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PURPOSE OF THE SCOPING MEETINGS

• Provide an open forum to further gather input from industry prior to the formal rulemaking process
• Share proposed timelines for program implementation
  • Include additional opportunities for input
• Parking Lot
• Questions and Answers
### AGENDA

- **Introductions**
- **Program goals and intent**
- **Feedback on draft regulations**
- **Draft regulations review by section**
- **Break**
- **Draft regulations review continued**
- **Proposed timelines for implementation**
- **Next Steps & Parking Lot Items**
- **Adjourn**

### TIME

- 9:00am/1:00pm
- 10:30am/2:30pm
- 10:45am/2:45pm
- 12:00pm/4:00pm

### WHO IS ON OUR REGULATORY & PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION TEAM

- Yvonne Addassi
- Joe Stewart
- Cassidee Shinn
- Dan Warren
- Ryan Todd
- Rachel Fabian
- Mike Caliguire
- Nancy Copeland
- Joe Stewart
- Jon Victoria
- Vacancy
- Supervisor
PROGRAM GOALS

• Provide “value added” for the certification of spill management teams.
• Develop regulatory framework for evaluation, allowing for enhanced preparedness.
• OSPR is committed to working with industry and stakeholders to develop a robust and valuable program.

REGULATORY INTENT

• Improved Preparedness: Best Achievable Protection Standard
• OSPR’s drills programs (announced and unannounced) revealed variation in SMTs’ spill response competencies.
  ➢ Ensure all SMTs can perform to a standard
  ➢ Need to maintain a level playing field for SMTs regardless of size.
• Need a scheme for unannounced and certification drills that do not create “more drills for drills sake.”
• Office of Administrative Law review
  ➢ Need to strike a balance between flexibility and over-prescription
FEEDBACK THUS FAR

• Training requirements: Too specific, costly, or difficult to obtain
• Requirements are focused too much on individuals, rather than teams or “training program” approach
• Regulations will drive contingency plan holders towards contractors and conversely, regulations will put contractors out of business
• No obvious method to address “an individual” providing services.
• Regulations do not provide enough flexibility for small producers.
• Timeline should be re-evaluated

REGULATIONS REVIEW

• Amendments to Existing Regulations
  ➢ Section 790: General Definitions and Abbreviations
    • Defines QI, Spill Management Team
  ➢ Sections 815, 817, 818, 825, 827: Oil Spill Contingency Plans
    • Plan holders will identify a certified SMT
REGULATIONS REVIEW

• Round-robin Q & A with attendees

PROPOSED CERTIFICATION TIMELINE: SMTS

- SMT submits application
- OSPR reviews application
- SMT obtains a provisional certification
- SMT successfully completes objectives
- SMT obtains a regular certification

PROPOSED CERTIFICATION TIMELINE: C PLAN HOLDERS

- Demonstrate their SMT is certified
- OSPR reviews updated C-Plan
- Certified for 3 years

10/17/2018
NEXT STEPS: PROPOSED

• Collate all the information provided at these Scoping Meetings and any written comments. *End of October*
• Update draft regulatory language and put out for comment (prior to the rulemaking process). *Mid December*
• Second round of Scoping Meetings. *Mid January*
• Collate all the information provided at the final Scoping Meetings and any written comments. *January- March 2019*
• Initiate formal rulemaking process. *Spring 2019*
• Regulations go into effect within 90 days after Office of Administrative Law Approval