ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IN	IPACI STATEN	IENI	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON		EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Department of Fish and Wildlife	Margaret Duncan	margaret.duncan	@wildlife.ca.gov	916-653-4674
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Add Sec. 132.8, Title 14, CCR Re: Risk Assess	ment and Mitigation I	Program: Commercial	Dungeness Crab	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAGE	CTS Include calculations	and assumptions in the	rulemaking record.	•
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e whether this regulation:			
a. Impacts business and/or employees		reporting requirements		
$\overline{ imes}$ b. Impacts small businesses	X f. Imposes	prescriptive instead of p	erformance	
$\overline{ imes}$ c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts	individuals		
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of	the above (Explain belov	w):	
If any box in Items 1 o If box in Item 1.h. is	through g is checked s checked, complete th	•	•	£
2. The Department of Fish and Wildl (Agency/Department)	ife estimates that the	he economic impact of th	nis regulation (which inclu	des the fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
○ Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is as specified in Governme	s over \$50 million, agencies nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]		tandardized Regulatory Im	pact Assessment
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	450 - 470(max 55	53)		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): 450 - 470 active	Commercial Dungene	ess Crab Fishermen	
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	90%			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	none anticipated	eliminated: none	anticipated	
Explain: No creation or elimination of bus	sinesses is anticipated	b/c any mgmt action	s are expected to be s	hort-term; fisheries diversified
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List are	eas): North and Centra	al Dungeness Crab Ma	nagement areas
6. Enter the number of jobs created: none antic	and eliminated	d: 51 per season		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: Direct: D-crab ve	essel captains, deckha	nds; indirect: fish buy	ers & distribution-related jobs
Temporary layoffs anticipated in the eve	ents of closures occur,	limited in duration be	ecause mgmt actions I	ifted as risk is abated.
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce		ith YES 🔀	NO	
If YES, explain briefly: Oregon and Washi	ington have similar wh	nale entanglement re	duction programs tha	t modify Dungeness crab
fishery conditions if whale concentration	ns elevate risk. Californ	nia's RAMP program h	as the potential to be	more restrictive, but RAMP is
also more responsive to specific risk con	ditions and therefore	could be less stringer	nt if conditions permit.	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the r	ulemaking record.					
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individu	uals may incur to comply with this regulation over its	s lifetime? \$ 6,758,282				
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$616	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 416	Years: 1				
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$616	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 416	Years: 1				
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 1				
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Reporting co	- sts 4-6 hrs/yr x(wage)\$23.17=average\$1	16x460permits=\$53,291				
	Monitoring costs \$300x460permits = 138 K. Gross revenue loses=\$6,	566,991 could occur, if season length is shortened. s	ee Addendum				
2.	t. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Commercial Dungeness Crab Fishermen 100 % of direct impa						
	See Addendum for share of costs by businesses indirectly impacted.						
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$ 116						
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? YES	NO					
	If YES, enter the a	annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$					
		Number of units:					
_							
	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES						
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of	of Federal regulations: State legislature manda	ates CDFW to implement law				
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may b	pe due to State - Federal differences: \$ n/a					
Ξ.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is	not specifically required by rulemaking law, but en	couraged.				
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include a	among others, the	ially of Endangered Species Act (ESA)				
	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: listed species ("Actionable Species"): Humpback whales, Blue whales, and Pacific Leather Back Turtles. Actions to preserve these species benefits the						
		·	ve these species benefits the				
	whale-watching industry and provides other difficult to monetize be	enefits (see Addendum).					
	Are the benefits the result of: $\overline{igstyle igwedge}$ specific statutory requirements, or						
	Explain: SB1309 (2018) added FGC Sect 8276.1 that requires	CDFW to implement a marine entanglemen	t risk and mitigation program				
	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its life						
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business	s within the State of California that would result fron	n this regulation: RAMP could				
	spur the expansion of fishing gear design and manufacturing busine						
	trap gear.						
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation o	of the dollar value of benefits is not				
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternative	res were considered, explain why not: CDFW with	the Working Group consultation				
	considered a number of alternatives. The reasons for the rejection of	f each is discussed in the Addendum.					

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation ar	nd each alternative considered:				
	Regulation: Benefit: \$1,549,697	2				
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ n/a Cost: \$ n/a					
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ n/a Cost: \$ n/a					
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	Monetized value estimated for the benefit of reduced whale				
	entanglements would be substantially higher (e.g. +\$2	M/whale) if non-use value estimates are included. See Addendum				
	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO					
	Explain: Prescriptive regulations are seen to be more equ	itable and enforceable for this fishery.				
•	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the	rulemaking record.				
		Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to				
		Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.				
١.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterpri	-				
	<u> </u>	complete E2. and E3 NO, skip to E4				
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which	ch a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:				
	Alternative 1:					
	Alternative 2:					
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)					
2	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estima	ted total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:				
,.		ectiveness ratio: \$				
		ectiveness ratio: \$				
		ectiveness ratio: \$				
Į. V	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic in	npact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California ajor regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months				
	X YES NO					
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u> Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial S					
5.	Briefly describe the following:					
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: No signification	ant impact on the level of investment in the State is anticipated.				
		The proposed management action regarding alternative gear				
	may spur innovation to develop and manufacture new	ropeless gear alternatives.				
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life,	Deduced manne me by-				
	catch especially ESA species benefits environment. No i	mpact on health, safety & welfare of CA residents, or worker safety.				

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indica current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	te appropriate boxes 1 thro	ough 6 and attach calculations a	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California).			nent Code).
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
		, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's E	Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California)			
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and		ormation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained i	n 		
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this S			
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request f			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue,	etc. from: ——————		
Authorized by Section:	of t	he	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of k	ocal government which wil	l, at a minimum, offset any additi	ional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	or a new crime or infraction	contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation make	s only technical, non-substa	antive or clarifying changes to curr	rent law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affe	ect any local entity or progra	ım.	
6. Other. Explain Local Governments may experience			
Local Governments may experience	e local tax reductions of ar	n estimated \$(664) per year.	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the current
\$ 95,000 for regulation development	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
$\overline{ imes}$ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain CDFW anticipates minimum annual ongoing costs of approximately \$509,129 and reduced Landi	ngs Fees revenue of \$113,081 per year.
State tax revenue losses are estimated to be \$(37,295) per year.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attain impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Signature is on File	01/30/2020
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect The impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta Trighest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Signature is on File	02/06/2020
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE