

CALIFORNIA AMPHIBIAN
and REPTILE SPECIES
of SPECIAL CONCERN

Robert C. Thomson,
Amber N. Wright, and H. Bradley Shaffer

Betsy Bolster, Project Manager
Kristi Cripe, Cartographer and GIS Specialist
Sean J. Barry, Robert N. Fisher, Hartwell H.
Welsh Jr., Technical Advisory Committee

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS

University of California Press, one of the most distinguished university presses in the United States, enriches lives around the world by advancing scholarship in the humanities, social sciences, and natural sciences. Its activities are supported by the UC Press Foundation and by philanthropic contributions from individuals and institutions. For more information, visit www.ucpress.edu.

University of California Press
Oakland, California

© 2016 by The Regents of the University of California

Copublished with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Thomson, Robert C., 1982– author. | Wright, Amber N., 1978– author. | Shaffer, H. Bradley (Howard Bradley), 1953– author.

Title: California amphibian and reptile species of special concern / Robert C. Thomson, Amber N. Wright, H. Bradley Shaffer.

Description: Oakland, California : University of California Press, [2016] | “2016 | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2015048152 (print) | LCCN 2015050096 (ebook) | ISBN 9780520290907 (pbk. : alk. paper) | ISBN 9780520964839 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Rare amphibians—California. | Rare reptiles—California.

Classification: LCC QL644.73.U5 T46 2016 (print) | LCC QL644.73.U5 (ebook)

Manufactured in China

25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (R 2002) (*Permanence of Paper*).[∞]

CONTENTS

Foreword / ix
Preface / xi
Acknowledgments / xiii

OVERVIEW

ABSTRACT / 3
INTRODUCTION / 4
METHODS / 6
RESULTS / 19
DISCUSSION / 27

SPECIES ACCOUNTS

Frogs and Toads / 51

COASTAL TAILED FROG / 51
<i>Ascaphus truei</i> Stejneger 1899
SONORAN DESERT TOAD / 59
<i>Bufo alvarius</i> Girard 1859
ARROYO TOAD / 64
<i>Bufo californicus</i> Camp 1915
YOSEMITE TOAD / 69
<i>Bufo canorus</i> Camp 1916a

NORTHERN RED-LEGGED FROG / 77
<i>Rana aurora</i> Baird and Girard 1852

FOOTHILL YELLOW-LEGGED FROG / 84
<i>Rana boylei</i> Baird 1854

CASCADES FROG / 93
<i>Rana cascadae</i> Slater 1939

CALIFORNIA RED-LEGGED FROG / 100
<i>Rana draytonii</i> Baird and Girard 1852

NORTHERN LEOPARD FROG / 106
<i>Rana pipiens</i> Schreber 1782

OREGON SPOTTED FROG / 112
<i>Rana pretiosa</i> Baird and Girard 1853b

LOWLAND LEOPARD FROG / 119
<i>Rana yavapaiensis</i> Platz and Frost 1984

COUCH'S SPADEFOOT / 125
<i>Scaphiopus couchii</i> Baird 1854

WESTERN SPADEFOOT / 130
<i>Spea hammondi</i> (Baird 1859)

Salamanders / 136

SOUTHERN LONG-TOED SALAMANDER / 136

Ambystoma macrodactylum sigillatum
Ferguson 1961

SANTA CRUZ BLACK SALAMANDER / 142

Aneides flavipunctatus niger Myers
and Maslin 1948

INYO MOUNTAINS SALAMANDER / 147

Batrachoseps campi Marlow, Brode,
and Wake 1979

LESSER SLENDER SALAMANDER / 151

Batrachoseps minor Jockusch, Yanev
and Wake 2001

RELICTUAL SLENDER SALAMANDER / 156

Batrachoseps relictus Brame and Murray
1968

CALIFORNIA GIANT SALAMANDER / 161

Dicamptodon ensatus (Eschscholtz 1833)

SOUTHERN TORRENT SALAMANDER / 166

Rhyacotriton variegatus Stebbins and
Lowe 1951

RED-BELLIED NEWT / 174

Taricha rivularis (Twitty 1935)

**COAST RANGE NEWT, SOUTHERN
POPULATIONS / 180**

Taricha torosa (Rathke 1833)

Lizards / 186

CALIFORNIA LEGLESS LIZARD / 186

Anniella pulchra Gray 1852

COASTAL WHIPTAIL / 192

Aspidoscelis tigris stejnegeri
(Van Denburgh 1894)

SAN DIEGO BANDED GECKO / 197

Coleonyx variegatus abbotti Klauber 1945

PANAMINT ALLIGATOR LIZARD / 202

Elgaria panamintina (Stebbins 1958)

COPE'S LEOPARD LIZARD / 207

Gambelia copeii (Yarrow 1882)

GILA MONSTER / 212

Heloderma suspectum Cope 1869

COAST HORNED LIZARD / 218

Phrynosoma blainvillii Gray 1839

FLAT-TAILED HORNED LIZARD / 225

Phrynosoma mcallii (Hallowell 1852)

COLORADO DESERT FRINGE-TOED LIZARD / 234

Uma notata Baird 1858

MOJAVE FRINGE-TOED LIZARD / 239

Uma scoparia Cope 1894

SANDSTONE NIGHT LIZARD / 246

Xantusia gracilis Grismer and Galvan
1986

SIERRA NIGHT LIZARD / 250

Xantusia vigilis sierrae Bezy 1967

Snakes / 255

CALIFORNIA GLOSSY SNAKE / 255

Arizona elegans occidentalis Blanchard
1924

RED DIAMOND RATTLESNAKE / 260

Crotalus ruber Cope 1892

REGAL RING-NECKED SNAKE / 266

Diadophis punctatus regalis Baird and Girard 1853a

SAN JOAQUIN COACHWHIP / 271

Masticophis flagellum ruddocki Brattstrom and Warren 1953

BAJA CALIFORNIA COACHWHIP / 275

Masticophis fuliginosus (Cope 1895a)

COAST PATCH-NOSED SNAKE / 279

Salvadora hexalepis virgulata Bogert 1935

TWO-STRIPED GARTER SNAKE / 285

Thamnophis hammondi (Kennicott 1860)

COMMON GARTER SNAKE, SOUTHERN POPULATIONS / 291

Thamnophis sirtalis (Linnaeus 1758)

Turtles / 296

WESTERN POND TURTLE / 296

Emys [=Actinemys] marmorata Baird and Girard 1852

SONORA MUD TURTLE / 304

Kinosternon sonoriense Le Conte 1854

Literature Cited / 311

Appendix 1: List of Native Amphibian and Reptile Taxa Occurring in California / 355

Appendix 2: Public Comment Announcement / 367

Appendix 3: Watch List / 368

Appendix 4: Additional Taxa in Need of Research and Monitoring / 371

Glossary / 375

Index / 377

FOREWORD

California boasts one of the most biologically diverse faunas in the United States, as well as one of the most threatened. One of the key elements of the state's efforts to protect its vertebrate fauna is through its Species of Special Concern program. The current volume, *California Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern*, is an essential foundation upon which both biologists and state and federal agencies can manage the biological resources of the state. California has exceedingly sensitive species and ecosystems, many of which are at risk of extirpation or extinction as the state's environment changes at rates greater than at any time in history.

This book builds upon the shoulders of its predecessor from two decades ago (Jennings and Hayes 1994a), but it is not just a simple update. Jennings and Hayes surveyed an enormous number of experts to create a comprehensive publication on California's special concern amphibians and reptiles, and their volume was a key management tool for a generation of biologists. However, this new book goes several steps further, making it a necessary reference for wildlife and land managers, biologists, and nature lovers interested in amphibians and reptiles.

First, the maps generated for this book are stunning. They are literally beautiful enough to

be framed, and detailed enough to guide resource managers. Second, there are color images of every taxon, generally taken in the field and highlighting the key features of each species. Third, the authors rely on the published literature to the maximum extent possible, pulling in the gray literature only when it is needed (which is often because many of these species are poorly known). But perhaps most importantly, the authors used multifactorial risk metrics that bring several measures of potential and actual threat into a single numeric score that captures the sensitivity of the species. The result is a tool that provides an important first pass at the difficult task of identifying those taxa that should be candidate Special Concern species.

Of course, there will always be important biological considerations that may argue against a strict interpretation of the metric scores, as the authors fully realize. For example, there are species on the Special Concern list that are so narrowly precinctive that the narrowness of their geographic range alone signals reason to be extra cautious about the species. The sandstone night lizard is one such taxon; its geographic range is much smaller than listed species such as black toad (*Bufo exsul*), and we know much less about the night lizard

than we do about black toads. Regardless of the risk model score, this is a scary situation, and the narrowness of geographic range alone signals reason to be extremely cautious. Herpetologists are well aware of extinctions of entire species that were so narrowly precinctive that very subtle (sometimes unknown) environmental changes have caused those extinctions (e.g., the golden toad of Costa Rica, which had a geographic range the same size as that of the sandstone night lizard).

There are other species covered in this volume that will be challenging to manage for their protection in California. For example, the Gila monster (*Heloderma suspectum*) can be found in the extreme eastern part of the Mojave Desert in California (east of 116° longitude), where it has been recorded fewer than 30 times in the last 150 years. Within the distribution of Gila monsters in California, the pattern of rainfall includes winter rains and summer (monsoonal) rains; this biphasic pattern is typical in Utah, Nevada, and Arizona where Gila monsters are relatively more common. Throughout their geographic range, Gila monsters depend upon climate conditions conducive for reproduction by small mammals because neonatal small mammals are the principal prey for this species. However, climate is demonstrably changing in California to be warmer (especially in summers) and with increased frequencies of drought. These changes may not be mitigable at a local level, and this creates conservation challenges. Nevertheless, knowledge of both changes of climate and the biology of Gila monsters is meager, and this signals both that the Gila monster is clearly a reasonable candidate for SSC status and a need for additional research.

In keeping with this example, this volume calls for significantly increasing research and monitoring of these species. This is a recommendation that must be taken very seriously. Change to California wildlife is accelerating at a more rapid rate than ever before in history, and the best chance to protect California's Species of Special Concern from extirpation or extinction is increasing our knowledge of these poorly studied animals. Long-term monitoring of the status of populations is key, and contemporary methods such as population genomics can provide insights into population status and viability that were not possible just a few years ago.

As complete as it is, this volume should be considered a beginning, rather than a final set of definitive answers, for understanding ecologically sensitive amphibians and reptiles in California. It constitutes an enormously valuable benchmark, and also provides solid information about the biology and ecology of amphibian and reptile species in California. Now we need to pursue its recommendations so that we can facilitate the needed science that will help us protect California's biological resources. California needs to expand science and management of the state's precious biological resources so that our children and grandchildren, hopefully, will be able to experience no fewer species than are present in California today. This book is an important step in that direction.

C. RICHARD TRACY
Professor, Department of Biology
University of Nevada, Reno
Reno, NV 89557

PREFACE

California's amphibians and reptiles are unique in the United States for the tremendous amount of evolutionary and ecological diversity that they represent. California is second only to Texas in terms of the number of native amphibians and reptiles found within a state and contains endemic species of all major groups except turtles and tortoises. The state is home to what might be the best-known example of ring speciation (in *Ensatina* salamanders), which provides a unique view into the process of species diversification. California is home to the tailed frog (*Ascaphus truei*), a species that is among the last surviving members of an ancient lineage that is the sister group to all other frogs on earth. It houses reptile and amphibian species with genetic- and temperature-dependent sex determination; species that lay eggs in the water, on land, or that are live-bearing; and species with a two-staged life cycle that undergo a profound metamorphosis, switching between distinctly different habitats in the process.

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (formerly, California Department of Fish and Game) is the trustee agency for California's fish and wildlife resources. The challenges associated with effective management and conservation of these resources are formidable in California, where a large human population, diverse stakeholder interests, and extremely high biotic diversity must be jointly managed. Despite the

challenge of implementing effective conservation in the state, doing so is an important and worthy goal given the vast diversity that the state supports. We have attempted to evaluate conservation status for the state's amphibians and reptiles openly and transparently, relying on both the best available science and the breadth of expert opinions relating to amphibian and reptile conservation in California. We have sought (and received) broad feedback from a wide range of interested parties including agency representatives, academic scientists, and avocational herpetologists and used this combined input to make informed recommendations about conservation risk and management needs for California's amphibians and reptiles. We have also highlighted where data are lacking and discussed how the community might fill these gaps in our knowledge. Our goal is for this volume to serve as both a summary of where we stand and a launching point for what we can achieve in the management and restoration of healthy amphibian and reptile populations in California.

ROBERT C. THOMSON
Honolulu, Hawaii

AMBER N. WRIGHT
Honolulu, Hawaii

H. BRADLEY SHAFFER
Los Angeles, California
May 2015

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was a collaborative effort between the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern Technical Advisory Committee, and contributors from government agencies, universities, nongovernmental organizations, and private citizens drawn from across California. Without the guidance, assistance, and advice from many individuals, this project would not have been possible. In particular, we thank Betsy Bolster for her help and support through all phases of this project. Betsy's deep experience has been indispensable in guiding and focusing the scope and aims for our efforts, and her advice has been an indispensable part of the success of the project. We also thank Kristi Cripe for her efforts and expertise in developing the maps for this document and her general advice on cartography. Sean Barry, Robert Fisher, and Hart Welsh, together comprising our Technical Advisory Committee, constituted a key resource at several phases of this project. Their advice directly shaped the development of the scoring metrics, nominee list, and the composition of the final Species of Special Concern list. They also provided extensive unpublished data and knowledge about California's amphibians and reptiles, answered countless questions, and served as a sounding board throughout the project.

Many people contributed at various points in the project by providing feedback on the metric scoring system, suggesting additional taxa for review, contributing their unpublished data, answering questions, and providing advice: Allison Alberts, Jack Allen, Bertin Anderson, Don Ashton, Curt Babcock, Michael Bank, Anthony Barley, Kent Beaman, Beth Behm, Mike Benard, Stephen Bennett, Carol Berry, Jamie Betasso, Ryan Bourque, David Bradford, Cathy Brown, Jeff Brown, Tracey Brown, Gary Bucciarelli, Allen Calvert, Becky Chong, David Cook, Joe Croteau, Robert Douglas, Erik Dugan, Jeremiah Easter, Tag Engstrom, Bobby Espinoza, Edward Ervin, Robert Fisher, Marina Gerson, Levi Gray, Luke Groff, Ken Halama, Bob Hansen, Marc Hayes, Brian Hinds, Garth Hodgson, Brian Hubbs, Elizabeth Jockusch, Jarrett Johnson, Christina Jones, Mark Jorgensen, Roland Knapp, Linda Kuhnz, Adam Leaché, Dean Leavitt, Jeff Lemm, Karen Leyse, Amy Lind, Jeff Lovich, Clark Marhdt, Mike Oldham, Ted Papenfuss, Jim Parham, Greg Pauly, Ryan Peek, Michael Peters, Jonah Piovia-Scott, Karen Pope, Sean Reilly, Jonathan Richmond, Jim Rorabaugh, Sean Rovito, Wesley Savage, Kirk Setser, Jackson Shedd, Christina Sousa, Lara Sparks, Phillip Spinks, Mike Sredl, Rick Staub, Sam Sweet, John Swett, Dick Tracy, Michael van Hattem, Kent

Vansoo, David Wake, Ian Wang, Nick Waters, Clara Wheeler, Dustin Wood, and Brenda Zaun.

Several museum collections and other sources of locality data allowed the use of their data in constructing the species range maps. These data greatly improved the quality of the maps, and we appreciate the generosity of these collections: American Museum of Natural History, Arizona State University, Brigham Young University, California Academy of Sciences, California Academy of Sciences (Stanford University Collection), California State University at Chico, Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Cincinnati Museum Center, Cornell University Museum of Vertebrates, Humboldt State University, Los Angeles County Museum, Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard University, Museum of Vertebrate Zoology at University of California, Berkeley, National Museum of Natural History, Royal Ontario Museum, San Diego Natural History Museum, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Slater Museum of Natural History, Sternberg Museum of Natural History, University of Alberta Museum of Zoology, University of Arizona Museum of Natural History, University of California, Davis – Zoology Collection, University of California, Santa Barbara, University of Colorado Museum of Natural History, University of Michigan Museum of Zoology, University of Nevada, Reno, University of Texas at El Paso, Yale Peabody Museum, and the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences. In addition to museum collections, we also appreciate the locality information that we obtained from the following sources: CalPhotos (UC Berkeley), California Biogeographic Information and Observation System, California Natural Diversity Database, Mendocino Redwood Company, North American Field Herping Association, United States Forest Service, and the United States Geological Survey. Finally, we would like to thank several individuals that contributed the photographs for use in this book: John Andermann, Beth Behm, Adam Clause, William Flaxington, Brian Freiermuth, Rob Grasso, Robert Hansen, Nicholas Hess, Robert Hess, Troy

Hibbitts, Jeff Lemm, Rob Lovich, Luke Mahler, Rob Schell, Barrett Scurlock, and Jackson Shedd.

The review process for this document was extensive, and we appreciate the effort that so many people made toward reading, editing, and improving the document. In particular, Betsy Bolster, Lyanne Comrack, Scott Osborn, Laura Patterson, and Dale Steele provided an extensive review of the document and provided guidance on policy-related matters. We also thank the large group of dedicated individuals who provided peer reviews of one or more species accounts or the document as a whole: Bernard Aguilar, Adam Backlin, Amy Bailey, Cam Barrows, Sean Barry, Kent Beaman, Mike Benard, Bob Bezy, Jeff Boundy, David Bradford, Jennifer Bull, Bruce Bury, Becky Chong, Jack Crayon, Catherine Darst, Carlos Davidson, Lowell Diller, Paul Divine, Dawne Emery, Tag Engstrom, James Erdman, Ed Ervin, Bobby Espinoza, Gary Fellers, Mark Fisher, Robert Fisher, Terra Fuller, Justin Garwood, Dave Germano, Levi Gray, Luke Groff, Bob Hansen, Marc Hayes, Brian Hinds, Garth Hodgson, Jef Jaeger, Elizabeth Jockusch, Sharon Keeney, Roland Knapp, Shawn Kuchta, Sarah Kupferberg, Kris Lappin, Dean Leavitt, Christina Liang, Amy Lind, Mitch Lockhart, Jeff Lovich, Rob Lovich, Winsor Lowe, Clark Mahrtdt, Steve Morey, Peter Moyle, Bob Murphy, Al Muth, Ted Papenfuss, Jim Parham, Greg Pauly, Chris Pearl, Jonah Piovia-Scott, Karen Pope, Sean Reilly, Carlton Rochester, Wesley Savage, Arthur Shapiro, Jackson Shedd, Phillip Spinks, Glenn Stewart, Paul Stone, Sam Sweet, Michael van Hattem, David Wake, Ian Wang, Nick Waters, Jim Weigand, and Hart Welsh.

Many current and former members of the Department of Biology at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa and the Department of Evolution and Ecology at the University of California at Davis also gave a substantial amount of time to this project. In particular, we would like to thank Anthony Barley, Gideon Bradburd, Adam Clause, Levi Gray, Ben Johnson, Jarrett Johnson, Max Lambert, Genevieve Mount, Cathy Newman, Greg Pauly, Maureen Ryan, Robyn Screen, Chris Searcy, Phillip Spinks, and Ian

Wang for reading and providing feedback on several different parts of the project, as well as serving as a source of ideas and feedback. Adam Clause also generously donated the use of several photos for the project's website.

Primary financial support for the Amphibian and Reptile Species of Special Concern

project was provided by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's Nongame Wildlife Program via a State 2006-07 One-Time General Fund Augmentation for Nongame Fish and Wildlife Trust Resources and State Wildlife Grant T-28-R-1 from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.