STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### **REVISED**

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT			
	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	_

DEPARTMENT NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER OFFICE OF SPILL PREVENTION RESPON Andrew.Benware@wildlife.ca.on (916) 956-1423 DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER SPILL MANAGEMENT TEAM CERTIFICATION (SMT) **A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS** Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation: e. Imposes reporting requirements ★ b. Impacts small businesses f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance c. Impacts jobs or occupations g. Impacts individuals d. Impacts California competitiveness h. None of the above (Explain below): See attachment for further details If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement. If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate. CDFW/OSPR 2. The \_ estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is: (Agency/Department) Below \$10 million Between \$10 and \$25 million Between \$25 and \$50 million Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)] 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: 1,071 Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Oil production facilities, rail and pipeline operators, tank vessel owners, MTUs Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: **none** eliminated: none Explain: 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: X Statewide Local or regional (List areas): 6. Enter the number of jobs created: Less than 50 and eliminated: none Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: Plan holders with in-house spill management teams (SMT) may hire more personnel. Contracted spill management teams may hire additional staff to meet increased demand. 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? YES X NO If YES, explain briefly:

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

# ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED) reliculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculation	ons and assumptions in the ru	lemaking record.						
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs	that businesses and individua	als may incur to comply with this regulation over	its lifetime? \$ 14.234,000 annua					
	a. Initial costs for a small business: $\frac{2}{2}$	,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 2,000	Years: annual					
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 2,0	,000	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 2,000	Years: annual					
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$n/	/a	Annual ongoing costs: \$ n/a	Years: n/a					
	d. Describe other economic costs that m	nay occur: None. Costs b	orn by consumers and plan holders (c	oil producers and					
	transportation) will be smaller t	than normal market vo	atility and will not impact decisions. S	See attached for further details.					
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter	er the share of total costs for ea	ach industry: Class I Rail: 5.76%; Class III R	ail: 0.056%; Production: 7.51%;					
	Pipeline Operators: 17.37%; Vessel Operators: 38.7%; Mobile Transfer Units: 1.62%; Marine Facilities: 29%								
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements.  Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$n/a								
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing	ng costs? YES X	NO						
		If YES, enter the a	nnual dollar cost per housing unit: \$						
			Number of units:						
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations	ıs? ☐ YES ∑ I	NO						
	Explain the need for State regulation give	en the existence or absence of	Federal regulations: Federal regulations of	currently only require vessels					
	transporting oil to have SMTs lis	isted in their response p	plans, and do not provide certification	of SMT capabilities.					
	Enter any additional costs to businesses a	and/or individuals that may be	e due to State - Federal differences: \$ none						
c.	<b>ESTIMATED BENEFITS</b> Estimation of t	the dollar value of benefits is i	not specifically required by rulemaking law, but o	encouraged.					
1.	. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: The mean expected benefit of reducing the amount of								
	oil spilled to water is approximately \$26.28 million. See attached for further details.								
2.	Are the benefits the result of: X specifi	ic statutory requirements, or	goals developed by the agency based on b	road statutory authority?					
	Explain: Statute requires the OSPF	R Administrator to esta	blish criteria for certifying SMTs. (Gov	Code section 8670.32)					
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from	om this regulation over its lifet	ime? \$ 26,276,609.70 annually						
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of busines	om this regulation: Contracted and							
	in-house spill management tea	ams may expand their p	personnel in order to comply with the	cascading response					
	requirements of the regulation	ns.							
D.	. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION specifically required by rulemaking law,		ssumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimatio	n of the dollar value of benefits is not					
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: The obligation to certify									
directly from statute. (Government Code section 8670.32) No alternatives were identified that would have the same									
	regulatory effect.								

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:				
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ 26,276,609.70 at Cost: \$ 14,234,000 ann				
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$				
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$				
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  Estimated benefits are about \$26.28 million per year. Costs				
	across industries are estimated to be \$14.234 million per year. See attached for further details.				
ŀ.	. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?				
	Explain: These regulations represent performance standards. They do not require specific technology, equipment or				
	prescribe specific actions or procedures to accomplish the requirements.				
•	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.				
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.				
١.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO				
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4				
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:				
	Alternative 1:				
	Alternative 2:				
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)				
3.	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:				
	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
1.	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?				
	☐ YES ☐ NO				
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.				
5.	Briefly describe the following:				
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: Potential increase in investment as spill management teams expand their				
	personnel to meet the staffing requirements of the regulations.				
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: Plan holders with certified spill management teams may see				
	improvements in their spill responses through the expertise that certified spill management teams can provide.				
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:  Plan holders with certified				
	SMTs are better prepared to respond to a spill, reducing the spill's impact on the state's environment and public health.				

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

] 1. /	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Yoursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californi	ear which are reimburs a Constitution and Sec	able by the State. (Approximate) tions 17500 et seq. of the Governn	nent Code).
\$				
	a. Funding provided in			
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
	b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bu			
		Fiscal Year:		
(	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Y Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californi			
\$ Chi	eck reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and p.	rovide the appropriate in	nformation:	
	a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	rovide the appropriate in	normation.	
	b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the			Court.
	Case of:		vs	
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of this Sta  Date of Election:  d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro  Local entity(s) affected:			
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc	c. from:		
	Authorized by Section:	of	the	Code;
	f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local	al government which w	vill, at a minimum, offset any addit	ional costs to each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	a new crime or infractio	n contained in	
3. /	Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$	375 per affected agency			
] 4. 1	No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes o	only technical, non-subs	tantive or clarifying changes to curr	rent law regulations.
☐ 5. N	No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect	any local entity or prog	ram.	
_				

PAGE 4

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

<b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations ar year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	nd assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ 154,000 (details attached)	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
$\overline{igstar}$ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ 3,750 (details attached)	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	attach calculations and assumptions of fisco
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
[Original signature on file]	1/15/2021
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM state impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Sechighest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
[Original signature on file]	1/15/2021
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fisca	l Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE