and released without handling. Trapping was conducted on a schedule of five randomly chosen days each week for five-hour periods each day. Sampling periods consisted of dawn (0300-0900 hr), afternoon (0900-1500 hr ), dusk ( $1500-2100 \mathrm{hr}$ ), and night ( $2100-0300 \mathrm{hr}$ ), systematically rotated from day to day; trapping in each of the ladders was staggered by one hour.

A total of 15 salmon was captured for the season, with the majority ( $73 \%$ ) caught in July, when river flows exceeded $42.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(1500 \mathrm{cfs})$. It was assumed that the population followed a Poisson distribution, and the fish observed represented a total of 108 salmon passing the dam ( $\pm 56$ fish variance) during the 2001 emigration.

Fall run. Salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys for this run during 2001 were conducted in the Yuba River from Rose Bar downstream to Simpson Lane in Marysville ${ }^{13 /}$. The surveyed reach was covered in three sections: Rose Bar to Parks Bar at the Hwy. 20 bridge (Section 1), Parks Bar to Daguerre Point Dam (Section 2), and Daguerre Point Dam to Marysville (Section 3). These reaches included nearly all of the spawning areas used by Chinook salmon in the Yuba River. Some fish may have spawned in the Narrows upstream of Rose Bar to Englebright Dam, although suitable habitat is scarce in that area. Weekly surveys were conducted in Section 1 during 2 October through 4 December, in Section 2 during 3 October through 19 December, and in Section 3 from 11 October through 20 December.

Yuba River flows below Englebright Dam ranged from $26.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $31.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( $944-1107 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) during the survey periods, and remained relatively stable throughout the spawning season. Flows near Marysville ranged between $16.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $27.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(594-985 \mathrm{cfs})$. The mean daily water temperature ranged from the lower 60-degree to upper 40-degree Fahrenheit, while visibility through the water averaged 3 m ( 10 ft ).

This season, both adult and grilse fresh salmon carcasses were marked; carcasses were considered fresh if they had firm flesh, at least one clear eye, and pink gills, while the adult designation was a FL $\geq 55.9 \mathrm{~cm}(22 \mathrm{in})$. The length distinguishing adults and grilse was based on data from Feather River Hatchery salmon collected at the beginning of the 2001 season.

Marking consisted of colored flagging attached to the fish's jaw with a hog ring; different colors of tape were used to identify carcasses with distinct marking periods and survey reaches. Marked carcasses were returned into flowing water for subsequent recovery. Non-fresh carcasses and

[^9]TABLE 10. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2001 fall-run spawner population in the Yuba River from Parks Bar at the Highway 20 Bridge to Daguerre Point Dam ${ }^{1 /}$.


TABLE 11. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2001 fall-run spawner population in the Yuba River from Daguerre Point Dam to the Simpson Lane Bridge in Marysville ${ }^{1 /}$.


## American River

Fall run. Salmon carcass mark-and-recovery surveys in the American River were conducted from 29 October through 28 December 2001, covering the $20.8-\mathrm{km}(12.9-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch from Sailor Bar downstream to the Watt Avenue bridge ${ }^{14 /}$. This stretch of river was covered in three reaches, each surveyed weekly; during several weeks it was not possible to make complete surveys. Mean daily river flow ranged from $28 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 988 cfs ) in early November to $43.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 1528 cfs ) during December. Average water turbidity increased throughout the surveys, ranging from 1.8 ntu to 10.7 ntu . Water temperature ranged from $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $10.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(66-51^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.

This season only fresh adult salmon carcasses were distinctly marked by attaching colored hog rings to their lower jaws; different colors were used each marking period. A carcass was considered fresh if it had either one clear eye or pink gills, and the adult distinction was a FL $\geq$ 70 cm (27.6 in). Marked carcasses were replaced into running water for later recovery. Any carcass not tagged, as well as those recovered with tags were counted and cut in half. Those carcasses found downstream of Gristmill Fishing Access were not marked, but only counted and chopped. Length measurements and determination of gender were made for a sample of the fresh carcasses.

The adult salmon population of the Watt Avenue to Sailor Bar section of the river, estimated from carcass mark-and-recovery data using the Schaefer calculation (Appendix 1.B), was 120,365 fish (Table 12). This adult estimate was expanded for an $8 \%$ grilse proportion to 130,832 fish in the surveyed reaches. In addition, 11,750 fish entered Nimbus Hatchery ${ }^{15 /}$, and 4,552 salmon carcasses were removed from the Nimbus Racks, bringing the total American River 2001 fall-run population to 147,134 fish (Appendix 2).

Based on examination of 1,064 fresh carcasses, the run consisted of $37.4 \%$ male adults, $54.6 \%$ female adults, $4.8 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<70 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $3.2 \%$ female grilse. Salmon entering Nimbus Hatchery consisted of $55.9 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL}>60 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 23.6 in .]), $27.4 \%$ female adults, $15.6 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $1.1 \%$ female grilse.

The 2001 run of 147,134 salmon in the American River was an increase of $33 \%$ from the previous year's population (Appendix 3), and the highest ever recorded for that tributary.

[^10]TABLE 12. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2001 fall-run spawner population in the American River from Sailor Bar to Watt Avenue ".

| Recovery period (j): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered$(\mathrm{Rj})$ | Total carcasses observed (Cj) ${ }^{2 /}$ | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{3 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 22 | -- | -- | -- | 22 | 3,261 | 6,374 |
| 3 |  | 59 | -- | -- | 59 | 7,558 | 27,255 |
| 4 |  | 7 | 232 | -- | 239 | 19,848 | 46,997 |
| 5 |  |  | 1 | 85 | 86 | 11,925 | 40,813 |
| Total recovered (Ri): | 22 | 66 | 233 | 85 | Total estimate ${ }^{4 /}$ : |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mi): |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121,438 |
|  | 43 | 238 | 543 | 292 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted estimate ${ }^{5!}$ : |  | 120,365 |

1/Surveys were conducted from 29 October through 28 December 2001.
2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
3/ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $N=\Sigma(R i j \times(M i / R i) \times(C j / R j))$.
4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding .
5/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schacfer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate ( $121,438-1,073=120,365$ ).

## CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNER POPULATIONS FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN RIVER SYSTEM

The Mokelumne, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers of the San Joaquin River system (Figure 4) were surveyed for Chinook salmon spawners. A total of 34,773 salmon, consisting entirely of fall-run fish, was estimated to be in this system for 2001 (Appendix 2).

## Mokelumne River

Fall run. Fish passage at Woodbridge Irrigation District Dam was monitored by East Bay Municipal Utilities District (EBMUD), during 13 August 2001 through 31 March $2002{ }^{16 \prime}$. Passage through the high-stage fishway was monitored with a closed-circuit, underwater video system through 6 November, after which the lake behind the dam was drawn down for the winter. Subsequently, a combination of the video system and upstream migrant trapping was used in the low-stage fishway through 6 December, after which only the video monitoring continued. However, during most of November and the beginning of December, accurate counts of salmon passing upstream in the ladder were hampered by poor visibility and trap malfunctions. Estimates of fish passage for this period were therefore based on ratios of counts at Mokelumne River Fish Hatchery (MRFH) to those observed at the dam.

A total of 5,183 salmon was actually observed during 27 September 2001 through 18 February 2002, from which 8,114 fish was estimated to have passed upstream of the dam. MRFH took in 5,809 salmon ${ }^{177}$, and the in-river fall-run spawner population was assumed to be 2,305 fish (Appendix 2).

Based on examination of 4,782 salmon at the dam, the run consisted of $39 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL}>$ 60 cm [23.6 in]), $41 \%$ female adults, and $12 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL} \leq 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $8 \%$ female grilse. The composition of the salmon entering the hatchery was $34.8 \%$ male adults, $40.6 \%$ female adults, and $24.6 \%$ grilse.

The 2001 spawner population of 8,114 fish in the Mokelumne River was an increase of $9 \%$ from the previous year's run, and $56 \%$ higher than the average population size for the 1991-2000 period (Appendix 3).

[^11]
## Stanislaus River

Fall run. Spawner surveys of the Stanislaus River were conducted weekly during 23 October through 28 December $2001{ }^{181}$. The $22.5-\mathrm{km}(14-\mathrm{mi})$ stretch of the river from Knight's Ferry to Oakdale Recreation Area was covered by drift boat, while surveys were made on foot upstream of Knight's Ferry in the $6.4-\mathrm{km}(4-\mathrm{mi})$ section of the Goodwin Canyon area.

All salmon carcasses, except skeletons, were marked using numbered aluminum tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings; skeletons also included carcasses completely covered with fungus. Marked carcasses were redistributed into running water for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, it was measured and its gender determined, and its condition was determined as either fresh or decayed; fresh carcasses were identified as having at least one clear eye.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult or grilse, based on length) and condition (fresh or decayed), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the salmon population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate.

The population in the Knight's Ferry to Oakdale Recreation Area stretch was estimated to be 6,387 fish ${ }^{19}$, using the fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data in the Schaefer model (Table 13). The data from surveys in the Goodwin Canyon area were not included in the Schaefer estimate calculations. The spawner population for this area was instead determined by expanding the 155 carcasses observed to an estimated 646 fish, using the overall marked fish recovery rate for the mark-and recovery surveys. The combined estimates were a total of 7,033 salmon for the 2001 fall run.

The adult-grilse composition of the population was determined from frequency distributions of fresh carcass length measurements taken this season. The length criteria used to distinguish adult from grilse salmon was a $\mathrm{FL} \geq 64 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 25.2 in ), on which basis the run consisted of $34.5 \%$ male adults, $55.1 \%$ female adults, $4.9 \%$ male grilse, and $5.6 \%$ female grilse.

The 2001 Stanislaus River fall-run spawner population of 7,033 salmon was $21 \%$ lower than the previous year's run, but still over 21/2 times higher than the average for 1991-2000 (Appendix 3).

[^12]TABLE 13. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2001 fall-run spawner population in the Stanislaus River between Knight's Ferry and Oakdale Recreation Area ${ }^{\prime \prime}$.

| Recovery period (i): | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses observed $(\mathrm{Cj})^{2 i}$ | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{3 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | -- | -- | - | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | , | 58 | 58 |
| 3 |  | 0 | $\cdots$ | - | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 181 | 845 |
| 4 |  |  |  | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 9 | 221 | 1,012 |
| 5 |  |  | 5 | 12 | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 17 | 305 | 1,241 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 5 | - | -- | - | -- | 5 | 128 | 829 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 11 | 446 | 2,038 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 0 | - | -- | 9 | 57 | 241 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 21 | 420 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 35 |
| Total recovered (Ri): | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Total estimate ${ }^{\text {*/ }}$ : |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mi): | 1 | 28 | 64 | 80 | 98 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 6 |  |  | 6,718 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted estimate ${ }^{\text {5] }}$ : |  | 6,387 |

1/Surveys were conducted from 23 October through 28 December 2001
$2 /$ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
3/ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation: $N=\Sigma(R i j \times(M i / R i) \times(C j / R j))$
4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding .
5/ Adjusted estimate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estimate (6,718-331 $=6,387$ ).

## Tuolumne River

Fall run. Chinook salmon spawner surveys in the Tuolumne River were conducted weekly from 4 October 2001 through 5 January $2002{ }^{20!}$. The river stretch from the riffles at river mile 51.6 downstream to Fox Grove Regional Park, a distance of $41.2 \mathrm{~km}(25.6 \mathrm{mi})$, was covered by both boat and ground surveys.

This season all sampled carcasses, except skeletons, were marked using numbered aluminum tags attached to their lower jaws with hog-rings; skeletons also included carcasses so decomposed or covered with fungus that it was judged they would not be recoverable. Marked carcasses were released, into running water at the lower end of the riffle where they were initially found, for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, its gender was determined, a length measurement was made, and a condition of either fresh or decayed was assigned; fresh carcasses were identified as having clear eyes, and blood remaining in their gills.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult-grilse, based on length) and condition (fresh or decayed), so the data could be better compiled for estimating the salmon population through several biometric

[^13]models. Analysis of the data indicated that the Schaefer estimate (Appendix 1.B) was the most appropriate.

The salmon population in the Tuolumne River upstream of Fox Grove Regional Park was estimated at 8,782 fish, using the fresh carcass mark-and-recovery data in the Schaefer model (Table 14). The run consisted of $35.9 \%$ male adults, $49.3 \%$ female adults, $10.1 \%$ male grilse, and $4.7 \%$ female grilse.

The 2001 fall run of salmon in the Tuolumne River was only $49 \%$ of that in 2000 , but still $80 \%$ higher than the average for 1991-2000 (Appendix 3).

## Merced River

Fall run. Weekly salmon surveys were conducted from 16 October 2001 through 4 January 2002, in the $39.7-\mathrm{km}$ ( $24.7-\mathrm{mi}$ ) stretch of the Merced River from the Merced River Hatchery downstream to Santa Fe Road near Cressey ${ }^{21 /}$. River flows were increased, about the same time that the carcass surveys began, to attract salmon into the tributary and improve spawning conditions. The higher flows were continued for about three weeks ( 14 October through 1 November) and ranged from $20.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to $22.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}(724-795 \mathrm{cfs})$.

All salmon carcasses, except skeletons, were marked using numbered aluminum tags attached to their lower jaws, or near the dorsal fins, with hog-rings; skeletons included carcasses completely covered with fungus. Marked carcasses were released, into running water at the lower end of the riffle where they were initially found, for subsequent recovery. Carcasses not marked, as well as those previously marked carcasses which were recovered, were counted and chopped in half. During the initial handling of each carcass, it was measured and its gender determined, and a condition of either fresh or decayed was assigned to it; fresh carcasses were identified as having at least one clear eye.

The carcass marking protocol and use of numbered tags were intended to allow post-season distinction of age-class (adult-grilse, based on length) and condition, so the data could be better compiled for estimating the population through several biometric models. Analysis of the data indicated that the Schaefer estimate (Appendix l.B) was the most appropriate.

A Schaefer estimate of 9,181 salmon was calculated for the river stretch from Merced River Hatchery to Santa Fe Road (Table 15). Merced River Hatchery took in 1,663 salmon ${ }^{22 \prime}$, for a total 2001 fall-run spawner population of 10,844 fish (Appendix 2).

The in-river run of the Merced River consisted of $35.1 \%$ male adults, $52.6 \%$ female adults, $9.1 \%$ male grilse, and $3.2 \%$ female grilse. Salmon which entered Merced River Hatchery consisted of $28.4 \%$ male adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 65 \mathrm{~cm}$ [ 25.6 in ]), $39.5 \%$ female adults ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 62 \mathrm{~cm}$ [24.4 in]), $24.8 \%$ male grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<65 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), and $7.3 \%$ female grilse ( $\mathrm{FL}<62 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

[^14]The 2001 Merced River fall run was a decrease of $17 \%$ from the record run seen in 2000, but was still over $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times higher than the average for 1991-2000 (Appendix 3).

| Recovery period (j). | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses obscrved (Cj) ${ }^{2 /}$ | Population estimate (N) ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | -- |  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - | 3 | 15 | 15 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 18 | 27 |
| 4 |  |  | 1 | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 39 | 78 |
| 5 |  |  |  | 8 | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | .. | -- | -- | 8 | 158 | 263 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 3 | 52 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 55 | 709 | 999 |
| 7 |  |  |  | 1 | 9 | 139 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 149 | 1,267 | 1,992 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 85 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 110 | 1.248 | 2.021 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 29 | 110 | -- | -- | -- | .- | 149 | 1,340 | 2,188 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 36 | 87 | -- | -- | -- | 127 | 656 | 1,065 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 33 | 35 | -- | -- | 75 | 368 | 615 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 9 | 16 | -- | 28 | 212 | 471 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 6 | 66 | 174 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 13 | 39 |
| Total recovered (Ri). | 5 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 61 | 175 | 119 | 152 | 123 | 44 | 18 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (M1): |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | Total estim |  | 9,947 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | imate ${ }^{5!}$ | 8,782 |
| 1/ Surveys were conducted from 4 October 2001 through 5 January 2002. <br> 2/ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered <br> 3/ Schaefer (1951) estimate equation $\mathrm{N}=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{Rij} \times(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Ri}) \times\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right.$ ) <br> 4/ Total may not correspond to the actual sum of the weekly estumates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding . <br> 5/ Adjusted estmate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses (Mi) from the second marking period on were subtracted from <br> the total estimate ( $9,947-1,165=8,782$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 15. Chinook salmon carcass mark-and-recovery data used to estimate the 2001 fall-run spawner population in the Merced River between Merced River Hatchery and Santa Fe Road near Cressey ${ }^{1 /}$.

| Recovery period (i). | Number of marked carcasses recovered from marking period (i): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total marked carcasses recovered (Rj) | Total carcasses observed$(\mathrm{C} \mathrm{j})^{2 i}$ | Population estimate$(\mathrm{N})^{3 /}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 |  | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -n | -- | -- | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 |  |  | 0 | -- | -- | -* | -- | -* | -- | -- | 0 | 142 | 142 |
| 5 |  |  | 1 | 9 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10 | 582 | 2,167 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 4 | 104 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 108 | 858 | 1,834 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 23 | - | -- | -- | -- | 30 | 383 | 962 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 53 | 24 | -- | - | -- | 82 | 1,079 | 2,694 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 11 | 29 | -- | -- | 54 | 613 | 1,510 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 7 | -- | 14 | 122 | 375 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 52 | 232 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total recovered (Ri); | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 116 | 90 | 39 | 33 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total carcasses marked (Mi) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total estimate ${ }^{4 /}$. |  | 9,923 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 48 | 241 | 238 | 88 | 81 | 38 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adjusted | imate ${ }^{5 /}$ | 9,181 |

[^15]$2 /$ Includes salmon carcasses which were marked and marked carcasses that were recovered.
$3 /$ Schaeter (1951) estimate equation $N=\Sigma\left(\mathrm{Rij}^{\prime} \times(\mathrm{Mu} / \mathrm{Ri}) \times\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{RJ}_{\mathrm{J}}\right)\right)$.
4/ Total may not contespond to the actual sum of the weekly estimates shown, due to spreadsheet rounding
5/ Adjusted estumate reflects the modified Schaefer equation (Hoopaugh 1978), where marked carcasses ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ) from the second marking period on were subtracted from the total estunate $(9,923-742=9,181)$ )

## SUMMARY

The total estimated 2001 Central Valley Chinook salmon spawner population was 672,583 fish, consisting of 637,810 fish in the Sacramento River system and 34,773 fish in the San Joaquin River system (Table 16). This total was $33 \%$ higher than the 507,149 salmon estimated in 2000.

All of the late-fall, winter, and spring runs, and the majority of the fall run were in the Sacramento River system. In the Feather and American rivers of that system, record high fall runs occurred for a second year. The fall run in the San Joaquin tributaries continued to contribute only a small portion (5.2\%) to the total Central Valley escapement.

TABLE 16. Summary of the 2001 Sacramento-San Joaquin river system Chinook salmon spawner populations.

| Spawning area | Late-fall <br> run $^{1 /}$ | Winter run | Spring run <br> $2!$ | Fall run | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sacramento River <br> mainstem | 19,276 | 8,224 | -- | 75,152 | 102,652 |
| Sacramento River <br> tributaries | 3,327 | -- | 16,809 | 515,022 | 535,158 |
| San Joaquin River <br> tributaries | -- | -- | - | 34,773 | 34,773 |
| Totals: | 22,603 | 8,224 | 16,809 | 624,947 | 672,583 |
| $1 /$ Tributary data consists only of fish which entered Coleman National Fish Hatchery (Battle Creek). |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 /$ Estimate not made for Sacramento River mainstem. |  |  |  |  |  |
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APPENDIX 1. Calculation methods used with carcass mark-and-recovery data to estimate Chinook salmon spawner populations.
A. The Petersen equation as revised by Chapman (Ricker 1975):

$$
N=\frac{(M+1)(C+1)}{(R+1)}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}=$ estimated spawner population,
$\mathrm{M}=$ number of carcasses marked,
$\mathrm{C}=$ number of carcasses observed, including those marked and those recovered with marks, and
$\mathrm{R}=$ number of marked carcasses recovered.
B. A modification of the Schaefer (1951) equation, which was initially used in the 1976 Central Valley spawner stock report (Hoopaugh 1978);

$$
N=\Sigma\left(R_{i j} x \frac{M_{i}}{R_{i}} x \frac{C_{j}}{R_{j}}-\Sigma{ }_{2}^{i} M_{i}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{N}=$ the estimated spawner population,
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ carcasses marked in the ith marking period which were recovered in the jth recovery period,
$M_{i}=$ carcasses marked in the ith marking period,
$R_{i}=$ total marked carcasses recovered from the ith marking period,
$R_{j}=$ total marked carcasses recovered during the $j$ th recovery period,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ total carcasses observed in the j th recovery period, including those with marks, and
${ }_{2}{ }_{2} M_{i}=$ total carcasses marked from the second marking period on. Subtraction of this factor adjusted for replacement of recovered marked fish.

## APPENDIX 1 (continued).

C. The Jolly-Seber calculations as modified by Boydstun (1994):
$E=N_{I}+D_{I}+D_{2}+\ldots D_{j}$, where
$E=$ the estimated spawner population
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ number of carcasses in the surveyed population in period 1, the first "week" of spawning and dying, and
$\mathrm{D}_{1}=$ number of carcasses joining the population between period $i$ and $i+1$, with $j$ being the last survey period.

## APPENDIX 2. 2001 Chinook salmon spawner population estimates for the Central Valley river system.

| River System: | Estimated number of fish |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tributary | Late-fall run | Winter run | Spring run | Fall run | Total all runs |
| Sacramento River System: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Keswick Dam to Red Bluff |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacramento River mainstem |  |  |  |  |  |
| L.vingstone Stone National Fish Hatchery | -- | 104 | -- | -- | 104 |
| In-river a/ | 18,351 | 8,085 | b/ | 57,792 | 84,228 |
| (Totals for tributary): | $(18,351)$ | $(8,189)$ |  | $(57,792)$ | $(84,332)$ |
| Clear Creek | c/ | -- | b/ | 10,865 | 10,865 |
| Cottonwood (Beegum) Creek | c/ | -- | 245 | b/ | 245 |
| Batte Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coleman National Fish Hatchery | 3,327 | -- | -- | 25,082 | 28,409 |
| In-river | c/ | - | b/ | 100,604 | 100,604 |
| (Totals for tributary) | $(3,327)$ |  |  | $(125,686)$ | $(129,013)$ |
| Paynes Creek | -- | -- | -- | b/ | - |
| Totals for area: | 21,678 | 8,189 | 245 | 194,343 | 224,455 |
| Red Bluff to Princeton Ferry |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sacramento River mainstem | 925 | 35 | b/ | 17,360 | 18,320 |
| Antelope Creek | -- | . -- | 8 | c | 8 |
| Mill Creek | -- | -- | 1,104 | b | 1,104 |
| Deer Creek | -- | -- | 1,622 | b/ | 1,622 |
| Totals for area: | 925 | 35 | 2,734 | 17,360 | 21,054 |
| Big Chico Creek to American River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Chico Creek | -- | -- | 39 | c/ | 39 |
| Butte Creek | -- | -- | 9,605 | 4,430 | 14,035 |
| Feather River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feather River Hatchery | -- | -- | 4,078 | 24,870 | 28,948 |
| In-river (Totals for tributary): | $\cdots$ | -- | c/ | $\begin{aligned} & 178,645 \\ & (203,515) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 178,645 \\ (207,593) \end{gathered}$ |
| Yuba River | -- | -- | 108 | 23,392 | 23,500 |
| American River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nımbus Hatchery | -- | -- | -- | 11,750 | 11,750 |
| Nimbus Basin |  |  |  | 4,552 | 4,552 |
| In-river | -- | -- | -- | 130,832 | 130,832 |
| (Totals for tributary): |  |  |  | $(147,134)$ | $(147,134)$ |
| Totals for area |  |  | 13,830 | 378,471 | 392,301 |
| Sacramento River System Totals: | 22,603 | 8,224 | 16,809 | 590,174 | 637,810 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San_Joquin_River System: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mokelumne River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mokelumne River Hatchery | -" | -- | -- | 5,809 |  |
| ln-river | -- | -- | -- | 2,305 |  |
| (Totals for tributary): |  |  |  | $(8,114)$ |  |
| Stanislaus River | -- | -- | -- | 7,033 |  |
| Tuolumne River | -- | -- | -- | 8,782 |  |
| Merced River |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merced River Hatchery | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | 1,663 |  |
| In-river | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | 9,181 |  |
| (Totals for tributary). |  |  |  | $(10,844)$ |  |
| San Joaquin River System Total: |  |  |  | 34,773 |  |
| ad Esumates based on carcass survey and redd count data <br> b/ An estumate of the run size was not made. <br> c/ Tributary was not surveyed for this run |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1991-2000 } \\ \text { average } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tributar | Rum | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 |  |
|  | Late-fall | 6611 | 9,356 | 739 | 291 | 166 a | $48 \alpha$ | $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | $38,239 \mathrm{~d}$ | $8,683 \mathrm{~d}$ | 8,6320 | 18,351 $\sigma$ | - |
| Secrameto River mbinsterm | Wirter | 211 | 1,196 | 378 | 186 | 1,202 | 1,012 | 836 | 2,930 | 3,264 | 1,261 | 8,086 $\mathrm{o}^{\prime}$ | 1,248 |
| unstreamof Red Ithoff | Spring | 825 | 371 | 391 | 862 | 426 | 378 | 128 | 1,115 | $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 562 e |
|  | Fall | 20,523 | 23,914 | 33,471 | 44,729 | 53,385 | 71,725 | 98,765 | 5,718 | 133,365 | 87,793 | 57,92 \% | $53,955$ |
| Batle Creok | Fadl 9 | 17,241 | 12,708 | 18,616 | 43,265 | 83,192 | 73,587 | 101,414 | 98,308 | 119,899 | 75,106 | 125,686 | 64,334 |
| Sagranterto Rivernkinstem dinnstreamof Red Bhuff | Fall | 10.108 | 8,315 | 12760 | 13,817 | 10,549 | 12361 | 20,531 | 600 | 27,827 | 8895 | 17,360 c | 12,56 |
| Fenther Fiver | Spring $g$ | 4,303 | 1,497 | 4,672 | 3,641 | 5,414 | 6,381 | 7,017 | 6,746 | 4,534 | 3,972 | 4,078 | 4,818 |
|  | Fall $\mathbf{f}$ | 42.062 | 40,545 | 42,914 | 53,584 | 72,061 | 65,277 | 65,675 | 18,889 g' | $12,927 \mathrm{~g}$ |  |  | $64,373 \mathrm{~h}$ |
| Yuba Rurs | Fall | 14,008 | 6,362 | 6,708 | 10,890 | 14,237 | 27,900 | 25948 | 31,090 | 24,230 | 14,995 | 23,392 | 17,636 |
| Arrerican River | Fall ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2s,211 | 11,267 | 39,410 | 40,087 | 86,888 | 82,396 | 57,845 | 66,580 | 65,099 | 110,219 | 147,134 | 58,494 |
| Mukthume River | Fall $f$ | 410 | 1,645 | 3,157 | 3,421 | 5,417 | 7,775 | 10,163 | 7,202 | 5,332 | 7,418 | 8,114 | 5,194 |
| Staristas River | Fall | 394 | 255 | 677 | 1,031 | 619 | 168 | 5,588 | 3,087 | 4,349 | 8,498 | 7,033 | 2467 |
| Tuolurne River | Fall | 77 | 132 | 471 | 506 | 827 | 4,362 | 7,146 | 8,910 | 8,232 | 17,873 | 8,78 | 4,854 |
| Mrreed River | Fall ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 119 | 986 | L678 | 3,589 | 2,922 | 4,432 | 3,660 | 4,091 | 4,766 | 13,076 | 10,844 | 3,932 |

ar Only terninber of sabmon trasfizoed to Colemen National Fish I Zathay; m-river estinztes not mede
b' An estirgte of the runsize was not made

d/ Esturnte isnot for the entre minstem but for the carcass surveyarea only, anal redd oculs were not availeble to allowexpansion
o' 1991-1998 average.
f/ Esturnte inchudes numbers of salmmat the tributarys statchery.

fi Averae does not indude the 1998 and 1999 esummes.
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