# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC II	WIPACI STATEN	IENI	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON		EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Fish and Game Commission	Margaret Duncan	margaret.duncan@	wildlife.ca.gov	916 653-4674
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400				NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Amend Section 473 Title 14, CCR, Re: Posse	ession of Nongame Ar	nimais: Nutria		Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculation	s and assumptions in the	rulemaking record.	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation	ı:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Impose	es reporting requirements		
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Impose	es prescriptive instead of p	erformance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impact	ts individuals		
d. Impacts California competitiveness	🔀 h. None o	of the above (Explain below	w):	
	Presentl	y there are no busines	sses or persons to be	impacted by this regulation
ž ž	~ ~	d, complete this Econo he Fiscal Impact State	-	nt.
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that	the economic impact of th	nis regulation (which inc	ludes the fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact i	s over \$50 million, agencie	es are required to submit a S	Standardized Regulatory I	mpact Assessment
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c,			
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits):			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated:	eliminated:		
Explain:				
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	_			
	Local or regional (List a	reas):		
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminate	ed:		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:			
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc			NO	
If YES, explain briefly:				

### **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

			· - ,			
В.	<b>ESTIMATED COSTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in	the rulemaking record.				
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and inc	dividuals may incur to comply with this regi	ulation over its lifetime? \$			
		Annual ongoing costs: \$				
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$					
		Annual ongoing costs: \$				
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:					
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total cost	s for each industry:				
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the ann	ual costs a typical business may incur to co	mply with these requirements.			
	Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, report					
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES	□NO				
	If YES, ente	r the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$				
	Number of units:					
-	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	No No				
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abse	ence of Federal regulations:				
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that	may be due to State - Federal differences: \$	;			
С.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of bene	efits is not specifically required by rulemakir	ng law, but encouraged.			
1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the						
	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the	e State's environment:				
		_				
2.	Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requiremer	nts, or goals developed by the agency	based on broad statutory authority?			
	Explain:					
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over i	its lifetime? \$				
1	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing bu	sings within the State of California that we	and result from this regulation.			
4.	briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing bu	siness within the state of Camornia that wo	indicates and tribs regulation:			
D.	<b>ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION</b> Include calculations specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	s and assumptions in the rulemaking record				
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alter	rnatives were considered, explain why not:	No other alternative were considered.			
	The proposed action was found to be the most effective and le					

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

		ECONOMIC INI	mer bimibilit (comin	(CED)
2. Summarize the t	total statewide costs	and benefits from this regul	lation and each alternative considered:	
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
Alternative 1:		Cost: \$		
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$		
•		es that are relevant to a com this regulation or alternati	•	
regulation man	dates the use of spe	cific technologies or equip	andards as an alternative, if a ment, or prescribes specific dto lower compliance costs?	□ NO
Explain:				
E. MAJOR REGUL	LATIONS Include co	lculations and assumption	ns in the rulemaking record.	
	•	_	gency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and d h and Safety Code section 57005). Oth	-
1. Will the estimate	ed costs of this regul	ation to California business e	enterprises <b>exceed \$10 million</b> ? YES	□ NO
		If	YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4	
2. Briefly describe	each alternative, or c	ombination of alternatives,	for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was p	erformed:
Alternative 1: _				
Alternative 2: _				
(Attach addition	al pages for other alte	rnatives)		
3. For the regulati	ion, and each alterna	tive just described, enter the	e estimated total cost and overall cost-effecti	veness ratio:
Regulation: T	Total Cost \$	C	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1: T	otal Cost \$	(	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$	C	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
exceeding \$50 i	million in any 12-mo	riew have an estimated ecor nth period between the date ed to be fully implemented?	e the major regulation is estimated to be file	lividuals located in or doing business in California d with the Secretary of State through12 months
	NO			
			mpact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Initial Statement of Reasons.	
5. Briefly describe	the following:			
The increase or	decrease of investm	ent in the State:		
The incentive fo	or innovation in prod	ucts, materials or processes:	:	
	•	·		
The benefits of residents, work	the regulations, incluer safety, and the sta	ıding, but not limited to, bei te's environment and quality	nefits to the health, safety, and welfare of Ca y of life, among any other benefits identified	lifornia by the agency:

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

<b>A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	appropriate boxes 1 throu	ugh 6 and attach calculatio	ons and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal You (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californi			
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of		, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bud	aget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal You (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California)			
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and pr	rovide the appropriate info	rmation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in			
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta	te expressed in their appr	oval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc	c. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of th	e	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local	al government which will,	at a minimum, offset any a	dditional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	a new crime or infraction c	contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes of	only technical, non-substar	itive or clarifying changes to	current law regulations.
$\boxed{ imes}$ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	any local entity or progran	n.	
6. Other. Explain			

# **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attain impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
$\boxtimes$ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
[Signed] D. Farrell, Staff Services Manager, CDFW Budget Branch	June 19, 2019
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections in section in SAM sections in SAM sections in SAM sections in SAM sections in section in the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretation ighest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
[Signed] Melissa A. Miller-Henson, Acting Executive Director, FGC	July 16, 2019
inance approval and signature is required when SAM sections $6601$ - $6616$ require completion of Fiscal Im,	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE