

Attachment A.

Responses to Public Written Comments for Amendments to Section 265, Title 14, CCR

Received by the Fish and Game Commission (Commission) September 12, 2017 and through the Public Hearing and Adoption December 7, 2017

Committer Name, Date, Format	Comment #		Response #	
<p>The comments submitted by the public fall into several similar subject areas. Most of the commenters do not support the removal of the prohibition of GPS or treeing switch enabled Dog Collars.</p> <p>The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) has summarized these comments as A to N and assigned to each a response.</p> <p>Unique comments have been provided unique responses as provided below, e.g.: Comment 7-8a Response 7-8a</p>	A	<p>Opposed, continue the prohibition of dog collars using treeing switches or GPS locating devices.</p>	A	<p>As currently set forth in regulation, the use of treeing switch or GPS equipped dog collars is prohibited. The Fish and Game Commission after hearing the arguments for and against allowing the devices, and taking into consideration public comments received, has adopted the new regulation permitting the use of both treeing switches and GPS enabled devices.</p>
	B	<p>California is at the forefront of protecting the environment and the natural world. Removing the prohibition of GPS and treeing switches will cause the loss of precious wildlife and, would ruin California's reputation in the world.</p>	B	<p>The Commission and Department are fully supportive of California's environmental record in this country and world. It remains unlawful under any circumstances for any person, hunter or other dog handler to pursue any wildlife with dogs, except as authorized by law, whether equipped with GPS collars or treeing switches or not. No person may willfully harm any wildlife or natural area. Lawful hunters are fully cognizant of their obligations and support the preservation of all wildlife resources.</p>
	C	<p>Dogs used in hunting should be restricted to areas where there is a large minimum acreage</p>	C	<p>Generally, while no acreage is specified, hunting or training with dogs occurs on very large properties, whether public or private. Hunting is prohibited within short distances of inhabited areas (150 yards per Fish and Game Code section 3004), and dog control is mandatory on many public properties.</p>

Commenter Name, Date, Format	Comment #		Response #	
	D	Allowing GPS collars and treeing switches will mean more dogs will be released, trained and untrained, and may range many more miles from their handlers. This will negatively impact the dogs, targeted and non-targeted wildlife, and/or other domestic animals.	D	Use of dogs, whether for hunting or training, has occurred over many generations in California. There is no evidence the allowance for new technology is likely to have any effect on the number of dogs being used for hunting or training. GPS or treeing switches does not itself cause dogs to stray far, but is instrumental in locating and retrieving dogs.
	E	Negative impact from use of GPS collars is a potential work-around for poaching other wildlife, especially bears.	E	Poaching is and always will be illegal. Dogs are not permitted to pursue, for any unauthorized reason, bear, bobcat, mountain lion, elk, bighorn sheep, antelope, or any protected species. The use of dogs is further regulated in many sections of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, and in the Fish and Game Code.
	F	It's a violation of fair chase. (Sometimes referencing the statement of Fair Chase from the Boone and Crockett Club)	F	The concept of "fair chase" is not found in the statutes or regulations of the state, However, the law provides numerous limitations and requirements on hunters to best manage ethical hunting practices. The use of GPS collars and treeing switches by law abiding hunters does not violate any of these practices.
	G	GPS collars or treeing switches will do nothing to mitigate dog safety in an emergency situation, but will allow dogs to roam even further from handlers making intervention even more difficult	G	No device or training gives complete assurance that the dog will be safe when in the field. GPS technology is the best assurance that when there is a problem, the dog can quickly be found and retrieved.
	H	Domestic animal retrieval concerns are the sole responsibilities of the dog owners.	H	This regulation does not change the dog owner's responsibility for his/her animal. Hunter responsibility for their animals is the

Commenter Name, Date, Format	Comment #		Response #	
				very reason for permitting the newest available technology to track, find, and retrieve hunting dogs. The Commission has the authority to regulate methods of take in hunting, including the use of dogs.
	I	Dog retrieval and control can be better accomplished with alternative methods, for example training, radio collars, microchips, voice command, leashes, or tethering.	I	All of these techniques are useful and recommended, as well as permitted methods under the Fish and Game Code and Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations. GPS augments the retrieval in the field.
	J	GPS collars and treeing switches are unfair, cruel, and inhumane to wildlife and the dogs	J	This rulemaking does not address the use of dogs for hunting generally, only the use of GPS collars or treeing switches. There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will increase the number of dogs used for hunting, increase the overall hunting effort or increase the amount of take. GPS collars on dogs allow the handler to track and find the dog, which can be beneficial to the dog.
	K	Use of GPS collars and treeing switches will make poaching easier and is another step toward the complete erosion of fair chase.	K	It is unfortunate that there are some individuals who do break the law and participate in unlawful acts, including the use of dogs for unlawful acts. The Department of Fish and Wildlife employs hundreds of Law Enforcement Officers (Wardens) in the pursuit, investigation, and arrest, of such persons who willfully ignore the law. The District Attorneys of this state prosecute, convict, fine and jail these individuals.
	L	The Fish and Game Commission should prepare an Initial Study, Notice of Preparation and/or Draft Environmental Document that	L	The Commission complied with the California Environmental Quality Act by determining that a categorical exemption applied and a ^A Notice of Categorical Exemption was filed

Commenter Name, Date, Format	Comment #		Response #	
		informs the public and responsible officials of the environmental consequences of the proposed amendment to Section 265 as required by the California Environmental Quality Act.		pursuant to Title 14, CCR §15061(b)(3).
	M	Allowing GPS collars and treeing switches on hounds is unsportsmanlike and further deteriorates the very nature of hunting.	M	The GPS device or treeing switch itself does not target game; the prey must still be pursued by the hunter in the wild. The law provides numerous limitations and requirements on hunters to best manage ethical hunting practices. The use of GPS collars and treeing switches by law-abiding hunters does not violate any of these practices.
	N	GPS collars and treeing switches pose are unsafe and risky for dogs. Dogs that stray far from their owners are more likely to sustain injuries and the distance may make it more difficult to immediately treat and transport the dog for care.	N	The purpose of the GPS collar is to find the animal, and if necessary more quickly treat any injuries. The GPS does not cause the dog to stray any further than it has otherwise been trained to do.
	O	Hunting is cruel and inhumane and Commission should stop and ban all hunting in any form whatsoever, including the use of hunting dogs.	O	General hunting and dog hunting regulations are not the subject matter of this rulemaking.

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
1	Dr. Deborah Flint 12/05/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
2	Don Lipmanson 12/04/2017 e-mail		Comment O		Refer to Responses A, O
3	Pamela Horowitz 12/03/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
4	Jolene Smith 12/03/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
5	Pamela Kinzie 11/30/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment M		Refer to Responses A, M
6	Simply Glowy 12/01/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
7-8	Renée Owens 12/01/2017 e-mail	a.	I sent my email at 12:00 on the last day of the deadline for Public Comment. It is my understanding that the Commissioners may actually want to be aware of our stance prior to the commencement of the meeting on Dec 6. I appreciate your understanding on this matter.	a.	The referenced communication was accepted and provided to the Commission.
9-29	Renée Owens Marilyn Jasper 12/01/2017 e-mail / letter		Opposed Refer to Comments A, D, E, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, N		Refer to Responses A, D, E, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, N
		a	Protection of domestic animals is outside the regulatory jurisdiction of the Commission.	a	The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take in hunting, including the use of dogs.
		b	Multiple comments related to	b	Refer to Response L.

Committer Name, Date, Format	Comment #	Response #
	<p>impacts that need to be submitted under CEQA, including impacts on non-target species, potential for increase in poaching, enforcement costs, possibility of excess waste, and increased dog hunting.</p> <p>c The Commission should ban hound hunting all together.</p> <p>d The Commission should prohibit deer-hound hunting entirely.</p> <p>e Because deer and pigs do not climb trees, there is no justifiable reason to allow the use of treeing switches.</p> <p>f There will be little benefit to enforcement from use of GPS data.</p> <p>g The Commission should require that hounders report, keep records, and analyze hunting activities.</p> <p>h There is insufficient data to support the commission assertion that there won't be a change in the number of dogs used by introducing GPS collars.</p>	<p>c Hound hunting in general is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.</p> <p>d Hound hunting in general is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.</p> <p>e While deer and pigs are the only large game mammals that can be hunted with dogs, there are non-game mammals that can also be hunted with dogs. The prohibition in section 265 on treeing switches and GPS was aimed at minimizing their use in the bear and bobcat hunting context. Because there currently is no bear or bobcat hunting with dogs, the prohibition is no longer necessary</p> <p>f Game wardens make use of any relevant information when investigating potential violations of the Fish and Game Code or hunting regulations.</p> <p>g General dog hunting regulations are not the subject matter of this rulemaking.</p> <p>h The original rulemaking, allowing for GPS collars was made effective on July 27, 2016. There has been no indication of an increase in the use of dogs since that date.</p>

Committer Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
30-37	Renée Owens, 11/30/2017 letter		Opposed Refer to Comments A, D, E, F, K, L, M, N		Refer to Responses A, D, E, F, K, L, M, N
		a	Removing this ban on GPS collars and tree switches will impact non-consumptive users of public property.	a	There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will increase the overall hunting effort, or increase the use of hunting dogs.
		b	Refer to the letters provided by the Public Interest Coalition, Placer Group Sierra Club, and Humane Society of the Sierra Foothills.	b	Please see responses to comments 12A-H, provided to the referenced letters in the comment box above.
		c	Commission actions at the April 26-27, 2017 meeting violates CEQA.	c	We believe this comments refers to action taken by the Commission to re-instate the ban on GPS collars and treeing switches, which complied with CEQA through the use of a common sense exemption. However, no CEQA determination relevant to this rulemaking was made by the Commission at that time.
		d	There is no evidence that show a lack of increase of hunting, use of hunting dogs, or poaching efforts due to repeal of the ban.	d	There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort or an increase in the number of dogs used for hunting.
		e	There will be a significant economic impact on local and regional communities where non-consumptive users are impact by hound hunting on public lands.	e	There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort or an increase in the number of dogs used for hunting, and thus no anticipated economic impacts.
		f	This rulemaking does not fit within the Class 7 and 8 exemptions under	f	Refer to Response L.

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
30-37		g	CEQA. Commission erroneously claimed dogs can only be used for deer and wild pigs.	g	While there are instances in which dogs may be used to hunt non-game mammals, the prohibition in section 265 on treeing switches and GPS was aimed at minimizing their use in the bear and bobcat hunting context. Because there currently is no bear or bobcat hunting with dogs, the prohibition is no longer necessary.
38	Pam Harris 12/01/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
39	Victoria Cypherd 12/01/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment E, K, M		Refer to Responses A, E, K, M
40	Shea and Rachel Cronin 12/01/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J, M, N		Refer to Responses A, D, J, M, N
41	Don Mooney- 12/01/2017 e-mail / Letter	a	Letter separated from email. Comments refer to Pages 964-968 Attachments refer to pg. 969-986	A	See Responses to Comments provided in table 964-986
42	Marilyn Jasper 12/01/2017 Email		Opposed Refer to Comments C, D, E, F G, H, I, K, N		Refer to Responses A, C, D, E, F G, H, I, K, N
43-56	Letter 9-28-2017	a	Letter on PDF pages 43-56 is a duplicate of that provided on PDF pages 927-940.	a	See Responses to Comments provided in table 927-940.
57-65	Letter 7-6-2017	b	Letter on PDF pages 57-65	b	Refer to Response L

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
			addresses CEQA comment, including that the Commission must prepare an environmental document analyzing impacts to targeted and non-targeted wildlife, increases in hunting dogs and hunting activity generally, and poaching.		
66	Allen Carrasco 12/01/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comments F, M, J		Refer to Responses A, F, M, J
67-70	Jennifer Best, Friends of Animals 12/01/2017 e-mail / Letter		Opposed Refer to Comments D, E, F, G, K, L, M		Refer to Responses A, D, E, F, G, K, L, M
		a.	Incorporate comments from Social Compassion in Legislation	a.	Please see Commission responses to Social Compassion in Legislation Comments below in this table.
		b.	Fish and Game Code requires hunters to maintain physical control of the dog.	b.	Fish and Game Code section 3008 states that "The physical control of a dog by its owner while the dog is engaged in hunting . . . shall be as required by this code or regulations made pursuant thereto." "Physical control" is not defined in the Fish and Game Code.
		c.	Conflict with CA laws requiring no person knowingly place a dog in a situation to fight with another animal and prohibiting harassment of wildlife	c.	Refer to Response K. This regulation does not authorize dogs to fight with other animals.
		d.	Will attract additional hunters from out-of-state	d.	There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars and treeing switches will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort.

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #	Response #
71	Kevin Henry 12/01/2017 e-mail	Opposed Refer to Comment B	Refer to Responses A, B
72	Patti Mickelsen 12/01/2017 e-mail	Opposed Refer to Comments D, N	Refer to Response A, D, N
73- 76	Judie Mancuso 12/01/2017 e-mail	Opposed Refer to Comment F	Refer to Response A, F
77	Carmen Cappadocia 11/30/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
78	Eli Abraham 11/30/2017 e-mail	Opposed Refer to Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
79	Joyce Leonard 11/30/2017 e-mail	Opposed Refer to Comments J, M	Refer to Response A, J, M
80	Shea and Rachel Cronin 11/30/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D, J, M, N	Refer to Response D, J, M, N
81	Maria F. Gritsch and Warren TenHouten 11/30/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
82	Michelle MacKenzie 11/30/2017 e-mail	Opposed Refer to Comments D, F, N	Refer to Responses A, D, F, N
83	Dina for Team John Paul 11/30/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A

84	Judy and Dan Crumpton 11/30/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
85-86	Jane Eagle 11/30/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment E, K, J, N		Refer to Response A, E, K, J, N
87	Tom Purdy 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
88.	Robin Smedley 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
89	Sally Klinke 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
90	Leah Olson 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
91	Ravi Peiris 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment B		Refer to Responses A, B
92-93	Susan Taylor and Actors and Others for Animals 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment E, G, K, M		Refer to Response A, E, K, M
94	Beth Harris 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
95	Holland VanDieren 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
96	Carolyn Seeman		Opposed		Refer to Response A, M

	11/29/2017 e-mail		Comment M		
97	Robert Morris 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment E, K, M		Refer to Response A, E, K, M
98	Isabell Csaki 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
99	Marie Galvez 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
100	Scott Dveris 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
101	Marilyn Evenson 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
102	Pauline Arabanos 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
103	Sandra Zaninovich 11/29/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comments E, G		Refer to Response A, E, G
104	Jane Cartmill 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comments D, F		Refer to Response A, D, F
105	Janet Parkins 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, N		Refer to Responses A, D, N
106	Molly Smith 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment E		Refer to Response A, E
107	Susan Robinson 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
108	Bonnie Kutch		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	11/28/2017 e-mail				
109	Michael Jacobelly 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
110	Jean Jacobelly 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
111	Liz Jacobelly 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment A, M		Refer to Response A, M
112	Anita Jennings 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M		Refer to Response A, D, M
113	Nora Coyle 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
114	Timothy Zuverink 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
115	Ellen Dorfman 11/28/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
116 - 154	Marilyn Jasper 11/22/2017 e-mail / Letter	a b	Opposed Refer to Comments D-N Dogs may also be used to hunt non-game animals, which may climb trees. No records to support statement that there has not been an increase in	a b	Refer to Responses A, D-N While there are instances in which dogs may be used to hunt non-game mammals, the prohibition in section 265 on treeing switches and GPS was aimed at minimizing their use in the bear and bobcat hunting context. Because there currently is no bear or bobcat hunting with dogs, the prohibition is no longer necessary. There is no evidence to suggest that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will lead to an

116 - 154		c	<p>hunting activity with dogs between July 27, 2017 and the present.</p>	c	<p>increase in the number of dogs used for hunting, or increase the overall hunting effort.</p>
		c	<p>Commenter submitted multiple corrections to prior letters.</p>	c	<p>Corrections noted.</p>
		d	<p>Commission should not consider the welfare of dogs, only the welfare of wildlife.</p>	d	<p>Fish and Game Code section 203.1 directs the Commission to consider the welfare of individual animals when adopting hunting regulations. Section 200 gives the Commission the authority to regulate method of take in hunting. All hunting regulations are set with a purpose of maintaining sustainable wildlife populations. There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort, or an increase in the number of dogs used for hunting</p>
		e	<p>Commenter submitted multiple comments on need for additional CEQA analysis, including an analysis of how hound hunting is conducted, studies on stress response in wildlife, and studies on other impacts to wildlife from hunting dogs.</p>	e	<p>See Response L.</p>
		f.	<p>Commission made misleading statements regarding CEQA analysis.</p>	f.	<p>See Response L.</p>
		g.	<p>The ISOR does not comply with CEQA because it fails to acknowledge potential environmental impacts. (L)</p>	g.	<p>See Response L.</p>

116 - 154	h	The ISOR does not comply with CEQA because it fails to acknowledge the whole of the action. (L)	h	See Response L
	i	ISOR does not comply with CEQA because it fails to mention the reason for the enactment of this regulatory action. (L)	i	See Response L.
	j	The ISOR does not address history of section 265 or rationale for previous denials of attempt to remove this prohibition	j	The ISOR provides an explanation for why the Commission feels it is appropriate at this time to amend section 265.
	k	The prohibition on treeing switches is more necessary now than it was previously.	k	The prohibition in section 265 on treeing switches was aimed at minimizing their use in the bear and bobcat hunting context. Because there currently is no bear or bobcat hunting with dogs, the prohibition is no longer necessary.
	l	It is unlikely that GPS data will provide useful information for law enforcement	l	Law enforcement officials may make use of any information available to them when investigating potential violations of the Fish and Game Code or Title 14 regulations.
	m	It is incorrect to state that dogs with GPS are primarily used to find wounded animals.	m	GPS may help hunters track down wounded animals thus preventing waste. Additionally, GPS may help hunters in retrieving their dogs.
	n	The Commission should implement a tracking, monitoring, and reporting system for use of dogs and outcomes from hunting efforts.	n	General dog hunting regulations are not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
	o	Dogs hunting causes additional stress to wildlife.	o	General dog hunting regulations are not the subject matter of this rulemaking. There is no

<p>116 - 154</p>		<p>p</p>	<p>Dog owners are required by Fish and Game Code section 3008 to keep their dogs under control at all times.</p>	<p>p</p>	<p>evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort, or an increase in the number of dogs used for hunting.</p>
		q	Fish and Game Code section 203.1 does not provide authority for the Commission to regulate domestic animals such as dogs.	q	The Commission has authority to regulate the method of take pursuant to Fish and Game Code sections 200 and 203. Additionally, section 203.1 directs the Commission to consider the welfare of individual animals when adopting hunting regulations.
		r	It is not reasonably necessary to delete the ban on treeing switches.	r	The prohibition in section 265 on treeing switches was aimed at minimizing their use in the bear and bobcat hunting context. Because there currently is no bear or bobcat hunting with dogs, the prohibition is no longer necessary.
		s	Discussion on this item at the September 9, 2015 WRC meeting was misleading.	s	This item was discussed at the RWC and referred to the Commission for further consideration. The Commission complied with the APA, Bagley-Keene, and other relevant laws and regulations to pursue this rulemaking process.
		t	There is no data to support the statement that GPS collars will cut down on waste, and alternative control methods would address that concern.	t	Department and Commission staff believe that the use of GPS collars may help hunters track wounded animals and therefore cut down on waste. See also Response I.

116 - 154		u	Numerous reasonable alternatives were suggested but not included in the ISOR.	u	See Response I.
		v	The Commission should require a certification for dog hunting.	v	General dog hunting is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
		w	Mitigation measures are needed for the potential negative impacts on the environment. (I?)	w	See Response L. There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort, or an increase in the number of dogs used for hunting.
		x	The ISOR does not address the economic impacts on businesses, jobs, and state agencies.	x	There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars or treeing switches will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort, or an increase in the number of dogs used for hunting, and thus no anticipated economic impacts.
155	Yuriko Hazlett 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
156	Courtney Vaudreuil Animal Legal Defense Fund 11/22/2017 e-mail		See 950-957, and 963-986		See 950-957, and 963-986
157	Jack and Margarita Denman 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
158	Lisa Pisano 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, N		Refer to Response A, D, N
159	Mary H. Clarke 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comment J I've been involved for many years in developing and implementing Habitat Conservation Plans/Natural Community.	a	Refer to Response A, J The Department and Commission appreciate your service to California.
160	Natalie Holcomb		Opposed, Comment J		Refer to Response A, J

	11/22/2017 e-mail	a	GPS collars will lead to the massacre of animals in huge numbers and cause more animals to reach endangered classification	a	There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars will increase the overall hunting effort or the use of dogs to hunt. The Commission sets hunting regulations with a goal of maintaining sustainable populations.
161	Graciela Iparraguirre 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
162	Stephanie Harmatz 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M, N		Refer to Response A, D, M, N
163 164	Mary Clarke 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
165	Francoise May 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
166	Elaine Wheeler 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
167	Katherine Lander 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
168	Paul Callaghan 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
169	Ronni Whitmer 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
170	Kimberly Heil 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
171	Lisa Wade 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
172	Gina G. Goff		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	11/22/2017 e-mail			
173	Christopher Cioni 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
174	Steven G. White 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
175	Ai Nosms 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
176	Adrienne Larimer 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M	Refer to Response A, D, M
177	Ana Crane 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
178	Amy Rypczyk 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, N	Refer to Response A, D, N
179	Simon Miller 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
180	Ian Rose 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
181	Vilma Torres 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
182	Lori Moe 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A
183	Cathryn Moore 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D

184	Ann Chavez 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
185	Kenneth James Gibson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
186	Christine Nelson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
187	Greg Miller 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
188	Gia Sun. 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
189	Carol DeHart King 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed This is a violation of Fish and Game Code section 3008	a	Refer to Response A Fish and Game Code section 3008 does not define "control."
190	Mark Feldman 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
191	Armando A. García 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
192	Maricaye Mowdy 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
193	Keiko Barrett 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
194	Eric Anches 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
195	Jeff Garcia 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comments J, M		Refer to Response A, J, M

196	Anna Drummond 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
197	Mary Clarke 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
198	Amy Barron 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
199	Eric Johnson Jo Jo Escliers 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
200	Linda Kelson and Sid Shapiro 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
201	Denise Lenardson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
202	Arjun Nagarjuna 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B		Refer to Response A, B
203 204	Ronit Corry 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
205	Mark Crane 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
206	Mila Shaw 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
207	Katrina Child 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B, J, N		Refer to Response A, B, J, N
208	Anne Feingold		Opposed		Refer to Response A, M, N

	11/22/2017 e-mail		Comment M, N		
209	Nikki Lloyd 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N		Refer to Response A, N
210	Kim Orourke 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
211	David Halligan 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment F		Refer to Response A, F
212	Nancy Goettler 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M		Refer to Response A, D, M
213	Vivienne Verdon-Roe 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
214	Traude Buckland 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
215	Barbara Walder 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
216	Kelly Wallace 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
217	Paulette Altrichter 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, N		Refer to Response A, D, N
218	Frederick Cardin 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
219	April Young 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A

220 221	Sue Richardson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
222	Dale Drouin 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
223	Patricia Samson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
224	Martha Monetti 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
225	Meg Palacio 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N		Refer to Response A, N
226	Antonia Chianis 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M, N		Refer to Response A, M, N
227	Sher Denney 11/22/2017 Letter		Opposed		Refer to Response A
228	Ramona Draeger 11/22/2017 Letter		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
229	Loretta Tiefen 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
230	Francoise May 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B		Refer to Response A, B
231	jacklyn 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M		Refer to Response A, J, M
232	Suzanne C Bradley 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J

233	Stacey McDonald 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
234	Marilyn Slagle 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comments J, M		Refer to Response A, J, M
235	Anju Goel 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment O		Refer to Responses A, O
236	Robin Howe 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
237	Katherine Barnes 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J		Refer to Response A, D, J
238	Heather Bloch 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
239	Margaret Kitts 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comments D, N		Refer to Responses A, D, N
240	Catherine Macan 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J		Refer to Responses A, D, J
241	W. Smith 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
242	Michelle Harris 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M, N		Refer to Response A, J, M, N
243	Kelly Potter 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
244	Eric Lagin 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M, N		Refer to Response A, J, M, N

245	Marlene Peacock 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B, J, M		Refer to Response A, D, J, M
246	Laurie Kay 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
247	Timothy Miller 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M, N		Refer to Response A, J, M, N
248	Sharon Cavazos 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comments B, M		Refer to Responses A, B, M
249	Gabriela Aleman 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
250	Jann Johnson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
251	Cynthia Gardner 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
252	Libby Parro 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
253	Shelley Anixter 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
254	Kendra Knight 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N		Refer to Response A, N
255	Kelly McGrath 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
256	Janet Harasz 11/22/2017		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J

	e-mail				
257	Karen Rubio 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
258	Margaret Nadir and Marg Na 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
259	Anthony Green 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
260	Candace Rocha 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
261	Florence Boyd 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
262	Ann Pacey 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
263	Deborah Konitsney 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
264	Vicky 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
265	Eleanor Thomas 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comment D, J Hunting is wrong and is not a sport	a	Refer to Responses A, D, J General hunting regulations are not the subject matter of this rulemaking
266	Vicky 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
267	Katya Kolesova 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A

268	Karen Wright 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
269	Lynda Leigh 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
270	Heather Lee 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M		Refer to Responses A, D, M
271	Jill Mulato 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
272	Malcolm Groome 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M, N		Refer to Response A, J, M, N
273	Elizabeth Kang 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
274 276	Tonda Dearborn 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
277	Carrie Flanagan 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
278	Laura Leifer 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment O		Refer to Responses A, O
279	Gloria Soria 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
280	Gerda Rasker 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
281	Janet Bindas 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D

282	Kim Balchios 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
283	Sahand Barati 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comments B, D, O		Refer to Responses A, B, D, O
284	Christina Gomez 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
285	Aaron A. Kenna 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
286	Scott Rubel 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
287	Patricia Monguia 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
288	Anita Farkas 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
289	Mary Fryer and George Bergman 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
290	Geri Johnston 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment O		Refer to Responses A, O
291	Wendy Roberts 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
292	Nina G. Wouk 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Responses A
293	Patty Ripley 11/22/2017		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	e-mail			
294	Lee McElheny 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
295	Melissa Murphy 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
296	Caroline Saxon 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
297	Nancy Eldridge 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
298	Cynthia Ashley and Ashley 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, JK
299	Matt Varni 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
300	Lionel A. Cone 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
301	Sydney Quinn 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
302	Oscar Martinez 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
303	Sharon Anton 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Responses A, D, J
304	Ken and Kathy Myers 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J, M	Refer to Responses A, D, J, M
305	Kirsten Milaney		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	11/22/2017 e-mail				
306	Christina Xiaomeng Zhang 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
307	Desi Harpe 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
308	Randy Schwartz 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
309	Macon Freeman 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
310	Linda Vollmer 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
311	Deborah Silguero 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
312	Mary Willcutt 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
313	Marla Feierabend 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
314	Greta Henderickson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
315	Debra Adair and Lisa Adair 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
316	Teri Barnato 11/22/2017		Opposed Comment N		Refer to Response A, N

	e-mail			
317	blackoshindancer 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
318	Heather 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
319	Debra Sally 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
320	Jolie and Anita Velazquez 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
321	Perry Gxx 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
322	Ron Season 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
323	Laura Altman 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comments J, O	Refer to Responses A, J, O
324	Laurie Jensen 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
325	Stephanie Jones 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
326	Kim O'Bryan 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Response A, D, J
327	David Sloan 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M

328	Jennifer Saxon 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B		Refer to Response A, B
329	Ronda Bailey 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
330	Doris 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
331	Chris K. 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
332	Nathan Carl 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M		Refer to Response A
333	Karl Koessel 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
334	Lucille Robustelli 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
335	Bill and James Ridgway 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
336	Rebecca Fenson 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B		Refer to Response A, B
337	Beth Rosemond 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
338	Eric Kraft 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J

339	Sherry Macias 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
340	Penny Sur 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment B		Refer to Responses A, B
341	Rhonda Sancibrian 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
342	Connie Christie 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
343	Jeri Ledenbach 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
344	Cindy Lewis 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J		Refer to Response A, D, J
345	Daen Christensen 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
346	Darla Decker 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment B		Refer to Responses A, B
347	Kathryn Boeddiker 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
348	Colleen McGuinness 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
349	Kathleen Smith 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J		Refer to Response A, D, J
350	Ignace DE VOLDER 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J		Refer to Response A, D, J

351	Eva Wagner 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
352	Jô Dantas 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, I		For English translation Refer to Responses A, D, I
353	English Translation		(See 252 for original comment, followed by translation).	A	Portuguese Translation: Como estabelecido atualmente no regulamento, o uso do interruptor de árvore ou dos colares equipados GPS do cão é proibido. A Comissão dos peixes e do jogo após ter ouvido os argumentos para e de encontro a permitir que os dispositivos, e tomando na consideração comentários públicos recebidos, adotou o Regulamento novo que permite a utilização de interruptores de arborização e de dispositivos permitidos GPS.
				D	O uso dos cães, se para a caça ou a formação, ocorreu sobre muitas gerações em Califórnia. Não há nenhuma evidência que o subsídio para a tecnologia nova é provável ter qualquer efeito no número de cães que estão sendo usados para a caça ou o treinamento. GPS não faz com que os cães se desviem muito, mas é instrumental na localização e recuperação de cães.
				I	Todas estas técnicas são úteis e recomendadas, assim como métodos permitidos o código dos peixes e do jogo e o título 14 do código de regulamentos de Califórnia. O GPS aumenta a recuperação no campo.
354	Kevin Jones 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment A, M, J		Refer to Response A, M, J

355	Vida R. 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
356	Alexandra Lamb 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
357	Dave Maher 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
358	Anita Hendricks 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
359	Erin Farber 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comment D, M There will be an unintended effect on animal and human safety.	a	Refer to Response A, D, M Existing laws prohibit take of non-target wildlife and protect human safety. Lawful hunters are fully cognizant of their obligations. The Department of Fish and Wildlife employs hundreds of Law Enforcement Officers (Wardens) in the pursuit, investigation, and arrest, of persons who willfully ignore the law.
360	Selina Castillo 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment B		Refer to Responses A, B
361	Vianey Romero 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
362	Suzanne Beaton 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
363	Kathie Kingett 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J

364	Harriet Fellner 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
365	Melanie Blank 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
366 368	Shelley Cheshire Kat 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comments C, E, H, K, M Comments against hunting and dog hunting.	a	Refer to Responses A, C, E, H, K, M General hunting and dog hunting are not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
369	Sophie Meneguzzi 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
370.	Ellen Holmes 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
371.	Catherane Kelley 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
372- 374	Larry Trout 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
375	Patricia Moskowite 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
376	Nicoletta Spedalieri 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
377	Rubina.Khilnani 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comment B, D Ban all trophy hunting	a	Refer to Responses A, B, D General hunting regulation and trophy hunting are not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
378	Catherine Beauchamp 11/22/2017		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	e-mail				
379	Danielle Ezra 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
380	Alice Rousseaux 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
381	Karen Steele – P.381 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
382- 384	Randal Massaro UNION MEMBERS FOR PRESERVATION OF WILDLIFE (UMPWA) 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comment F, J, L, M UMPWA is against any and all trapping or the use of tax dollars to subsidize trapping and ask that all trapping of any and all methods be stopped.	a	Refer to Response A, F, J, L, M Trapping is not a matter in this rulemaking.
385.	Jana Perinchief - P.385 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M		Refer to Responses A, D, M
386	Lisa Ann, Chad, George, Geoffrey, and Tristan Kelly 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comment M Adding contact information to earlier email	a	Refer to Response A, M Addition noted
387	R. Leong 11/22/2017 e-mail – P. 387		Opposed		Refer to Response A
388	Michelle Lecher 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B, J		Refer to Response A, B, J
389	Lisa Ann, Chad, George, Geoffrey, and		Opposed Comment J, M		Refer to Response A, J, M

	Tristan Kelly 11/22/2017 e-mail				
390 392	Randal Massaro (Union Members for the Preservation of Wildlife) 11/22/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Refer to Comments F, J, L, M UMPWA is against any and all trapping or the use of tax dollars to subsidize trapping and ask that all trapping of any and all methods be stopped	a	Refer to Response A, F, J, L, M Trapping is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
339	Lisa Ann, Chad, George, Geoffrey, and Tristan Kelly 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M		Refer to Response A, J, M
394	Suzanna Sahakian 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
395	Marco 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B		Refer to Response A, B
396	Heidi Freitas 11/22/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
397	Evelyn Punch 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
398	Lester Veik 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M		Refer to Response A, J, M
399	Tina Pirazzi 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
400	Heidi Berglin 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
401	Jane Drexler		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	11/21/2017 e-mail			
402	Joy Takemoto 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
403	Pat Seltzer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
404	Shani Rigsbee 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
405	Catherine McAteer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
406	Elisabeth Sherman 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, N	Refer to Response A, J, N
407	Cam Martinez 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
408	Yvette Fallandy 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M	Refer to Response A, D, M
409	Judy Calem 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
410	Gregory Coyle 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
411	Pamela VanCortlandt 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
412	Eden Kennan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
413	Adrian Vandervalk		Opposed	Refer to Response A, F, J

	11/21/2017 e-mail		Comment J, F		
414	Roger Underhill 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
415	Tonia Brauer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M		Refer to Response A, J, M
416 418	Jess Bradshaw Pamela VanCortlandt 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
419	Kristin Riggs 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
420	Marylynn Henes 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment F		Refer to Response A, F
421	Cher Stella 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
422- 424	Adrian Vandervalk 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment F, J		Refer to Response A, F, J
425	R. Lopez-Rauger 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
426	Corinna Correa- 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
427	Stacy Jacobsen 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment F, M		Refer to Response A, F, M
428	Christine Roa 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J

429	Arthur Veyna 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
430	Steve Stone 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
431	Lesley Boyland 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
432	Brenda Bailey 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
433	Amy and Trip Allen 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
434	M. Green, 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
435	Jamie Rosenblood 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
436	Mark Francis 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
437	Nicole Fountain 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, M		Refer to Response A, D, M
438	Fred Licht 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
439	Lisa Wyatt 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
440	C. Francis-C 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D

441	Eric and Kay Nelson 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
442	Mrs. Francis 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
443	Carrie Carruthers 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
444	Apryl Mefford- Hemauer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
445	David Kemme 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
446	Robin Durston 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J		Refer to Responses A, D, J
447	Corinna Chechi 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
448	Andrea Galvin 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N		Refer to Response A, N
449	Ann Benson 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
450	Barbara Balestieri 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
451	Kate Abell 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
452	Rochelle Nithsdale 11/21/2017		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	e-mail			
453	Marisa Clark 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
454	Jolino Beserra 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment E, F, M	Refer to Response A, E, F, M
455	Kevin Connell 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
456	Deborah Yoo 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
457	Graciela Huth 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
458	Rosi Fordwood 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
459	Susan Pricco 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A
460	Holland VanDieren 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, JM	Refer to Responses A, D, J
461	Sunny Sanoff 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
462	T. McCready Steven 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
463	Robyn Capelli 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
464	Irene Yoshida 11/21/2017		Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Responses A, D, J

	e-mail			
465	M Smith 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Response A, D, J
466	Dan Laramie 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
467	Julie Long Gallegos 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
468	Sasha Verleun 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N	Refer to Response A, N
469	Tori Cooper 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N	Refer to Response A, N
470	Victoria Lynch-Knight 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M, O	Refer to Response A, J, M, O
471	Brittain Clemm 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Response A, D, J
472	Gina Truex 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment G, J,	Refer to Response A, G, J
473	Ellen Sweeney 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N	Refer to Response A, N
474	Lisa Perry 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
475	Lisa Davison 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
476	Leigh Clark 11/21/2017		Opposed Refer to Comment M	Refer to Responses A, M

	e-mail			
477	Elysabeth Queffelec 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
478	Marlene Buitron 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
479	Erik Hattan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
480	Joy Zakarian 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
481	Bradley Smith 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
482	Phyllis S. 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Responses A,
483	Terrell Rodefer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Refer to Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
484	Christian McLaughlin 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Response A, D, J
485	Darrel Smith 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
486- 487	Patricia McCrory 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
488	Gayl Hyde 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N	Refer to Response A, N
489	Perla Suerte 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	e-mail			
490	Michelle Barbour 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
491	Lacey Levitt 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #
492	Eric Theis and Sandra Camacho 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
493	Linda Policarpio 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
494	Isabel Leonard 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
495	kevinhornick886 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M, N	Refer to Response A, J, M, N
496	Tiffany White 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
497	Kevin Slauson 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
498	Robert Kolesnik 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
499	Milton Carrigan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
500	Kellie McKinley		Opposed	Refer to Response A, J

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #	Response #
	11/21/2017 e-mail	Comment J	
501	Molly Yares 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
502	Sandra Lee Lawson 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
503	Daniela Pardo 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
504	Loretta Jones 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
505	Thomas Boo 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
506	Hayley Garibaldi 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
507	Ali Bourdlaies 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
508	Ali Van See (BEAR League) 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment F	Refer to Response A
509	Anthony Craig 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A, F
510	Jeanne Angle 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
511	Sonja DeRose	Opposed	Refer to Response A, J

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #	Response #
	11/21/2017 e-mail	Comment J	
512	Jamie Green 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
513	Dominick Falzone 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
514	Sallie Martin 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Responses A, D, J
515	V. Kakar 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
516	Christine Holmes 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
517	Wendy Bryan Michaels 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed	Refer to Response A
518- 519	Lorraine 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
520	Anna Hornick 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D, J	Refer to Response A, D, J
521	Lynn Axelrod 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment J What is next, machine guns?	Refer to Response A, J a The use of such weapons is prohibited by law.
522	Adil Mehta 11/21/2017 e-mail	Opposed Comment D, N	Refer to Responses A, D, N
523 524	semcrae 11/21/2017	Opposed Comment J, N	Refer to Response A, J, N

	email			
525	Nora Burns 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
526	Pattie Shiflett 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
527	Wendy Fears 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
528	David Walker 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
529	Billie Barker 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
530	Dianne Bryant 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
531	Cyndi 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B	Refer to Responses A, B
532	Elizabeth Jache 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
533	Jacqueline Villagomez 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
534	Skip Nicholson 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
535	Niky Missagh 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
536	Karen Maish 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	e-mail				
537	Janet Wheeler 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
538	J. Chris Kidney 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
539	Carol Tao 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
540	Holly Homayonfar 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
541	Randy Miller 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
542	Homayonfar 540 duplicate				
543	Prisca Gloor 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
544	Mary-Lou Gillette 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
545	Kathryn Hargreaves 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
546	Bob Drury 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
547 548	Shaun Norris 11/21/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed Comment D, E, F, G, H, I, J, M Dog retrieval is not an FGC mandate	a	Refer to Response A, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, M The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take in hunting, including the use of dogs
549	Linde Owen		Opposed		Refer to Response A, D

	11/21/2017 e-mail		Comment D		
550	Josh and Staci Kaye-Carr 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
551	Paula DeFelice 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
552	Kelli Shane 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
553	Angelina Grogan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Responses A, J
554	Jack Perez 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
555	Tanya Arnold 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
556	Veronica Romero 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
557	Terry Matkins 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
558	Jayson Ruth 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
559	Annette Benton 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
560	Jason Murphy 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A

561	Jamie Kurnik 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
562	Birdhome 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
563	Holly Dowling 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
564	Rainey Qualley 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
565	Gina George 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment B		Refer to Response A, B
566	Michelle Elizondo 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
567	Christine Harris 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
568	Annie Malone 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
569	Jamie Minden 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
570	Deniz Bolbol 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
571	Caroline 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
572	Connie Ashton 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A

573	Timothy Elliott 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
574	Jen Desmond 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
575	Charles Colton 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
576 578	Lance Moseley 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
579	Maria Sanchez 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
580	Margaret Damico 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
581	Ben and Janet Fiore 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
582	Henry Kimbell 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
583	Elissa Wagner 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
584	Yazmin Gonzalez 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
585	Janice Greenberg 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
586	Geri Gmahling 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A

587	Diane Colgan 11/21/2017 e-mail	a	Opposed This would be devastating to our wildlife in California	Refer to Response A Refer to Response D
588	Mark Avellan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
589	Howard Ekerling 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J, M	Refer to Response A, J, M
590	Georgia Labey 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
591	Rhea Park 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
592	Elizabeth Ryan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
593	Barbara Gallen 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
594	Tiffany DeFrance 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
595	Mary Dickson 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
596	Anthony Montapert 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
597	Catherine Jackson 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
598	Peggy Stone 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M

599	Rebecca Vitale Mandich 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
600	Mark Tele 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
601	Shannon Townsend- Bettis 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
602	Christine and Robert Florio 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
603	Alex Vollmer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
604	Amit Gadhia 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
605	Jan Hansen 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
606	Louise Zimmer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
607	Victoria Brandon 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
608	Jose Ursua 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
609	Gwen Wong 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
610	Angela McGowen 11/21/2017		Opposed Comment D, N		Refer to Response A, D, N

	e-mail			
611	Mike Vandeman 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
612	Robert Crampton 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
613	Nancy Roe 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
614	Patricia DeMoulin 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
615	C Schaff 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
616	Lisa Haage 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
617	Michelle Netzloff-Luna 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
618	Pilar Zorrilla 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
619	Andrea Freeman 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
620	Pilar Zorrilla 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
621	Jana Harker 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D, N	Refer to Response A, D, N
622	Brittany Howze 11/21/2017		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D

	e-mail			
623	Dana Am1eh 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
624	Skylar Mackey 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
625	Peg Daly 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
626	Brett Miller 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
627	Patricia Bradford 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
628	Julia Bouzan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
629	Darick Webster 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
630	Donna Belisle 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
631 632	Traci Moreno 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
633	Kimberly Halizak 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A

634	Georgia Brewer 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
635	Sandra Butler 11/21/2017 e-mail	a	Concerned about turning off GPS collars that wildlife are currently wearing.	a	GPS collars on hunting dogs is the subject matter of this rulemaking, not GPS collars used on wildlife.
636	Stephanie Smith 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
637	Alicia 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
638	Peggy Umanzio 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
639	Vianney Ventura 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
640	Catherine Dishion 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
641	David Jallo 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
642	David Burger 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
643	Joseph Cuviallo 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
644	Roberto Jacobo 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
645	Trish Nevi-Maguire 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D

646	Kit O'Doherty 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
647	Thomas Knecht 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
648	Betsy Hillman 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
649	Tito Galdo 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Response A, D
650	Joyce Kolasa 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
651	Misty Morgan 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
652	Kylie Cobb 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
653	Darius Vasefi 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
654	Jim Corriere 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
655	Kevin Wightman 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
656	kingaard 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
657	Michelle Geil 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment N		Refer to Response A, N

658	Geralyn Gulseth 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
659	Marion de Booy Wentzien 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
660	Xochitl Medina 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
661	Diana Weinstock 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
662	Mathew V. 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
663	Kelly Dunn 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
664	Joel, Cheri, and Kimberly Uher, and David Meagher 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
665	Leslie Smith 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
666	Karen Phillips 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
667	Gabriel Proo 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
668	Lisa Galliath 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed		Refer to Response A
669 670	Leslie Smith 11/21/2017		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D

	e-mail			
671	Fred Granlund 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
672	MaileAnn 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
673	Claudia Bruckert 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
674	Nickie 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
675	Ioana Sfrengeu 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
676	Carson Becker 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
677	Brianna Knickerbocker 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
678	Tina Y. 11/21/2017 e-mail		Opposed	Refer to Response A
679	Jud Sweatland 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
680	Lauren Schiffman 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
681	Roan Bear 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D, M	Refer to Responses A, D, M
682	Mary Baynard 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email			
683	adthdfyr 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
684	Via Galina 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
685	Arabella Serrell 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
686	Virginia Requa 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
687	Joan Pool 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment N	Refer to Response A, N
688	Maria 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
689	Sam Snyder 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
690	Angie Rosen 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
691	Barbara Lapidus 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment M	Refer to Response A, M
692	Mary Prophet 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
693	Linda Trevillian 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
694	Patricia Block 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email			
695	A Alcala 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
696 698	Robin Weiser 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Responses A, J
699	Carole Francis-Swayze 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
700	Rebecca Kindred 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
701	Laurie DeWitt 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
702	Liana Shakhnazaryan 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
703	Jennice Dobroszczyk 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
704	Bob Tintle 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
705	David Giles 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
706	Britt Lind 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
707	Pamela Gunnell 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
708	Phyllis White 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email			
709	Wayne Johnson 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
710	Priscilla Espinoza 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
711	Pat Padilla 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
712	Erin Hernandez 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
713	Nancy Gowani 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
714	Rae Cohn 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
715	Juan G Perez Arjona 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
716	Lisa Ridge 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
717	Ingrid Dela Rosa 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
718	Jim Baxter 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
719	Teal Sullivan 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
720	Barbara Cone 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email			
721	BC Hollis 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
722	John and Helen Scherer 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
723	Margarita Perez 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
724	Mary Rogan 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
725	Dawn Forcier 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
726	Amanda Torresani 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment N	Refer to Response A, N
727	Patricia Welty 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J, M	Refer to Response A, J, M
728	Anne LeMay 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
729	Siobhan Duff 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
730	Roz Goldstein 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
731	Christina Tillotson 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
732	Laurie Matthews 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email			
733	Rob Firmin 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
734	Kristy Rotermund 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
735	Anne Barr 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
736	Avila Lowrance 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
737	ghini 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
738	Alyce di Palma 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comment B, H	Refer to Responses A, B, H
739	June Sharp 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
740 741	Atharv Sakhala 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
742	Heidi Clair Elfstrom 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
743	Sam Lavi 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
744	Allan Singer 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
745	Sonya Chan 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	Email			
746	Patsy Barba 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
747	Patricia Cornell 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
748	Van Harvey 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
749	Kirpal (Paul) Thandi 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
750	Nancy Flores 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
751	Jennifer Cross 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
752	Shohreh Misaghi 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
753	Alexandria Luostari 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
754	Deborah McCarthy 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
755	Charles Hulbert 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
756	Maggie Eid 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
757	Roy Vanderleeliem 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email			
758	Xiaoyi Liu 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
759	Diana Marmorstein 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
760	Lauren Lopez Yancey 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
761	Jacqueline Barden 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D, M	Refer to Response A, D, M
762	Elena Knox 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
763	Virginia Fior 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J, N	Refer to Response A, J, N
764	Pauline Wakeham 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
765	David Jansen 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
766	Sanda Akerlund 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
767	Bunny Gibson 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
768	A. Senegal 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
769	Julie Kinloch 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email				
770	Rashel Mereness 11/21/2017 Email	a	Opposed Comment M, N Letting dogs run around is dangerous for other persons who may be out hiking	a	Refer to Response A, M, N General dog hunting regulations are not the subject matter of this rulemaking. There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars will lead to an increased use in dogs. Fish and Game Code and relevant regulations provide numerous limitations and regulations on hunters to protect public safety and avoid negative interactions on public recreational opportunities.
771	Corinne 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
772	Miles Ciletti 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
773	April West 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment F		Refer to Response A, F
774	Laura Jones-Bedel 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment M		Refer to Response A, M
775	Joanne McBirney 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
776	Tammy Browning O'Neill 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment B, N		Refer to Response A, B, N
777	Howard Whitaker 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
778	Jane Steinberg		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	11/21/2017 email			
779	Greg Rosas 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
780	Paula Strauss 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
781	Alexandra L. 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
782	Steve Wang 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
783	Paul Ramos 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
784	Henry Sanchez 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
785	Karen Phillips 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
786	James Bahm 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
787	Jose M Leon 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
788	Jack Pacheco 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
789	Melissa Jackson 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D

790	Tania Rose 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
791	Nora Coyle 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
792	Alexis Martin 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
793	Lauren O'Brien 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
794	Jane Pinkos 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
795	Donna Fountain 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
796	Melanie Burian 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
797	Teri Boots 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
798	Darlene Thorne 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
799	shabnambormand 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
800	Melissa Farmer 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
801	Rex Rombach 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D

802	Patricia Reed 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
803	Christine Torp 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
804	Vicky Castellanos 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J, N		Refer to Response A, J, N
805	Summer Morris 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
806	Karin Rettig 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
807	Val Wolf 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
808	Michele Castano 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
809	Mary Wade 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
810	Eric Faibish 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
811	Cheryl Taggesell 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
812	Marisa Peralta 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
813	reyhaneh 11/21/2017		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J

	email			
814	Carole Allen 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
815	Floredia Davis 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
816	Katherine van Ekert 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
817	Ian Edmondstone 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment B	Refer to Response A, B
818	Carole Allen Nov 21 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
819	Michele Tusinac 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
820	Ean Behr 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
821	Carlyn Montes De Oca 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
822	Charlie Bergstedt 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
823	Judith Leah Magill 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
824	Bill Nelson 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
825	Alice Suso 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	email			
826	Stella Gunther 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
827	Kim Beach 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
828	Glenna Milleman 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Responses A, J
829	navy 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
830	Rebecca Rauhala 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J	Refer to Response A, J
831	Denyse Simpson Serrano 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
832	Scott Lancaster 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
833	Lance Whitmier 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
834	Steven Murphy 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
835	Janet Parks 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
836	Christine A Brazis 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
837	Barbara Schlitz 11/21/2017		Opposed	Refer to Response A

	Email			
838	Anne Kobayashi 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
839	Krista McKee 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
840	Sheri Reeves 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
841	Terry Kourda 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
842	Yolanda Alarcon 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
843	Marlene Penuela 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
844	Erika Hazen 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
845	Rena Zaman-Zade 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Response A, D
846	Mary Cummins 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
847	Rosemary Frieborn 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
848	Jan Buckwald 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
849	Amber Sumrall 11/21/2017		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D

	email				
850	Rae Miller 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
851	Lucia Janney 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment B		Refer to Response A, B
852	Carol White 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
853	Linda Ullrich 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
854	Sarah Ewen 11/21/2017 email	a	Opposed Stop all hunting and fishing for 5 years	a	Refer to Response A General hunting and fishing regulations are not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
855	Ellen Akre 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A, J
856	Jordan McCann 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J		Refer to Response A
857	Jess Lund 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
858	Karen Jacques 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment J, M, O		Refer to Response A, J, M, O
859	Linda 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
860	Jacqueline McVicar 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
861	Kathie Schenk		Opposed		Refer to Response A

	11/21/2017 email			
862	Sandra Syatt 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
863	Lynne Boynton 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
864	Donald North 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
865	Maryellen Redish 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
866	Dan Silver (Endangered Habitats League) 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment F	Refer to Response A, F
867	Leslie MacKay 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
868	Sara Tiberio 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D	Refer to Responses A, D
869	Mary Stohr 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment B	Refer to Response A, B
870	Marjorie Noe 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A
871 872	Mark Vermeulen 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D, J, M	Refer to Responses A, D, J, M
873	Joseph Hewett 11/21/2017 email		Opposed	Refer to Response A

874	Erica Seelig 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
875	Michelle Ayala 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
876	Steven Zeluck 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
877	Kalla McGuire 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
878	Jim Wilson 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
879	Sue Hall 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
880	Judith Gibb 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
881	Martha Carrington 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
882	Carol Van Atta 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
883	Michelle Embree 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
884	Emily McLaren 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
885	Lisa Hieronymus 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D

886	terelou 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
887 889	Lance Moseley 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
890	John Lamb 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
891	Reggie Melonson 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
892	Paula Tice 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
893	Debra Cassiero 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
894	L. Adams 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
895	Ronit Weiss 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
896	Debby Weigand 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D, J		Refer to Responses A, D, J
897	C. Foglietta 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Responses A
898	Wendy Raymond 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
899	Carrie Woods 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Responses A

900	Courtney Daniels 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
901	Karen Fam 11/21/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
902	Patricia Tannenbaum 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comment J		Refer to Responses A, J
903	Chris Kruger 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comment J		Refer to Responses A, J
904	Sam Butler 11/21/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comment J, M		Refer to Responses A, J, M
905	Janet and Mark Thew 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Refer to Comments D, E, G, I, J, K, L, M		Refer to Responses A, D, E, G, I, J, K, L, M
906	Sue Williamson 11/21/2017 Email		Opposed Refer to Comments B, E, J, M		Refer to Responses A, B, E, J, M
907 910	Randall Cleveland (P.E.A.C.E.) 11/20/2017 Email / Letter	a	Opposed Refer to Comments B, D,H, I, J, L, M, N	a	Refer to Responses A, B, D, H, I, J, L, M, N
907 910		b	This is a violation of Fish and Game Code § 3008, which requires dogs to be leashed at all times	a	Section 3008 does not require dogs to be leashed, it requires physical control of a dog by its owner. Physical control is not defined in the Fish and Game Code. Section 3008 gives the Commission the authority to regulate dog hunting.
			The Commission should require a handler certification/licensing process for all hounders	b	This rulemaking is focused on the use of GPS collars on hunting dogs. Hunting with dogs generally is not the subject matter of this

		c	Use of hounds to hunt impacts public safety and public right to recreation	c	rulemaking.
		d	Hound welfare is outside the regulatory authority of the Fish and Game Commission	d	Fish and Game Code and relevant regulations provide numerous limitations and regulations on hunters to protect public safety and avoid negative interactions on public recreational opportunities. Section 200 of the Fish and Game Code gives the Commission the authority to regulate method of take for hunting, which includes the use of dogs for hunting.
911 912	Keli Hendricks 11/20/2017 email	a	Opposed Refer to Comment D, F, H, I The Commission should focus on protecting wildlife for the citizens of California, not for protecting domestic dogs for hunters	a	Refer to Responses A, D, F,H, I The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take in hunting, including the use of dogs. Hunting regulations are set with a goal of maintaining sustainable populations of wildlife.
913	Ellen Shively 11/19/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comments B, D, L, M		Refer to Responses A, B, D, L, M
914	Dani Nicholson 11/09/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comments B, J, D, G, M, N		Refer to Responses A, B, J, D, G, M, N
915	Valerie Gemanis 11/06/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
916	Barbara Rosenthal 11/05/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comment D, G, H, I		Refer to Responses A, D, G, H
917	Sergey Prokushkin 10/10/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comment D, J		Refer to Responses A, D, J
918	Yolanda Ochoa 10/08/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comments B, D, G, J, L		Refer to Responses A, B, D, G, J, L

		a	Use of GPS collars is a violation of Fish and Game Code 3008 that requires dogs to be under control.	a	There is no definition of “control” in the Fish and Game Code. The Commission has the authority to regulate the method of take in hunting, including the use of dogs.
919	Georgette Theotig 10/06/2017 Email		Opposed Refer to Comment D		Refer to Responses A, D
920	Merrill Berge 10/05/2017 Email		Opposed Refer to Comment M		Refer to Responses A, M
921	Sue Williamson 10/05/2017 Email		Opposed Refer to Comments E, M, N		Refer to Responses A, E, M, N
922	Jan Dietrick 10/05/2017 Email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
923	iamdivine 10/04/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
924	Irene Rauschenberger 10/04/2017 email		Opposed		Refer to Response A
925	Heather Casanave 10/04/2017 email		Opposed Refer to Comment J		Refer to Responses A, J
926 940	Marilyn Jasper 09/28/2017 Email / Letter	a	Opposed Refer to Comments D, F, I-N Incorporate by reference all previous comments supplied during prior rulemakings on this item.	a	Refer to Responses A, D, F, I-N Commission responses to comments on prior rulemaking efforts can be seen in that rulemaking file.

926 940		b	Treeing switches are not necessary because it is illegal to hunt tree-climbing species.	b	The prohibition in section 265 on treeing switches and GPS was aimed at minimizing their use in the bear and bobcat hunting context. Because there currently is no bear or bobcat hunting with dogs, the prohibition is no longer necessary. However, there are also instances in which dogs may be used to hunt non-game mammals.
		c	Hunter benefit is not a relevant consideration; the Commission should focus on wildlife conservation.	c	The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take in hunting. All hunting regulations are set with a purpose of maintaining sustainable wildlife populations. There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars will lead to an increase in overall hunting effort, or an increase in the number of dogs used for hunting.
		d	Dogs must be under control at all times.	d	There is no definition of “control” in the Fish and Game Code or in regulation. The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take, including dog hunting.
		e	There should be a certification process for handlers and dogs.	e	Dog hunting in general is not the subject of this rulemaking.
		f.	Allowing GPS collars will have adverse economic impacts to deer hunting.	f	There is no evidence that the use of GPS collars will lead to any change in overall hunting effort.
		g	Cost to rural communities from damage caused by fleeing wildlife.	g	See Comment J. There is no evidence that the use of GPS enabled dog collars would lead to fleeing wildlife causing damage.
		h	Cost to wildlife rehabbers to attend to injured wildlife.	h	See Comment J. There is no evidence that the use of GPs enabled dog collars would lead to fleeing wildlife causing damage.

926 940	i	Hunting is not a management tool.	i	General hunting regulations are outside the scope of this rulemaking.
	j	Additional impacts to other non-consumptive uses.	j	See Responses
	k	GPS collars will increase the number of out-of-state hunters.	k	Fish and Game Code and relevant regulations provide numerous limitations and regulations on hunters to protect public safety and avoid negative interactions on public recreational opportunities.
	l	Hound hunters should be required to register dogs, report results of efforts, and provide monitoring reports.	l	Dog hunting generally is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
	m	The Commission should define "control" as stated in Fish and Game Code section 3008.	m	Section 3008 is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
	n	The Commission should clarify how many dogs are allowed per hunt (both active and those in training).	n	Dog hunting generally is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.
	o	It is incorrect to say that GPS data can help enforcement.	o	Game wardens and law enforcement officers follow all available information when enforcing the Fish and Game Code and other relevant laws and regulations.
	p	GPS is not primarily used to find wounded animals.	p	GPS can also help with retrieval of lost or injured dogs.
q	The regulatory process for this rulemaking, prior rulemakings,	q	The Commission complied with the requirements of the APA, CEQA, and Bagley-	

		<p>r and the delay in implementation was misleading to the public.</p> <p>s The Commission should require a surety bond for dog retrieval and an accounting for all dogs at the end of the season.</p> <p>t Hound hunting should be kept away from wildlife areas, public/private refuges and sanctuaries, and buffer areas around listed species.</p> <p>u Multiple comments related to CEQA, including need for more studies an information, request to analyze potential increase in out of state hunters, cumulative impacts analysis, and a report on poaching.</p> <p>u For a better understanding of the issue commenter urged FGC staff to provide the staff summaries, related documents and minutes of the hearings from the 2010 meetings, and include them in the Draft Environmental Document.</p>	<p>r Keene for this and the future rulemakings, and explained multiple times the process and history of this regulation.</p> <p>s Dog hunting generally is not the subject matter of this rulemaking.</p> <p>t The Fish and Game Code and relevant regulations provide numerous limitations and regulations to protect sensitive areas and species from impacts by hunting activities.</p> <p>u See Response L.</p> <p>u The review and analysis of the 2010 proposal to amend Section 265 included proposals not considered in this rulemaking. Discussion of options for tip switches in 2010 was an effort to assist law enforcement in relation to pursuit of bear and/or bobcat and does not apply to this proposal.</p>
941 943	Randall Cleveland (P.E.A.C.E.) 09/27/2017 Email / Letter	<p>a Opposed Refer to Comments D, F, G, I, M, N</p> <p>a Commission should not be in the business of domestic animal welfare</p>	<p>a Refer to Responses A, D, F, G, I, M, N</p> <p>a This regulatory action is within the authority of the Commission to regulate method of take for hunting.</p>

944 945	Kathy Lynch/Randy Walker President, California Sportsman's 9/22/2017 Email / Letter		Support for the repeal of prohibition of Electronic Collars		The Commission acted to approve the regulation removing the prohibition on electronic collars
946 948	Kathy lynch/Keith Ringgenberg Outdoor Sportsmen's Coalition 9/22/2017 Email / Letter		Support for the repeal of prohibition of Electronic Collars		The Commission acted to approve the regulation removing the prohibition on electronic collars
949	Lisa McNamee and Don Giottonini Safari Club International 9/22/2017 email		Support for the repeal of prohibition of Electronic Collars		The Commission acted to approve the regulation removing the prohibition on electronic collars
See also 156	Courtney Vaudreuil 11/22/2017 Email #156 Letter #951-957	a	Opposed Comments D, E, G, I, K	a	Refer to Response A, D, E, G, I, K
951- 957	Attached Exhibits #963-974 and #981-986		Commission failed to consider the environmental impacts from this document pursuant to CEQA.		Refer to Response L.
963- 986	[Please note that there is an error in the automatic page numbering for this attachment. Pages 975- 980 are not used.]	b	It is not clear why a device for treeing animals is necessary if the animals who can be treed are not legal to hunt.	b	The prohibition in Section 265 on treeing switches and GPS eliminated their use in the pursuit of bear and bobcat while hunting with dogs. However, with the 2012 passage of Section 3960 of the Fish and Game Code the use of dogs to pursue bear or bobcat is unlawful. Therefore the prohibition of treeing switches or GPS is no longer necessary. However, there are also instances in which dogs may be used to hunt non-game mammals, as identified under subsection 265(b)(3), and as defined under Section 4150 of the Fish and Game Code.

		c	Comments during the April 26, 2017 Commission meeting indicate the Commission had already decided the outcome of the rulemaking in advance of considering environmental impacts.	c	Refer to Response L.
		d	The safety of hunting dogs is not within the Commission's purview.	d	The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take in hunting, including the use of dogs.
		e	The Commission did not make the required CEQA findings.	e	See Response L.
		f	Incorporates the letters submitted by PEACE, and the Public Interest Coalition.	f	Please see responses to those comments above in this table.
		g	The Commission should consider leaving subpart (d)(1) in place and continue the ban on treeing switches.	g	See Response A.
958-962	Donald Mooney (Public Interest Coalition) 12/01/2017 Letter Attach: 963-986		Opposed Refer to Comment D, J, H, I, L		Refer to Responses A, D, J, H, I, L
		a	Regulation is a violation of Fish and Game Code Section 3960 because dogs will not be in control of owner and may hunt bear or bobcat.	a	Refer to Responses D, E, I
		b	Regulation is a violation of Fish and Game Code Section 3008 which requires physical control of dogs engaged in hunting.	b	Physical control is not defined in the Fish and Game Code. Section 3008 gives the Commission the authority to regulate dog hunting.

		c	Regulation violates Penal Code section 597b which makes it a misdemeanor to cause any animal, including dogs, to fight with any other type of animal for the person's amusement or gain.	c	Refer to Response D, K.
--	--	---	--	---	-------------------------

ORAL COMMENTS RECEIVED AT FISH AND GAME COMMISSION HEARINGS 265 Dog Collars

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
1	Susan Harvey October 11, 2017	a	Hunter needs to control the animal under voice control.	a	Refer to Response I
		b	Dogs can run for miles and harass all types of wildlife.	b	Refer to Response D
		c	No science to support CEQA Exemption, there is a relationship between this rule and impacts on the environment, do a CEQA review	c	Refer to Response L
2	Bill Gaines, CA Bowmen Hunters CA Houndsmen For Conservation October 11, 2017	a	The Dept has completed the necessary CEQA.	a	Refer to Response L
		b	All manner of GPS use is allowed with hunting dogs except for pursuing mammals.	b	The proposed action will remove this prohibition.
		c	GPS is not advantageous to hunters, it enables the hunter to find and keep the dog safe, avoid properties where dogs are not allowed, busy roads, and for finding a 'lost' dog.	c	Refer to Response H. The Commission agrees that the use of GPS collars can be beneficial for the dogs.

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
3	Judie Mancuso SCIL December 6, 2017	a	Read CDFW Dir Bonham's quote of "Boone and Crockett Club fair chase and hunting ethics. Use of GPS is not fair chase.	a	Refer to Response F
		b	GPS will give an unfair advantage.	b	Refer to Response J
		c	GPS will enable poaching.	c.	Refer to Responses E, K
4	Renee Owens Sierra Club San Diego December 6, 2017	a	By design GPS gives an advantage to target any animal.	a	Refer to Response F
		b	Dog will chase target and non-target animals.	b	Refer to Response D.
		c	GPS will lead to an increase in poaching.	c	Refer to Responses E, K
		d	Less safe because of over confidence in the device.	d	Refer to Response G
		f	The proposal reverses that the significant effects on wildlife that was found in 2009.	f	The actions taken to amend §265 in 2009 by the FGC prohibited the pursuit of bear, bobcat, and other game mammals 265(a)(2) with dogs with a treeing switch, with a specific concern as to facilitating illegal hunting of those animals. Today it is illegal to pursue bear or bobcat at all, therefore the limitations use of the treeing switches and GPS are no longer necessary.
g	The Commission should prepare an initial study under CEQA	g	Refer to Response L		

Committer Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
5	George Courser Sierra Club of San Diego December 6, 2017	a	Many San Diego area environmental protections, CDFW is a responsible agency under CEQA.	a	Refer to Response L
6	Jane Velez-Mitchell December 6, 2017	a	Animals under siege from many areas, including hunters and dogs with collars. No respect for the sport for hunters.	a	Refer to Responses F, J, M
7	Josh Brones CA Houndsmen December 6, 2017	a	Fallacies by the opposition, no knowledge of actual facts and no experience. This protects the dog and imparts no advantage to the hunter	a	Support noted. Refer to Response H. The Commission agrees that the use of GPS collars can be beneficial for the dogs.
8	Roy Griffith, CA Hunter and Rifle Association December 6, 2017	a	Support and urge passage, the illegal activities described by the opposition are in fact poachers and the state has a great number of dedicated wardens seeking to arrest them.	a	Support Noted. Refer to Responses E, K
9	Callie Tomlinson December 6, 2017	a	Responsible dog owners should have them on a leash. GPS tracking is not fair chase	a	Refer to Responses F, I
10	Jane Cartmill December 6, 2017	a	Opposed. No science, facts, or thoughtful consideration, FGC using the material provided by the supporters of the repeal.	a	Refer to Responses A, B
11	Fauna Tomlinson Project Coyote December 6, 2017	a	Keep your pet contained and protected on the leash. Member of Boone and Crockett, and using technology to hunt is not fair chase.	a	Refer to Response F, I
12	Lani Bray SNAP December 6, 2017	a	Risk of altercation with wildlife when out of range with the hunter.	a	Refer to Response D

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
13	Leslie Davies December 6, 2017	a	Opposed. GPS means the hunter has already lost control. Commission should be protecting and not enabling the harm of animals. Use of GPS collars is barbaric.	a	Refer to Responses A, H, J.
14	Erin Carrasco December 6, 2017	a	Opposed. My family hunts, GPS is not sport, it cheapens the sport. The agency is supposed to protect animals.	a	Refer to Responses A, F, M. The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take in hunting, including the use of dogs.
15	Jim Kilby December 6, 2017	a	Opposed. Quoted the World Wildlife Society – worldwide kill off of wildlife, is due primarily to hunters and poachers. They will benefit. Commission should join the other 39 states with a ban.	a	Refer to Responses A, E, K
		b	Abdication of duty to protect wildlife resources.	b	The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take in hunting, including use of dogs.
16	Vicki Cypherd December 6, 2017	a	Oppose GPS	a	Refer to Response A
		b	Don't allow hound hunting.	b	Refer to Response O
17	Teagen McClain December 6, 2017	a	Oppose GPS	a	Refer to Response A
18	Lynn Riggs December 6, 2017	a	Chasing after dog means it is already at risk. Policy will have unintended consequences to enable poachers	a	Refer to Response E, H

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
19	Bill Gaines CA Hounds December 6, 2017	a	GPS does not help the hunter hunt, they do help keep dogs safe. Poachers are criminals and hunters want them stopped.	a	Refer to Responses D, E, G, K
20	Mark Hennelly CA Waterfowl Assoc. December 6, 2017	a	Safety of the dogs is fair to all hunters and dogs , dogs give a high quality of hunting experience	a	Refer to Response G, H
21	Brenda Calvillo December 6, 2017	a	Keep the ban, keeping them safe means not letting them roam.	a	Refer to Response A, G
22	Marcia Hanscom Sierra Club December 6, 2017	a	In unison against the change, keep the ban. General public believes that the Commission is there to protect wildlife.	a	Refer to Response A. The Commission has the authority to regulate method of take for hunting including use of dogs. Hunting regulations are set to ensure sustainable populations of California's wildlife.
23	Robert Vanderhock Ballona Institute December 6, 2017	a	DEIR and scoping is necessary, wardens and Department scientists can provide actual data.	a	Refer to Response L
24	Patricia McPherson December 6, 2017	a	Need CEQA document , as a dog owner I have trained dogs to mind, use shock collar to get obedience, GPS is the opposite of control. Dogs will be far away and more likely to be at risk.	a	Refer to Response G, I, L
25	Steven Childs December 6, 2017	a	Caution against emotional rhetoric of the opposition, read Boone and Crockett fair chase. A member of Sierra Club.	a	Refer to Response F
26	Gary Brennan San Diego Wildlife Federation December 6, 2017	a	Supports the change, 22,000 members. GPS to recover dogs is important.	a	Refer to Response A, G

Commenter Name, Date, Format		Comment #		Response #	
27	Randall Mansaro December 6, 2017	a	Oppose. Concerned citizen, dog hunting is legal in only 7 states, California should set the precedent for dog hunting. Illegal use of dogs responsible for a loss of wildlife. Dog hunting is unethical.	a	Refer to Response A, B, J, K
28	Bob Smith San Diego Wildlife December 6, 2017	a	Bird hunts with dogs, use GPS for the recovery of dogs, not an advantage to hunting.	a	Refer to Response J