

# 2011 Sector San Francisco - Area Contingency Plan (ACP)

## Volume II: Section 9800 –

Area Committee Detail for:

ACP 1 - North Coast

ACP 2 - San Francisco Bay and Delta

ACP 3 - Central Coast



Emergency Spill Notification Numbers


National Response Center 1-800-424-8802

California Office of Emergency Services 1-800-852-7550

**This Page  
Intentionally  
Blank**

## Section 9800

The Map below shows the coastal areas addressed by the three USCG / CDFG-OSPR sponsored Area Committees within USCG Sector San Francisco. These Area Committees were directed to produce Area Contingency Plans for the marine portions of their respective areas. (Non-marine areas are addressed through EPA sponsored contingency planning in conjunction with Federal, State and local agencies and stakeholders.) Originally, three separate plans were produced. In the current format, the plans have been consolidated so that State-wide protocols are addressed through the Regional Contingency Plan, while uniform USCG Sector response procedures are addressed in an over-arching portion (Sections 1000 through Section 9700). Most of the detail specific to each ACP is now included in Section 9800, and the Table of Contents provides organization information for each ACP included.



**Area Committees included in Sector San Francisco**

## **Section 9800 -Table of Contents**

### **9800 Introduction**

#### **9801 Ecologically Sensitive Sites**

9801.1 Sensitivity Ranking of Ecologically Sensitive Sites

#### **9802 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk**

9802.1 Cultural and Historic Resources

9802.2 Essential Fish Habitat

9801.3 Other Resources at Risk

#### **9803 Economically Sensitive Sites**

9803.1 Criteria-for Priority Response and Types of Economic Resources

9803.2 Types of Economically Significant Resources and Ranking

9803.3 Information About Sensitive Economic Resources

#### **9804 Shoreline Operational Divisions**

#### **9805 Shoreline Access (under development at this time)**

#### **9806 California Strategy Concepts, Systems Approach, and Nomenclature**

#### **9807 Glossary of Acronyms and Nomenclature Used in Strategies**

### **9810 ACP 1 - North Coast Area Committee – Introduction and Table of Contents**

#### **9811 Del Norte County**

#### **9812 Humboldt County Coast**

#### **9813 Humboldt Bay**

#### **9814 Mendocino County**

#### **9820 ACP 1 – Reserved**

#### **9830 ACP 1 – Reserved**

### **9840 ACP 2 - San Francisco Bay and Delta - Introduction & Table of Contents**

#### **9841 GRA1 North Coastal (Marin & Sonoma Counties)**

9841.1 Sensitive Sites

9841.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9841.3 Economic Sites

9841.4 Operational Divisions

#### **9842 GRA2 Gulf of the Farallons and South Coastal (Marin, SF, & San Mateo)**

9842.1 Sensitive Sites

9842.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9842.3 Economic Sites

9842.4 Operational Divisions

**9843 GRA3 South Bay**

- 9843.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9843.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9843.3 Economic Sites
- 9843.4 Operational Divisions

**9844 GRA4 Central SF Bay**

- 9844.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9844.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9844.3 Economic Sites
- 9844.4 Operational Divisions

**9845. GRA5 San Pablo Bay**

- 9845.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9845.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9845.3 Economic Sites
- 9845.4 Operational Divisions

**9846 GRA6 Suisun Bay**

- 9846.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9846.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9846.3 Economic Sites
- 9846.4 Operational Divisions

**9847 GRA7 West Delta**

- 9847.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9847.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9847.3 Economic Sites
- 9847.4 Operational Divisions

**9848 GRA8 North Delta**

- 9848.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9848.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9848.3 Economic Sites
- 9848.4 Operational Divisions

**9849 GRA9 South Delta**

- 9849.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9849.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9849.3 Economic Sites
- 9849.4 Operational Divisions

**9850 GRA10 East Delta**

- 9850.1 Sensitive Sites
- 9850.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk
- 9850.3 Economic Sites
- 9850.4 Operational Divisions

**9860 ACP 2 – Reserved**

**9870 ACP 3 – ACP 3 Central Coast Area Committee – Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties**

**9871 GRA 1 San Mateo/Santa Cruz County Line to Natural Bridges State Park**

9871.1 Sensitive Sites

9871.2 Cultural, Economic, and Other Resources at Risk

9871.3 Operational Divisions

**9872 GRA 2 Point Santa Cruz to Pajaro River**

9872.1 Sensitive Sites

9872.2 Cultural, Economic, and Other Resources at Risk

9872.3 Operational Divisions

**9873 GRA 3 Zmudowski Beach to Point Pinos (Includes Elkhorn Slough Geographic Response Plan)**

9873.1 Sensitive Sites

9873.2 Cultural, Economic, and Other Resources at Risk

9873.3 Operational Divisions

**9874 GRA 4 Asilomar State Beach to Point Lobos**

9874.1 Sensitive Sites

9874.2 Cultural, Economic, and Other Resources at Risk

9874.3 Operational Divisions

**9875 GRA 5 Garrapata Creek to Gorda Beaches**

9875.1 Sensitive Sites

9875.2 Cultural, Economic, and Other Resources at Risk

9875.3 Operational Divisions

**9880 ACP 3 – Reserved**

**9890 ACP 3 – ACP Key Contact Information 9890.1 Chaffee Amendment Contacts**

## **9800 Local ACP Response Concerns and Preparedness for Environmental, Economic, and Cultural Resources**

The main focus of spill response contingency planning is the identification and protection of environmental, cultural, and economic resources at risk. Section 9800 is a catalog of environmental, cultural, and economic concerns which have been identified by the Area Committees. Strategies to protect these sites from oil and collateral impacts are included for many of these resources which may be at risk during an event. During a spill event, the sites which may be at risk and the measures which should reasonably be deployed are determined by the probable trajectory from the spill, prevailing conditions which may favor or constrain feasible deployments, and the type of product released and the threat it poses to resources at risk. These, in combination with geographic constraints that impact spill response measures at the respective locales, define the response need and focus response decisions.

### **9800.1 Organization of Section 9800**

Section 9800 provides geographically organized information about ecologic, cultural/historic, economic, and other significant resources that may be at risk from spills, for the three included ACPs. The Sector map on the previous page shows the domains of each of the three included Area Committees.

Within each Committee Area the Area Contingency Plan information is grouped by Geographic Response Areas (GRAs). In some instances, the GRAs fall along political boundaries such as county lines, but emphasis is given to local hydro-geographic areas, where contaminants such as oil are likely to circulate. Section 9800 is organized first by Area Contingency Plan, then by GRA or county and then into subsections for each county or Geographic Response Area. The Statewide template for organization is shown below for each geographic grouping, though local variations accommodate the needs of each of California's Area Committees (not all ACPs have all the topical subdivisions shown or in some cases have additional sections):

#### 9810 ACP Domain

##### 9811 County or Geographic Response Area Subdivision

9811.1 Sensitive Sites (Note: Southern California Area Committees have subdivided this into two subsections one for Sensitive Sites and one for endangered birds)

##### 9811.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9811.21 Cultural Notes (if any)

9811.23 Essential Fish Habitat (usually reference to Section 9802.2)

9811.23 Other Concerns (possible examples "Waterfowl Concentration by Season" or "local eelgrass distribution")

##### 9811.3 Economic Sites

##### 9811.4 Operational Divisions

##### 9811.5 Shoreline Access

##### 9811.6 Other Local Information

Each Area Plan subsection of 9800 has a table of contents following the above format to provide quick reference to include information.

## 9800.2 Response Prioritization

The Environmental Unit and the Environmental Unit Leader are responsible to provide environmental information, trajectory projections, and measures necessary to mitigate impacts. Foremost among these is to provide a prioritized list of protection strategies to protect resources at risk based on trajectory projections. A Resources at Risk Technical Specialist conducts sensitive site prioritization.

Protection prioritization in a spill event should be determined by two considerations: the driving consideration is how soon the oil will reach the sensitive site and the predefined protection priority associated with the site. This second consideration is applied only when there are insufficient response resources to protect all resources at risk before they are impacted by the oil. Responders should not assume that sensitive locales equidistant from the source of a spill are at equal risk from the oil. This means that the urgency to protect a key resource is first determined by the likelihood that it will be impacted in the near future before it can be protected by requisite response staff and equipment. If the sites are too numerous to protect with the response resources available within the projected times of impact, then triage of protection follows a prescribed order.

For the purpose of prioritization, "risk" is defined as "the probability of spilled oil reaching the vicinity of a sensitive site of concern." During an actual oil spill event, the relative likelihood of a site coming into contact with the oil is a function of the proximity of the spill to the site and whether prevailing conditions - the wind, current, and tides at the time of the spill, will move the oil toward or away from it. It is essential that at least a simple trajectory be developed to enable this assessment.

### 9800.21 Modeling Oil Spill Trajectories

During initial response "envelope trajectory" modeling is usually the most effective tool. As more assets and information becomes available, computer modeling provides better guidance. Computer models are very useful, but not often available in the initial critical hours and few spill responders are able to use them or have access to them. Recent reliable aerial observations if and when they become available, are helpful to correct projections whether from computer or envelope models. Regardless of projection method, predictions must be made on some modeling assumptions. Most spill responders have access to tide and current information and can use these to make simple trajectory estimates. The simple trajectory methods are described in more detail in Section 4600.

### 9800.22 Initial List of Site Protection Priorities

Using simple trajectory methods, the list of site protection priorities may be generated quickly by initial responders (typically OSPR Resources at Risk Technical Specialists) and relayed to Operations verbally over the phone or if information is incomplete, upon arrival at the ICP. The sites can be prioritized using the probable time of impact and the prioritization criteria below. Strategies most appropriate can be chosen from the ACP pages and listed in a priority-time of impact sequence. Responders with local knowledge may modify the priorities based on seasonal differences in plant and animal



distribution other local conditions. As soon as possible, more robust trajectory modeling should be initiated through the NOAA SSC as discussed below.

#### 9800.23 Prioritization – Predefined Hierarchy of Protection in Statutes

State and Federal law establish three priority levels for dedication of emergency oil spill response resources.

- First Priority - Protection of human health and safety
- Second Priority - Protection of environmental resources
- Third Priority - Protection of economic resources

Examples of resources that will receive a first priority response (human health and safety) includes:

- power plant intakes -desalinization plants
- drinking water intakes -other health/safety intakes
- public use areas at risk (e.g. fire departments)
- (e.g. hazardous fumes)

Within the Second Priority – Environmental Resources – sites are ranked by sensitivity. This sensitivity may be useful in making priority decisions between two sites if both are impacted simultaneously but inadequate resources are available for concurrent protection (See 9801 below)

#### 9800.24 Further Considerations in Preparing Trajectory Projections

Trajectories and oil distribution maps may and should be corrected with reliable overflight information if and when it becomes available. If viewing conditions are poor, do not assume that the overflight information is better than envelope calculations; unreliable overflight information has resulted in regrettable consequences in past spills. Routine overflights provide the most insight if they are concurrent with high and low slack water since those times will show maximum extent of oil movement. Repeat envelope trajectory calculations with updated information until computer model results are available.

Real-time current measures can be helpful to improve envelope trajectories. Such real-time data are available for many locations through the Physical Oceanographic Real-Time System (PORTS®), CODAR (Cencoos or Socoos), and other online information sources.

Freshwater runoff can significantly change the time and velocity of tidal currents. Estimates of oil distribution will be improved by applying the previous day's deviation between real-time current measurements and the predicted tidal currents to estimate the deviation for today's predicted tidal currents.

Computer simulations are the preferred method to make trajectory projections. Responders should use computer predictions for periodic intervals over the short term future as soon as possible in the response. Computer simulations combined with current

overflight information can provide projections which include scope as well as extent of spill expansion and have greater detail for some local current patterns. The projection images are very useful for determining which resources are most likely to be reached by the oil and therefore at most "risk". Computer simulations are effective for looking at advanced time intervals. For example, predictions are useful for every six hour increment (interval keyed to the maximum and minimum tides) for the first 36 to 48 hours and including any predicted changes the wind direction and/or speed and weather. Normally, computer projections are through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Scientific Support Coordinator. Envelope trajectories may still be used to verify the output from simulations.

Wind has less effect upon the distribution of oil in a strong current (as in bays and estuaries.) First, since oil is moving at 100% of flow, currents are dominating oil dispersion in high current environments. Second, helical flow patterns in currents will usually keep oil in the main channel until slack tide. The helical flow will stop at slack tide and the oil will be blown directly down wind. Oil blown out of the channel during the slack before the ebb (at high tide) may be carried back into the channel by the ebb tide before it can impact shorelines, however, oil blown out of the channel during the slack before the flood (at low tide) will be blown directly downwind until it strands on the shoreline. In ocean environments, winds tend to be more dominant because currents tend to be more modest; however, recent CODAR information indicates that there may be periods of high velocity ocean currents of up to two knots in places along the California coastline.

## **9801 Ecologically Sensitive Sites**

Protection of environmental resources has the highest priority after human health and safety. Both Federal and State laws require that sites having special ecological sensitivity be identified and provisions be made to protect or otherwise mitigate for the site impacts from spills. In California these locations are termed "Sensitive Sites." For each sensitive site, information is summarized in the Site Summary, Site Strategy, and accompanying Strategy Diagram pages in Section 9800.

The purpose of this section is to provide background, definitions, and philosophy behind the site identification and protection. The selection of sites and development of specific protection strategies to meet the site specific needs was conducted using a standardized protocol to ensure consistency for California's entire coast. The process of site visits, training exercises, and discussions allows trustees and response experts to exchange concerns and feasibility limitations in forming protection strategies. Using this approach, the local area committee incorporates input of State and Federal trustees, and stakeholders (industry, spill response coops and contractors, non-governmental environmental groups, and other agencies) to form consensus on the appropriate site protection strategies and response resources. The committee will revise strategies based on new knowledge and to adapt to changing conditions. This information is updated and maintained by the Department of Fish and Game, OSPR, in the Site Information and Spill Response Strategy (SISRS) database. The sensitive site ACP pages included in Section 9800 are produced from the SISRS database, and the database always has the most current information available for sensitive sites.

## 9801.1 Sensitivity Ranking of Ecologically Sensitive Sites

Each site has an environmental sensitivity ranking. The ranking index was developed in order to identify the relative sensitivities of these sites to oil and, in turn, to help determine protection priority of sites. These ranks define the environmental sensitivity of the area and its resources at risk. The environmental sensitivity differs by location or season depending on conditions or the presence of species. Accordingly each site is ranked A, B, or C based on the following definitions:

Category A - Extremely Sensitive – highest concern for protection:

Wetlands, estuaries and lagoons with emergent vegetation (marsh-riparian ESI 10) Sheltered tidal flat (ESI 9); and Habitats for rare, threatened or endangered species (State or Federal); Sites of significant concentrations of vulnerable and sensitive species (e.g. pinniped pupping)

Category B - Very Sensitive – very high concern for protection:

Major pinniped haulout areas during non-pupping seasons; Moderate concentrations of vulnerable and sensitive species; other low energy habitats (ESI types 8A, 8B, 7 and 6B)

Category C - Sensitive – great concern for protection:

Higher energy habitats (ESI 6A through 1) for example: *Habitats important to large numbers of species of sport, commercial value, and scientific interest or species experiencing significant population declines though not yet threatened.*

The A, B, or C ranking should not be misconstrued as defining whether a site can be effectively protected from oiling nor which site should receive priority in operational shoreline protection. Some “A” sites or portions of “A” sites may not be feasible to protect using conventional techniques. For example some seabird colonies and pinniped haulouts may be in such high energy environments that booming is precluded, and the primary protective measures is effective offshore skimming and advanced technology such as dispersants and burning; in fact, collateral impacts from aircraft and other response activity may rank equally in concern. Assigning a response priority is usually guided according to the time by which the oil slick is likely to impact a sensitive site regardless of sensitivity ranking. The OSPR Resources At Risk Technical Specialist will be invaluable in helping the response prioritize deployments.

## 9801.2 Ecologically Sensitive Site ACP Pages

Each site is described on three pages: Site Summary, Site Strategy, and Strategy Diagram. The Site Summary Sheet provides a thumbnail sketch of the layout of the site including geography, size, ownership, resources at risk of oiling at the site, and special concerns including cultural/historic sensitivities. Also included is a list of key contacts having special knowledge or stewardship.

The Site Strategy page provides specific information on response strategies to be implemented to protect the site from marine oil spills. Most sites have more than one protection strategy. These additional strategies may be used as back-ups to the primary protection strategy or as alternatives to accommodate prevailing conditions. It should be understood that the described strategies are intended as initial protection strategies for the first 24 hours of a spill. Additional or modified protection measures should also be

considered. As responders and planners adjust strategies to meet the needs presented by prevailing conditions, they should, as much as possible, do so with the prior advisement of the on-scene OSPR Resources at Risk Technical Specialist and with the approval of the IC. In other words, strategies presented here are flexible and may require modification in real response situations. The Strategy Diagram page depicts the site protection strategies, topography and roads.

In addition to the information here about local sensitivities, more information assets can be accessed through respective government agencies including:

- Site Information and Spill Response Strategy database – Ca Dept. Fish & Game, OSPR Ecological Sensitivity Atlases for the California Coast – CA Dept. of Fish and Game or NOAA
- Rare Find Database - CA Dept of Fish and Game - endangered species both Federal and State listed species
- Wildlife Habitats Relational Database – CA Dept of Fish and Game – species associated with habitat types

## **9802 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk**

This sub-section includes GRA specific information about resources at risk which are not geographically localized, identified, or variable distributed from year to year. For example, though cultural resource sensitivity is noted on Sensitive Site Summary pages, most cultural resource information is very confidential and not published, but local key contacts may be included here. Another example may be bird wintering concentrations. One of the required elements is “Essential Fish Habitat;” any specific local information may be available here.

### **9802.1 Cultural and Historic Resources**

Cultural or historic resources details are noted on the site summary pages when sensitive sites overlap cultural sites. However, most cultural resource information is very confidential and is only available from other sources. The Cultural and Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS) is an elaborate database maintained by the Office of Historic Preservation of the California Department of Parks and Recreation. Access to the database is restricted and similar information is not publicly available here in order to keep these resources as secure as possible.

Mitigation of impacts to Cultural and Historic Resources is addressed in Appendix XIX of the RCP. The key guidance is the draft [CALIFORNIA IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES FOR FEDERAL ON-SCENE COORDINATORS FOR THE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT ON PROTECTION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES DURING EMERGENCY RESPONSE UNDER THE NATIONAL OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES POLLUTION CONTINGENCY PLAN](#). It provides the process for FOSCs to protect and conserve cultural and historic resources during a response through the FOSC’s Historic Properties Specialist.

Details included in ACP GRAs are for local contacts and similar local information.

### **Sources of Cultural and Historic Resources Information**

- Cultural and Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS) accessed through Office of Historic Preservation of the California Department of Parks and Recreation (916-653-6624), local Information Center Managers (individuals who maintain database hubs), and certified archeological contractors.
- Tribal information may best be accessed through the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) - Tribal contact list: (Dr. Meyers - 916-653-6251; Dr Gaublaz 916-653-4082, 916-6575390) and through the cooperation of Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) – who have access to local tribal information which may not otherwise be available.
- More detailed information may be provided in individual ACP material in Section 9800.

### **9802.2 Essential Fish Habitat**

“Essential Fish Habitat” is a required element ACPs. “Essential Fish Habitat” is legally and distinctively different than “Critical” habitat and includes areas important to

sustaining commercial species as well as threatened and endangered species habitats "essential" for the conservation.

### Essential Fish Habitat

Ocean fisheries are managed under the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, now known as the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA). The Act provided the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) legislative authority for fisheries regulation in the United States, in the area between three-miles to 200 miles offshore.

In 1996, the Magnuson-Stevens Act was re-authorized and amended by the Sustainable Fisheries Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-267) to emphasize the sustainability of the nation's fisheries and establish a new standard by requiring that fisheries be managed at maximum sustainable levels and that new approaches be taken in habitat conservation. This habitat is called "Essential Fish Habitat" (EFH). The Act established procedures designed to identify, conserve, and enhance EFH for those species regulated under a Federal fisheries management plan.

The purpose of addressing habitat in this act is to provide for one of the nation's overall marine resource management goals – maintaining sustainable fisheries. As evidenced for all wildlife resources, suitable habitat is essential for their subsistence. Although the concept of EFH is similar to that of "Critical habitat" under Endangered Species Act (ESA), measures recommended to protect EFH by NMFS or a Council are advisory, not proscriptive. An effective EFH consultation process is crucial to ensuring that Federal actions serve the Magnuson-Stevens Act resource management goals. For those species currently listed under ESA, but not necessarily under EFH, individuals and habitats must be protected and consultation with NMFS and/or United States Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) should be implemented.

The MSA requires Federal agencies to consult with NMFS on all actions, or proposed actions, authorized, funded, or undertaken by the agency, that may adversely affect EFH (MSA §305(b)(2)). See ACP Section 4800 for consultation procedures.

EFH means "those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity" (MSA §3). For the purpose of interpreting this definition of EFH: Waters include aquatic areas and their associated physical, chemical, and biological properties that are used by fish and may include aquatic areas historically used by fish where appropriate; substrate includes sediment, hard bottom, structures underlying the waters, and associated biological communities; necessary means the habitat required to support a sustainable fishery and the managed species' contribution to a healthy ecosystem; and "spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity" covers a species' full life cycle (50 CFR 600.10). Adverse effect means any impact which reduces quality and/or quantity of EFH, and may include direct (e.g., contamination or physical disruption), indirect (e.g., loss of prey or reduction in species fecundity), site-specific or habitat-wide impacts, including individual, cumulative, or synergistic consequences of actions (50 CFR 600.810).

The EFH mandate applies to all species managed under a federal Fishery Management Plan (FMP). For the Pacific West Coast (excluding Alaska), there are FMPs, covering groundfish, coastal pelagic species, and Pacific salmonids. Therefore, Federal agencies

must consider the impact of a proposed action on EFH for any species managed under those FMPs. A brief description of EFH identified in each FMP follows. Detailed descriptions are contained in the references following the EFH Assessment template.

*Groundfish:* EFH for Pacific coast groundfish is defined as the aquatic habitat necessary to allow for groundfish production to support long-term sustainable fisheries for groundfish and for groundfish contributions to a healthy ecosystem. Descriptions of groundfish EFH for each of the 83 species and their life stages result in more than 400 EFH identifications. When these EFHs are taken together, **the groundfish EFH includes all waters from the mean higher high water line, and the upriver extent of saltwater intrusion in river mouths, along the coasts of Washington, Oregon and California seaward to the boundary of the U.S. exclusive economic zone (EEZ).**

*Coastal pelagic species:* Amendment 8 to The Coastal Pelagic Species Fishery Management Plan describes the habitat requirements of five pelagic species: Northern anchovy, Pacific sardine, Pacific (chub) mackerel, jack mackerel and market squid. These four finfish and market squid are treated as a single species complex because of similarities in their life histories and habitat requirements. EFH for coastal pelagic species is defined as: **The east-west geographic boundary of EFH for CPS is defined to be all marine and estuarine waters from the shoreline along the coasts of California, Oregon and Washington offshore to the limits of the EEZ and above the thermocline where sea surface temperatures range between 10o – 26o C. The southern boundary is the U.S.-Mexico maritime boundary. The northern boundary is more dynamic, and is defined as the position of the 10o C isotherm, which varies seasonally and annually.**

*Pacific salmonids - chinook, coho, steelhead and Puget Sound pink salmon:* EFH for the Pacific coast salmon fishery means those waters and substrate necessary for salmonid production needed to support a long-term sustainable salmonid fishery and salmonid contributions to a healthy ecosystem. To achieve that level of production, EFH includes all those streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, and other currently viable water bodies and most of the habitat historically accessible to salmon in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and California. Southern steelhead may have occupied as much as 15% of the winter steelhead range in California, but the present distribution in southern California has been reduced to perhaps 1% of the stream miles they formerly inhabited (E. Gerstung, in: CDFG, 1995). **The Evolutionary Significant Unit includes all naturally spawned populations of Southern California steelhead (and their progeny) in streams from the Santa Maria River to Malibu Creek. -In the estuarine and marine areas, salmon EFH extends from the near shore and tidal submerged environments within state territorial waters out to the full extent of the exclusive economic zone (370.4 km) offshore of Washington, Oregon, and California north of Point Conception. - Freshwater EFH for Pacific salmon includes all those streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, and other water bodies currently, or historically accessible to salmon in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and California, except areas upstream of certain impassable man-made barriers (as identified by the PFMC), and longstanding, naturally-impassable barriers (i.e., natural waterfalls in existence for several hundred years).**

## References

Casillas, E., L. Crockett, Y. deReynier, J. Glock, M. Helvey, B. Meyer, C. Schmitt, M. Yoklavich, A. Bailey, B. Chao, B. Johnson and T. Pepperell. 1998. Essential Fish Habitat West Coast Groundfish Appendix, National Marine Fisheries Service, 778 pp.

Calif. Dept. of Fish and Game (CDFG). 1995. Fish species of special concern in California. By Moyle, Yoshiyama, and Williams. Sacramento. 272 p.

PFMC ( Pacific Fishery Management Council). 1999. Amendment 14 to the Pacific Coast Salmon Plan. Appendix A: Description and Identification of Essential Fish Habitat, Adverse Impacts and Recommended Conservation Measures for Salmon (August 1999).

PFM (Pacific Fishery Management Council). 1998. Final Environmental Assessment/Regulatory Review for Amendment 11 to the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan (October 1998).

PFMC (Pacific Fishery Management Council). 1998. The Coastal Pelagic Species Fishery Management Plan: Amendment 8 (December 1998).

### **9802.3 Other Resources at Risk**

This section is available to address any other resources of concern which have not been addressed in previous sections. [The California Wildlife Plan \(Appendix XXII of RCP\)](#) includes methods of assessing transient resources such as migratory birds during a spill response.



## **9803 Economically Sensitive Sites**

Strictly economic resources are designated as the third priority for dedication of oil spill response resources, following human health and safety and environmental resources. The economic sites are ranked using a continuation of the environmental scale with D, E, and F categories. People involved with response planning recognize that throughout California's marine waters, along the State's shoreline, and within coastal communities are many resources of economic importance that could be severely impacted by an oil spill incident. Limitations of time, personnel, and the availability of information caused that not all resources of significant economic value and susceptible to marine oil spills could be identified at this time.

### **9803.1 Criteria-for Priority Response and Types of Economic Resources**

Economic resources that have a greater potential for long-term damages receive a higher rank or priority for emergency response. The following criteria or definitions are used to categorize economic resources in terms of priority for response:

D = Economic activities and resources which require high water quality for their operations or existence. Resources that fall into this category would face severe, long-term economic impacts from a spill. This category includes commercial fishing areas (also have environmental rank), aqua culture and mariculture areas, marine labs, salt pond intakes, aquarium water intakes, etc.'

E = Facilities, businesses, or resources which directly use coastal or bay waters within their economic activity and which are at risk of oiling from a spill in marine waters. The resources falling into this category would face significant disruption of their activity, but shorter term potential damages from oiling than resources in the "D" category. This category would include resources such as marinas, harbors, commercial piers, industrial intakes, and parks or recreational areas.

F = This category contains marine associated facilities, businesses and resources. These resources would face economic impacts from a marine spill, but do not depend directly on marine water for their economic base. Resources in this category will tend to face less severe damages than those identified in categories D or E. This category includes economic resources such as waterfront hotels, restaurants, shops, and residential areas. (Note: residential sites would be evacuated to avoid health risks).

### **9803.2 Types of Economically Significant Resources and Ranking**

Listed below are various types of significant economic resources potentially at risk from oiling- and the appropriate response priority category.

- aqua culture, mariculture (D)
- aquariums, marine labs (D)
- facility intakes [not affecting public health] (D)
- parks, beaches, recreational areas (E)

- vessel or boat traffic areas: shipping, lanes, harbor entrances, river mouths, bays, anchorages (E,)
- marinas, houseboat areas (E)
- ferries and tour boats (E)
- port or harbor facilities (E)
- boat moorings, cargo piers, terminals, fishing piers (E)
- ship or boat repair shops (E)
- tourist hotel, restaurant areas (F)
- waterfront residential areas (F)

### **9803.3 Information About Sensitive Economic Resources**

Economic sections within GRAs contains lists, and/or maps of sensitive economic areas or resources. Below is a description of the types of information that may be provided for each identified economic resource or facility. Some information is unavailable for specific resources identified within this section.

1. Resource or facility identification number
2. Geographic location of resource or facility
3. Brief description of the resource at risk
4. Contact names and numbers (24 hour access if available)
5. Priority response ranking

## **9804 Shoreline Operational Divisions**

Shoreline Operational Divisions are presented in the ACP as front-loaded information to assist in rapid response planning to provide for quickly organized operational objectives and assignments along affected shorelines. The operational divisions have been developed in conjunction with the US Coast Guard, California Fish and Game OSPR, and various Oil Spill Response Organizations. Experience has demonstrated that in the earliest stages of spill response having organizational issues such as this prepared in advance is very useful to the response team.

The shoreline operational divisions are organized and named according to County boundaries. Within county domains, divisions are boundaries are guided by logical geopolitical features such as coastal physical characteristics and land ownership/management issues, shoreline cleanup logistical considerations, and manageable sized coastline segments (generally not longer than about ten miles although some variation occurs.) Logistics, access, and manageability were driving considerations in this effort, particularly as it relates to types of cleanup operations required and problems likely to be present.

In ACP areas having more than one county, Shoreline Operational Divisions will utilize county codes followed by a single alpha character (A to Z). Shoreline operational divisions are labeled from north to south in each county. For example, the north-most operational division in Los Angeles County is "LA-A." In large bays (i.e. San Diego), the labeling will progress in a clockwise direction to accommodate changing coastline angles. Divisions can be easily subdivided (as necessary) by the Operations Section management to provide for appropriate work assignment effort.

Double digit alpha characters (AA to ZZ) will be used for all offshore operational areas and any other special operational areas needed during response.

## **9805 Shoreline Access**

Some Area Committees have provided detailed shoreline access to aid Planning and Operations Section managers in the rapid deployment of field response personnel and equipment on coastal beaches during the emergency phase of spill response. If this information is available for a particular Area Committee area of responsibility, it will be found in this section of the respective Geographic Response Area.

## **9806 California Strategy Concepts, Systems Approach, and Nomenclature**

Every geographic area has its own approach and a certain amount of variability in language. This section will aid responders unfamiliar with California response understand local methods, concepts, and vernacular.

### **9806.1 Booming Systems**

Boom and booming systems are described here to enable planners and operations staff to better achieve their objectives. First, boom terminology used on the west coast is different than much of the rest of the U.S. and the World Oil Spill Catalog. In general, harbor boom (see definition below) is used as primary site protection in the San Francisco Bay/Delta Area, although some strategies call for swamp boom (river boom - see below). For response and planning purposes, harbor boom may be substituted for swamp boom and two consecutive layers of swamp boom are roughly equivalent to one layer of harbor boom. Swamp boom may be used in low energy applications: areas with little chop or waves and light currents.

However, responders should be aware of several issues and amend actions as necessary. Long-skirted booms in shallow channels can aggravate entrainment problems. In such instances, it may be inadvisable to substitute harbor boom for swamp boom.

Also, wherever oil accumulates against booms in rough or choppy conditions, there can often be the problem of oil washing over the flotation. This nullifies the booming. To avoid this problem, protective strategies are designed to avoid collection of oil in pockets (except for the purposes of skimming), and instead, are oriented to keep oil moving along booms to collection or deflection as much as the situation permits. Responders, both in operations and planning will need to adjust boom configurations to prevent excessive "pocketing" so as to minimize entrainment and over-wash. This may mean altering boom angles. This may also be unavoidable and require back-up layering of boom. Some strategies include this as a contingent alternative, but regardless, if over-wash is a problem, then a second layer should be viewed as the containment and deployed in the "shadow" of the becalming first layer. In some instances the lesser freeboard of swamp boom may provide adequate control once the wave has been broken.

Regardless of strategy design, deployment and adjustment remain key to successful booming. If strategies are not properly deployed, whether prescribed or amended, and maintained though proper anchoring and tending, the protective booming will be

neutralized. Every effort by managers and responders should be made to ensure proper execution.

## **9806.2 Skimming Systems**

This paragraph provides an introduction to skimming issues in site strategies. In the following strategies, the inclusion of self-powered skimming vessels is minimized in recognition that the first response resource priority is on-water skimming: the best protection for sensitive sites is to minimize oil impacting sites by best available means (ON WATER RECOVERY). However, shore-side skimming and defection offshore to skimming are integral parts of protecting the sensitive site or nearby sites at risk. The philosophy of strategy development includes the intent to leverage opportunities to control, capture, immobilize or collect oil at shorelines where possible. Once oil has been immobilized, either contained or confined nearshore, oil skimming efficacy dramatically improves. Also, once oil has impacted a site, it may be a reasonable tactic to keep it at that locale rather than let it re-mobilize to impact yet another site.

Since there are a variety of skimming units that may be included in the strategy, this preamble provides an opportunity to define skimming systems so that the elaborate descriptive verbiage need not be repeated in each strategy. A number of acronyms for skimming systems are included in the Acronyms and Nomenclature section below: TSA, SFS, SPS, and SSS.

A skimming system includes four elements: a skimming device, storage for skimmed oil, a pumping device to move captured oil from skimming device to storage, and a power supply capable of enabling all devices.

## **9807 Glossary of Acronyms and Nomenclature Used in Strategies**

To minimize repetitious verbiage in protection strategies, the following acronyms and nomenclature may be used in strategies and in Strategy Pages (and SISRS database).

**Anchoring Systems** – Whether expressly stated or not, anchoring systems must be sufficient to hold boom in the aggressive currents where boom may be deployed. To insure successful anchoring, the anchoring system should include: anchors with anchor buoys to control placement, anchor chains which equal or exceed the weight of anchors indicated, enough line to produce adequate scope to hold anchors (rule of thumb is 3:1 (line to depth), but 5-7:1 for high current areas), and a buoy between anchor line and boom (crown buoys) to keep the anchor from sinking the boom under tension conditions.

**BBE** - boom boat equivalent – the capability of a vessel to transport and deploy 600 feet of Hboom or 1800 ft of swamp boom.

**Boom boats** - a boat suitable for transporting, towing and deploying large amounts of boom, usually crewed with a helmsman and two crew for deployment, usually referenced in terms of BBE. Boom boats must be capable of grounding without sustaining damage. (Also see Shallow Water Boom boats and Very Shallow Water Boom Boats.)

**Bboats** - see boom boat

**Danforth** - refers to “danforth anchors” with chain, typically presented as a number of anchors and minimal weight (e.g., 3/12+ - means three anchors of a minimum of 12 lbs each) with at least an equal weight of anchor chain weight whether specified or not. Without substantial anchor chain weight, anchors will not hold. Northill anchors are equivalent.

**Hboom** - see harbor boom

**Harbor boom** - an inland waters type boom (greater than 18" and less than 42" overall (flotation and skirt)) of a curtain boom design (skirted boom with solid flotation). Early strategies attempted to clarify boom size by indicating flotation and skirt as follows: 9X9+ which indicated a boom with at least 9" of flotation and 9" of skirt, and would be interpreted as at least 18" overall.

**sorbm** - sorbent boom, with or without a skirt Shallow water boom boats - a boom boat capable of working in three feet of water or less, and should be able to withstand stranding without sustaining damage.

**Skiff** - a small two person craft able to operate in 3 foot waves or larger and capable of delivering personnel and equipment to shores.

**Skf** - see skiff

**SFS** - stationary floating skimmer - a floating platform supporting a skimmer and storage – which could be a VOSS.

**SPS** - self-propelled skimmer - a small to medium sized skimmer with its own propulsion and storage – which could be a VOSS.

**SSS** - shore side skimmer, includes a skimming unit, such as a ropemop or weir skimmer and its support pack and a storage container such as a vacuum truck, baker tank, or other tank.

**swpbm** - see swamp boom

**Swamp boom** - a river boom type (less than 18" overall) of a curtain boom design

**Towed skimming array** - a skimming system with two boats towing collection booms which funnel oil to a skimming system

**TSA** - towed skimming array - an array with two boats towing collection booms which funnel oil to a skimming system

**VOSS** – Vessel of Opportunity Skimming System – a skimming system (skimming device, pump, power supply, and storage) placed on a vessel which was not designed for skimming per se.

**VSA** – “V”-Skimming Array -Same as TSA

**“V”-Skimming Array** -Same as TSA

**Very shallow water boom boats** - a boom boat capable of working in two feet of water or less, and should be able to withstand stranding without sustaining damage.

**xboom** – is any boom other than harbor boom, swamp, or sorbent boom. This term is used to simplify equipment tables. A type designator should be used as well as a length.

Type designators include:

**TB** or **TBB** – tidal barrier boom;

**OB** – ocean boom;

**FB** – fence boom;


**OS** – oil snare;

**BB** – bushy boom

**This Page  
Intentionally  
Blank**



**ACP 2 - SAN FRANCISCO BAY AND DELTA**  
**Sensitive Sites Information and Spill Response Strategies (SIRS)**  
**in Geographic Response Areas (GRAs)**



**This Page  
Intentionally  
Blank**

# Section 9840 - ACP 2 - San Francisco Bay and Delta

## Table of Contents

### 9840 ACP 2 - San Francisco Bay and Delta

Map – ACP 2

Introduction

Overview of Planning Area

Unique and Sensitive Resources

[Cultural and Historic Key Contact Table](#)

### **9841 GRA1 North Coastal (Marin & Sonoma Counties)**

9841.1 Sensitive Sites

9841.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9841.3 Econ Sites,

9841.4 Operational Divisions

### **9842 GRA2 Gulf of the Farallons and South Coastal (Marin, SF, & San Mateo)**

9842.1 Sensitive Sites

9842.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9842.3 Economic Sites

9842.4 Operational Divisions

### **9843 GRA3 South Bay**

9843.1 Sensitive Sites

9843.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9843.3 Economic Sites

9843.4 Operational Divisions

### **9844 GRA4 Central SF Bay**

9844.1 Sensitive Sites

9844.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9844.3 Economic Sites

9844.4 Operational Divisions

### **9845. GRA5 San Pablo Bay**

9845.1 Sensitive Sites

9845.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9845.3 Economic Sites

9845.4 Operational Divisions

### **9846 GRA6 Suisun Bay**

9846.1 Sensitive Sites

9846.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk

9846.3 Economic Sites  
9846.4 Operational Divisions

**9847 GRA7 West Delta**

9847.1 Sensitive Sites  
9847.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk  
9847.3 Economic Sites  
9847.4 Operational Divisions

**9848 GRA8 North Delta**

9848.1 Sensitive Sites  
9848.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk  
9848.3 Economic Sites  
9848.4 Operational Divisions

**9849 GRA9 South Delta**

9849.1 Sensitive Sites  
9849.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk  
9849.3 Economic Sites  
9849.4 Operational Divisions

**9850 GRA10 East Delta**

9850.1 Sensitive Sites  
9850.2 Cultural and Other Resources at Risk  
9850.3 Economic Sites  
9850.4 Operational Divisions

## **9840 Introduction to San Francisco Bay and Delta Planning Area**

The San Francisco Bay and Delta planning area extends from the Sonoma/Mendocino County line to the Santa Cruz/San Mateo County line including tidal waters to the Ports of Stockton and Sacramento. It includes portions of the following counties: Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Solano, Yolo, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo and San Francisco. The area includes more than 5000 miles of shorelines in the included ocean and island shores, bay margins and sloughs, and rivers and Delta channels. A paragraph below is devoted to the detailed demarcation of the boundary.

The Area Contingency Plan (ACP) for this area was developed to protect the sensitive and valuable resources of the San Francisco Bay and Delta Estuary and associated coastline in the event of an oil spill. Based on habitat and species sensitivity, sensitive sites have been identified within the Estuary. During the last ten years, 265 sensitive sites have been identified, with site descriptions, site strategies and site diagrams developed to aid in protection of the associated resources from oil and other petroleum products. This effort continues with this 2005 version of the San Francisco Bay and Delta Area Contingency Plan.

### **Overview of the Planning Area**

The ecological concerns, cultural and historic resources, human and economic interests are many. An overview is presented here.

The Sonoma County coast extends 55 miles from the Gualala River to the Estero Americano. The rugged northern coastline is marked by broad, open marine terraces, steep cliffs, pocket beaches within small coves, and sea stacks. South of Fort Ross, the marine terrace narrows and is backed by steep cliffs. Many offshore rocks provide nesting and roosting for seabirds, and haulout sites for pinnipeds.

The Marin County coast lies astride the San Andreas rift zone that extends 650 miles southward from Point Delgada in Humboldt County. The rift zone is clearly visible in Tomales Bay and Bolinas Lagoon. The Point Reyes peninsula is both biologically and geologically distinctive, with at least six species of plants known only to occur on the peninsula. Marin County includes two National Parks, two National Marine Sanctuaries and several State Parks. Natural resources at risk to oil spills are rich and abundant.

The coastline of San Francisco and San Mateo counties is characterized by intermittent sand beaches and rocky intertidal reefs used extensively by marine mammals and shorebirds.

The Farallon Islands, about 28 miles west of San Francisco, occupies only about 211 acres, but is a major wildlife refuge and national marine sanctuary. The islands contain the largest sea bird rookery in the contiguous United States. Twelve species totaling more than 350,000 birds nest there. In addition, it is a primary pupping and rookery area for several pinnipeds.

The San Francisco Bay and Delta Estuary is considered one of the nation's most biologically productive estuaries. The Estuary encompasses an area of about 1,600 square miles, including 700 miles of rivers and sloughs, and 1,100 miles of levees. The waters of two major California rivers, the Sacramento and San Joaquin, and five lesser rivers pass through the Estuary to the Pacific Ocean. The Estuary supports rich communities of crabs, clams, and other aquatic life, as well as providing wintering sites for migrating waterfowl and spawning areas for anadromous fish. Dungeness crab, an important recreational and commercially harvested species, utilizes the Estuary as a major nursery area. Pacific herring, one of the most valuable commercial fisheries in California, spawn in San Francisco Bay on eelgrass, seaweed, and pier pilings during the winter months. The Estuary provides

passage to numerous anadromous fishes such as salmon, steelhead, striped bass, and sturgeon. It provides pupping and forage habitat for a few hundred harbor seals and sea lions. The Estuary is a major stop on the Pacific flyway for more than one million foraging shorebirds and waterfowl.

Native Americans lived near the San Francisco Bay and Delta Estuary for thousands of years, harvesting its bountiful resources, yet changes from these early human communities on the Estuary were small and localized. Beginning around 1850, changes to the Estuary accelerated, as over time the region became an urbanized center for industry, agriculture and commerce. There has been a significant decrease in the size of the Estuary due mainly to diking and filling activities. Reclamation of land at the edge of the Estuary filled in or altered 85-95% of the Estuary's wetlands. For example, tidal marsh habitat has decreased from about 190,000 acres to about 40,000 acres, a result of bayfill and diking to create managed marsh, agricultural baylands, and salt ponds. The Estuary is considered one of the most modified estuaries in the United States.

Substantial changes to the San Francisco Bay and Delta have resulted in similar changes to the associated habitats and populations of fish and wildlife which they support. Habitat loss and degradation have played key roles in population declines for a number of species. Today there are approximately 50 species of plants and animals that occur in or near the Estuary that are listed as threatened or endangered under state and federal endangered species acts.

The San Francisco Bay and Delta and associated habitats continue to be ecologically important for many reasons. The key habitats of the estuary include deep and shallow bay and channels, tidal flats, tidal marshes, lagoons, lowland creeks, storage/treatment ponds, salt ponds, diked wetlands, agricultural baylands, riparian forest/willow groves, grassland, oak woodland, and mixed evergreen forest. These habitats provide critical support of many fish and wildlife species, in particular, key species which collectively represent the immense complexity of the baylands ecosystem. These key species as well as the threatened and endangered species identified require continued protection from anthropogenic influences.

## **Demarcation Boundaries**

The CG/EPA demarcation line runs from the San Mateo County/Santa Cruz County border north along Highway 1 to Highway 35 near San Francisco; west on Hwy 35 to the Great Hwy; north on the Great Hwy to the intersection with Point Lobos Avenue; Point Lobos Avenue east to Geary Blvd.; Geary Blvd. east to Laguna Street; Laguna Street south to Bay Street; Bay Street east to intersection with State Belt railroad tracks; State Belt railroad tracks south along Embarcadero to Third Street; Third Street south to Hwy 101; Hwy 101 south to Hwy 237; Hwy 237 east to intersection with Southern Pacific railroad tracks; Southern Pacific railroad tracks north to intersection with Hwy 880 (approximately ½ mile south of 98th Avenue exit); Hwy 880 north to intersection with Southern Pacific Railroad tracks near Albany; Southern Pacific Railroad tracks north and east until intersection with Hwy 4 (approximately 2 miles east of Antioch); Hwy 4 east to I-5 at Stockton; I-5 north to Hwy 80; Hwy 80 west to Hwy 113; Hwy 113 south to Hwy 12; Hwy 12 west to Hwy 80; Hwy 80 west to Hwy 680; Hwy 680 south to Hwy 780; Hwy 780 west to Hwy 80; Hwy 80 west to Hwy 29; Hwy 29 north to Hwy 37; Hwy 37 west to Hwy 101 near Ignacio; Hwy 101 south to Hwy 1 at Marin City; Hwy 1 north to Gualala.

The northern offshore boundary extends from the Mendocino County/Sonoma County border along the 38-46'07" N latitude to the offshore extent of the Exclusive Economic Zone.

The southern offshore boundary extends from the San Mateo County/Santa Cruz County border along the 37-06'26" N latitude to the offshore extent of the Exclusive Economic Zone.

Because of the contiguous flow patterns, the plan addresses adjacent marshes in the Napa Marsh and the south Delta which, though outside the boundary, are part of the hydrodynamic Bay-Delta system.

## **Sensitive and Unique Resources, and Associated Protection Strategies in the SF Bay and Delta Planning Area**

### **Eelgrass**

The shallow subtidal and intertidal areas of the San Francisco Bay and Delta region support few specialized plant communities. In addition to the more visible cordgrass and pickleweed along the shores, eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) occurs in select locations just offshore and underwater. Eelgrass is currently the only seagrass found in San Francisco Bay. The current eelgrass populations may be the last remnants in San Francisco Bay and are extremely vulnerable to local extinction. Eelgrass beds can vary in distribution, density, and height from year to year. Eelgrass is vulnerable to oil based on its location and physiology.

Eelgrass beds create a valuable shallow-water habitat, providing shelter, feeding, and/or breeding habitat for many species of invertebrates, fishes, and waterfowl. Pacific herring (recently the most valuable fishery in California) preferentially uses eelgrass habitats for spawning. The major subtidal spawning areas for Pacific herring are Richardson Bay and the large shallow area between Richmond and Alameda. Eelgrass beds are important nursery and cover habitat for fish species and invertebrates, such as shrimp, crabs and clams. In turn, these concentrations of fish and invertebrates make these areas important forage areas. The California least tern is known to forage on juvenile and small fishes that inhabit eelgrass beds. Eelgrass is also an important forage for several species of waterfowl such as wigeon, gadwall, pintail, Canada goose, and black brant.

Eelgrass is more vulnerable to oil than most marine and aquatic plants. Eelgrass leaves are rough and do not have a mucous layer like many seaweeds, therefore oil will readily stick. Eelgrass occurs in shallow water and often forms a canopy layer on the water surface, presenting an increased risk of oiling. Oil sticks to the floating eelgrass tops. Once eelgrass gets fouled with oil, oil becomes a subsurface threat to fish and other organisms which thrive in this cover and the leaves will continue to sheen, prolonging oil exposures.

In San Francisco Bay, site specific areas containing eelgrass beds have been identified in the individual GRA subsection and, in some instances, as an individual Sensitive Site. Protective strategies for eelgrass are based on its location and surface exposure in the intertidal and subtidal zones. Eelgrass would be exposed to oil and is at greatest risk primarily in areas where it is found in the intertidal zone, but this oiling can also occur with subtidal eelgrass beds. Eelgrass beds are most vulnerable when eelgrass leaves are at the surface during spring tides, particularly in the summer months. Oil sticks to the exposed floating eelgrass leaves. Once eelgrass gets fouled with oil, oil becomes a subsurface threat to fish and other organisms which thrive in this cover and the leaves will continue to sheen, prolonging oil exposures.

A Sensitive Site with eelgrass as its sensitive resource is given a Category "A" resource sensitivity when eelgrass leaves are exposed at the surface during the spill and a Category "C" when the leaves stay submerged. If a spill occurs, an OSPR Resources-At-Risk Technical Specialist must assess the site to determine if eelgrass is at risk based on density, location and tidal exposure. Specific Site Strategies for protection of eelgrass beds are found in the individual GRA's Sensitive Site Strategy and include assessment and booming recommendations.

## REFERENCES

Goals Project. 1999. Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals. A report of habitat recommendations prepared by the San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Ecosystem Goals Project. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, San Francisco, Calif./San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board, Oakland, CA.

Goals Project. 2000. Baylands Ecosystem Species and Community Profiles. Prepared by the San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Ecosystem Goals Project. P.R. Olofson, ed. San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board, Oakland, CA.

Kitting, C.L. and S. Wyllie-Echeverria. 1991. Eelgrass meadow communities of San Francisco Bay. In: Proceedings of the 1990 Natural Areas Conference, Yosemite Centennial. Natural Areas Association.

Morris R.H., D.P. Abbot and E.C. Haderlie. 1980. Intertidal Invertebrates of California. Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, Calif. 550 pp.

Phillips. 1984. The ecology of eelgrass meadows in the Pacific Northwest: A community profile. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. FWS/OBS-84/24. 85 pp.

San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI). 1999. Brochure: San Francisco Estuary Project, San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary. March 1999.

### **Cultural Resources**

There are diverse cultural and historic resource concerns in the area. The following Table provides some key contacts to address these issues.



**CULTURAL/HISTORIC  
RESOURCE CONTACTS**

<b>Organization/ Tribe</b>	<b>Contact</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Phone</b>	<b>Jurisdiction (County)</b>	<b>Federally Recognized?</b>
Native American Heritage Commission	Larry Myers	915 Capitol Mall, Rm 364 Sacramento, Ca 95814	(916) 653-4082; 653-6251, 657-5390 FAX: (916) 657-5390	All Counties	N/A
State Office of Historic Preservation		Office of Historic Preservation 1725 23 <sup>rd</sup> St., Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95816	(916) 445-7000 FAX: (916) 445-7053	All Counties	N/A
Northwest Information Center	Leigh Jordan	Northwest Information Center 150 Professional Center Dr., Suite E Rohnert Park, CA 95428	24-Hour Phone: (707) 799-7313 (cell) Ofc: (707) 799-7313	Mendocino, Sonoma, Napa, Solano, Marin, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Francisco	N/A
Intertribal Sinkyone Wilderness Council	Hawk Rosales, Chair	190 Ford Road, #333 Ukiah, CA 95482	(707)463-8723	North Mendocino South Humboldt	No
Cloverdale Rancheria		555 South Cloverdale Blvd., Suite A Cloverdale, CA 95425	(707) 894-5775	Sonoma County	Yes
Manchester Point Arena Band of Pomo Indians		24 Maime Laiwa Dr. Point Arena, CA 95468	(707) 822-2788	Point Arena Area	Yes
Ya Ka Ama		6215 Eastside Road Forestville, CA 95436	(707) 887-1541	Sonoma, Napa, Mendocino and Marin	No
Suscol Intertribal Council	Charlie Toledo, Director	P.O. Box 5386 Napa, CA 94581	(707) 256-3561	Napa County	No
Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria		6400 Redwood Dr., Suite 300 Rohnert Park, CA 94928	(707) 566-2288	Marin County Southern Sonoma County	No
The Ohlone Indian Tribe	Andrew Rosales Galvan	P.O. Box 3152 Mission San Jose, CA 94539	24-Hour Phone: (510) 882-0527 (cell) (510) 656-0787	Alameda, Contra Costa, and Santa Clara Counties	No

**CULTURAL/HISTORIC  
RESOURCE CONTACTS**

Jakki Kehl (Ohlone)		5461 Beaver Lane Byron, CA 94514	(925) 516-1670	North Santa Clara County	No
Muwekma Ohlone Tribe of the San Francisco Bay Area	Rosemary Cambra	2574 Seaboard Ave. San Jose, Ca 95131	(408) 383-9318	Santa Clara County	
Pajaro Valley Ohlone Council	Patrick Orozco		(831) 728-8471	Santa Clara County	No