

TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by Sections 200, 205, 265, 270, 275, 315, 316.5, 399, and 2084 of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific Sections 200, 205, 255, 265, 270, 275, 316.5, and 2084 of said Code, proposes to amend Sections 2.35 and 7.00, and subsections (b)(5), (b)(68), (b)(124), and (b)(156.5) of Section 7.50, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to Central Valley sport fishing regulations.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Unless otherwise specified, all section references in this document are to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.

Current regulations in subsections (b)(5), (b)(68), (b)(124), and (b)(156.5) of Section 7.50 prescribe the 2019 seasons and daily bag and possession limits for Sacramento River fall-run Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*; SRFC) sport fishing in the American, Feather, Mokelumne, and Sacramento rivers, respectively. Collectively, these four rivers constitute the “Central Valley fishery” for SRFC for purposes of this document. Each year, the Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) recommends new Chinook Salmon bag and possession limits for consideration by the Fish and Game Commission (Commission) to align the fishing limits with up-to-date management goals, as set forth below.

The Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) is responsible for adopting recommendations for the management of recreational and commercial ocean salmon fisheries in the Exclusive Economic Zone (three to 200 miles offshore) off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California. When approved by the Secretary of Commerce, these recommendations are implemented as ocean salmon fishing regulations by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

The PFMC will develop the annual Pacific coast ocean salmon fisheries regulatory options for public review at its March 2020 meeting and will adopt its final regulatory recommendations at its April 2020 meeting based on the PFMC salmon abundance estimates and recommendations for ocean harvest for the coming season. Based on the April 2020 recommendation by PFMC, the Department will recommend specific bag and possession limit regulations to the Commission at its April 16, 2020 meeting. The Commission will then consider adoption of the Central Valley sport fishing regulations at its May 14, 2020 teleconference.

Proposed Regulations

CHINOOK SALMON BAG AND POSSESSION LIMITS

The Department recognizes the uncertainty of SRFC in-river harvest projections. Therefore, for the 2020 Central Valley fishery, the Department is presenting three regulatory options for the Commission’s consideration to tailor 2020 Central Valley fishery management to target 2020 in-river fisheries harvest projections.

- Option 1 is the most liberal of the three options, and allows take of any size Chinook Salmon up to the daily bag and possession limits.

- Option 2 allows for take of a limited number of adult (age three to five) Chinook Salmon, with grilse (age two) Chinook Salmon making up the remainder of the daily bag and possession limits.
- Option 3 is the most conservative option, and allows for a grilse-only Chinook Salmon fishery.

All three options will also increase fishing opportunities on Chinook Salmon by extending the Chinook Salmon sport fishing season on the Sacramento River from the Deschutes Road bridge to the Red Bluff Diversion Dam from a closure date of December 16 to a closure date of December 31.

A minor correction will also be made to subsections 7.50(b)(124)(A), (B), and (D), to ensure consistency in the format in which the daily bag and possession limit for hatchery trout or hatchery steelhead is displayed in the regulatory text.

All options would be applicable to the following river segments and time periods:

American River, subsection 7.50(b)(5):

- (B) From the USGS gauging station cable crossing near Nimbus Hatchery to the SMUD power line crossing the southwest boundary of Ancil Hoffman Park, July 16 through October 31
- (C) From the SMUD power line crossing at the southwest boundary of Ancil Hoffman Park to the Jibboom Street bridge, July 16 through December 31
- (D) From the Jibboom Street bridge to the mouth, July 16 through December 16

Feather River, subsection 7.50(b)(68):

- (D) From the unimproved boat ramp above the Thermalito Afterbay Outfall to 200 yards above the Live Oak boat ramp, July 16 through October 31
- (E) From 200 yards above the Live Oak boat ramp to the mouth, July 16 through December 16

Mokelumne River, subsection 7.50(b)(124):

- (A) From Comanche Dam to Elliott Road, July 16 through October 15
- (B) From Elliott Road to the Woodbridge Irrigation District Dam and including Lodi Lake, July 16 through December 31
- (D) From the Lower Sacramento Road bridge to the mouth, July 16 through December 16

Sacramento River below Keswick Dam, subsection 7.50(b)(156.5):

- (B) From Deschutes Road bridge to the Red Bluff Diversion Dam, August 1 through December 31

(C) From the Red Bluff Diversion Dam to the Highway 113 bridge, July 16 through December 16

(D) From the Highway 113 bridge to the Carquinez Bridge, July 16 through December 16.

The following options are provided for Commission consideration:

Option 1 – Any Size Chinook Salmon Fishery

This option is the Department’s preferred option if the 2019 SRFC stock abundance forecast is sufficiently high to avoid the need to constrain inland SRFC harvest.

Bag limit of [0-4] Chinook Salmon.

Possession limit - [0-12] Chinook Salmon.

Option 2 – Limited Adult and Grilse Salmon Fishery

Bag limit of [0-4] Chinook Salmon of which no more than [0-4] fish over 27 inches total length may be retained.

Possession limit - [0-12] Chinook Salmon of which no more than [0–4] fish may be over 27 inches total length.

Option 3 – Grilse Salmon Fishery Only

Bag limit of [0-4] Chinook Salmon less than or equal to 27 inches total length.

Possession limit - [0-12] Chinook Salmon less than or equal to 27 inches total length.

EXTEND CHINOOK SALMON SPORT FISHING SEASON ON THE SACRAMENTO RIVER

Sport fishing interests have requested the Chinook Salmon sport fishing season on the Sacramento River be extended from the current December 16 closure date to December 31 to enhance late-season fishing opportunity on the river. At issue is regulating the closure date to minimize contact in the fishery with federally and state-listed as endangered winter-run Chinook Salmon.

The Department supports extending the fishing season from December 16 to December 31 upstream of the Red Bluff Diversion Dam (River Mile (RM) 243), which will provide late season fishing for late-fall-run Chinook Salmon without negatively impacting winter-run Chinook Salmon.

Proposal: Amend subsection 7.50(b)(156.5)(C), Sacramento River

Extend the Chinook Salmon sport fishing season on the Sacramento River from the Deschutes Road bridge to the Red Bluff Diversion Dam to December 31.

REMOVE EXCEPTION FOR TAKE OF COHO SALMON IN THE FEATHER RIVER

Section 7.00 includes an exception for the take of Coho Salmon in Lake Oroville and Oroville-

Thermalito Complex, and the Feather River from the Diversion Pool Dam to the Fish Barrier Dam. Section 7.00 also includes an exception for incidentally hooked Coho Salmon in the same area. Coho Salmon have not been stocked in Lake Oroville since 2013. Coho Salmon are no longer planted in the Feather River water impoundments. Therefore, the exceptions for take and incidentally hooked Coho Salmon stated in Section 7.00 should be removed.

Proposal: Amend Section 7.00, Re: Take of Coho Salmon in the Feather River

Remove exception for take and incidentally hooked Coho Salmon in Lake Oroville and Oroville-Thermalito Complex, and the Feather River from the Diversion Pool Dam to the Fish Barrier Dam.

PROHIBIT FISHING AT CONCRETE FLOOD CONTROL WEIRS

Annually, during the rainy season, fish often get trapped below the concrete flood control weirs on the Sacramento River. Fish trapped in these areas are often state or federally-listed as endangered or threatened species and, therefore, should not be exposed to angling opportunity. Subsections 7.50(b)(156.5)(D) and (E) include a “Note” which states that it is unlawful to take fish 0-250 feet downstream from the overflow side of the Moulton, Colusa, Tisdale, Fremont, and Sacramento weirs. However, there is no regulation in place that makes angling near flood control weirs unlawful. Section 2.35 prohibits angling near fishways and egg-taking stations, dams, weirs or racks with fishways or egg-taking stations, and the upstream side of fish screens; but does not apply to areas, except for Fremont Weir, described in the notes in subsections 7.50(b)(156.5)(D) and (E).

Proposal 1: Amend Section 2.35, Regarding Take of Fish at Weirs

Amend Section 2.35 to include and differentiate flood control weirs in the Central Valley from other types of weirs and include a fishing closure of 0-250 feet downstream from the overflow side of Moulton, Tisdale, Fremont, and Sacramento weirs.

Proposal 2: Amend subsections 7.50(b)(156.5)(D) and (E), Sacramento River

Remove Note which states it is unlawful to take fish 0-250 feet downstream from the overflow side of the Moulton, Colusa, Tisdale, Fremont, and Sacramento weirs. These fishing closures will now be stipulated in Title 14, Section 2.35, with the exception of the Colusa Weir.

Benefits of the Proposed Regulations

The Commission anticipates benefits to the environment in the sustainable management of Central Valley Chinook Salmon resources. Other benefits of the proposed regulations are consistency with federal fishery management goals, health and welfare of California residents, and promotion of businesses that rely on Central Valley Chinook Salmon sport fishing.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations

Article IV, Section 20 of the State Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Fish and Game Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated to the Commission the power to regulate recreational fishing in waters of the state (Fish and Game Code sections 200, 205, 315 and 316.5).

The Commission has reviewed its own regulations and finds that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. The Commission has searched the California Code of Regulations and finds no other state agency regulations pertaining to Chinook Salmon recreational fishing seasons, bag, and possession limits for Central Valley sport fishing.

NOTICE IS GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in the California Natural Resources Building Auditorium, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, California, 95814 on Friday, February 21, 2020 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in the California Natural Resources Building Auditorium, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, California, 95814 on Thursday, April 16, 2020 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a teleconference hearing originating in the Commission's conference room, 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1320, Sacramento, California, 95814, on Thursday, May 14, 2020, at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before Friday, May 1, 2020 at the address given below, or by email to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on Monday, May 11, 2020. All comments must be received no later than Thursday, May 14, 2020, at the teleconference hearing. If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090.

Availability of Documents

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulation in underline and strikeout format can be accessed through the Commission website at www.fgc.ca.gov. The regulations as well as all related documents upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Melissa Miller-Henson, Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Melissa Miller-Henson or Craig Castleton at the preceding address or phone number. **Karen Mitchell, Senior Environmental Scientist, Department of Fish and Wildlife, (916) 445-0826 or Karen.Mitchell@wildlife.ca.gov, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.**

Availability of Modified Text

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 265 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time

periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in Sections 11343.4, 11346.4, 11346.8 and 11347.1 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

Impact of Regulatory Action/Results of the Economic Impact Assessment

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

- (a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Business, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed changes are necessary for the continued preservation of the resource, while providing inland sport fishing opportunities and thus, the prevention of adverse economic impacts.

- (b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment:

The Commission does not anticipate significant adverse economic impacts but acknowledges the potential for short-term negative impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs within the state. The Commission anticipates no adverse impacts on the creation of new business, the elimination of existing businesses or the expansion of businesses in California (see Table 2). Minor variations in the bag and possession limits and/or the implementation of a size limit are unlikely to significantly impact the volume of business activity. The loss of up to 27 jobs with Option 3 is not expected to eliminate businesses because reduced fishing days will be partially offset by the extension of the salmon fishing season by two weeks on a portion of the Sacramento River from the Deschutes Road bridge to the Red Bluff Diversion Dam, and by opportunities to fish for grilse Chinook Salmon and other species.

The Commission anticipates benefits to the health and welfare of California residents. Providing opportunities for a Chinook Salmon sport fishery encourages consumption of a nutritious food. The Commission anticipates benefits to the environment by the sustainable management of Chinook Salmon resources in the Central Valley.

The Commission does not anticipate any benefits to worker safety.

Other benefits of the proposed regulations are concurrence with federal fishery management goals and promotion of businesses that rely on Central Valley sport fishing.

- (c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

- (d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.
- (e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.
- (f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None.
- (g) Costs Imposed on any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code: None.
- (h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code Sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: December 31, 2019

Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director