I. Date of Initial Statement of Reasons: December 13, 2016

II. Date of Pre-adoption Statement of Reasons: June 8, 2017

III. Date of Final Statement of Reasons: July 5, 2017

IV. Dates and Locations of Scheduled Hearings:

(a) Notice Hearing: Date: February 8, 2017
Location: Rohnert Park, CA

(b) Discussion Hearing Date: April 26, 2017
Location: Van Nuys, CA

(c) Adoption Hearing: Date: June 21, 2017
Location: Smith River, CA

V. Update:

At its June 21, 2017, meeting in Smith River, the Fish and Game Commission adopted zero permits in all sage grouse zones in subsection 300(a)(1)(D)4 for the 2017-18 hunting season.

VI. Summary of Primary Considerations Raised in Support of or Opposition to the Proposed Actions and Reasons for Rejecting Those Considerations:

See Appendix A, Department Responses to Public Comments received during the public notice period.

VII. Location and Index of Rulemaking File:

A rulemaking file with attached file index is maintained at:
California Fish and Game Commission
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814
VIII. Location of Department Files:

Department of Fish and Wildlife
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814

IX. Description of Reasonable Alternatives to Regulatory Action:

(a) Alternatives to Regulation Change:

No Alternatives were identified.

(b) No Change Alternative:

Without a regulation change to subsection 300(a)(1)(D)4 sage grouse permit numbers would not change from 2016 and permits for 2017 would not be calculated based on current year data.

(c) Alternatives considered but rejected:

No Alternatives were identified

(d) Consideration of Alternatives: In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed regulation, or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

X. Impact of Regulatory Action:

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states, because the regulations propose only minor changes not affecting business.

(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to
the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State’s Environment:

The Commission does not anticipate any impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs or businesses in California or on the expansion of businesses in California; and, does not anticipate benefits to worker safety, because the regulations propose only minor changes not affecting jobs.

The Commission anticipates benefits to the health and welfare of California residents. The proposed regulations are intended to provide continued recreational opportunity to the public. Hunting provides opportunities for multi-generational family activities and promotes respect for California’s environment by the future stewards of the State’s resources.

The Commission anticipates benefits to the environment by the sustainable management of California’s upland game resources. The fees that hunters pay for licenses and stamps are used for conservation.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None.

(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code: None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.
The regulations in Section 300, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), provide general hunting seasons for taking resident and migratory upland game birds. The Department is recommending the following regulation changes:

Amend subsection 300(a)(1)(D)4.: Adjust the annual number of General Season sage grouse hunting permits by zone for the 2017-18 season.

Benefits of the Proposed Regulations
Adoption of sustainable upland game seasons, bag and possession limits, and authorized methods of take provides for the maintenance of sufficient populations of upland game birds to ensure their continued existence.

Non-monetary Benefits to the Public
The Commission anticipates benefits to the health and welfare of California residents through the sustainable management of sage grouse populations, The Commission does not anticipate non-monetary benefits to worker safety, the prevention of discrimination, the promotion of fairness or social equity and the increase in openness and transparency in business and government.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations
The Commission has reviewed its regulations in Title 14, CCR, and conducted a search of other regulations on this topic and has concluded that the proposed amendments to Section 300 are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing State regulations. No other State agency has the authority to promulgate hunting regulations.

UPDATE

At its June 21, 2017, meeting in Smith River, the Fish and Game Commission adopted zero (0) permits in all sage grouse zones in subsection 300(a)(1)(D)4 for the 2017-18 hunting season.

There have been no changes in applicable laws or to the effect of the proposed regulations from the laws and effects described in the Notice of Proposed Action.