NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by Sections 200, 203, 203.1, 265, 332, 1050, 4331 and 4902 of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific Sections 332, 1050, 1570, 1571, 1572, 1573, 1574, 3950, 4302, 4330, 4333, 4336, 4340, 4341 and 4902 of said Code, proposes to amend sections 362, 364, 364.1 and 708.6, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to Mammal Hunting Regulations for the 2019-2020 season.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview (Nelson Big Horn Sheep - Section 362)

The current regulation in Section 362, Title 14, CCR, provides for limited hunting of Nelson bighorn rams in specified areas of the State. The proposed change is intended to adjust the number of tags available for the 2019 season based on bighorn sheep spring population surveys conducted by the Department. Final tag quota determinations will be made pending completion of all surveys and data analyses.

Other Amendments:

- Establishment of the Newberry, Rodman and Ord Hunt Zone: The proposed change adds this new bighorn sheep hunt zone in San Bernardino County.
- Reallocation of the Kelso Peak/Old Dad Mountains Fund-Raising to the Cady Mountains: The Kelso Peak/Old Dad herd unit has experienced significant population decline following a recent outbreak of respiratory disease. The proposal would reallocate this fund-raising tag to be valid in the Cady Mountains Hunt Zone.
- Amend the contact telephone number that is no longer in use for the program. The proposed Editorial Change provides a current contact phone number.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview (Elk - Section 364)

Current regulations in Section 364, Title 14, CCR, provide definitions, hunting zone descriptions, season dates, and elk license tag quotas. To achieve elk herd management goals and objectives and maintain hunting quality, it is periodically necessary to adjust quotas, seasons, hunt areas and other criteria in response to dynamic environmental and biological conditions. The proposed amendments to Section 364 will establish the 2019 tag quotas, season dates, and tag distribution within each hunt adjusting for annual fluctuations in populations.

Proposed Amendments: The proposed ranges of elk tags for 2019 are presented in the Proposed Regulatory Text of Section 364.

1. Subsections 364(r) through (aa) specify elk license tag quotas for each hunt in accordance with management goals and objectives.

2. Amend and correct the Special Condition in subsection (d)(13)(B)3. East Park Reservoir General Methods Tule Elk Hunt, alerting hunters to the current Colusa County variance which permits the use of muzzleloaders.

3. Modify Season Dates. Due to military use constraints at Fort Hunter Liggett, hunt dates are annually subject to change and may be adjusted or cancelled by the base commander.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview (Department Administered Shared Habitat Alliance for Recreational Enhancement (SHARE) Elk Hunts - Section 364.1)

Current regulations in Section 364.1, SHARE Elk Hunts, T14, CCR, specify elk tag quotas for each hunt area. To achieve elk herd management goals and objectives and maintain hunting quality, it is periodically necessary to adjust quotas in response to dynamic environmental and biological conditions.

Preliminary tag quota ranges are indicated pending final 2019 tag allocations in accordance with elk management goals and objectives. Survey data collected between August 2018 and March 2019 will be the
basis for the number of tags recommended to the Commission at the April 2019 adoption hearing.  
The preliminary tag quota ranges for 2019 are found in the proposed Regulatory Text of Section 364.1.

**Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview (Tag Validation, Countersigning and Transporting Requirements - Section 708.6)**

Critical to the management of California’s game populations is the countersigning requirement of deer and elk tags by an authorized person who physically signs their name to the tag attached to the deer or elk carcass. In subsection 708.6(c), Title 14, CCR, Deer and Elk Tags, Persons Authorized to Validate, it is necessary to clarify for the public and law enforcement that “firefighters employed on a full-time basis” are authorized to countersign, an addition to the other authorized persons found in 708.6(c). Part time, volunteer, or other fire station personnel are not included and cannot sign the tag. The added text maintains the existing regulatory requirement that the countersigning may be done only for deer and elk brought to a fire station.

Wildlife managers and law enforcement officers from the Department believe expanding the authority to countersign tags to include all firefighters will make it easier for the public to follow the law and increase the number of reliable witnesses in the event of an investigation of poaching.

The amendment also clarifies that the authorized persons “countersign” as the required action; corrects outdated state job titles of Plant Quarantine Inspector; clarifies that the provisions apply both to deer and elk tags; and other minor editorial changes.

**Benefits of the regulations**

The proposed regulations will contribute to the sustainable management of elk populations in California. Existing elk herd management goals specify objective levels for the proportion of bulls in the herds. These ratios are maintained and managed in part by periodically modifying the number of tags. The final number of tags will be based upon findings from annual harvest, herd composition counts, and population estimates where appropriate.

**Evaluation of incompatibility with existing regulations**

The Fish and Game Commission, pursuant to Fish and Game Code Sections 200 and 203, has the sole authority to regulate elk hunting in California. Commission staff has searched the California Code of Regulations and has found the proposed changes pertaining to elk tag allocations are consistent with Title 14. Therefore, the Commission has determined that the proposed amendments are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing State regulations.

**Non-monetary Benefits to the Public**

The Commission anticipates benefits to the health and welfare of California residents through the sustainable management of mammal populations. The Commission does not anticipate non-monetary benefits to worker safety, the prevention of discrimination, the promotion of fairness or social equity and the increase in openness and transparency in business and government.

**NOTICE IS GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in the Resource Building first floor auditorium, 1416 9th Street, Sacramento, California, on February 6, 2019 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.

**NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in The City of Santa Monica Civic East Wing Auditorium, 1855 Main Street, Santa Monica, California, on April 17, 2019, at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before 5:00 p.m. April 4, 2019 at the address given below, or by email to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on April 12, 2019. All comments must be received no later than April 17, 2019, at the hearing in Santa Monica, California. If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address.
Availability of Documents

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulation in underline and strikeout format can be accessed through the Commission website at www.fgc.ca.gov. The regulations as well as all related documents upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Valerie Termini, Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above-mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Melissa Miller-Henson or Jon Snellstrom at the preceding address or phone number. Brad Burkholder, Environmental Program Manager, Department of Fish and Wildlife, (916) 445-1829, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090.

Availability of Modified Text

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 265 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in Sections 11343.4, 11346.4, 11346.8 and 11347.1 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

Impact of Regulatory Action/Results of the Economic Impact Assessment

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

(Section 362, 364, 364.1): The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed regulations adjust tag quotas for existing hunts and establish a new hunt zone to provide additional public recreational opportunity and could result in minor increases in hunting days and hunter spending on equipment, fuel, food, and accommodations. Given that the proposed regulation may introduce, at the most, a small increase in the overall number of tags available and the area over which they are distributed, the proposed regulations are anticipated to be economically neutral to slightly beneficial for business.

(Section 708.6): The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed action expands the list of authorized firefighters able to perform a service for the public.

(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State’s Environment:
The Commission anticipates no to minor positive impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs within the state, and no impact on the creation of new business, the elimination of existing businesses or the expansion of businesses in California as minor variations in hunting regulations are, by themselves, unlikely to provide a substantial enough economic stimulus to the state. The Commission anticipates benefits to the health and welfare of California residents. Hunting provides opportunities for multi-generational family activities and promotes respect for California’s environment by the future stewards of the State’s resources. The proposed action will not provide benefits to worker safety. The Commission anticipates benefits to the State’s environment in the sustainable management of natural resources.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The agency is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None.

(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code: None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code Sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: December 31, 2018

Melissa Miller-Henson

Acting Executive Director