

1 **The Efficiency of Searchers Recovering Seabirds and Waterfowl Killed in the 1997**
2 ***M/V Kure Oil Spill in Northern California***

3
4 R. GLENN FORD¹

5 *R.G. Ford Consulting Company, 2735 N.E. Weidler Street, Portland, Oregon 97232*

6 BRIAN E. SHARP

7 *Ecological Perspectives, 2625 N.E. Clackamas Street, Portland, Oregon 97232*

8 AND

9 MATTHEW ZAFONTE

10 *California Department of Fish and Game, Office of Spill Prevention and Response, P.O.*

11 *Box 944209, Sacramento 94244*

12
13 ABSTRACT.-- Studies on the efficiency of searchers looking for the carcasses of
14 beached birds were carried out in northern California following the 1997 M/V Kure oil
15 spill in Humboldt Bay. Experimental protocols were designed to mimic as closely as
16 possible the circumstances of the actual incident. The performance of 20 searchers or
17 search teams was monitored during 477 encounters between searchers and carcasses in
18 three habitats, sandy beach, rocky beach, and marsh. Searcher efficiency varied widely,
19 from 12.5% to 55.3%, depending on carcass coloration, habitat, and carcass size. The
20 most important factor in determining searcher efficiency was consistently body size.
21 There was significant heterogeneity in the probability of finding the carcasses of large
22 bodied birds, some carcasses being very easy to find and some very difficult.

23

¹ Corresponding author: Telephone: (503)287-5173; Fax: (503)282-0799; email: eci@teleport.com

24

25

INTRODUCTION

26

Beached bird surveys are used throughout the world as a way of monitoring the health of seabird populations and of assessing the scale of natural or human induced die-offs (Camphuysen and Huebeck, 2001) . These surveys provide useful indices of relative mortality, but do not provide estimates of total mortality because birds are never recovered. Birds may (1) sink at sea, (2) be removed by scavengers or other processes, or (3) be missed by surveyors (Ford *et al.*, 1996). Based on the results of 17 experiments in which researchers released carcasses at sea and then searched the shoreline for them, Piatt and Ford (1996) found that typically only about 1 in 5 birds that die at sea are ever found. Searcher efficiency, the success rate of beached bird surveyors in finding carcasses, is an important factor determining the proportion of carcasses that are eventually be recovered.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

It is surprisingly easy for surveyors to miss beached birds. Wide or wrack filled beaches are visually difficult environments, and birds can easily be hidden in small depressions, blend in with other types of wrack, or be too far away to recognize. Monnat and Guermeur (1979) record that on a sunny day on an "unobstructed beach" 2 km long, only one carcass of nine (11%) was detected by a team of observers when "it seemed impossible to us that an oiled bird could escape detection." They also note that P. Hope Jones found that "an observer trained in the research of oiled birds" missed an average of 1 in 5 birds on an "easy-to-search beach" in the Orkneys. Fowler and Flint (1997) found detection rates varying from 44% to 94% for King Eiders following an oil spill off St.

46 Paul Island in 1996, though the large body size of the birds and the snow fall
47 encountered during their study were unusual for beached bird surveys.

48 Searcher efficiency is also an important issue for researchers studying bird or bat
49 mortality in a terrestrial setting. Accurate estimation of pesticide or wind-turbine induced
50 mortality requires that carcass counts be corrected for the likelihood that searchers will
51 find carcasses in a variety of contexts such as croplands, grassland, stubble fields, etc.
52 (see for example Osborn *et al.*, 2000; Erickson *et al.*, 2000; Johnson *et al.*, 2004; or
53 Barrios and Rodríguez, 2004). Although the linear nature of shorelines would seem to
54 make searching easier than in terrestrial habitats, the buildup and movement of wrack,
55 wave action, and the sometimes uneven topography of beaches result in many carcasses
56 being overlooked.

57 Aside from Fowler and Flint, we know of no published data that measure searcher
58 efficiency in the kind of habitat typically encountered in beach surveys. The data
59 presented here result from studies carried out in 1998 as part of the damage assessment
60 for the 1997 *M/V Kure* oil spill in Humboldt Bay, California, and were designed to
61 replicate as closely as possible the actual methodologies used by spill responders.
62 Searcher efficiency studies were carried out in three habitats that were typical of the area
63 affected by the spill and of the Pacific coast of the continental USA in general: sandy
64 beach, rocky beach, and marsh. Modes of search were based on techniques actually used
65 by survey personnel during the response to the *M/V Kure* oil spill, including walking, all-
66 terrain vehicles (ATVs), and light trucks. The goal was to estimate the likelihood that
67 searchers would detect beachcast seabird carcasses, and to determine some of the factors
68 that influence the carcass detection rate.

69

70

METHODS

71

STUDY AREA

72

On November 5, 1997, the cargo ship *M/V Kure* struck a loading dock in Humboldt

73

Bay, California, rupturing a fuel tank and spilling about 4,500 gallons of fuel oil into the

74

bay. The resultant slick moved out of the bay on successive ebb tides and drifted

75

northward, resulting in the deposition of oil and dead or injured birds along a 50 km

76

stretch of coastline extending from the mouth of Humboldt Bay ($40^{\circ} 44.27' N$, 124°

77

$15.03' W$) north beyond Trinidad Head ($41^{\circ} 8.77' N$, $124^{\circ} 8.47' W$). Oil spill response

78

personnel searched beaches both within the bay and along the outer coast, ultimately

79

recovering 951 seabirds and waterfowl. As part of the subsequent National Resource

80

Damage Assessment (NRDA) for the spill, it was estimated that a total of 2012 birds

81

were actually killed in the incident (Carter, 2003).

82

As part of the NRDA procedure, a study was undertaken in March, 1999 to estimate

83

searcher efficiency for seabirds and waterfowl in the types of habitat affected by the spill:

84

outer coast sandy beach, outer coast rocky beach, and bay interior marsh. The sandy

85

beach study site extended for 4 km from Mad River to Little River north of the Humboldt

86

Bay entrance, the rocky beach study site was located on a 350 m stretch of Luffenholtz

87

Beach near the town of Trinidad, and the marsh study site was located on a 7 hectare plot

88

on Indian Island in central Humboldt Bay.

89

90

91

92

BIRDS

93 Carcasses were obtained from the California Department of Fish and Game and the
94 Burke Museum, University of Washington, and consisted primarily of Common Murres,
95 gulls, grebes, ducks, and cormorants (Table 1). To determine searcher efficiency relative
96 to smaller birds (which are rare in most collections of beach cast birds), we used Brown-
97 headed Cowbirds which ranged in size from about 15.2-20.3 cm in length. Cowbirds
98 have relatively dark ventral coloration matching their dorsal coloration, whereas most
99 small seabirds and shorebirds are lighter colored on their undersides. To make these
100 specimens more comparable to small birds affected by the spill, we sprayed the
101 undersides of these birds with a light coat of white paint.

102

103

STUDY AREAS

104 In all three habitats, the general methodology was to place carcasses at randomly
105 selected locations, simulating the process of deposition that would occur naturally. These
106 habitats were then searched by experienced spill response personnel using the same
107 techniques that were used during the *M/V Kure* oil spill response, recording the positions
108 and status of each carcasses that they found. Depending on terrain, searches were carried
109 out on foot, from ATV's, or from light pickup trucks. Because search techniques varied
110 somewhat among the three habitats, experimental techniques also varied as described
111 below.

112 *Sandy Beach.*-- The sandy beach study area varied in width, from about 10 m to 100m.
113 The area behind the beach was generally either marsh grass, particularly near river
114 outlets, or low sandy bluffs. Placement of carcasses and search trials took place on

115 March 1-2, 1999. Distances between adjacent carcasses were based on a uniform random
116 variate ranging from 0 to 200 meters, with an average distance of 100 meters between
117 carcasses. If the randomized position fell on an unusable stretch of shoreline such as a
118 creek mouth, the carcass was placed in the first position possible. Once the position
119 along the beach was determined, carcasses were randomly placed between the waterline
120 and the upper wrack line. Latitude / longitude positions were recorded using a GPS, and
121 the placement was also marked using flagging that was not visible from the beach, but
122 could be seen from the back of the dunes behind the beach face. The entire length of
123 beach was about 4 km (40 birds at 100 m average spacing).

124 A total of 19 cowbirds carcasses were placed out on March 1, 1999. During the
125 subsequent trials, searchers found many of the other waterbird carcasses, but failed to
126 locate any of the cowbird carcasses. On the second day of this study, the ventral side of
127 the cowbirds, originally a dark brown color, was painted white to make them more
128 similar in appearance to small seabirds such as Marbled Murrelets and Cassin's Auklets.
129 All data presented in this paper are based on these modified carcasses. A flip of a coin
130 was used to determine whether a large-bodied or small-bodied carcass was to be placed at
131 each position.

132 Carcasses were set in position on foot prior to high tide. At each site where a carcass
133 was to be placed, the beach was visually divided into four quadrants above the high tide
134 line and the quadrant chosen by two flips of a coin. The carcass was then randomly
135 placed within the appropriate quadrant. Whenever possible, personnel engaged in
136 carcass placement moved between the ascending tide and the wrack line so that the rising
137 tide would obscure footprints. Although some footprints inevitably remained along the

138 beach, the study area already had a high density of footprints and it is unlikely that these
139 provided additional cues to the searchers. At half hour intervals following high tide,
140 searchers who were unfamiliar with the placement of the carcasses proceeded along the
141 beach. Four searchers rode on ATVs, and four rode as passengers in a light pickup truck.
142 To avoid cues from the tracks of vehicles in the sand, pickup truck drivers and ATV
143 operators were instructed not to drive directly up to carcasses or to leave their vehicles
144 and walk over to carcasses, but rather to verify their identification using binoculars.
145 Details of sample sizes and number of searchers are provided in Tables 2 and 3.

146 During an oil spill response, searchers have limited time to survey any stretch of
147 coastline. During the *M/V Kure* response, the records of searchers in vehicles showed
148 that they examined about 4 km of beach in one hour. Searchers were therefore allowed
149 one hour to examine the area where carcasses had been placed: carcasses found after one
150 hour were not used in the calculation of search efficiency. After the last search on a
151 given day was completed, carcasses were retrieved by the personnel who originally
152 placed them. Carcasses that they were unable to relocate are not used in the calculation
153 of search efficiency, since they may have reworked or been removed by scavengers
154 before searchers could find them.

155 *Rocky Beach.*-- Rocky beaches along this section of coast tend to be steep, difficult to
156 access, and relatively short in length: the length of Luffenholtz beach varied between
157 about 250 m and 350 m depending on the state of the tide. The rocky beach study site
158 varied from about 10m to 25 m in width, and was about 20% sand and 80% cobble and
159 small boulders. Distances between adjacent carcasses were based on a uniform random
160 variate ranging from 0 to 100 meters, with an average distance of 50 meters between

161 carcasses. Since beach length varied, the number of birds placed out in a given trial was
162 determined by the length of beach available at the time. The size class of the carcass
163 placed at each location was determined by the flip of a coin. Since foot searchers on
164 rocky beaches moved at a rate of about 1 to 1.5 km/hr during the actual spill response,
165 searchers were allowed 15 minutes to complete each trial. Details of sample sizes and
166 number of searchers are provided in Tables 2 and 3.

167 *Marsh.*-- Access to the marsh study area on Indian Island was by airboat, but carcass
168 placement and search trials were carried out on foot. This area was above the high tide
169 line, but was periodically inundated and criss-crossed with tidal channels 1-2 m in width.
170 A set of two-dimensional uniform random coordinates were used to place the carcasses
171 within the study area. Large or small-bodied carcasses were randomly placed at each
172 randomized coordinate located using a GPS. Carcasses were left in position over the
173 night of March 4-5 since earlier studies on carcass removal rates indicated that carcass
174 persistence was high in this area. (Ford *et al.*, 2002). Details of sample sizes and number
175 of searchers are provided in Tables 2 and 3.

176 Searchers in the marsh worked in pairs as during the response to the M/V Kure oil
177 spill. Each team was given 2 hours to search the study area, consistent with the amount
178 of time that searchers spent in marsh areas during the response. One pair searched before
179 high tide and the other pair after high tide on each day of the study. Each individual
180 searcher participated only once in these trials. After locating a carcass, searchers noted
181 its position using GPS and whether or not it had been scavenged.

182

183

RESULTS

184 For this analysis, the searcher efficiency rate was defined as the probability that a
185 searcher would locate a carcass that was present within the designated study area within
186 the allotted time frame. Thus, if a searcher found 15 out of 20 carcasses, the estimated
187 searcher efficiency rate would be 0.75 or 75%. Only carcasses that were still present
188 after all trials were completed were used in the analysis.

189 Searcher efficiency rates for all combinations of habitat, search methods, and carcass
190 sizes are given in Table 2. Searcher efficiencies ranged from 12.5% for small dark birds
191 on a sandy beach to 55.3% for large birds on a rocky beach. In all cases, searcher
192 efficiency was lower for small birds than for large birds. Searcher efficiency was highest
193 on the rocky beach and similar in the marsh and sandy beach habitats. On sandy beaches,
194 the type of vehicle used by searchers, pickup trucks or ATVs, had little effect on their
195 efficiency.

196 Results of the Cochran's Q test are shown in Table 3. In 8 sets of replicated searches,
197 there were no significant differences among searchers or among search teams. We
198 therefore cannot reject the hypothesis that different individuals or search teams have the
199 same efficiency rate. While it is likely that there minor differences between individual
200 searchers, the lack of a significant difference in all 8 sets of trials suggests that the
201 variation was not a major factor influencing estimates of searcher efficiency.

202 Searchers riding on ATVs were somewhat more effective than searchers riding in
203 pickup trucks, respectively locating 33 of 98 carcasses compared to 27 of 98 carcasses.
204 Based on the two-tailed binomial probability test, the likelihood of this degree of
205 difference occurring randomly if the two rates are in fact equal is not significant

206 (P=0.167), and the hypothesis that the two search modes are equally efficient cannot be
207 rejected.

208 We tested the hypothesis that all carcasses have the same probability of being found
209 (as compared to some carcasses being very easy to find and others being very difficult)
210 by simulating the situation where each searcher/carcass interaction was an independent
211 random event in which all carcasses had equal likelihood of being found. We compared
212 the observed number of carcasses that were found or missed by all searchers to the
213 probability that this would have happened if all the carcasses were equally likely to be
214 found each time a searcher passed them (Table 4). For large birds in all three habitats, it
215 was much more likely that a bird would be found by all searchers or by no searchers than
216 would have been the case if all birds were equally difficult to find, indicating that the
217 carcasses of large birds varied in regard to the likelihood that they would be found. This
218 was not true for small birds which did not show a significantly higher incidence of birds
219 that were found by all searchers or that were missed by all searchers.

220 Habitat and body size are factors that potentially affect searcher efficiency, and these
221 two factors may interact in ways that cannot be accounted for by either factor alone. We
222 used a multivariate approach for examining whether carcass size and habitat affect the
223 likelihood that a carcass will be found. Classical multiple regression models are not
224 appropriate in this case since the frequency with which the carcasses were found is
225 strictly bounded between 0 and 4, and the residuals therefore do not have an expectation
226 of zero and constant variance. The ordered logit regression model for ordered categorical
227 dependent variables is designed for such instances (Davidson and MacKinnon, 1993).

228 Table 5 presents the results of an ordered logit analysis using carcass size as a
229 “dummy” variable which takes on the value of 1 for large birds and 0 for small birds. To
230 examine differences between habitat types, we estimated the model three times, each time
231 including two habitat dummy variables and suppressing the third. Coefficients on the
232 habitat variables are interpreted as the difference in effect between the “included” habitat
233 type and the suppressed habitat type. The coefficient of the carcass size variable is
234 exactly the same for all three models, as is the overall model fit. The model fit is good,
235 producing a 42% reduction in the sum of square prediction errors when compared to the
236 naïve model in which all observations are placed in the modal category of zero birds
237 found.

238 The results of the ordered logit regression (Table 5) indicate a highly significant
239 positive relationship between carcass size and searcher efficiency ($P < 0.001$), with the
240 larger birds being found more often. The habitat variables were less successful at
241 explaining variation in the number of times that a carcass was found. Of the three
242 contrasts, Sandy Beach x Rocky Beach, Sandy Beach x Marsh, and Rocky Beach x
243 Marsh, only the comparison between Sandy Beach and Marsh was statistically
244 significant. The level of significance of this comparison was marginal ($P < 0.10$), with a
245 positive sign indicating that birds are more likely to be found in the Marsh habitat
246 compared to the Sandy Beach habitat.

247

248

DISCUSSION

249 Factors potentially influencing searcher efficiency include variation among observers,
250 method of transport, habitat type, bird coloration, and bird size. Our results suggest that

251 differences among searchers was not a major source of variation, nor was the mode of
252 motorized transport (ATV or pickup), at least on sandy beaches. Hampton and Zafonte
253 (2005), however, found that foot searchers recovered more birds per mile than did
254 motorized searchers, and our estimates of searcher efficiency should not necessarily be
255 considered representative of foot searches on sandy beaches.

256 The structure of the three study habitats, sandy beach, rocky beach, and marsh,
257 differed in ways other than the substrate. Sandy beaches tended to be wider, lighter in
258 color, and contain larger quantities of wrack than rocky beaches. The marsh study area,
259 by comparison, was covered by ankle or knee high vegetation, and the muddy substrate
260 made walking difficult. Given these differences, we found it surprising that searcher
261 efficiencies in the different habitats were as similar as we found. For large birds,
262 searcher efficiency ranged from 40.9% on sandy beaches (pickup truck) to 55.3% on a
263 rocky beach, and for small birds from 12.5% to 27.9% in the same habitats. Based on our
264 pair-wise comparisons, differences between habitats were not significant except for sandy
265 beach and marsh which were marginally significant ($P = 0.10$, two tailed).

266 The weak statistical relationship between habitat and searcher efficiency that we found
267 differs from the results of Fowler and Flint (1997) who found a highly significant
268 difference between rocky and sandy beaches. In their study, King Eider carcasses
269 deposited on rocky beaches were less likely to be found than carcasses deposited on
270 sandy beaches. This may have resulted in part from snow fall that occurred on three days
271 of their study, making it much more difficult for searchers to locate snow covered
272 carcasses among snow covered rocks than along relatively flat sandy beaches.
273 Researchers working on the effects of wind turbines on birds in inland settings have also

274 found evidence for variation in searcher efficiency among different habitats. Erickson *et*
275 *al.* (2000) found a difference between searcher efficiency in grassland (57%) and wheat
276 stubble (76%), and Osborn *et al.* (2000) found differences between searcher efficiency in
277 cropland (82%) and grassland (63%), though neither of these differences were
278 statistically significant. Johnson *et al.* (2004) studied searcher efficiency for bat
279 carcasses on gravel pads and vegetated fields and estimated efficiencies of 20% and 77%
280 respectively, a highly significant difference.

281 We found that bird size had a highly significant relationship with searcher efficiency,
282 and that this effect that was apparent in all habitats. The importance of body size has
283 previously been noted by Osborn *et al.* (2000) who found searcher efficiency rates for
284 small and large size classes of birds of 67% and 92% respectively ($p=0.025$), and by
285 Erickson *et al.* (2000) who found values of 50% and 88% respectively ($p<0.001$). In our
286 study, body size may have interacted with coloration in determining searcher efficiency.
287 Large bird carcasses were predominately dark-backed species with white undersides
288 such as the Common Murre, but included nearly as many light colored species (mostly
289 gulls), and some all dark species (cormorants). Our cowbird sample was colored in a
290 manner comparable to bi-colored species such as Common Murres, Marbled Murrelets,
291 Cassin's Auklets, and Dunlin. Among large birds in the sandy beach habitat, bi-colored
292 alcid species were found less often ($22 / 64 = 34.4\%$) than light colored gull species ($33 /$
293 $64 = 51.6\%$), indicating a marginally significant difference between the two color
294 patterns ($\chi^2 = 3.708$, $P=0.054$). The presence of dark colored birds in the large bird
295 category would tend to increase the estimate of searcher efficiency for this grouping

296 indicating since the difference in search efficiency between large and small birds is
297 related to coloration as well as to size.

298 Searcher efficiency for small birds on sandy beaches was lower than any published
299 value that we have encountered. Small seabirds and shorebirds are numerous in the
300 northern Pacific and other regions, including very common species such as Cassin's Auklet,
301 Rhinoceros Auklets, sandpipers, and phalaropes, as well as endangered species such as
302 the Marbled Murrelet. Since sandy beaches are a very common shoreline, counts of
303 small beached birds may often be biased by a factor of 8:1. Such undercounting would
304 affect both beached bird monitoring surveys and oil spill response efforts.

305 For large birds, there was a significant tendency for carcasses to be found on every
306 search or to be missed on every search, indicating that the likelihood that a carcass would
307 be found varied among carcasses: some carcasses were very easy to find, and some were
308 very difficult. This variation probably resulted from differences in the color and
309 orientation of the carcasses as well as variation in beach structure, beach color, and
310 wrack volume in the vicinity. Overall, a large proportion of both large and small birds
311 (33.3%) were never found by any searcher even in four trials. Individual variation in the
312 probability that a carcass will be found (i.e. "recaptured") means that mark recapture
313 models such as the Cormack-Jolly-Seber estimator (Pollock *et al.*, 1990) may yield
314 biased results when applied to the recovery of beached birds. These models assume that
315 all individuals are equally likely to be enumerated and that the population does not
316 include a substantial number of individuals that are impossible to find. Mark recapture
317 models are a promising technique for estimating the total number of carcasses present in
318 a given area after an oil spill (Fowler and Flint, 1997), but the effect of the violation of

319 the assumption that all carcasses are equally likely to be found should be checked when
320 these models are used.

321 Variation in the probability of locating a carcass also makes it more difficult to
322 extrapolate searcher efficiency rates measured for individual searchers to teams
323 composed of multiple searchers, or to take into account multiple searches of the same
324 beach. Suppose, for example, that there were two birds on the beach, each with a 50%
325 chance of being found by a single searcher. Then the probability that one of the carcasses
326 will be found by at least one of the two searchers is $1 - 0.5^2 = 0.75$. If, on the other
327 hand, one carcass had a 100.0% chance of being found, and the other had a 0.0% chance
328 of being found, the average probability of locating a carcass would still be 50%. But
329 only one of the two carcasses would ever be enumerated no matter how many search
330 trials took place, and a mark recapture analysis would yield an estimate of 100% searcher
331 efficiency.

332 Searcher efficiency is a significant factor determining the number of birds killed at sea
333 by an oil spill or by natural causes. The most important correlates of the searcher
334 efficiency rate are carcass size and coloration. When comparing the recovery rates of
335 species that vary in size or coloration, efforts should be made to compensate for this
336 variability. Searcher efficiency is also a critical factor if researchers attempt to estimate
337 total mortality based on the recoveries of beached birds.

338

339

LITERATURE CITED

340 BARRIOS, L. AND A. RODRÍGUEZ. 2004. Behavioral and environmental correlates of
341 soaring-bird mortality at on-shore wind turbines. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **41**:72-81.

342

343 CAMPHUYSEN, C.J. AND M. HUEBECK. 2001. Marine oil pollution and beached bird
344 surveys: the development of a sensitive monitoring instrument. *Environmental Pollution*,
345 **112**:443-461.

346

347 CARTER, H.R. 2003. Oil and California's seabirds: an overview. *Marine Ornithology*,
348 **31**:1-7.

349

350 DAVIDSON, R. AND J.G. MACKINNON. 1993. Estimation and inference in econometrics.
351 Oxford University Press, New York, N.Y. 137 p.

352

353 ERICKSON, W.P., G.D. JOHNSON, M.D. STRICKLAND, AND K. KRONNER. 2000. Avian and
354 bat mortality associated with the Vansycle wind project, Umatilla County, Oregon.
355 Prepared for the Umatilla County Department of Resource Services and Development,
356 Pendleton, Oregon.

357

358 FORD, R. G., M. L. BONNELL, D. H. VAROUJEAN, G. W. PAGE, H. R. CARTER, B. E. SHARP,
359 D. HEINEMANN, AND J. L. CASEY. 1996. Total direct mortality of seabirds from the *Exxon*
360 *Valdez* oil spill. In S. D. Rice, R. B. Spies, D. A. Wolfe, and B. A. Wright (eds.).
361 Proceedings of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Symposium. *American Fisheries Society*
362 *Symposium* **18**:684-711.

363

364 -----, B.E. SHARP, J.L. CASEY AND G.K. HIMES BOOR. 2002. Estimates of the numbers
365 of birds oiled by the *M/V Kure/Humboldt Bay* oil spill of November 5, 1997. Final report
366 prepared for the California Department of Fish and Game, Office of Oil Spill Prevention
367 and Response. 40 p.

368

369 FOWLER, A.C. AND P.L. FLINT. 1997. Persistence rates and detection probabilities of oiled
370 King Eider carcasses on St. Paul Island, Alaska. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **34**:522-526.

371

372 HAMPTON, S. AND M. ZAFONTE. 2005. An analysis of factors influencing beached bird
373 collection during the *Luckenbach* 2001-2002 oil spill. *Proceedings of the Pacific*
374 *Seabird Group and The Waterbird Society Annual Meeting*. Portland, OR.

375

376 JOHNSON, G.D., M.K. PERLIK, W.P. ERICKSON AND M.D. STRICKLAND. 2004. Bat activity,
377 composition, and collision mortality at a large wind plant in Minnesota. *Wildlife Society*
378 *Bulletin*, **32**: 278-1288.

379

380 MONNAT, J.Y. AND Y. GUERMEUR. 1979. *L'Amoco Cadiz* at les Oiseaux. Societe pour
381 L'Etude et la protection de la Nature en Bretagne. Ministere de L'Environnement et du
382 Cadre de Vie. Brest. 239 p.

383

384 OSBORN, R.G., K.F. HIGGINS, R.E. USGAARD, C.D. DIETER AND R.D. NEIGER. 2000. Bird
385 mortality associated with wind turbines at the Buffalo Ridge Wind Resource Area,
386 Minnesota. *American Midland Naturalist*, **143**: 41-52.

387

388 PIATT, J.F. AND R.G. FORD. 1996. How many seabirds were killed by the *Exxon Valdez* oil
389 spill? In S. D. Rice, R. B. Spies, D. A. Wolfe, and B. A. Wright (eds.). Proceedings of the
390 Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Symposium. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* **18**:712-719.

391

392 POLLOCK, K.H., J.D. NICHOLS, C. BROWNIE AND J.E. HINES. 1990. Statistical inference for
393 capture-recapture experiments. *Wildlife Monographs* **107**:1-97.

394

395 SOKAL, R.R. AND F.J. ROHLF. 1969. Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in
396 biological research. San Francisco: Freeman, 776 p.

397

398

399

400 Table 1. Species used in the bird carcass detection study.

401

Species Number	
Common Murre	24
Herring Gull	3
Heermann's Gull	2
California Gull	2
Glaucous-winged Gull	1
Gull spp.	16
Double-crested Cormorant	1
Cormorant spp.	3
Pied-billed Grebe	1
Grebe spp.	8
Ruddy Duck	1
Mallard	2
Duck spp.	2
Goose spp.	1
Green Heron	1
Great Egret	1
Least Sandpiper	1
Brown-headed Cowbird	56
Total carcasses:	126

402

403 Table 2. Search efficiency rates (expressed as percentage of total carcasses found) for
 404 different habitats, search methods, and carcass sizes.

	Small	Large
Sandy Beach (ATV)	12.5%	43.9%
n	(32)	(66)
Sandy Beach (Pickup Truck)	3.1%	40.9%
n	(32)	(66)
Rocky Beach (On Foot)	27.9%	55.3%
n	(43)	(38)
Marsh (On Foot)	24.0%	42.3%
n	(96)	(104)

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412 Table 3. Comparison of searcher teams.

Date	Number of Trials	Transport	Habitat	# of Birds	Chi-square adjusted	df	P^{ns}
Mar. 1	2	ATV	Sandy	20	0.50	1	ns
Mar. 2	2	ATV	Sandy	29	0.00	1	ns
Mar. 1	2	Pickup	Sandy	20	0.00	1	ns
Mar. 2	2	Pickup	Sandy	29	0.25	1	ns
Mar. 3	5	Foot	Rocky	9	2.80	4	ns
Mar. 5	3	Foot	Rocky	12	4.33	2	ns
Mar. 4	2 teams	Foot	Marsh	50	2.40	1	ns
Mar. 5	2 teams	Foot	Marsh	50	3.56	1	ns

413 ns = not significant

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422 Table 4. Test of heterogeneity of detection probabilities among carcasses

423

424

Bird Size	Habitat	Number of Birds in Trial	Probability of Finding a Bird If All Birds Are Equally Likely to be Found	Observed Number of Birds Found or Missed by All Searchers	Probability of Observed If All Birds Are Equally Likely to be Found
Large	Sandy	33	0.417	13	0.0004 **
Small	Sandy	16	0.078	12	0.5330 ns
Large	Rocky	8	0.469	4	0.0123 *
Small	Rocky	8	0.250	5	0.0752 ns
Large	Marsh	26	0.423	8	0.0193 *
Small	Marsh	24	0.240	7	0.7506 ns

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434 Table 5. Results of ordered logit analysis for the effect of carcass size and habitat.

435

COMPARISON	ESTIMATE
Large versus Small Carcasses	1.484***
Rocky Beach versus Sandy Beach	0.550
Marsh versus Rocky Beach	0.077
Marsh versus Sandy Beach	0.627*
Percent Reduction of SSE	42%
Sample Size (N)	115

436 Note: *** denotes $\alpha = 0.001$; * denotes $\alpha = 0.10$ (two-tailed)

437