
White Seabass Fishery Management Plan 2015-2016 Annual Review





Prepared by

Department of Fish and Wildlife Marine Region April 2017



White Seabass Fishery Management Plan 2015-2016 Annual Review

Executive Summary

The California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) adopted the White Seabass Fishery Management Plan (WSFMP) in June 2002. The WSFMP includes a provision for annual monitoring and assessment of the white seabass fisheries. The White Seabass Scientific and Constituent Advisory Panel (WSSCAP) was established to assist the Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) and the Commission with the review of the fishery assessments, management proposals, and plan amendments. The annual review includes fishery-dependent data (e.g., commercial and recreational landings and length frequencies), and fishery-independent data (e.g., recruitment information) if available, as well as documented changes within the social and economic structure of the recreational and commercial industries that utilize the white seabass resource within California. The review also includes information on the harvest of white seabass from Mexican waters and other relevant data. Based on the results of the annual review, in cooperation with the WSSCAP, the Department will provide management recommendations, if needed, to the Commission.

To assist the Commission in determining if management measures need to be modified or added, the WSFMP framework includes, and the Commission adopted, points of concern criteria to help determine when management measures are needed to address resource issues. The points of concern are:

- 1. catch is expected to exceed the current harvest guideline or quota;
- 2. any adverse or significant change in the biological characteristics of white seabass (age composition, size composition, age at maturity or recruitment) is discovered:
- 3. an overfishing condition exists or is imminent;
- 4. any adverse or significant change in the availability of white seabass forage or in the status of a dependent species is discovered;
- 5. new information on the status of white seabass is discovered;
- 6. an error in data or stock assessment is detected that significantly changes estimates of impacts due to current management.

The Department and WSSCAP met on April 13, 2017 to review the 2015-2016 fishery season (September 1 to August 31), and together agreed that none of the points of concern were met. Additional social and economic information, catch information from Mexico, and a recent stock assessment support this conclusion. As a result, the Department does not recommend any changes to the management of white seabass or to the WSFMP at this time.

Background

The WSSCAP annually reviews current information to evaluate the status of the white seabass resource based on points of concern adopted to implement the WSFMP, and to consider whether current management measures provide adequate protection for the resource. If a resource conservation issue is found, based on the points of concern, the WSSCAP will provide its recommendation, rationale, and analysis to the Department, which will recommend to the Commission the appropriate management measure(s) to address the issue(s).

Results

Analysis of the points of concern (Table 1) showed that none of the criteria were met in 2015-2016.

Table 1. Analysis of the points of concern.			
Criteria	Analysis	Result	
Catch is expected to exceed the	2015-2016 total catch = 343,439 pounds;	No action	
current harvest guideline or quota.	Optimum Yield = 1.2 million pounds;	necessary	
	Total catch is below optimum yield.		
Any adverse or significant change	Recreational and commercial fishery	No action	
in the biological characteristics of	length-frequencies showed no significant	necessary	
white seabass (age composition,	change that would indicate a problem in		
size composition, age at maturity	the fishery.		
or recruitment) is discovered.	No new information on age composition,		
	age at maturity, or age at recruitment.		
An overfishing condition exists or	See analysis in Table 2.	No action	
is imminent.	No overall overfishing condition noted.	necessary	
Any adverse or significant change	Most forage species decreased in the	No action	
in the availability of white seabass	15/16 season. However, WSB are	necessary	
forage or in the status of a	opportunistic feeders and the Department		
dependent species is discovered.	and WSSCAP feel that there are other		
	abundant prey items for WSB.		
New information on the status of	The Department is currently collecting	No action	
white seabass is discovered.	samples to investigate age/length at	necessary	
	maturity.		
An error in data or stock	A stock assessment was completed in	No action	
assessment is detected that	May 2016.	necessary	
significantly changes estimates of			
impacts due to current			
management.			

Point of Concern: Expectation of optimum yield being exceeded.

The Commission established a fishing season of September 1 through August 31 of the following year. The Commission also adopted an optimum yield. The optimum yield is based on a maximum sustainable yield proxy of the unfished biomass, and is currently set at 1.2 million pounds. In the 2015-2016 season, the total recreational and commercial harvest was 343,439 pounds, 29 percent of the allowable catch (Appendix A, Table 1).

Point of Concern: Changes in the biological characteristics of white seabass.

The commercial fishery continues to harvest white seabass across a wide size range (Appendix A, Figure 1). In 2015-2016, 201 fish were sampled from the commercial fishery. One hundred percent of the fish sampled were larger than the minimum size limit of 28 inches and approximately two thirds of the fish sampled were larger than 45 inches. Based on previous age-at-length information from reading otoliths and from a previously calculated weight/length relationship, those fish larger than 45 inches are likely more than 11 years old and weigh more than 30 pounds.

Sampled length frequency data for the recreational fishery are presented in Appendix A, Figure 2. Before the start of the 2009-2010 season the Department prepared and distributed a brochure targeting recreational anglers to improve compliance with the recreational minimum size limit for white seabass. In the seasons since this brochure was distributed, less than 10 percent of the fish measured were smaller than the minimum size limit of 28 inches. This is a significant improvement from the previous seasons, in which 17-19 percent of all fish measured were less than minimum legal size. This season 127 legal-sized fish were measured from the recreational fishery. Of the legal-sized fish measured from the recreational fishery approximately one half of the fish measured were larger than 40 inches total length. Based on the previously calculated weight/length relationship, those fish larger than 40 inches are likely more than 9 years old and weigh more than 24 pounds.

Point of Concern: An overfishing condition exists or is imminent.

Three criteria (Table 2), all of which must be met to establish a point of concern, determine if an overfishing condition exists or is imminent. For the commercial fishery, there must be a 20 percent decline in landings in each of two consecutive seasons compared to the prior 5-season running average. Commercial landings of white seabass (Appendix A, Table 2) totaled 247,195 pounds in the 2015-2016 season; this is a 27 percent decrease when compared to the prior 5-season running average (340,369 pounds). In the 2014-2015 season commercial landings totaled 196,521 pounds; this is a 51 percent decrease compared to the prior 5-season running average (401,469 pounds). The WSSCAP and the Department agreed that the overfishing criterion for the commercial fishery was met. However, all three criteria must be met to establish a point of concern so no action is recommended at this time.

For the recreational fishery, the overfishing criterion is defined as a 20 percent decline in each of two consecutive seasons for <u>both</u> the number of fish and the average weight (Appendix A, Table 3). In the recreational fishery, the number of fish caught in the 2015-2016 season increased 21 percent when compared to the previous season. The average weight of fish caught in the 2015-2016 season increased 22 percent when compared to the previous season. The WSSCAP and the Department agreed that the overfishing criterion for the recreational fishery was not met.

The final criterion for determining if an overfishing condition exists is a 30 percent decline in the recruitment index for juvenile white seabass compared to the prior 5-season running average of recruitment. The Ocean Resources Enhancement and Hatchery Program (OREHP) had routinely conducted standardized field studies four times a year (August, October, April and June) for juvenile recruitment. However, reductions in funding curtailed survey effort. The Southern California Sport Fishing Enhancement Stamp fund was insufficient to cover all of the OREHP activities as well as the gill net recruitment surveys, and consequently there was no gill net sampling between 2009 and 2011.

In October 2012 gill net sampling similar to previous surveys was reinstated. The objective of the current sampling design seeks to resume the prior gill net sampling regime but in a reduced capacity with fewer locations surveyed and a reduction in the number of nets deployed at each site.

In order for this criterion to be evaluated six consecutive years of data will need to be collected. Because six years of consecutive white seabass recruitment surveys have not been completed this criterion could not be addressed in this report.

Based on the analysis of all three overfishing criteria, the WSSCAP and the Department agreed that the overall overfishing point of concern for the fishery was not met.

Table 2. Analysis to determine if the white seabass resource is overfished (Criteria taken			
from Section 51.01 (b), Title 14, California Code of Regulations).			
Criteria	Analysis	Result	
A 20 percent decline in the total	2015-2016	Criterion	
annual commercial landings of	247,195 pounds = 27% decrease	was met	
white seabass for the past two	5-season average = 340,369 pounds		
consecutive seasons compared to			
the prior 5-season running average	2014-2015		
of landings, based on landing	196,521 pounds = 51% decrease		
receipt data.	5-season average = 401,469 pounds		
A 20 percent decline in both the	2015-2016	Criterion	
number of fish and the average	3,793 fish = 21% increase	not met	
weight of white seabass caught in	23.1 pound average = 22% increase		
the recreational fishery for the same			
two consecutive seasons, as	2014-2015		
determined by the best available	3,136 fish = 67% decrease		
data.	18.9 pound average = 15% decrease		
A 30 percent decline in recruitment	Criterion not analyzed	N/A	
indices for juvenile white seabass			
compared to prior 5-season running			
average of recruitment, as			
determined by the best available			
data.			

Point of Concern: Any adverse or significant change in the availability of white seabass forage or in the status of a dependent species is discovered.

Prey species (northern anchovy, jack mackerel, market squid, Pacific mackerel, and Pacific sardine) are highly mobile and their distributions are affected by oceanographic conditions. A review of white seabass forage species (Appendix A, Figures 3, 4, and 5) revealed some changes in availability.

Both Pacific mackerel and Pacific sardine have stock assessments conducted by the National Marine Fisheries Service and these stock assessments include biomass estimates. Since 2008, Pacific mackerel biomass estimates have been conducted every two years. Pacific sardine biomass estimates are conducted every year. The biomass estimates for Pacific mackerel decreased considerably in 2014 and then slightly in 2016. The Pacific sardine biomass in 2015-2016 decreased dramatically from the prior assessment, and was closed for the 2015-2016 season.

Since there are currently no biomass estimates or stock assessments for northern anchovy, jack mackerel, and market squid, commercial fishery landings were used as a proxy for their availability. Northern anchovy, jack mackerel, and market squid

availability decreased from the previous year; however, northern anchovy landings remained higher relative to the 2010-2013 seasons.

Based on the analysis of all of the prey species, the WSSCAP and the Department agreed that this point of concern was not met because of the opportunistic nature of white seabass foraging.

Other Points of Concern:

The remaining two points of concern (Table 1) consider any new information on the status of white seabass, and if any errors in data or stock assessment were found.

Currently the Department is collecting white seabass samples to assess length/age at maturity.

A new paper by E. Leung and L.G. Allen was published in 2016: "Year-class strength predicts commercial catch 11 years later for white seabass, *Atractoscion nobilis*, off southern California." California fish and Game 102(4): 175-182. The paper showed year-class strength was correlated with commercial catch 11 years later based on ages of otoliths from juveniles caught in gill nets. If this relationship holds true, the 16-17 commercial season for white seabass may be expected to decline.

A stock assessment was completed in 2016 by the Center for the Advancement of Population Assessment Methodology (CAPAM). A sex-specific statistical age-structured model using the Stock Synthesis platform was run using different growth rates for males and females. The model estimated female spawning biomass in 2015 to be 569 mt and depletion at 27%. This value is below the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) biomass target depletion of 40% and above the minimum stock size threshold (MSST) of 25% for groundfish. PFMC policy is to declare a stock overfished if current spawning biomass falls at or below 25% of the unexploited biomass. As such, the Department concludes that although the white seabass stock is above the MSST, close monitoring of the fishery needs to continue because further decline is inadvisable. For more information on the stock assessment, see: http://www.capamresearch.org/current-projects/white-seabass-stock-assessment

No errors in the current stock assessment have been found.

Additional Information

The Department has used one indicator each of some basic social and economic information to characterize the commercial fishery and provided those summaries to the WSSCAP (Appendix A, Table 4). As a social information indicator, the number of commercial vessels landing white seabass has been tracked over time. In the 2015/16 seasons the number of vessels fishing for white seabass has increased slightly. This increase in the number of vessels occurred mostly in the gill net fishery. An economic information indicator of the most frequent ex-vessel price per pound has also been tracked over time. The ex-vessel price per pound has shown a steady increase over time and has leveled off at \$4.00 per pound for all gears combined for the past two seasons. No similar social or economic data are available for the recreational fleet.

Information about the take of white seabass in Mexican waters was considered by the WSSCAP. California commercial fishermen are prohibited by Mexican law to fish in the territorial seas of Mexico, and no landings of white seabass from Mexico by California commercial fishermen were reported in 2015-2016. Recreational anglers may fish in Mexico under the authority of a Mexican sport fishing license. During the 2015-2016 season, Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessel log book data reported 145 white seabass taken in Mexico, a decrease of 25 fish from the reported 170 taken in the prior season. No additional information about either the recreational or commercial catch of white seabass in Mexico is available.

Appendix A - Data Analyses

Table 1. Total catch (pounds) of white seabass, 2006/07 - 2015/16			
Season	Recreational	Commercial	Total
2006/07	253,959	421,388	675,347
2007/08	150,988	653,264	804,252
2008/09	152,799	414,459	567,258
2009/10	215,071	502,021	717,092
2010/11	306,491	520,605	827,096
2011/12	259,028	406,746	665,774
2012/13	265,816	315,533	581,349
2013/14	219,116	262,441	481,557
2014/15	63,125	196,521	259,646
2015/16	96,244	247,195	343,439

Source: California Recreational Fisheries Survey extracted from the RecFIN database at http://www.recfin.org/forms/est2004.html, and California Department of Fish and Wildlife Commercial Fisheries Information System (includes commercial landing receipt and CPFV logbook data).

Table 2. Commercial white seabass landings in pounds, 2006/07 - 2015/16			
Season Pounds Landed	Prior 5-season	Percent change from	
	Fourius Landed	average	previous 5-season average
2006/07	421,388	374,126	13
2007/08	653,264	377,896	73
2008/09	414,459	411,867	1
2009/10	502,021	433,621	16
2010/11	520,605	476,487	9
2011/12	406,746	502,347	-19
2012/13	315,533	499,419	-37
2013/14	262,441	431,873	-39
2014/15	196,521	401,469	-51
2015/16	247,195	340,369	-27

Source: California Department of Fish and Wildlife Commercial Fisheries Information System (includes commercial landing receipt data).

Table 3. Recreational white seabass catch, 2006/07 - 2015/16				
Season	Total number of fish caught	Percent change in number of fish from prior season	Average weight in pounds	Percent change in weight from prior season
2006/07	7,261	-34	18.5	41
2007/08	7,593	5	19.3	4
2008/09	6,751	-11	19.8	3
2009/10	8,788	30	24.3	23
2010/11	12,672	44	29.1	20
2011/12	9,876	-22	26.9	-8
2012/13	10,634	8	19.3	-28
2013/14	9,567	-10	22.4	16
2014/15	3,136	-67	18.9	-15
2015/16	3,793	21	23.1	22

Source: California Recreational Fisheries Survey extracted from the RecFIN database at http://www.recfin.org/forms/est2004.html, and California Department of Fish and Wildlife Commercial Fisheries Information System (includes Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessel logbook data).

Table 4. Sociological and Economic Factors			
Season	Total number of vessels	Most common ex-vessel	
Season	landing white seabass	price per pound	
2004/05	77	\$2.50	
2005/06	95	\$3.00	
2006/07	97	\$3.00	
2007/08	96	\$3.50	
2008/09	93	\$3.50	
2009/10	183	\$3.50	
2010/11	254	\$4.00	
2011/12	276	\$4.00	
2012/13	257	\$5.00	
2013/14	238	\$5.50	
2014/15	177	\$4.00	
2015/16	190	\$4.00	

Source: California Department of Fish and Wildlife Commercial Fisheries Information System (includes commercial landing receipt data).







Figure 1. Commercial white seabass sampled length frequencies, 2010/11 – 2015/16.




***all sub-legal fish were grouped together Source: Sampler examined landed catch data from California Recreational Fisheries Survey extracted from the RecFIN database at http://www.recfin.org/forms/est2004.html.


Figure 2. Recreational white seabass sampled length frequencies, 2010/11 – 2015/16.








8e. Pacific sardine landings and harvest





8d. Pacific mackerel landings and harvest guideline, 2009/10-2015/16

Northern anchovy and jack mackerel season is January 1 through December 31.


Market squid season is April 1 through March 31 of the following year.

Pacific mackerel and pacific sardine season is July 1 June 30 of through June 30 of the following year.

Source: California Department of Fish and Wildlife Commercial Fisheries Information System (includes commercial landing receipt and CPFV logbook data).

Figure 3. Harvest guidelines and commercial catch of white seabass forage species.






Source: Source: Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2014 CPS SAFE document and PFMC proceedings.

Figure 4. Biomass estimates for Pacific mackerel in short tons, 2008 – 2016.

Pacific sardine



Source: Source: Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2015 CPS SAFE document and PFMC proceedings.

Figure 5. Biomass estimates for Pacific sardine in short tons, 2012 – 2015/16 season. Biomass estimates were seasonal after 2013.