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## FOREWORD

This is the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's Trinity River Basin Salmon and Steelhead Monitoring Project's 31st annual report to the US Bureau of Reclamation. Reported activities were funded by Cooperative Agreement Number R18AC200070.

This report presents work performed on the main stem Trinity River and at Trinity River Hatchery. Necessity for performing our Klamath-Trinity basin monitoring activities are outlined in several Acts of Congress including Public Law 386 (69 Stat. 719), August 12, 1955; Public Law 98-541, October 24, 1984; the "Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Reauthorization Act" of 1995; and the Trinity River "Record of Decision", 2000.
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#### Abstract

California Department of Fish and Wildlife's Trinity River Project conducted tagging and recapture operations from July 2019 through March 2020 to produce run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement estimates of spring and fall Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), Coho Salmon (O. kisutch), and fall-run steelhead (O. mykiss) in the Trinity River basin. Monitoring results inform the Trinity River Restoration Program's adaptive management decision making process and help evaluate progress toward achieving fundamental objectives outlined in the Integrated Assessment Plan (TRRP 2009). Additionally, run-size estimates are used in annual fishery management decisions, feeding into the Pacific Fishery Management Council's Klamath River basin fishery regulation and harvest allocation process.

Using a Petersen mark-recapture methodology, we estimated 12,612 (95\% CI 10,976 14,596 ) spring Chinook Salmon migrated into Trinity River basin upstream of Junction City weir. The run was comprised of an estimated 246 jacks [185 natural-origin (NOR) and 61 hatchery origin (HOR)] and 12,366 adults (3,061 NOR and 9,305 HOR). Using tags returned by anglers we estimate 17 jack and 612 adult spring Chinook were harvested, yielding a total escapement of 11,983 fish, including 4,478 spring Chinook that entered Trinity River Hatchery and 7,505 estimated natural area spawners. Escapement of 2,449 NOR adult spring Chinook Salmon is $40.8 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 6,000.

An estimated $11,910(95 \%$ CI 10,421-13,509) fall Chinook Salmon migrated upstream of Willow Creek weir in 2019. The run consisted of an estimated 7,963 (3,564 NOR and 4,399 HOR) adult and 3,947 jack (3,323 NOR and 624 HOR) fall Chinook Salmon. Using tags returned by anglers we estimate 98 jack and 241 adult fall Chinook Salmon were harvested, yielding an escapement of 11,571 , including 1,586 that entered Trinity River Hatchery and 9,984 estimated natural area spawners. Escapement of 3,457 NOR adult fall Chinook Salmon is $5.6 \%$ of the 62,000 fish Trinity River Restoration Program goal.

Both Coho Salmon run-size and escapement in the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir were estimated at 1,074 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 881$ - 1,288) , as no Coho Salmon were reported as harvested. The escapement consisted of 10 jack ( 5 NOR and 5 HOR) and 1,064 adults ( 104 NOR and 960 HOR). Escapement of 104 NOR Coho Salmon adults was $7.4 \%$ of the Trinity River Restoration Program goal of 1,400 fish.

Using a Petersen mark-recapture methodology we estimated 4,547 (95\% CI 3,516 6,082 ) adult fall steelhead returned to the Trinity River basin upstream of Willow Creek weir. Anglers harvested an estimated 30 adult fall steelhead upstream of the weir, leaving 4,518 (3,459 NOR and 1,059 HOR) fish as potential spawners. Escapement of 3,459 NOR adult steelhead is $8.6 \%$ of the 40,000 fish Trinity River Restoration Program goal.


## PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Determine run-size, age composition, hatchery/natural origin proportions, distribution, and timing of adult Chinook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), Coho Salmon (O. kisutch), and steelhead (O. mykiss) in the Trinity River basin [Integrated Assessment Plan (IAP) assessment 13A - "Monitor adult escapement of hatchery and naturally produced spring and fall Chinook, coho, and fall steelhead (TRRP, 2009)]".
- Determine in-river sport angler harvest and spawner escapements of Trinity River Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and steelhead [IAP assessments 16A, 17A, 18A, and 19A - "Monitor harvest (tribal, sport and commercial) of naturally produced spring Chinook, fall Chinook, coho and steelhead]".


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife's (CDFW) Trinity River Project (TRP) annually monitors run-size and spawner escapement of spring Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River basin upstream of a weir near Junction City, California and the run-size and spawner escapement of fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall steelhead (steelhead) in the Trinity River basin upstream of a weir near Willow Creek, California. The project is conducted in cooperation with the Hoopa Valley Tribal Fisheries (HVTF). We use a Petersen type mark-recapture methodology to estimate run-size (the number of fish estimated to migrate from the ocean) into the Trinity River basin upstream of the weir sites. Spawner escapement is the number of fish that survive in-river tribal and recreational harvest to spawn in natural areas or enter Trinity River Hatchery (TRH). This is a continuation of studies that began in 1977.

Results from this investigation are provided to the Trinity River Restoration Program (TRRP) to help evaluate program objectives including natural-origin (NOR, progeny of fish that spawned in the river) salmonid escapement goals (13A, 17A, 16A, 18A and 19A) outlined in the IAP (TRRP 2009). Current Trinity River basin adult escapement goals set by the TRRP for NOR adults are 6,000 spring Chinook Salmon, 62,000 fall Chinook Salmon, 1,400 Coho Salmon and 40,000 steelhead. Similar goals for hatchery adult escapement are 3,000 spring Chinook, 9,000 fall Chinook, 2,100 Coho and 10,000 steelhead. Estimates from this project are used to assess progress toward the goals stated in the Record of Decision (ROD) (Interior, 2000), including increasing harvest opportunity for dependent fisheries. Data are also used in the short term to inform adaptive management decisions and stock management through the Pacific Fishery Management Council process, and in the long term for trend analysis in pre- and postROD fish populations, cross-functional ecological and physical evaluations, composition (race and proportion of hatchery-marked ${ }^{1}$ or TRP-tagged ${ }^{2}$ fish), spatial distribution, and timing of salmonid runs in the Trinity River basin.

[^0]
## 2. METHODS

Our general study design employs a simple Petersen single mark-recapture experiment in which fish are marked at a weir (located near either Junction City or Willow Creek), then recaptured at a single recovery location, TRH. A tag return program is integrated into the study design to estimate angler sport harvest. These methods have been followed essentially unchanged for the 42 years this project has been underway.

### 2.1. Trapping, Tagging and Marking

### 2.1.1. Locations and Periods

Trapping and tagging operations were conducted from July 17 through December 2, 2019 by TRP and HVTF personnel at two temporary weir sites located on the main stem Trinity River (Figure 1).

Junction City weir (JCW) was located near the town of Junction City at approximately 136.5 river kilometers (rkm) (~river mile [rm] 84.4) upstream from the Klamath River confluence near Weitchpec ( $40^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 0.244^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 123^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 37.711^{\prime \prime}$ W). The JCW was operated July 17 through October 1, 2019, primarily to capture, bio-sample, and tag spring Chinook Salmon.

Willow Creek weir (WCW) was located near the town of Willow Creek at approximately 36.5 rkm (~rm 22.7) upstream from the Trinity River's confluence with the Klamath River ( $40^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 29.85^{\prime \prime}$ N, $123^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 8.61^{\prime \prime}$ W). The WCW was operated September 9 through December 2, 2019, primarily to capture, bio-sample and tag fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult steelhead.

Trinity River Hatchery is located at rkm 179.8 (~rm 111.7) just downstream of Lewiston Dam, the current terminus of anadromy on the main stem Trinity River. Pre-release clipping of fish reared at TRH is performed by TRP and HVTF staff to identify fish of hatchery origin. All steelhead and $25 \%$ of Chinook Salmon produced at TRH are adipose fin-clipped (ad-clipped) prior to volitional release. Additionally, ad-clipped Chinook have coded-wire tags (CWT) inserted into their snout cartilage. All Coho Salmon reared at TRH have their right maxillary bone (RM) clipped as a hatchery identifier. Fish returning to TRH comprise the recapture sample for our mark-recapture study, and trapping was conducted there from September 3, 2019 through March 10, 2020.


Figure 1. Location of trapping/tagging weirs near Willow Creek and Junction City, and Trinity River Hatchery, in the Trinity River basin, 2019.

### 2.1.2. Weir and Trap Design

Bertoni (Alaskan-style) weirs operated at both sites consist of fixed picket sections, trap boxes (1-2 per weir) and a boat gate. The weirs were supported by wooden tripods set 2.5 m apart. Weir panels consisted of $3.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.9 \mathrm{~cm}(10 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{x} 3 / 4 \mathrm{in}$ ) electrical conduit spaced less than 5.1 cm apart on center, leaving a gap of 2.5 cm between conduit pieces. Conduit was supported by three sections of aluminum channel arranged 0.92 m apart, which were connected to supporting tripods. The tripods were anchored with cable to $1.8 \mathrm{~m}-2.4(6-8 \mathrm{ft}) \mathrm{T}$-posts driven into the stream bottom. Weir panels were angled at roughly a $45^{\circ}$ angle, with the top of the weir standing 1.8 m above the river bottom (Figure 2 and Figure 3).


Figure 2. Photograph of Alaskan-style weir, tripods, support channels, and conduit (looking upstream).


Figure 3. Junction City weir configuration in 2019. This shows the weir in trapping mode with rafts approaching boat gate. Note the tunnel between weir and trap. Flow is right to left.

The trap boxes were made of $1.9 \mathrm{~cm}(3 / 4 \mathrm{in})$ electrical conduit spaced 2.5 cm ( 1 in ) apart and welded into panels. The panels were fastened together at the corners to produce a $2.4 \mathrm{~m}(8 \mathrm{ft})$ square box, which was fastened to a plywood floor and covered with a plywood lid. A fyke, also made of conduit panels, was installed on the downstream side of the trap to guide fish into the trap box and hinder their escape. The traps were placed on the upstream side of the weir, where 24 conduit pieces were raised, creating an opening approximately $96 \mathrm{~cm}(3.1 \mathrm{ft})$. This opening allowed fish to pass through the weir and into the trap. At both JCW and WCW in 2019 all traps had tunnels of various lengths between weir and trap. One of the tunnels at WCW was nearly 40' long (to position the trap box in an appropriate depth). Figure 4 (below) shows the WCW during construction, before the plywood roof had been attached to the near-side tunnel.


Figure 4. Willow Creek weir in 2019, with standard boat gate and two traps. Flow is from right to left.

To allow boat passage at JCW, a gate approximately $4.9 \mathrm{~m}(16 \mathrm{ft})$ wide is secured between two weir panels. The gate is constructed similarly to trap panels and is set perpendicular to the stream substrate. Weir personnel must remove and replace the gate panels to pass boats. At WCW vinyl-coated chain-link material was affixed to tubular agricultural-type gates attached to tripods, and when closed, rest at the same angle as the rest of the weir. A set of lightweight PVC and plastic mesh panels extend the height of the gates.

### 2.1.3. Trapping Schedule

Trapping at both weirs is scheduled five nights per week, beginning around dusk of each trapping night and continuing until mid-day of the next day. Fish are processed from the previous night's trapping at approximately 0830 hours, and again from the morning's trapping at approximately 1230 hours.

The opening procedure entails pulling up approximately 24 conduit/pickets in every other panel (creating a 96 cm opening), opening the boat gate, and opening any traps. The weirs are also opened in the same manner for the "weekend" (Friday afternoon to Sunday at dusk for JCW and Tuesday afternoon to Thursday at dusk for WCW). Opening the weir in this configuration was found to reduce migration delays as compared to smaller and fewer openings (Strange, 2008).

Occasionally, trapping schedules are modified to allow for holidays or high flows that prevent trapping in a safe manner. The weirs generally operate in flows ranging from 300 to 1,700 cubic feet per second (cfs), depending upon location. When the river is anticipated to rise above this level, conduit is raised (like the above description for afternoons and weekends) to allow unimpeded migration and to protect equipment. The weirs can usually be modified to safely remain in the river and withstand flows up to 3,500 cfs and will be removed from the river entirely if flows are anticipated to exceed 5,000 cfs. If a heavy debris load is anticipated the weirs will be removed or modified at lower flows. Trapping and tagging are not conducted if stream temperatures exceed $21^{\circ}$ Celsius.

### 2.1.4. Processing of Fish at Weirs

All salmonids are dip-netted, placed into a submerged cradle, measured to the nearest cm fork length (FL), and examined for hook, predator, or gill-net wounds or scars, fin clips, signs of disease or parasites, and external tags. Fish are not anesthetized and are released immediately after tagging to resume their upstream migration unless they appear stressed. Stressed fish are placed in an in-stream recovery tube until they can swim away on their own volition.

Each untagged, unspawned salmonid judged to be in good condition is tagged with a serially numbered two mm "spaghetti" tag (Floy Tag and Manufacturing, Inc. FT-43). Tags are applied with a solid applicator needle through the fish's back approximately two cm below and two cm anterior to the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin. We tag all salmon regardless of length. Steelhead less than 42 cm FL are considered "halfpounders" (immature) and are not tagged.

[^1]In 2019 we collected scales for age determination from every Chinook Salmon in good condition that we encountered at JCW, and every other Chinook at WCW. Scales were removed from the left side of the fish above the lateral line and posterior to the dorsal fin with a sharp knife. Scale samples were then placed on Rite-in-the-Rain paper, folded, and put in a coin envelope labeled with the date, location, species, length, fin clip and tag number. Scales taken at JCW are archived at HVTF for analysis at a later date, while scales taken at WCW are taken to HVTF for reading and analysis to inform Klamath River basin fall Chinook Salmon age-specific escapement, river harvest and run-size estimates (KRTT 2020).

Chinook Salmon tagged at JCW received \$20 reward tags, and ad-clipped adult steelhead and brown trout received non-reward tags. Natural-origin steelhead (those with intact adipose fins) were not tagged at JCW. At WCW, Chinook were tagged 1:1 with non-reward and $\$ 50$ reward tags, and non-reward tags and $\$ 20$ rewards tags were applied to adult steelhead at a $1: 1$ ratio. All Coho Salmon at WCW were tagged with non-reward tags. There were no Coho trapped at JCW in 2019. Half-pounder steelhead were not tagged at either weir.

### 2.2. Recovery of Tagged Fish

Fish tagged at JCW and WCW were recovered from four sources: (1) angler return of tags, (2) tags found during Trinity River spawner surveys, (3) tagging mortalities found on or near the tagging weirs, and (4) fish returning to Trinity River Hatchery.

### 2.2.1. Angler Tag Returns

Spaghetti tags applied at JCW and WCW are inscribed with a reward amount and the address of the CDFW field office in Arcata, CA. Tags returned to the Arcata office through May 1, 2020 were used to estimate harvest and catch-and-release rates in 2019. Tags returned after that date were not used for analysis due to the need for meeting annual reporting deadlines, nor were they generally processed for payment. Public service announcements distributed to press throughout the Northern California region, posted online in social media, and displayed in store-front windows throughout the Trinity basin encouraged the same-season return of tags.

### 2.2.2. Spawner Surveys

Cooperative spawning ground surveys are conducted annually with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, Yurok Tribe, HVTF, and CDFW in the entire main stem Trinity River, except for a few reaches either with limited spawning habitat or that are unsafe to survey. Tagged fish recovered in these surveys were examined for spawning success and project tags, and the resulting data are provided to the CDFW Arcata office. Spawner survey methods and results are presented in a separate report.

### 2.2.3. Weir Recovery

Dead salmonids recovered on the weir are measured to the nearest cm FL, and examined for tags, fin clips, and spawning condition. Heads of ad-clipped Chinook Salmon are collected for later recovery of the CWT. After examination, carcasses were cut in half to prevent recounting and returned to the river downstream of the weir. Weekly surveys were conducted via kayak in the 5.5 km upstream of WCW to look for tag mortalities. Periodic surveys were also performed at JCW but with less frequency since the water temperature at JCW leads to a lower rate of tagging mortality there.

Tagged salmonids recovered dead at the weir, in spawning surveys, or reported dead by anglers were considered tagging mortalities if there was no evidence they had spawned, and they were recovered $\leq 30$ days after tagging. Tagged fish recovered dead more than 30 days after tagging, or those that had spawned, regardless of the number days after tagging, were not considered tagging mortalities. Tag mortalities are removed from the marked sample (see Section 2.4.6).

### 2.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

Hatchery operations began September 3, 2019 for spring Chinook Salmon egg take and artificial spawning before the fish ladder was closed for a "spawning break". The October 11 to October 28 (parts or all of Julian weeks [JW] 41-43) spawning break is a practice at TRH designed to temporally segregate the sympatric races of spring and fall runs of Chinook Salmon. After the break, spawning operations resumed for fall Chinook Salmon and Coho Salmon, followed by egg take for BY2020 steelhead which ended on March 10, 2020.

Spring Chinook egg take operations occurred twice per week from JW 36 to JW 41, with an egg take goal of 3 million spring Chinook eggs. Fall Chinook egg take occurred twice per week from JW 43 to JW 49, with an egg take goal of 6 million eggs. Coho Salmon egg take operations once per week from JW 44 to JW 51, with a goal of 600,000 eggs occur concurrent with, but on a different day of the week than Fall Chinook egg take. Finally, steelhead egg take and spawning occurred one day per week from JW 52 through JW 10 of the following year, with a goal of 800,000 eggs.

All fish processed out of the spawn house at TRH were sexed, measured to the nearest cm FL, and inspected for TRP applied tags, ad-clips, other fin clips, maxillary clips, or other tags or marks. Scales were collected from every 5th fall Chinook Salmon by HVTF personnel beginning in JW 43. Heads from all ad-clipped Chinook, indicating presence of a CWT, were removed from processed mortalities after the spawning process regardless if fish had been spawned or not. Chinook heads were assigned a unique serially numbered "head tag number," placed in $4 \times 6$ " plastic bags with the head tag, then placed in the freezer for later CWT extraction and analysis.

### 2.3. Recovery of Coded Wire Tags

All ad-clipped Chinook Salmon recovered dead at weirs, on spawning grounds or at TRH have the snout portion of their heads removed and put into a bag with a seriallynumbered head tag, date, recovery location, species, run, sex, and length. Heads are frozen for later CWT extraction and decoding in the laboratory. The CWT code identifies the race, release type (fingerling or yearling), brood year (BY) and the location of release of each fish.

### 2.3.1. Chinook Salmon CWT Dissection

Heads from Chinook Salmon recovered at TRH, the weirs, or the spawner surveys are processed in our office lab as follows:

1. Heads are removed from frozen storage and partially thawed.
2. Heads and corresponding head tag are removed from the storage bag one at a time.
3. Each head is run through a Northwest Marine Technologies FSD-I field "V" metal detector. A beep or red light from the machine indicates the presence of the tag (or other metal).
4. The head is cut into successively smaller pieces and each piece is passed through the detector until a small piece of head that contains the CWT is left. The CWT is then visually detected and removed using a magnetized pencil or knife.
5. The CWT is placed into a $2 \times 3$ inch sealed baggie which is stapled to the corresponding head tag for decoding. If no tag is detected in the initial and subsequent passes through the metal detector, then it is assumed the fish had shed its tag prior to recovery and a code (100000) is assigned to the head tag. If the tag was initially detected but lost during the dissection process a separate code (200000) is assigned to the head tag to indicate such. If the entire head is somehow lost, a code of 300000 is assigned.

All recovered CWTs are read using a stereo microscope equipped with a 10X wide-field eyepiece and a continuous magnification zoom range of 7 X to 30 X . Each CWT is removed from its bag with a magnetic wand, cleaned, and placed in a brass holder under the microscope. Care is taken to orient the tag with the start point on the left so the code can be correctly ascertained. The six-digit CWT code is read and transcribed to its head tag. If the CWT code is unreadable the head tag will be assigned a 400000 code. The CWTs are returned to their bags with their head tags and permanently archived.

All head tags and corresponding CWT codes are entered into a CWT database and merged into the TRH recovery database indexed by the "head tag number" field. Thus, each CWT code, along with the corresponding release information (including race, age, and origin) becomes associated with all TRH recovery information for each individual CWT fish.

### 2.4. Post-season Data Analysis

Methods used for estimating run-size, escapement, harvest, and hatchery-origin vs. natural-origin composition are similar for each of the three species and two sympatric runs, with slight variations.

### 2.4.1. Size Discrimination between Jack and Adult Chinook Salmon and Coho Salmon

The methods for separating jacks (age 2) from adults (age 3+) differs for spring vs. fall Chinook Salmon; age composition of spring Chinook is determined from FL-frequency distribution analysis, while scale aging is used for fall Chinook. Combined length data of spring Chinook collected at JCW and TRH, excluding fish tagged at JCW and subsequently recovered at TRH, were analyzed to identify the nadir separating jacks and adults. Data were smoothed with a moving average of five, 1-cm increments to determine the nadir if it was not otherwise readily identifiable. The resulting jack/adult size division or "cutoff" is used for all spring Chinook Salmon in all sectors. For fall Chinook Salmon, scales are collected from fish trapped at WCW and TRH to determine ages of individual fish. Age proportions are calculated directly from HVTF scale-read ages, separately for WCW and TRH. Age proportions at WCW are used for the entire fall run upstream of the weir, whereas age proportions at TRH are used only for TRH. Fall Chinook Salmon are also assigned a nadir-based jack /adult cutoff which is used only for estimating harvest and catch-and-release because scale samples are not available for those sectors.

Coho Salmon do not receive CWTs and we do not collect or age their scales, so exact ages are unknown. The separation of jack and adult Coho was based entirely on FL frequency distribution analysis.

### 2.4.2. Size Discrimination between Adult and Immature Steelhead

All steelhead $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were considered adults, steelhead $<42 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were assumed to be half-pounders (immature fish presumed to have migrated to the ocean). Halfpounders captured at weirs are measured but not tagged, but half-pounders that entered TRH were not measured or counted since we did not know whether they had migrated to the ocean or were residual fish.

### 2.4.3. Separation of Spring and Fall Chinook Salmon Runs at the Hatchery and Weirs

Trinity River spring Chinook Salmon immigrate mainly between April and September, whereas fall Chinook Salmon immigrate August through December. Although there is temporal overlap of runs, for analysis we separate spring and fall runs based on a hard cut-off date determined independently each year, and we group data by JW to allow inter-annual comparisons of identical weekly periods (Appendix 1).

To determine the cut-off date at TRH, proportions of spring and fall Chinook Salmon arriving at TRH are estimated for each JW from expanded known-race CWT recoveries,
and the week in which the proportion of fall Chinook exceeds the proportion of spring Chinook is designated as the first week of the fall run. The mid-October "spawning break" closure of the fish ladder usually, but not always, coincides with the cut-off date determined post-season.

To determine the cut-off date at the weirs we estimate the proportion of each run for each JW based on CWT and TRP-tag recoveries of spring and fall Chinook Salmon separately for each weir. Run is assigned to TRP-tagged fish subsequently recovered at TRH depending on whether the fish arrives before or after the cut-off date determined for TRH. At each weir, the JW in which the proportion of fall Chinook exceeds spring Chinook is designated as the first week of the fall run at that location. If there are two consecutive weeks with nearly identical proportions then the first week is designated spring-run and the second as fall- run.

### 2.4.4. Determining the Separation between Summer, Fall and Winter Steelhead Runs

Throughout this report we refer to fall-run adult steelhead when we are actually reporting on a mix of runs. Most of the steelhead we encounter at the WCW are undoubtedly fall steelhead, but there is temporal over-lap in the run-timing of the summer, fall, and winter runs, as evidenced by a generally higher proportion of fish caught without adipose clips early in our sampling season (i.e. mid-August), and again toward the end of the season (November). The TRH endeavors to produce fall-run steelhead ( $100 \%$ of which are marked with an ad-clip). Until such time as we can distinguish the runs from each other we will continue to refer to all the steelhead we catch at Willow Creek weir as fall steelhead. It is also important to note that it is unknown what portion of the total steelhead run, or of the fall run, is represented by the estimates provided herein, which could be best described as a minimum estimate of the total steelhead run.

### 2.4.5. Estimating Numbers of Spring and Fall Chinook Salmon at Trinity River Hatchery

Hatchery escapement is a direct count of the number of fish processed through the hatchery. A constant fractional marking program was instituted at TRH for Chinook Salmon in BY 2000 to mark 25\% of each release group. Coded wire tag analysis yields the number of Chinook with ad-clips and CWTs. However, to account for the respective numbers of spring and fall Chinook Salmon without CWTs entering TRH, we expand the numbers of tags recovered from each returning CWT group by the ratio of tagged to total Chinook (production multiplier) when they were originally released (same strain, BY, release site, release group and release date). For example, 87,269 spring Chinook of CWT group 06-09-54 plus 283,043 unmarked spring Chinook raised with them were released directly from TRH in June of 2017. The expanded estimate for each returned CWT fish of this group is $4.24334(87,269+283,043) / 87,269)$. Thus, each CWT fish that returned to TRH was expanded by its production multiplier to estimate the total number of hatchery-origin (HOR) spring or fall Chinook Salmon that entered the hatchery. If more Chinook Salmon entered the hatchery than could be accounted for by the expansion of all CWT groups, we assumed the additional fish were of natural-origin
(NOR). Conversely, if the expanded number of HOR fish exceeds the number of fish entering TRH, we assume all fish entering TRH are HOR. We designated these fish as spring or fall run in the same proportions that were determined by the expansion of the CWT groups by their week of entry.

### 2.4.6. Effectively Tagged Fish

The total number of fish tagged at each weir is greater than the number of fish that effectively remain in the marked population due to various types of tag loss. The number of "effectively" tagged fish was determined by subtracting from the total those fish that were classified as tagging mortalities (fish having died within 30 days of tagging without spawning), tagged fish recovered downstream of the tagging site, and those fish whose tags were removed by catch-and-release anglers.

### 2.4.7. Run-size Estimates

Run-size estimates in 2019 for spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon and adult steelhead were calculated using Chapman's version of the Petersen Single Census Method [as modified by Ricker (1975)]:

$$
N=\frac{(M+1)(C+1)}{(R+1)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\text { estimated run size } \\
& M=\text { the number of effectively tagged fish } \\
& C=\text { the number of fish examined for tags at TRH } \\
& R=\text { the number of } T R P-\text { tagged fish recovered at TRH }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumptions of the mark-recapture estimator include 1) fish tagged at the weir are a random sample representative of the population; 2) tagged and untagged fish are equally vulnerable to recapture at TRH; 3) all Project tags are recognized upon recovery; 4) tagged and untagged fish are randomly mixed throughout the population and among the fish recovered at TRH; and 5) we account for all tagging mortalities.

Each year we attempt to tag and recover enough fish to obtain 95\% confidence limits within $\pm 10 \%$ of the run-size estimate. We use criteria established by Chapman (1948) to select a confidence interval estimator.

### 2.4.8. Harvest Estimates and Catch-and-Release Rates

Generally, in the steelhead fishery, which is mostly catch-and-release, anglers return reward and non-reward tags at approximately the same rate, but in the Chinook Salmon fishery reward tags are returned at a higher rate than non-reward tags. When reward tags are returned at a higher rate than non-reward tags, we use only reward tag returns to determine harvest rates. If non-reward tags are returned at the same or higher rates
than reward tags, we combine the two to determine harvest rates. Harvest rates for each species, run, and age class (jacks or adults) are calculated by dividing the number of tags returned by anglers from fish reported as harvested by the number of fish that were effectively tagged.

The number of fish of each species, run, and age class harvested upstream of the respective weir was estimated by multiplying the respective harvest rate by the relevant run-size.

We estimated catch-and-release rates for each species, run and age class by dividing the number of tags returned by anglers from fish reported as caught-and-released by the number of fish effectively tagged plus the number of fish reported as released.

### 2.4.9. Hatchery- and Natural-Origin Composition of Run-size Estimates

Estimating the total return of individual CWT groups depends first and foremost on a basin run-size estimate. Total run-size and CWT return estimates for spring and fall Chinook Salmon are calculated for the Trinity River basin upstream of the JCW and WCW, respectively. Escapement, harvest, and corresponding CWT estimates for natural spawning areas below the respective weirs and in the ocean are not included in the estimates presented in this report.

We estimated contribution rates of HOR Chinook Salmon to total spring and fall Chinook Salmon run-sizes by expanding each individual CWT recovery by its corresponding hatchery production multiplier (total releases represented by each CWT release group/CWT fish released). In doing this, we assume that marked fish are representative of their unmarked counterparts.

The information needed to estimate the numbers of salmon of a specific CWT group that returned to the Trinity River basin and contributed to the fisheries and spawner escapement are: a) jack and adult total run-size, b) angler harvest rates of jacks and adults, c) proportion of the run comprised of ad-clipped fish, and d) proportion of CWT groups recovered at TRH.

For Coho Salmon, we estimate the contribution of hatchery-origin fish to the Trinity River run above WCW by applying the RM clip percentage observed at WCW to the run-size estimate. Likewise, with steelhead, we apply the ad-clip rate observed at WCW to estimate percent hatchery origin.

Any single digit disagreement in numbers throughout this report is due solely to rounding discrepancies.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Run-size, Escapement and Harvest Estimates

Using a Petersen single mark-recapture methodology, we estimated 12,612 (95\% CI 10,976 - 14,596) spring Chinook Salmon (246 jack and 12,366 adults) migrated into Trinity River basin upstream of JCW in 2019 (Table 1, Appendix 2, Appendix 3). The run was comprised of an estimated 185 NOR jacks, 3,061 NOR adults, 61 HOR jacks and 9,305 HOR adults (Appendix 4, Appendix 5). We estimate 17 jack and 612 adult spring Chinook Salmon were harvested, yielding an escapement of 11,983 fish, including the 4,478 spring Chinook that entered TRH and 7,505 estimated natural area spawners (Table 2). Spawning escapement of 2,449 NOR adult spring Chinook is $40.8 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 6,000 (Table 3). This year's run-size estimate of 12,612 is approximately $80 \%$ of the 40 -year average of 15,800 since 1978 . Estimated spring Chinook Salmon run-size has ranged from 2,381 fish in 1991 to 62,692 fish in 1988.

An estimated 11,910 ( $95 \%$ CI 10,421 - 13,509) fall Chinook Salmon (3,947 jack and 7,963 adults) migrated into the Trinity River basin upstream of WCW in 2019 (Table 1, Appendix 6, Appendix 7). The run consisted of an estimated 3,323 NOR jack, 3,564 NOR adult, 624 HOR jack and 4,399 HOR adult fall Chinook Salmon (Appendix 8, Appendix 9). Using tags returned by anglers we estimate 340 ( 98 jack and 241 adult) fall Chinook Salmon were harvested, yielding an escapement of 11,571 , including the 1,586 fall Chinook that entered TRH and the 9,985 estimated natural area spawners (Table 2). Spawning escapement of 3,457 NOR adult fall Chinook Salmon is $5.6 \%$ of the 62,000 fish TRRP goal (Table 3). This year's run-size estimate of 11,910 is approximately 29.6 \% of the 43-year average of 40,181 since 1977. Estimated fall Chinook Salmon run-size has ranged from 6,196 fish in 2016 to 147,888 fish in 1986.

Both Coho Salmon run-size and escapement in the Trinity River upstream of WCW were estimated at $1,074(95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 881-1,288)$ because no Coho Salmon were reported as harvested (Table 1, Appendix 10, Appendix 11). The run consisted of an estimated 5 NOR jacks, 104 NOR adults, 5 HOR jacks and 960 HOR adults (Appendix 12, Appendix 13), with 649 of those fish entering TRH and an estimated 425 escaping to spawn in natural areas (Table 2). The estimated escapement of 104 NOR Coho Salmon adults was $7.4 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 1,400 fish (Table 3). This year's run-size estimate of 1,074 is approximately $7.0 \%$ of the 43 -year average of 15,295 since 1977 . Estimated Coho Salmon run-size has ranged from 655 in 2017 to 59,079 in 1987.

An estimated 4,547 (95\% CI 3,516-6,082) adult fall steelhead returned to the Trinity River basin upstream of WCW (Table 1, Appendix 14). Anglers harvested an estimated 30 adult fall steelhead upstream of the weir, leaving 4,518 ( 3,459 NOR and 1,059 HOR) fish as potential spawners (Table 2, Appendix 15). Escapement of 3,459 NOR adult steelhead is $8.6 \%$ of the 40,000 fish TRRP goal (Table 3). This year's run-size is $32.6 \%$ of the average of 13,956 since 1980, with a range from 2,972 in 1998 to 53,885 in 2007.

Table 1. Run-size estimates and $95 \%$ confidence limits for Trinity River basin spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall steelhead during the 2019-20 season.

| Species/ race | Area of Trinity River basin for run-size estimate | Stratum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number effectively tagged ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Trinity River Hatchery recoveries |  | Run-size estimate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Confidence } \\ \text { limits } \\ 1-p=0.95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Confidence } \\ & \text { limit } \\ & \text { estimator } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number examined for tags ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Number of tags in sample |  |  |  |
| Spring Chinook | Upstream | Jacks | 29 | 68 | 3 | 246 | $\begin{gathered} 10,976- \\ 14,596 \end{gathered}$ | Poisson Approximation |
|  | of Junction | Adults | 505 | 4,410 | 186 | 12,366 |  |  |
|  | City weir | Total | 534 | 4,478 | 189 | 12,612 |  |  |
| Fall Chinook | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Jacks | 500 | 205 | 29 | 3,947 | $\begin{gathered} 10,421- \\ 13,509 \end{gathered}$ | Normal Approximation |
|  |  | Adults | 1,000 | 1,381 | 170 | 7,963 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 1,500 | 1,586 | 199 | 11,910 |  |  |
| Coho | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Jacks | 2 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 881-1,288 | Normal Approximation |
|  |  | Adults | 149 | 643 | 91 | 1,064 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 151 | 649 | 91 | 1,074 |  |  |
| Fall-run steelhead | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Adults | 610 | 386 | 51 | 4,547 | 3,516-6,082 | Poisson Approximation |

a/ Stratum: Jacks = two-year-old salmon; Adults = three years or older; Steelhead adults = fish greater than 41 cm FL .
b/ The number of effectively tagged fish was corrected for fish not tagged, tagging mortalities, and fish which had their tags removed (caught and released).
c/ Numbers of spring and fall Chinook Salmon were estimated from expansion of coded wire tag recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery; Coho Salmon and steelhead numbers were actual recoveries.
d/ For jack and adult estimate of total run size: spring Chinook Salmon was based on the proportion of jacks to adults observed at JCW and TRH combined, and the Coho Salmon jack/adult assignment was based on the WCW/TRH combined proportions (both using FL frequency analysis to split age classes). We applied the scale-aged proportions at WCW to reach jack/adult assignment of the fall Chinook Salmon run.

Table 2. Estimates of Trinity River basin spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall-run steelhead run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement during the 2019-20 season.

| Species/ race | Area of Trinity River basin for runsize estimate | Stratum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Run-size estimate | Angler Harvest |  | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Harvest rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number of fish ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Natural area spawners ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Trinity River Hatchery | Total |
| Spring Chinook | Upstream of | Jacks | 246 | 6.9\% | 17 | 161 | 68 | 229 |
|  | Junction City | Adults | 12,366 | 5.0\% | 612 | 7,344 | 4,410 | 11,754 |
|  | weir | Total | 12,612 |  | 629 | 7,505 | 4,478 | 11,983 |
| Fall Chinook | Upstream of | Jacks | 3,947 | 2.5\% | 98 | 3,644 | 205 | 3,849 |
|  | Willow Creek | Adults | 7,963 | 3.0\% | 241 | 6,341 | 1,381 | 7,722 |
|  | weir | Total | 11,910 |  | 340 | 9,985 | 1,586 | 11,571 |
| Coho | Upstream of | Jacks | 10 | 0.0\% | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
|  | Willow Creek | Adults | 1,064 | 0.0\% | 0 | 421 | 643 | 1,064 |
|  | weir | Total | 1,074 |  | 0 | 425 | 649 | 1,074 |
| Fall-run adult steelhead | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Total | 4,547 | 2.7\% | 30 | 4,132 | 386 | 4,518 |

[^2]Table 3. Estimates of contribution of natural-origin and hatchery-origin adult spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall-run steelhead to the Trinity River basin spawner escapement during the 2019-20 season.

| Species/ race | Area of Trinity River | Origin | Total Spawner Escapement |  |  | Natural-origin contribution to escapement |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Natural area spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Trinity River Hatchery | Total | TRRP Goal | ment \% of Goal |
| Spring Chinook | Upstream of Junction City weir | Natural | 1,960 | 488 | 2,448 | 6,000 | 40.8\% |
|  |  | Hatchery | 5,384 | 3,922 | 9,306 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 7,344 | 4,410 | 11,754 |  |  |
| Fall Chinook | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Natural | 3,441 | 16 | 3,457 | 62,000 | 5.6\% |
|  |  | Hatchery | 2,900 | 1,365 | 4,265 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 6,341 | 1,381 | 7,722 |  |  |
| Coho | Upstream of Willow Creek weir |  | 63 | 41 | 104 | 1,400 | 7.4\% |
|  |  | Hatchery | 358 | 602 | 960 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 421 | 643 | 1,064 |  |  |
| Fall-run steelhead | Upstream of Willow Creek weir | Natural | 3,443 | 16 | 3,459 | 40,000 | 8.6\% |
|  |  | Hatchery | 689 | 370 | 1,059 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 4,132 | 386 | 4,518 |  |  |

a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery. Any difference between these numbers and others throughout this report are due to rounding.

### 3.2. Spring Chinook Salmon

### 3.2.1. Spring Chinook Salmon Trapping and Tagging

The CDFW and HVTF installed JCW on July 16, 2019 (JW 29) and trapped the first night. At the end of JW 35 the conduit was removed in anticipation of high flows for the Hoopa Valley Tribe biennial boat dance ceremony, which peaked at 2,694 cfs on September 2, 2019. We resumed trapping on September 8 (JW 36) and continued until October 2, 2019 (JW 40) when we removed the weir for the season.

A total of 546 Chinook Salmon were trapped at JCW over 50 trap-nights in 2019 (Table 4, Figure 5), of which 545 were determined to be spring Chinook Salmon (see Section 2.4.3). There was a single WCW-tagged fall Chinook trapped at JCW. The number of spring Chinook trapped peaked at 25.4 fish per night during JW 32. All Chinook trapped at JCW in 2019 were tagged (except for the one previously tagged at WCW).

Spring Chinook Salmon trapped at JCW averaged 60.1 cm FL and ranged from 34 cm to 90 cm FL (Figure 6, Appendix 16). Fork length frequency distribution analysis including all spring Chinook either trapped at JCW or recovered at TRH, showed the nadir separating jack from adult spring Chinook was between 46 and 47 cm FL. Fish $\leq 46 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were designated as jacks and fish $>46 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were designated as adults. Jacks averaged 45.5 cm FL and adults averaged 61.4 cm FL. Using 47 cm FL as the minimum adult size, only $8.0 \%$ of the 545 spring Chinook that were trapped at JCW were considered jacks. Ad-clipped fish comprised 18.7\% (102 of 545) of the spring Chinook Salmon trapped at JCW.

Table 4. Weekly summary of Chinook Salmon trapped at Junction City weir on the Trinity River durina 2019

| Julian <br> week | Inclusive dates | Number trapped ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nights trapped | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ad-clip Jacks ${ }^{\text {© }}$ | Adults | Ad-clip <br> Adults | Total trapped | Ad-clips total | Fish/ night | Ad-clips /night |
| 29 | 16-Jul - 22-Jul | 4 |  |  | 64 | 9 | 64 | 9 | 16.0 | 2.3 |
| 30 | 23-Jul - 29-Jul | 5 |  |  | 50 | 12 | 50 | 12 | 10.0 | 2.4 |
| 31 | 30-Jul - 5-Aug | 5 | 1 |  | 52 | 11 | 53 | 11 | 10.6 | 2.2 |
| 32 | 6-Aug - 12-Aug | 5 | 6 |  | 121 | 27 | 127 | 27 | 25.4 | 5.4 |
| 33 | 13-Aug - 19-Aug | 5 | 6 | 1 | 97 | 25 | 103 | 26 | 20.6 | 5.2 |
| 34 | 20-Aug - 26-Aug | 5 | 4 |  | 40 | 6 | 44 | 6 | 8.8 | 1.2 |
| 35 | 27-Aug - 2-Sep | 4 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 4.3 | 0.5 |
| 36 | 3-Sep - 9-Sep | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 37 | 10-Sep-16-Sep | 5 | 2 |  | 16 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 3.6 | 0.6 |
| 38 | 17-Sep - 23-Sep | 5 | 3 |  | 22 | 2 | 25 | 2 | 5.0 | 0.4 |
| $39^{\text {d }}$ | 24-Sep - 30-Sep | 5 | 3 |  | 36 | 4 | 39 | 4 | 7.8 | 0.8 |
| 40 | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 5 | 0 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Total Chinook Salmon | 50 | 30 | 2 | 516 | 100 | 546 | 102 |  |  |
|  | Mean Chinook Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.9 | 2.0 |

a/ Trapping at Junction City weir took place July 16 - October 1, 2018 (Julian weeks 29-40).
b/ Spring Chinook <47 cm FL were considered jacks in 2019.
c/ Adipose fin-clipped Chinook. Number shown is a subset of weekly jack and adult Chinook totals.
d/ Although we determined all Chinook Salmon trapped at JCW were spring Chinook in 2019, there was a single WCW tagged fall Chinook trapped and included here, but not otherwise counted in JCW Spring Chinook totals.


Figure 5. Mean catch by week of Chinook Salmon at Junction City weir on the Trinity River, 2019.


Figure 6. Spring Chinook Salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Junction City weir, Trinity River Hatchery, and both sites combined during the 2019-20 season. Fish trapped at JCW then recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph. Also, the arrow denotes the size used to separate jacks and adults for analysis.

### 3.2.2. Spring/Fall Run Chinook Salmon Separation and Run Timing

We recovered 6,064 Chinook Salmon at TRH in 2019 (Appendix 17), of which 1,352 (22.3\%) had ad-clips. We recovered CWTs from 956 known (ad-clipped with a readable CWT) spring Chinook Salmon and we recovered CWTs from 371 known fall Chinook Salmon; the remaining 25 ad-clipped fish had either shed their CWT ( 22 fish) or the CWT was lost or unreadable ( 3 fish). Those 25 Chinook were classified as spring-run (19 fish) or fall-run (6 fish) based on their date of entry into TRH, resulting in a total of 975 spring CWT Chinook Salmon (Appendix 18) and 377 fall CWT Chinook Salmon (Appendix 19).

One hundred eighty-nine Chinook Salmon tagged at JCW were subsequently recovered at TRH between JW 36 and 41 (Appendix 17). Based on timing of Chinook passage through JCW, the arrival dates of JCW-tagged fish at TRH, and CWT analysis, we designated all Chinook Salmon that passed through JCW to be spring-run (Figure 7).


Figure 7. Percent of Chinook Salmon tagged at Junction City weir recovered at Trinity River Hatchery during the 2019-20 season.

One hundred ninety-nine Chinook Salmon tagged at WCW were subsequently recovered at TRH between JW 40 and JW 52 (Appendix 17). Although two Chinook tagged at WCW in JW 37 were recovered in JW 40 (prior to the spawning break), there were many known fall CWT Chinook passing through WCW that same week that arrived after the spawning break. According to our protocol (see Section 2.4.3) we designated all Chinook tagged at WCW in 2019 as fall Chinook Salmon (Figure 8).


Figure 8. Percent of Chinook Salmon tagged at Willow Creek weir recovered at Trinity River Hatchery during the 2019-20 season.

### 3.2.3. Spring Chinook Salmon Recovery

3.2.3.1. Angler Tag Recovery

Anglers reported harvesting two jack and 25 adult TRP-tagged spring Chinook Salmon, resulting in an estimated harvest of 17 jack and 612 adult spring Chinook Salmon with harvest rates for spring Chinook Salmon upstream of JCW of $6.9 \%$ for jacks and $4.9 \%$ for adults (Appendix 16). There was one tag returned from a jack and nine tags returned from adult spring Chinook in the catch-and-release fishery, resulting in catch-andrelease rates of $3.3 \%$ for jacks and $1.8 \%$ for adults. Additionally, three tags found loose or on dead fish were returned by anglers or other river users.

### 3.2.3.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

Mainstem Trinity River Chinook Salmon spawner surveys were conducted from August 26 to December 17, 2019. There was one jack and 42 adult TRP-tagged spring Chinook recovered during spawner surveys in 2019. None of those tags were recovered from unspawned dead fish less than 30 days after tagging, so none were identified as tagging mortalities (Appendix 16).

### 3.2.3.3. $\quad$ Tagging Mortalities

There was one adult spring Chinook Salmon identified as a tagging mortality at JCW in 2019. This tag was omitted from the number of tagged fish used to estimate run size.

### 3.2.3.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

Spring Chinook Salmon began entering TRH on September 3, 2019 (JW 36). All the spring-run arrived before the spawning break beginning in JW 41 (Appendix 17). Recovery of spring Chinook peaked in JW 38 with 1,453 fish, while the peak of spring CWT Chinook recovery was in JW 36 (Appendix 18). Of the 534 spring Chinook effectively tagged at JCW, 189 ( 3 jacks and 186 adults) or $35.4 \%$ were recovered at TRH (Appendix 16). Based on run-timing determined from CWT recoveries, an estimated 4,478 (68 jack and 4,410 adult) spring Chinook Salmon returned to TRH in 2019. Of those 4,478 fish, 978 (21.8\%) had ad-clips and 3,500 (78.2\%) did not.

### 3.2.3.5. $\quad$ Size and Age of Trapped Fish

Spring Chinook Salmon trapped at TRH averaged 61.2 cm FL (Figure 6, Appendix 16). Fork length distribution analysis shows the nadir separating jack from adult spring Chinook was between 46 and 47 cm FL. Data from known age, hatchery-marked spring Chinook that entered TRH supported the minimum adult fork length of 47 cm (Appendix 20). There was some overlap in the size distributions of known age-2 and age-3 fish, but the mean lengths were markedly different. Known age-2 fish averaged 44.8 cm FL and known age-3 fish averaged 58.3 cm FL. Applying the minimum adult size of 47 cm FL, an estimated $5.5 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ of observed spring Chinook Salmon were jacks at JCW and TRH, respectively.

### 3.2.4. Spring Chinook Salmon Coded-Wire Tag Recovery and Hatchery Origin Contribution to Runs

The 956 CWTs recovered from spring Chinook Salmon at TRH represented 16 CWT release groups from BYs 2015-2017 (ages 2-4) (Appendix 18). It is extremely rare to recover a known age-6 Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River, and in 2019 we did not even recover any known age-5s, the last returns for the complete BY 2014 cohort. Of the 348,977 (246,945 fingerling and 102,032 yearling) spring Chinook Salmon released from TRH with CWTs in BY 2014, 572 (0.16\%) returned to the Trinity River between $2016-2019$, well below the mean of $0.63 \%$ (Figure 9, Appendix 21). For the full breakdown of run-size, percent return, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for TRH CWT spring Chinook Salmon by release group see Appendix 22.

Based on the total estimated spring Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of JCW (246 jacks and 12,366 adults), the estimated angler harvest rate ( $6.9 \%$ jacks, $5.0 \%$ adults), and the percentage of ad-clipped spring Chinook at JCW also containing CWTs (98.3\%), we estimate the contribution of CWT spring Chinook Salmon to the total run of spring Chinook upstream of JCW to be 2,378 in 2019, including 16 jacks and 2,361 adults (Appendix 23). The run is estimated to include 118 CWT spring Chinook Salmon harvested by anglers, 959 recovered at TRH and 1,301 available to spawn in natural
areas. The age composition of 2018 CWT spring Chinook Salmon returns was 16 ( $0.67 \%$ ) age 2, 1,768 (74.4\%) age 3, 593 ( $24.9 \%$ ) age 4, and 0 ( $0.0 \%$ ) age 5 fish.


Figure 9. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery produced, coded-wire tagged spring Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2014, based on estimated returns upstream of Junction City weir.

Applying production multipliers to CWT recoveries allows for the estimation of HOR spring Chinook Salmon contributions to the total Trinity River spring Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of JCW. In 2019, an estimated 9,367 (61 jack, 9,305 adult) HOR spring Chinook Salmon returned to the Trinity River upstream of JCW, which represents $74.3 \%$ of the combined HOR and NOR run and is above the 28 year mean of $60.0 \%$ (Figure 10, Appendix 24).


Figure 10. Estimated contribution of Trinity River Hatchery-origin and natural-origin spring Chinook Salmon to total run-size upstream of Junction City weir, 1991-2019.

### 3.3. Fall Chinook Salmon

### 3.3.1. Fall Chinook Salmon Trapping and Tagging

We initiated trapping at Willow Creek weir the night of September 9, 2019 (JW 36) and processed our first fish the next morning (JW 37). Trapping continued until December 2 (JW 48) when the weir was removed for the season in anticipation of an impending storm-related high flow event.

A total of 1,589 Chinook Salmon were trapped at WCW over 61 nights in 2019, all of which were determined to be fall Chinook Salmon (see Section 2.4.3). Tags were applied to 1,545 of those fish, four of which died from tagging stress, and 44 were not tagged due to poor condition. The number of fall Chinook trapped at WCW peaked at 57.3 fish per night during JW 37, with a mean of 26.0 fish per night across the trapping period (Table 5, Figure 11).

Table 5. Weekly summary of Chinook Salmon trapped at Willow Creek weir on the Trinity River during 2019.

|  | Number trapped ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Julian week | Inclusive dates |  | Nights trapped | Jacks <br> b | Ad-clip Jacks | Adults | Ad-clip Adults | Total | Ad-clip total | Fish/ night |
| 37 | 10-Sep | - 16-Sep | 7 | 203 | 4 | 198 | 15 | 401 | 19 | 57.3 |
| 38 | 17-Sep | - 23-Sep | 5 | 77 | 1 | 115 | 9 | 192 | 10 | 38.4 |
| 39 | 24-Sep | - 30-Sep | 5 | 98 | 2 | 133 | 20 | 231 | 22 | 46.2 |
| 40 | 1-Oct | - 7-Oct | 5 | 66 | 4 | 189 | 24 | 255 | 28 | 51.0 |
| 41 | 8-Oct | - 14-Oct | 5 | 52 | 6 | 179 | 31 | 231 | 37 | 46.2 |
| 42 | 15-Oct | - 21-Oct | 5 | 27 | 4 | 136 | 25 | 163 | 29 | 32.6 |
| 43 | 22-Oct | - 28-Oct | 5 | 9 | 1 | 19 | 6 | 28 | 7 | 5.6 |
| 44 | 29-Oct | - 4-Nov | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| 45 | 5-Nov | - 11-Nov | 5 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 25 | 4 | 5.0 |
| 46 | 12-Nov | - 18-Nov | 5 | 14 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 52 | 4 | 10.4 |
| 47 | 19-Nov | - 25-Nov | 5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 48 | 26-Nov | - 2-Dec | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.3 |
|  |  | Total: Mean: | 61 | 554 | 22 | 1,035 | 140 | 1,589 | 162 | 26.0 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place September 9 - December 2, 2019 (Julian weeks 36-48).
All Chinook Salmon trapped at Willow Creek weir in 2019 were considered fall run.
b/ Chinook <50 cm FL were considered jacks in 2019
c/ Adipose fin-clipped Chinook. Number shown is a subset of weekly jack and adult Chinook totals.


Figure 11. Mean catch per week of fall Chinook Salmon at Willow Creek weir on the Trinity River, 2019.

Fall Chinook Salmon trapped at WCW averaged 54.2 cm FL, and ranged from 34 cm to 89 cm FL (Figure 12, Appendix 25). For assigning age classes to the fall run we used HVTF's scale age proportions (see Section 2.4.1). We also performed a FL distribution analysis which assigned a nadir-based jack/adult cutoff of 49 cm FL. We used the 49 cm minimum adult size only during harvest and catch-and-release fishery estimation because scale samples are not available for those sectors. Ad-clipped fish comprised $10.2 \%(162$ of 1,589$)$ of the fall Chinook Salmon trapped at WCW.


Figure 12. Fall Chinook Salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Willow Creek weir, Trinity River Hatchery, and both sites combined during the 2019-20 season. Fish trapped at WCW and subsequently recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph, and the arrow denotes the size used to separate jack and adults for harvest and catch and release fishery estimates.

### 3.3.2. Fall Chinook Salmon Recovery

### 3.3.2.1. Angler Tag Recovery

Anglers returned tags from 35 ( 9 jack and 26 adult) TRP-tagged fall Chinook Salmon resulting in an estimated harvest of 340 fall Chinook Salmon (Appendix 25). The estimated harvest rate of fall Chinook upstream of WCW was $2.5 \%$ for jacks and $3.0 \%$ for adults. There were 40 tags ( 17 jacks and 23 adults) returned from the catch-andrelease fishery, and there were six tags ( 0 jack and 6 adults) found loose (no live fish attached) or on carcasses that were returned by anglers or other river users.

### 3.3.2.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

There were 35 ( 8 jack and 27 adult) TRP-tagged fall Chinook Salmon recovered during spawner surveys in 2019 (Appendix 25). No tags were recovered from unspawned dead fish less than 30 days after tagging, so none were identified as tagging mortalities.

### 3.3.2.3. Tagging Mortalities

There were four observed NOR jack fall Chinook Salmon tagging mortalities at WCW in 2019 (Appendix 25).

### 3.3.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

A few fall Chinook Salmon began entering TRH during JW 40, including two tagged at WCW in JW 37 and three known fall CWT, but the fall run was determined to begin during JW 43 according to our protocol (see Section 2.4.3) and continued through JW 52 (Appendix 17). Recovery of fall Chinook peaked in JW 46 with 567 fish, which was also the peak week for fall CWT Chinook recovery (Appendix 19). Of the 1,500 fall Chinook effectively tagged at WCW, 199 (29 jack and 170 adult) or $13.3 \%$, were recovered at TRH. Based on run-timing determined from CWT recoveries, an estimated 1,586 (205 jack and 1,382 adult) fall Chinook Salmon returned to TRH in 2019.Of the 1,586 fall Chinook that entered TRH in 2019 we observed 374 ( $23.6 \%$ ) with ad-clips and 1,212 (76.4\%) had no clip.

### 3.3.2.5. Size and Age of Trapped Fish

Fall Chinook Salmon trapped at TRH averaged 58.7 cm FL (Figure 12). Data from known age, hatchery-marked fall Chinook that entered TRH indicated a minimum adult fork length of 46 cm (Appendix 26). There was little overlap between sizes of age-2 and age-3 fish, and the mean lengths were markedly different. Known age-2 fish averaged 41.8 cm FL and known age-3 fish averaged 59.5 cm FL. Applying the proportions determined from HVT scale analysis, jacks comprised $12.9 \%$ and adults $87.1 \%$ of fall Chinook entering TRH.

### 3.3.3. Fall Chinook Salmon Coded-Wire Tag Recovery and Hatchery Origin Contribution to Runs

The 371 CWTs recovered from fall Chinook Salmon at TRH represented 12 CWT release groups from BYs 2015-2017 (ages 2-4). There were no known-age 5 fall Chinook recovered in 2019. We considered the 2014 BY to have completed their lifecycle this year. Of the 574,292 (338,088 fingerling and 236,204 yearling) fall Chinook Salmon released from TRH with CWTs for BY 2014, 1,218 (0.21\%) returned between $2016-2019$, well below the mean of $0.73 \%$ (Figure 13, Appendix 27). For the full breakdown of run-size, percent return, and harvest and spawner escapement estimates for TRH CWT fall Chinook Salmon by release group see Appendix 28.


Figure 13. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery produced, coded-wire tagged fall Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2014, based on estimated returns upstream of Willow Creek weir.

Based on the total estimated fall Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of WCW ( 3,947 jacks and 7,963 adults), the estimated angler harvest rate ( $2.5 \%$ jacks, $3.0 \%$ adults), and the percentage of ad-clipped fall Chinook at WCW also containing CWTs (98.4\%), we estimate the contribution of fall-run CWT Chinook Salmon to the total run of fall Chinook upstream of WCW to be 1,214 in 2019, including 154 jacks and 1,060 adults (Appendix 29). The run is estimated to include 36 CWT fall Chinook Salmon harvested by anglers, 371 recovered at TRH and 807 available to spawn in natural areas. The age composition of 2019 CWT fall Chinook Salmon returns was 154 (12.7\%) age 2, 915 ( $75.4 \%$ ) age 3,145 ( $11.9 \%$ ) age 4 , and $0(0.0 \%)$ age 5 fish.

Applying production multipliers to CWT recoveries allows for the estimation of HOR fall Chinook Salmon contributions to the total Trinity River fall Chinook Salmon run-size upstream of WCW. In 2019, an estimated 5,023 ( 624 jack, 4,399 adult) HOR fall Chinook Salmon returned to the Trinity River upstream of WCW, which represents 42.2\% of the combined HOR and NOR run and is slightly lower than the 29-year mean of $49.7 \%$ (Figure 14, Appendix 30).


Figure 14. Hatchery- and natural-origin contributions to total fall Chinook Salmon run-size, upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1991 - 2019.

### 3.4. Coho Salmon

### 3.4.1. Coho Salmon Trapping and Tagging

A total of 156 Coho Salmon ( 2 jacks and 154 adults) were trapped at WCW between JWs 36 and 48 in 2019, all but 5 of which were tagged (Table 6, Appendix 31). Trapping averaged 2.6 Coho Salmon per night and peaked in JW 45 at 6.8 per night (Figure 15). Right maxillary clipped fish, indicating TRH origin, comprised 89.7\% (140 of 156) of Coho Salmon trapped at WCW.

Coho Salmon trapped at WCW and TRH averaged 59.0 cm FL and 60.5 cm FL, respectively, with a combined average of 60.3 cm FL (Figure 16). Using length distribution analysis of Coho trapped at WCW and TRH, the nadir separating jack from adult Coho Salmon was between 46 and 47 cm FL (Appendix 31). Based on the nadir, jacks comprised $1.3 \%$ of the run at WCW, and $0.9 \%$ at TRH.

Table 6. Weekly summary of Coho Salmon trapped at Willow Creek weir in the Trinity River during 2019.

|  | Inclusive dates |  | Number trapped a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Julian week |  |  | Nights trapped | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RM}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \text { clip } \\ & \text { Jacks } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Adults | RM clip Adults | Total trapped | Total RM clips | Total Coho |
| 37 | 10-Sep | - 16-Sep | 7 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 38 | 17-Sep | - 23-Sep | 5 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 39 | 24-Sep | - 30-Sep | 5 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 3.0 |
| 40 | 1-Oct | - 7-Oct | 5 |  |  | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 1.8 |
| 41 | 8-Oct | - 14-Oct | 5 |  |  | 14 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 2.8 |
| 42 | 15-Oct | - 21-Oct | 5 |  |  | 32 | 29 | 32 | 29 | 6.4 |
| 43 | 22-Oct | - 28-Oct | 5 |  |  | 20 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 4.0 |
| 44 | 29-Oct | - 4-Nov | 5 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 45 | 5-Nov | - 11-Nov | 5 |  |  | 34 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 6.8 |
| 46 | 12-Nov | - 18-Nov | 5 |  |  | 27 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 5.4 |
| 47 | 19-Nov | - 25-Nov | 5 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 48 | 26-Nov | - 2-Dec | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | Total: <br> Mean: | 61 | 2 | 1 | 154 | 139 | 156 | 140 | 2.6 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place September 9 - December 2, 2019 (Julian weeks 36-48).
b/ Coho <47cm FL were considered jacks in 2019.
c/ Right maxillary clipped Coho. Number shown is a subset of weekly jack and adult Coho totals.


Figure 15. Mean catch per week of Coho Salmon trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek weir, 2019.


Figure 16. Coho Salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Willow Creek weir, Trinity River Hatchery, and both sites combined during the 2019-20 season. Fish trapped at WCW then recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph, and the arrow denotes the size used to separate jack and adults for analysis.

### 3.4.2. Coho Salmon Recovery

### 3.4.2.1. Angler Tag Recovery

There was no reported harvest of TRP-tagged Coho Salmon in 2019, nor were there any tags returned from the catch-and-release fishery, leaving 151 effectively tagged fish. There was one tag found loose (no fish attached) and returned (Appendix 31).

### 3.4.2.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

There were two TRP-tagged Coho Salmon recovered during spawner surveys in 2019 (Appendix 31).

### 3.4.2.3. Tagging Mortalities

We observed zero Coho Salmon mortalities at WCW in 2019.

### 3.4.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

The first Coho Salmon entered TRH during JW 43 and they continued returning through JW 52 of 2019 (Appendix 17). A total of 649 Coho ( 6 jacks and 643 adults) were recovered at TRH during the season. Of the 151 Coho Salmon effectively tagged at WCW, 91 ( 0 jack and 92 adult) or $60.2 \%$ were recaptured at TRH (Appendix 31).

Of the 649 Coho Salmon that entered TRH in 2019, we observed 607 (93.5\%) with RM clips; 42 (6.5\%) had no clip. Unclipped fish are assumed to be NOR Coho Salmon.

### 3.4.3. Coho Salmon Hatchery-Origin Contributions to Run

In 2019 50.0\% of the jacks (age 2, from BY17) and 90.3\% of the adult (age 3, from BY16) Coho Salmon encountered at WCW were RM-clipped, therefore we estimate 965 ( 5 jacks and 960 adults) of the 1,074 Coho Salmon run-size estimate to be of hatcheryorigin.

For information about the BY 2018 juvenile Coho Salmon marking at TRH in 2019, or for more brood year performance information, refer to Appendix 32.

### 3.5. Adult Fall Steelhead

### 3.5.1. Adult Fall Steelhead Trapping and Tagging

A total of 718 steelhead (16 half-pounders and 702 adults) were trapped at WCW between JWs 36 and 48 in 2019, and 698 of the 702 adults were tagged (Table 7, Figure 17). Steelhead trapping peaked in JW 42 when we averaged 48.4 steelhead per night, and ad-clipped steelhead peaked the same week with 9.0 steelhead per night. Hatchery-origin fish comprised 23.9\% (168 of 702) of the adult steelhead trapped at WCW.

Table 7. Weekly summary of fall-run steelhead trapped at Willow Creek weir in the Trinity River during 2019.

|  | Inclusive dates | Number trapped ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Julian week |  | Nights trapped | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 2 \\ \text { lbers }^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | Adclipped 1/2 lbers ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Adults | Ad-clipped Adults | Total | Ad-clip total | Fish/ night |
| 37 | 10-Sep - 16-Sep | 7 | 3 | 1 | 135 | 42 | 138 | 43 | 19.7 |
| 38 | 17-Sep - 23-Sep | 5 | 3 | 2 | 140 | 37 | 143 | 39 | 28.6 |
| 39 | 24-Sep - 30-Sep | 5 | 6 | 5 | 88 | 24 | 94 | 29 | 18.8 |
| 40 | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 5 |  |  | 32 | 7 | 32 | 7 | 6.4 |
| 41 | 8-Oct - 14-Oct | 5 |  |  | 23 | 7 | 23 | 7 | 4.6 |
| 42 | 15-Oct - 21-Oct | 5 | 2 | 2 | 240 | 43 | 242 | 45 | 48.4 |
| 43 | 22-Oct - 28-Oct | 5 | 1 |  | 18 | 3 | 19 | 3 | 3.8 |
| 44 | 29-Oct - 4-Nov | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 45 | 5-Nov - 11-Nov | 5 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 0 | 0.6 |
| 46 | 12-Nov - 18-Nov | 5 |  |  | 16 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 3.2 |
| 47 | 19-Nov - 25-Nov | 5 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 0 | 0.6 |
| 48 | 26-Nov - 2-Dec | 4 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 0 | 0.8 |
|  | Total: <br> Mean: | 61 | 16 | 11 | 702 | 168 | 718 | 179 | 11.8 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place September 9 - December 2, 2019 (Julian weeks 36-48).
b/ Steelhead $<42 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were considered $1 / 2$ lbers (half-pounders).
c/ Adipose fin-clipped steelhead. Number shown is a subset of weekly half-pounder and adult steelhead totals.

Steelhead trapped at WCW and TRH averaged 54.5 and 53.8 cm FL, respectively, with a combined average of 54.2 cm FL (Figure 18).

Estimating escapement of steelhead upstream of JCW is not an objective of this project, but steelhead are trapped there, and ad-clipped steelhead are tagged for qualitative studies not reported here. Steelhead were trapped at JCW every week from JW 29 to 40 and peaked in JW 30 with 33 fish. Two half-pounders ( $<42 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{FL}$ ) and 119 adult steelhead were trapped, including 18 ad-clipped fish. Three steelhead trapped at JCW steelhead were subsequently recovered at TRH.


Figure 17. Mean catch of fall-run steelhead in the Trinity River at Willow Creek weir, 2019.

### 3.5.2. Adult Fall Steelhead Recovery

### 3.5.2.1. Angler Tag Recovery

There were four TRP-tagged ad-clipped steelhead reported as harvested in 2019 and four tags were found loose on the riverbank and returned by anglers or other river users (Appendix 33). There were 87 tags returned from the catch-and-release fishery, 20 HOR and 67 NOR steelhead, leaving 610 effective tags. No harvest of NOR fish was reported.

### 3.5.2.2. Spawner Survey Recovery

There were no Project-tagged steelhead recovered during spawner surveys in 2019.

### 3.5.2.3. Tagging Mortalities

There was one NOR adult steelhead identified as a tagging mortality at WCW in 2019.

### 3.5.2.4. Trinity River Hatchery Recovery

Steelhead entered TRH during every week the fish ladder was open, except for JWs 39 and 40 (Appendix 17). Recovery of steelhead peaked in JW 4 of 2020 when 82 steelhead entered TRH. A total of 386 adult steelhead (plus 10 half pounders) were recovered at TRH during the season. Of the 610 steelhead effectively tagged at WCW only 51 ( $8.4 \%$ ) were recaptured at TRH. Hatchery-origin fish comprised 95.9\% (370 of 386) of the adult steelhead recovered at TRH in the 2019-20 season.


Figure 18. Steelhead fork lengths (cm) observed at Willow Creek weir, Trinity River Hatchery and both sites combined during the 2019-20 season. Fish trapped at WCW then recovered at TRH are only included once in the "combined" (bottom) graph. Arrow denotes the size used to separate $1 / 2$ pounders (sub-adults) and adults for analysis.

### 3.5.3. Adult Fall Steelhead Hatchery-Origin Contribution to Run

All TRH reared steelhead receive an adipose clip before release to the Trinity River. We estimate the contribution of hatchery-origin fish to the total Trinity River run by applying the ad-clip percentage of steelhead at WCW to the total run-size estimate. In 2019 $23.9 \%$ of steelhead encountered at WCW were ad-clipped, therefore we estimate $23.9 \%$, or 1,088 , of the 4,547 adult fall steelhead run estimate above WCW to be of hatchery-origin.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Factors Influencing Run-Size, Harvest and Escapement Estimates

Attaining adult NOR salmonid production goals while providing dependent tribal and non-tribal harvest are fundamental objectives of the TRRP. Factors that directly affect salmonid run-size and, therefore, progress toward TRRP goals, include availability and quality of habitat for all life stages, natural mortality, and the amount of ocean and inriver harvest. Environmental conditions are also contributing factors and include oceanatmospheric climate variability over the North Pacific Ocean that result in inter-annual and inter-decadal changes in Pacific salmon survival (Beamish, et. al 2009). In addition, assessing progress toward meeting TRRP objectives depends on the accurate estimation of run sizes and escapements for adult salmonids.

Accuracy and precision of mark-recapture field studies and data analyses directly influence escapement estimates. Accuracy of the modified Petersen mark-recapture estimator relies on a set of assumptions, and estimator bias can occur if assumptions are violated. For example, unaccounted tagging mortality creates a positive bias in mark-recapture studies (Hankin 2001). Hankin makes evident the magnitude of potential bias in the following scenario: "If $90 \%$ of untagged fish that pass the WCW survive to arrive at TRH"..."but only 75\% of WCW-tagged fish survive to arrive at TRH, then the approximate proportional bias of the total run-size estimator would be (0.90/0.75) - $1=$ $1.29-1=0.29$, so that the estimator would have a positive proportional bias of almost $30 \%$ ". We take steps to minimize tagging-associated mortality through our operational protocol at the weirs. In the past we observed most tagging mortalities when water temperatures were high (near $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), therefore trapping is suspended if water temperatures exceed $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In addition, fish are not tagged if deemed in poor condition or if they have already spawned. We account for tagging mortalities through recovery of tagged fish found dead during surveys conducted upstream of the weir sites throughout the trapping season, in the main stem Trinity spawning surveys (any unspawned fish within 30 days of tagging), and by checking any TRP-tagged carcasses washed back on the weir for signs of spawning. Tagged fish that are judged to have died due to the stress of handling and/or tagging are removed from the tagged population for purposes
of estimating total escapement. Reliance on experienced crew and adherence to protocol contributes to a relatively small number of tagging mortalities.

Interruption in trapping, or missing part of the run, may violate the assumption that fish trapped and tagged at the weir are a random sample representative of the population. Most often interruption of trapping during the season or missing part of the run before or after the trapping season is due to high river flow. Flow variability results from storm events and releases from Lewiston Dam, both of which affect the timing and duration of high-flow events. This affects JCW more than WCW because of its proximity to the dam and the narrower channel in which JCW sits. The water year designation for the Trinity River in 2019 was "Wet," which corresponds to an allocation of 701,000 acre-feet of water for release to the Trinity River (Interior, 2000). With three peak (flushing) releases over 9,000 cfs in April and May and the slow recession of flows to the 1,000 cfs mark in mid-July when JCW could be safely installed we very likely missed trapping the beginning of the spring Chinook Salmon run in 2019 (Appendix 34). Additionally, JCW had to be pulled for the early September release of 8,360 acre-feet for Hoopa tribal ceremonial flows, but by that time much of the spring Chinook run was upstream of JCW. We held off installing WCW until after the ceremonial flows, but likely trapped through much of the fall Chinook Salmon run there (Appendix 35).

Estimates of total run size is not affected by potential bias of estimated age proportions when a pooled (vs. stratified) Peterson estimator is used, but biased age proportions will result in biased adult estimates. We know splitting the run into jack and adults based on a hard length cutoff (i.e. using the nadir of FL frequency distribution analysis) will assign some fish to the wrong age class. However, when we have compared jack vs. adult proportions based on mixture distribution analyses vs. our FL frequency distribution analysis, bias associated with using the nadir appeared to be insignificant (Kier and Hileman 2016). We rely on scale-age proportions for fall Chinook Salmon, but we continue to use length distribution analysis for other species until funding can be obtained to extend scale-based aging to other species. We hope to do further work to compare the relative accuracies of nadir-based and mixture distribution-based aging methods relative to scale-based aging. We assume scale-based aging is the least biased method for fish without CWTs.

Estimates of hatchery contributions to total run-size are based, in part, on the overall run-size estimates for each race of Chinook Salmon and corresponding expansion of CWT recoveries. Consequently, they are subject to the precision and potential biases associated with the mark-recapture estimates, as well as the accuracy of reported CWT expansion factors. The effect of this potential bias is most relevant to estimates of NOR and HOR fish spawning in natural areas because hatchery recoveries are actual counts, whereas NOR and HOR proportions in natural spawning grounds are estimated by subtracting angler harvest and expanded CWT recoveries from the natural-area run size estimate. Estimation of HOR vs. NOR proportions also rely on accurate estimates of expansion factors. If the reported expansion factor is greater or less than the true proportion of HOR fish with CWTs, total hatchery returns would be over- or underestimated, respectively. In addition, we assume the CWT fish that enter the hatchery
are representative of the entire CWT population, but if an age or release type of HOR Chinook is more likely to stray than others, then the estimated proportions of HOR fish, based on fish recovered at TRH, will be biased. Data on the TRH-origin Chinook recovered during the 2019 represent tag groups across the range of those that entered TRH, as occurs in most years.

Run-size estimates have the potential for bias (which are positive under most scenarios) in many cases due to violations of underlying assumptions of the estimator. However, biases that may affect estimates of total may not affect hatchery contribution rates since hatchery contribution rates are based on ad-clip rates observed at either JCW or WCW. Even if total run-size were biased, the ad-clip rate would remain the same and result in the same hatchery contribution rates. If, however, HOR fish are more or less vulnerable to capture at the weirs than their natural counterparts, the estimated contribution of hatchery fish could be biased. This could occur, for example, if the run timing of hatchery fish coincided with weir operations more so than natural fish, or vice versa. It could also occur if the weirs were size selective and there is a systematic difference in size distributions of NOR vs. HOR fish. We believe trapping at WCW and JCW spanned much of the fall and spring Chinook runs, respectively, thus reducing potential bias due to vulnerability of capture based on timing, but we currently do not have a method to evaluate potential size selection at weirs, other than noting how they compare in size to returning fish at TRH.

The amount of sport and commercial ocean harvest, in-river sport harvest and tribal harvest affect salmon and steelhead run-size and escapement. Only in-river recreational harvest affects escapement above the weirs because all in-river tribal harvest occurs downstream of our weirs. Ocean harvest rates and in-river harvest quotas are determined by the Pacific Fisheries Management Council only for the combined Klamath-Trinity fall Chinook Salmon stock and can range from no harvest up to two-thirds of the projected run-size to the basin. Thus, dependent fisheries may have a large impact on fall Chinook Salmon escapement to the basin and to the Trinity River. In 2019 the adult (> 22" total length) quota for the entire Klamath-Trinity Basin fall Chinook Salmon run was 7,637, with the Trinity recreational harvest share (33.0\%) of 2,520 fish. Of the 2,520 fish allocated to recreational harvest only an estimated 337 (180 upstream of WCW, and 157 below WCW) were harvested (CDFW 2020a). Provisionally, the estimated in-river Trinity basin-wide combined tribal and recreational harvest of spring Chinook was 1,793 (CDFW 2020b) with 629 estimated to have been taken in the recreational fishery upstream of JCW. Coho Salmon are protected from recreational harvest entirely.

Our harvest estimates are based on TRP tags returned by anglers and other river users. Unreported angler harvest of tagged fish results in an under-estimate of harvest rate and a corresponding over-estimate in escapement, even if the total run size is unbiased. Although the number of TRP tags returned is sufficient to generate a harvest estimate, we continue to try to increase the rate of tag return, especially from Chinook Salmon anglers. Even when we tag similar numbers of Chinook Salmon and steelhead, tags are returned from the steelhead fishery at a greater rate than from the salmon fishery. Likely
explanations for this difference include the longer steelhead season, the fact that emigrating steelhead tend to be more active feeders than Chinook, and potential negative bias in tag return rates for Chinook.

We have attempted for the past many years to run a side-study, similar to Heubach et al (1992) to determine the reward level at which 100\% of the tags are returned (one of our harvest estimate assumptions) per Bradford and Hankin's (2012) recommendation. Early analysis seemed to show that anglers tend to return tags with greater rewards at higher rates than tags with lessor or no value, as expected. However, small sample size continues to thwart our efforts to make robust conclusions from the study overall. One thing we observed as we increased the proportion of higher value tags was an increase in the number of people seeking those tags while engaged in activities other than fishing. In 2019 we continued to have tags returned by people who intentionally searched for them by scouring riverbanks or diving pools below heavily spawned areas of the river, but far fewer were returned than in the last few years as the treasure seeking public is becoming increasingly aware we have shifted our policy to pay only on tags returned in the season they were applied. We have also redesigned our tag return flyer used by anglers to report harvest for the 2020 season with the intent to make reporting easier and further increase tag return rates.

Our goal is to trap and tag $5-10 \%$ of the target run(s) at each weir. In 2019, we sampled only an estimated $4.3 \%$ of the spring Chinook Salmon run at JCW but managed to trap $13.3 \%$ of the fall Chinook Salmon run at WCW. Water level/flow largely dictates when we can trap. We continued to build tunnels between the openings in the weirs and the trap boxes as it seems to lead to higher trapping efficiency. We suspect that fish come upon the barrier of the weir structure and, after exploring for upstream passage, find a tunnel opening and swim into them more readily than they would have with a trap situated directly upstream of the weir line, perhaps because disturbance in flow occurring immediately downstream of the trap box was no longer felt at the weir line.

The WCW configuration in 2019 was very similar to 2017 with old-style (not floating) boat gate, tunnels, and no video monitoring with its associated lighting. We were hoping to test a sonar unit at WCW in 2019 but were unsuccessful getting the equipment together in time.

### 4.2 Spring Chinook Salmon

Results from the 2019 mark-recapture study indicate the total run-size of 12,612 was the third year in a row with run size larger than the previous year, with a full $50 \%$ increase compared to last year. Unfortunately, both NOR and HOR jacks suffered marked declines, so this pattern will likely not continue into 2020. The estimated contribution of NOR adults showed a slight increase from last year, but remains below the TRRP annual escapement goal of 6,000 NOR adult spring Chinook (Figure 19).


Figure 19. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural-origin spring Chinook Salmon to the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 2002-2019.

Shortly after Prince, et al. (2017) found spring Chinook Salmon to be genetically distinct from their fall counterparts, the Karuk Tribe and the Salmon River Restoration Council petitioned NOAA Fisheries to list the Upper Klamath-Trinity (UKTR) basin Chinook Salmon Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) or, alternatively, to create a new, separate ESU to describe Klamath spring Chinook Salmon and list that new ESU under the ESA. In April of 2020 NOAA Fisheries announced a 90-day finding in the Federal Register indicating the petition presents substantial scientific information, the petition actions may be warranted and that they are soliciting information from interested parties to potentially include in their planned status review (NMFS 2020).

### 4.3. Fall Chinook Salmon

The 2019 fall Chinook Salmon run-size of 11,910 was ranked 38 th of the 43 -year period of record and is $29.6 \%$ of the average run-size of 40,181 across those years. The 2019 escapement of 3,457 natural-origin adult fall Chinook returning to the Trinity basin is well below the 62,000 TRRP goal (Figure 20).


Figure 20. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural-origin fall Chinook Salmon to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2019.

The large percentage of jacks in the 2017 run, which made for an astoundingly large proportion of three-year-old fall Chinook Salmon in 2018 ( $82.6 \%$ ), did not translate into a particularly large four-year-old cohort ( $9.9 \%$ ) in 2019. Jacks in 2019, while comprising a third of the run, were smaller in size than usual, calling in to question food availability in the ocean. The pre-season forecast for Klamath River basin fall Chinook Salmon adult returns to the mouth of the Klamath River was 97,900 , while the post-season estimate was 37,300 (KRTT 2020).

The HVT operated a harvest weir downstream of WCW near the southern boundary of the Hoopa Valley Reservation (near Tish Tang Creek confluence) for the fourth consecutive year in 2019, reportedly to harvest up to 3,000 adult fall Chinook, in addition to all jack fall Chinook, HOR Coho Salmon and HOR steelhead (HVTC 2019). Harvest of fall Chinook Salmon at the HVT weir is reported to the Pacific Fishery Management Council, but no information on harvest of other species is publicly available.

### 4.1. Coho Salmon

The 2019 estimated run-size of 1,074 Coho Salmon is the 41 st lowest in the 43 -year record (Figure 21). Coho jacks comprised a mere $1.0 \%$ of the estimated run, well below the $27.8 \%$ jack average across the years on record. Natural-origin adult contribution to the total Coho Salmon run increased to 10\% from 2018's 3.0\%, but the NOR adult component is still only $7.4 \%$ of the TRRP goal of 1,400.


Figure 21. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural-origin Coho Salmon to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2019.

In 2014, under EPIC v. Lehr, et al (2014), production of Coho Salmon at TRH was reduced from 500,000 to 300,000 until a hatchery genetics management plan is adopted. That plan was submitted in 2017 but is still going through the NOAA Fisheries review/approval process. The small Coho returns to TRH since 2014 have made obtaining sufficient eggs to produce even 300,000 Coho difficult, with releases from TRH of only 258,243 Coho in 2018 and 149,807 in 2019.

### 4.5 Adult Fall Steelhead

The lawsuit and consent decree that curtailed production of Coho Salmon at TRH also mandated production of steelhead be reduced from 800,000 to no more than 448,000 and imposed limitations on the timing of smolt release. Hatchery-origin fish generally make up a large proportion of populations of steelhead and Coho Salmon in the Trinity River. Consequently, these reductions in hatchery production were expected to have a large effect on total returns for these species, which makes it impossible to associate the recent decline in population size solely to recent changes in environmental conditions such as the 2012-2016 California drought or poor ocean conditions.

The 2019 run-size estimate for adult fall steelhead of 4,547 is ranked 30th over the 36year period of record and is $32.6 \%$ of the average run-size of 13,956. The 2019 total escapement of 4,518 adult steelhead was comprised of $76 \%$ NOR fish (Figure 22), up considerably over the average of $42 \%$. This decrease in the hatchery proportion may be attributed, at least in part, to the culling of ad-clipped steelhead at the HVT weir at Tish Tang. However, the lack of publicly available data on harvest at this site make it impossible to tease out the causes of changes such as this.


Figure 22. Total adult escapement, and escapement of natural-origin steelhead to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2019.

Recreational harvest has been limited to hatchery-origin steelhead since 1998. Pre1998 harvest rates on steelhead averaged $13.4 \%$ but has since dropped to $3.1 \%$. While the catch-and-release fishery continues to be more popular than harvest among steelhead anglers, legal harvest of steelhead was curtailed in 2019 as anglers were confronted with lower abundance of hatchery-origin steelhead. This was foreshadowed by the $24 \%$ ad-clip rate of adult steelhead trapped at WCW, far lower than the 58\% average clip rate across the data set. Fewer hatchery-origin steelhead in the river translates to less harvest opportunity to recreational anglers.

## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Run-size and escapement estimates of NOR and HOR spring and fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, and adult fall steelhead in the Trinity River basin should be continued on an annual basis to maintain short and long term baselines which help assess objectives stated in the IAP and ROD and inform adaptive management decision making.
- Management and operations of the TRRP and TRH should be coordinated to ensure that objectives for natural fish production and hatchery management goals are synchronized across restoration and mitigation programs.
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## 7. APPENDICES

Appendix 1. List of Julian weeks and their calendar date equivalents.


[^3]Appendix 2. Spring Chinook Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 1978-2019.

| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Adults |  | Total | Natural Area Spawers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks Adults |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Jacks | Adults |  | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | \% |  |  | Number | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | no estimates |  |  |  |  | no estimates |  |  | 385 | 1,124 | 1,509 | no estimates |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 190 | 1.0 | 18,816 | 99.0 | 19,006 | 29 | 14,384 | 14,413 | 153 | 3,680 | 3,833 | 8 | 752 | 760 |  |
| 1979 | 113 | 1.4 | 7,964 | 98.6 | 8,077 | 0 | 5,008 | 5,008 | 113 | 1,658 | 1,771 | 0 | 1,298 | 1,298 |  |
| 1980 | 1,949 | 45.9 | 2,301 | 54.1 | 4,250 | 1,312 | 1,614 | 2,926 | 353 | 547 | 900 | 284 | 140 | 424 |  |
| 1981 | 347 | 4.2 | 7,913 | 95.8 | 8,260 | 242 | 3,362 | 3,604 | 95 | 2,405 | 2,500 | 10 | 2,146 | 2,156 |  |
| 1982 | 656 | 10.3 | 5,731 | 89.7 | 6,387 | 387 | 3,868 | 4,255 | 150 | 1,226 | 1,376 | 119 | 637 | 756 |  |
| 1983 | no estimates |  |  |  |  | no estimates |  |  | 385 | 930 | 1,315 | no estimates |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 255 | 9.4 | 2,465 | 90.6 | 2,720 | 140 | 1,354 | 1,494 | 76 | 736 | 812 | 39 | 375 | 414 |  |
| 1985 | 1,434 | 14.8 | 8,278 | 85.2 | 9,712 | 799 | 4,897 | 5,696 | 508 | 2,645 | 3,153 | 127 | 736 | 863 |  |
| 1986 | 7,018 | 23.1 | 23,403 | 76.9 | 30,421 | 4,335 | 13,371 | 17,706 | 1,461 | 7,083 | 8,544 | 1,222 | 2,949 | 4,171 |  |
| 1987 | 4,858 | 9.5 | 46,016 | 90.5 | 50,874 | 2,577 | 29,083 | 31,660 | 1,387 | 8,466 | 9,853 | 894 | 8,467 | 9,361 |  |
| 1988 | 720 | 1.1 | 61,972 | 98.9 | 62,692 | 241 | 39,329 | 39,570 | 377 | 13,905 | 14,282 | 102 | 8,738 | 8,840 |  |
| 1989 | 502 | 1.9 | 25,804 | 98.1 | 26,306 | 435 | 18,241 | 18,676 | 17 | 4,983 | 5,000 | 50 | 2,580 | 2,630 |  |
| 1990 | 265 | 4.1 | 6,123 | 95.9 | 6,388 | 126 | 2,880 | 3,006 | 104 | 2,433 | 2,537 | 35 | 810 | 845 |  |
| 1991 | 190 | 8.0 | 2,191 | 92.0 | 2,381 | 92 | 1,268 | 1,360 | 71 | 614 | 685 | 27 | 309 | 336 |  |
| 1992 | 1,671 | 41.5 | 2,359 | 58.5 | 4,030 | 944 | 942 | 1,886 | 533 | 1,313 | 1,846 | 194 | 104 | 298 |  |
| 1993 | 68 | 1.3 | 5,164 | 98.7 | 5,232 | 37 | 2,111 | 2,148 | 31 | 2,630 | 2,661 | 0 | 423 | 423 |  |
| 1994 | 1,793 | 26.4 | 4,995 | 73.6 | 6,788 | 550 | 2,897 | 3,447 | 944 | 1,943 | 2,887 | 299 | 155 | 454 |  |
| 1995 | no estimates |  |  |  |  | no estimates |  |  | 385 | 8,722 | 9,107 | no estimates |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 489 | 2.1 | 22,927 | 97.9 | 23,416 | 370 | 16,283 | 16,653 | 119 | 5,131 | 5,250 | 0 | 1,513 | 1,513 |  |
| 1997 | 768 | 3.8 | 19,271 | 96.2 | 20,039 | 543 | 13,049 | 13,592 | 225 | 4,892 | 5,117 | 0 | 1,330 | 1,330 |  |
| 1998 | 802 | 5.0 | 15,365 | 95.0 | 16,167 | 567 | 9,057 | 9,624 | 184 | 4,679 | 4,863 | 51 | 1,629 | 1,680 |  |
| 1999 | 1,028 | 9.1 | 10,265 | 90.9 | 11,293 | 440 | 5,968 | 6,408 | 547 | 3,671 | 4,218 | 41 | 626 | 667 |  |
| 2000 | 2,159 | 8.3 | 23,923 | 91.7 | 26,082 | 1,264 | 10,846 | 12,110 | 571 | 11,594 | 12,165 | 324 | 1,483 | 1,807 |  |
| 2001 | 2,065 | 10.5 | 17,556 | 89.5 | 19,621 | 1,178 | 10,284 | 11,462 | 629 | 6,366 | 6,995 | 258 | 906 | 1,164 |  |
| 2002 | 2,575 | 6.7 | 35,910 | 93.3 | 38,485 | 1,883 | 23,674 | 25,557 | 617 | 10,440 | 11,057 | 75 | 1,796 | 1,871 |  |
| 2003 | 1,039 | 2.2 | 46,756 | 97.8 | 47,795 | 909 | 30,211 | 31,120 | 130 | 14,512 | 14,642 | 0 | 2,033 | 2,033 |  |
| 2004 | 2,929 | 18.1 | 13,218 | 81.9 | 16,147 | 1,708 | 7,314 | 9,022 | 985 | 5,251 | 6,236 | 236 | 653 | 889 |  |
| 2005 | 55 | 0.4 | 13,929 | 99.6 | 13,984 | 30 | 6,003 | 6,033 | 25 | 6,966 | 6,991 | 0 | 961 | 961 |  |
| 2006 | 1,963 | 26.2 | 5,520 | 73.8 | 7,483 | 1,127 | 2,955 | 4,082 | 819 | 2,565 | 3,384 | 17 | 0 | 17 |  |
| 2007 | 135 | 0.9 | 14,700 | 99.1 | 14,835 | 80 | 8,154 | 8,234 | 55 | 5,981 | 6,036 | 0 | 565 | 565 |  |
| 2008 | 2,218 | 21.6 | 8,065 | 78.4 | 10,283 | 1,741 | 4,470 | 6,211 | 329 | 3,437 | 3,766 | 148 | 158 | 306 |  |
| 2009 | 260 | 3.5 | 7,166 | 96.5 | 7,426 | 191 | 3,724 | 3,915 | 69 | 3,000 | 3,069 | 0 | 442 | 442 |  |
| 2010 | 1,554 | 13.8 | 9,731 | 86.2 | 11,285 | 1,309 | 6,810 | 8,119 | 245 | 2,457 | 2,702 | 0 | 463 | 463 |  |
| 2011 | 8,087 | 42.1 | 11,132 | 57.9 | 19,219 | 5,217 | 7,309 | 12,526 | 2,758 | 3,823 | 6,581 | 112 | 0 | 112 |  |
| 2012 | 813 | 3.2 | 24,804 | 96.8 | 25,617 | 542 | 16,117 | 16,659 | 109 | 6,712 | 6,821 | 163 | 1,976 | 2,139 |  |
| 2013 | 281 | 3.1 | 8,680 | 96.9 | 8,961 | 185 | 5,956 | 6,141 | 96 | 2,482 | 2,578 | 0 | 243 | 243 |  |
| 2014 | 660 | 9.5 | 6,298 | 90.5 | 6,958 | 282 | 2,833 | 3,115 | 362 | 3,255 | 3,617 | 16 | 210 | 226 |  |
| 2015 | 490 | 11.1 | 3,918 | 88.9 | 4,408 | 250 | 1,980 | 2,230 | 240 | 1,748 | 1,988 | 0 | 190 | 190 |  |
| 2016 | 545 | 14.0 | 3,359 | 86.0 | 3,904 | 250 | 1,331 | 1,581 | 277 | 1,830 | 2,107 | 18 | 198 | 216 |  |
| 2017 | 802 | 18.1 | 3,623 | 81.9 | 4,425 | 481 | 2,459 | 2,940 | 246 | 1,134 | 1,380 | 75 | 29 | 104 |  |
| 2018 | 927 | 11.5 | 7,105 | 88.5 | 8,032 | 507 | 4,352 | 4,859 | 420 | 2,488 | 2,908 | 0 | 265 | 265 |  |
| 2019 | 246 | 2.0 | 12,366 | 98.0 | 12,612 | 161 | 7,344 | 7,505 | 68 | 4,410 | 4,478 | 17 | 612 | 629 |  |

a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
b/ Jacks are two year old salmon, adults are three years old or older.
c/ The 1978 sport harvest of spring Chinook Salmon was limited by a salmon fishing closure beginning August 25, 1978.
d/ The sport harvest of adult spring Chinook Salmon was subject to seasonal and size limit restrictions.

Appendix 3. Spring Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 1978-2019. a


[^4]Appendix 4. Spring Chinook Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 2002-2019, showing natural- and Trinity River Hatchery-origin composition.

| Year / Origin | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Number | Percent | Adults <br> Number | Percent |  | Natural Area Spawers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
| 2002 NATURAL | 1,238 | 10\% | 11,398 | 90\% | 12,636 | 1,109 | 10,097 | 11,206 | 87 | 722 | 809 | 41 | 579 | 620 |
| 2002 TRH | 1,337 | 5\% | 24,512 | 95\% | 25,849 | 774 | 13,577 | 14,351 | 530 | 9,718 | 10,248 | 34 | 1,217 | 1,251 |
| 2002 TOTAL | 2,575 | 7\% | 35,910 | 93\% | 38,485 | 1,883 | 23,674 | 25,557 | 617 | 10,440 | 11,057 | 75 | 1,796 | 1,871 |
| 2003 NATURAL | 740 | 5\% | 13,509 | 95\% | 14,249 | 729 | 11,490 | 12,219 | 11 | 1,432 | 1,443 | 0 | 587 | 587 |
| 2003 TRH | 299 | 1\% | 33,247 | 99\% | 33,546 | 180 | 18,721 | 18,901 | 119 | 13,080 | 13,199 | 0 | 1,446 | 1,446 |
| 2003 TOTAL | 1,039 | 2\% | 46,756 | 98\% | 47,795 | 909 | 30,211 | 31,120 | 130 | 14,512 | 14,642 | 0 | 2,033 | 2,033 |
| 2004 NATURAL | 1,266 | 26\% | 3,556 | 74\% | 4,822 | 1,009 | 2,966 | 3,975 | 154 | 410 | 564 | 103 | 180 | 283 |
| 2004 TRH | 1,663 | 15\% | 9,662 | 85\% | 11,325 | 699 | 4,348 | 5,047 | 831 | 4,841 | 5,672 | 133 | 473 | 606 |
| 2004 TOTAL | 2,929 | 18\% | 13,218 | 82\% | 16,147 | 1,708 | 7,314 | 9,022 | 985 | 5,251 | 6,236 | 236 | 653 | 889 |
| 2005 NATURAL | -14 | 0\% | 3,032 | 100\% | 3,018 | -2 | 2,028 | 2,026 | -11 | 799 | 788 | 0 | 206 | 206 |
| 2005 TRH | 69 | 1\% | 10,897 | 99\% | 10,966 | 32 | 3,975 | 4,007 | 36 | 6,167 | 6,203 | 0 | 755 | 755 |
| 2005 TOTAL | 55 | 0\% | 13,929 | 100\% | 13,984 | 30 | 6,003 | 6,033 | 25 | 6,966 | 6,991 | 0 | 961 | 961 |
| 2006 NATURAL | 914 | 24\% | 2,911 | 76\% | 3,825 | 792 | 2,418 | 3,210 | 114 | 494 | 608 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| 2006 TRH | 1,049 | 29\% | 2,609 | 71\% | 3,658 | 335 | 537 | 872 | 705 | 2,071 | 2,776 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 2006 TOTAL | 1,963 | 26\% | 5,520 | 74\% | 7,483 | 1,127 | 2,955 | 4,082 | 819 | 2,565 | 3,384 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 2007 NATURAL | 56 | 2\% | 2,680 | 98\% | 2,736 | 67 | 1,705 | 1,772 | -11 | 862 | 851 | 0 | 113 | 113 |
| 2007 TRH | 79 | 1\% | 12,020 | 99\% | 12,099 | 13 | 6,449 | 6,462 | 66 | 5,119 | 5,185 | 0 | 452 | 452 |
| 2007 TOTAL | 135 | 1\% | 14,700 | 99\% | 14,835 | 80 | 8,154 | 8,234 | 55 | 5,981 | 6,036 | 0 | 565 | 565 |
| 2008 NATURAL | 1,846 | 32\% | 3,860 | 68\% | 5,706 | 1,614 | 3,210 | 4,824 | 108 | 571 | 679 | 123 | 79 | 202 |
| 2008 TRH | 372 | 8\% | 4,205 | 92\% | 4,577 | 127 | 1,260 | 1,387 | 221 | 2,866 | 3,087 | 25 | 79 | 104 |
| 2008 TOTAL | 2,218 | 22\% | 8,065 | 78\% | 10,283 | 1,741 | 4,470 | 6,211 | 329 | 3,437 | 3,766 | 148 | 158 | 306 |
| 2009 NATURAL | 175 | 5\% | 3,278 | 95\% | 3,453 | 155 | 2,672 | 2,827 | 20 | 404 | 424 | 0 | 202 | 202 |
| 2009 TRH | 85 | 2\% | 3,888 | 98\% | 3,973 | 36 | 1,052 | 1,088 | 49 | 2,596 | 2,645 | 0 | 240 | 240 |
| 2009 TOTAL | 260 | 4\% | 7,166 | 96\% | 7,426 | 191 | 3,724 | 3,915 | 69 | 3,000 | 3,069 | 0 | 442 | 442 |


| Year/ Origin | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Number | Percent | Adults <br> Number | Percent |  | Natural Area Spawers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
| 2010 NATURAL | 1,020 | 15\% | 5,756 | 85\% | 6,776 | 959 | 5,066 | 6,025 | 61 | 321 | 382 | 0 | 368 | 368 |
| 2010 TRH | 534 | 12\% | 3,975 | 88\% | 4,509 | 350 | 1,744 | 2,094 | 184 | 2,136 | 2,320 | 0 | 95 | 95 |
| 2010 TOTAL | 1,554 | 14\% | 9,731 | 86\% | 11,285 | 1,309 | 6,810 | 8,119 | 245 | 2,457 | 2,702 | 0 | 463 | 463 |
| 2011 NATURAL | 3,592 | 38\% | 5,781 | 62\% | 9,373 | 3,350 | 5,577 | 8,927 | 193 | 204 | 397 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| 2011 TRH | 4,495 | 46\% | 5,351 | 54\% | 9,846 | 1,867 | 1,732 | 3,599 | 2,565 | 3,619 | 6,184 | 62 | 0 | 62 |
| 2011 TOTAL | 8,087 | 42\% | 11,132 | 58\% | 19,219 | 5,217 | 7,309 | 12,526 | 2,758 | 3,823 | 6,581 | 112 | 0 | 112 |
| 2012 NATURAL | 251 | 3\% | 9,060 | 97\% | 9,311 | 116 | 7,569 | 7,685 | 31 | 788 | 819 | 105 | 703 | 808 |
| 2012 TRH | 562 | 3\% | 15,744 | 97\% | 16,306 | 426 | 8,548 | 8,974 | 78 | 5,924 | 6,002 | 58 | 1,273 | 1,331 |
| 2012 TOTAL | 813 | 3\% | 24,804 | 97\% | 25,617 | 542 | 16,117 | 16,659 | 109 | 6,712 | 6,821 | 163 | 1,976 | 2,139 |
| 2013 NATURAL | 146 | 5\% | 2,669 | 95\% | 2,815 | 127 | 2,487 | 2,614 | 19 | 116 | 135 | 0 | 67 | 67 |
| 2013 TRH | 135 | 2\% | 6,011 | 98\% | 6,146 | 58 | 3,469 | 3,527 | 77 | 2,366 | 2,443 | 0 | 176 | 176 |
| 2013 TOTAL | 281 | 3\% | 8,680 | 97\% | 8,961 | 185 | 5,956 | 6,141 | 96 | 2,482 | 2,578 | 0 | 243 | 243 |
| 2014 NATURAL | 132 | 6\% | 1,998 | 94\% | 2,130 | 49 | 1,559 | 1,608 | 80 | 372 | 452 | 3 | 66 | 211 |
| 2014 TRH | 528 | 11\% | 4,300 | 89\% | 4,828 | 233 | 1,274 | 1,507 | 282 | 2,883 | 3,165 | 13 | 144 | 15 |
| 2014 TOTAL | 660 | 9\% | 6,298 | 91\% | 6,958 | 282 | 2,833 | 3,115 | 362 | 3,255 | 3,617 | 16 | 210 | 226 |
| 2015 NATURAL | 177 | 13\% | 1,146 | 87\% | 1,323 | 123 | 817 | 940 | 55 | 273 | 327 | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| 2015 TRH | 313 | 10\% | 2,772 | 90\% | 3,085 | 127 | 1,163 | 1,290 | 185 | 1,475 | 1,661 | 0 | 134 | 134 |
| 2015 TOTAL | 490 | 11\% | 3,918 | 89\% | 4,408 | 250 | 1,980 | 2,230 | 240 | 1,748 | 1,988 | 0 | 190 | 190 |
| 2016 NATURAL | 178 | 12\% | 1,337 | 88\% | 1,515 | 155 | 1,168 | 1,323 | 17 | 90 | 107 | 6 | 79 | 85 |
| 2016 TRH | 367 | 15\% | 2,022 | 85\% | 2,389 | 95 | 163 | 258 | 260 | 1,740 | 2,000 | 12 | 119 | 131 |
| 2016 TOTAL | 545 | 14\% | 3,359 | 86\% | 3,904 | 250 | 1,331 | 1,581 | 277 | 1,830 | 2,107 | 18 | 198 | 216 |
| 2017 NATURAL | 309 | 17\% | 1,466 | 83\% | 1,775 | 322 | 1,429 | 1,751 | -42 | 25 | -17 | 29 | 12 | 41 |
| 2017 TRH | 493 | 19\% | 2,157 | 81\% | 2,650 | 159 | 1,030 | 1,189 | 288 | 1,109 | 1,397 | 46 | 17 | 63 |
| 2017 TOTAL | 802 | 18\% | 3,623 | 82\% | 4,425 | 481 | 2,459 | 2,940 | 246 | 1,134 | 1,380 | 75 | 29 | 104 |
| 2018 NATURAL | 346 | 15\% | 2,032 | 85\% | 2,378 | 295 | 1,650 | 1,945 | 51 | 288 | 339 | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| 2018 TRH | 581 | 10\% | 5,073 | 90\% | 5,654 | 212 | 2,702 | 2,914 | 369 | 2,200 | 2,569 | 0 | 190 | 190 |
| 2018 TOTAL | 927 | 12\% | 7,105 | 88\% | 8,032 | 507 | 4,352 | 4,859 | 420 | 2,488 | 2,908 | 0 | 265 | 265 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year / | Jacks ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Number | Percent | Adults <br> Number | Percent |  | Natural Area Spawers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |
| Origin |  |  |  |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
| 2019 NATURAL | 185 | 6\% | 3,061 | 94\% | 3,245 | 153 | 1,960 | 2,113 | 15 | 488 | 503 | 0 | 127 | 127.4 |
| 2019 TRH | 61 | 1\% | 9,305 | 99\% | 9,367 | 8 | 5,384 | 5,392 | 53 | 3,922 | 3,975 | 5 | 485 | 489 |
| 2019 TOTAL | 246 | 2\% | 12,366 | 98\% | 12,612 | 161 | 7,344 | 7,505 | 68 | 4,410 | 4,478 | 17 | 612 | 629 |

a/ Jacks are two-year-old salmon, adults are three years old or older.
b/ Natural area spawners includes both natural origin and hatchery origin fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
c/ The sport harvest of spring Chinook Salmon was subject to seasonal and size limit restrictions.

Appendix 5. Spring Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City weir, 2002 - 2019 , showing natural-origin (NOR) and Trinity River Hatchery (HOR) origin composition.


Appendix 6. Fall Chinook Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2019.


| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Adults |  | Total | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Jacks | Adults |  | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,214 | 2.1 | 55,895 | 97.9 |  | 57,109 | 914 | 36,152 | 37,066 | 204 | 17,971 | 18,175 | 96 | 1,772 | 1,868 |
| 2002 | 3,812 | 21.0 | 14,344 | 79.0 | 18,156 | 2,566 | 10,310 | 12,876 | 1,078 | 3,475 | 4,553 | 168 | 559 | 727 |
| 2003 | 1,547 | 2.4 | 62,815 | 97.6 | 64,362 | 758 | 31,195 | 31,953 | 634 | 29,752 | 30,386 | 155 | 1,867 | 2,022 |
| 2004 | 5,224 | 17.7 | 24,310 | 82.3 | 29,534 | 3,839 | 11,545 | 15,384 | 1,059 | 12,384 | 13,443 | 327 | 381 | 708 |
| 2005 | 899 | 3.2 | 27,332 | 96.8 | 28,231 | 751 | 12,717 | 13,468 | 48 | 13,758 | 13,806 | 100 | 856 | 956 |
| 2006 | 12,290 | 35.2 | 22,622 | 64.8 | 34,912 | 8,228 | 14,566 | 22,794 | 3,938 | 8,056 | 11,994 | 124 | 0 | 124 |
| 2007 | 886 | 1.5 | 57,987 | 98.5 | 58,873 | 765 | 38,967 | 39,732 | 33 | 18,081 | 18,114 | 89 | 939 | 1,028 |
| 2008 | 7,856 | 34.2 | 15,141 | 65.8 | 22,997 | 6,861 | 10,408 | 17,269 | 801 | 4,451 | 5,252 | 194 | 281 | 475 |
| 2009 | 6,018 | 20.3 | 23,575 | 79.7 | 29,593 | 5,732 | 15,663 | 21,395 | 141 | 7,353 | 7,494 | 145 | 559 | 704 |
| 2010 | 12,554 | 30.8 | 28,238 | 69.2 | 40,792 | 10,969 | 20,301 | 31,270 | 1,458 | 7,749 | 9,207 | 127 | 188 | 315 |
| 2011 | 35,277 | 43.6 | 45,542 | 56.4 | 80,819 | 32,527 | 30,810 | 63,337 | 1,840 | 13,882 | 15,722 | 910 | 851 | 1,761 |
| 2012 | 5,243 | 7.1 | 68,423 | 92.9 | 73,666 | 5,120 | 49,317 | 54,437 | 92 | 17,461 | 17,553 | 31 | 1,644 | 1,675 |
| 2013 | 6,717 | 18.2 | 30,272 | 81.8 | 36,989 | 6,582 | 25,675 | 32,257 | 135 | 3,717 | 3,852 | 0 | 880 | 880 |
| 2014 | 6,938 | 18.3 | 30,892 | 81.7 | 37,830 | 6,603 | 23,105 | 29,708 | 221 | 6,975 | 7,196 | 114 | 812 | 926 |
| 2015 | 2,750 | 26.5 | 7,615 | 73.5 | 10,365 | 2,505 | 4,451 | 6,956 | 224 | 3,129 | 3,353 | 21 | 35 | 56 |
| 2016 | 1,661 | 26.8 | 4,535 | 73.2 | 6,196 | 1,260 | 3,353 | 4,613 | 401 | 1,142 | 1,543 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| 2017 | 7,355 | 47.6 | 8,100 | 52.4 | 15,455 | 5,492 | 4,330 | 9,822 | 1,863 | 3,770 | 5,633 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 4,446 | 16.6 | 22,402 | 83.4 | 26,848 | 4,075 | 14,499 | 18,574 | 171 | 7,142 | 7,313 | 200 | 761 | 961 |
| 2019 | 2,993 | 24.6 | 9,150 | 75.4 | 12,143 | 2,740 | 7,575 | 10,315 | 213 | 1,373 | 1,586 | 40 | 203 | 243 |

a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
b/ The 1978 sport harvest of fall Chinook was restricted by a salmon fishing closure beginning August 25, 1978.
c/ The sport harvest of adult fall Chinook was subject to seasonal and size limit restrictions.
d/ The 1999-2018 sport harvest of Klamath Basin fall Chinook was managed with a quota system. The quota for adult fall Chinook was 957 in $1999 ; 693$ in 2000; 9,834 in 2001; 6,926 in 2002; 10,800 in 2003; 4,700 in 2004; 1,262 in 2005, zero in 2006, 10,600 in 2007, 20,500 in 2008, 30,800 in $2009,12,000$ in $2010,7,900$ in 2011, 67,600 in 2012, 40,006 in $2013,4,128$ in 2014, 14,133 in 2015, 1,110 in 2016, zero (no allowable harvest) in 2017, and 3,490 in 2018 .
e/ Jacks are two-year-old fish, adults are a minimum of three years old.

Appendix 7. Fall Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2019.


Appendix 8. Fall Chinook Salmon estimated run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2019, showing natural- and Trinity River Hatchery-origin composition.

| Origin and Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Adults |  | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 NATURAL | 1,314 | 15.1 | 7,367 | 84.9 | 8,681 | 1,231 | 6,549 | 9,019 | 26 | 523 | 549 |  | 57 | 295 | 352 |  |
| 2002 TRH | 2,498 | 26.4 | 6,977 | 73.6 | 9,475 | 1,335 | 3,761 | 3,857 | 1,052 | 2,952 | 4,004 |  | 111 | 264 | 375 |  |
| 2002 TOTAL | 3,812 | 21.0 | 14,344 | 79.0 | 18,156 | 2,566 | 10,310 | 12,876 | 1,078 | 3,475 | 4,553 |  | 168 | 559 | 727 | d/ |
| 2003 NATURAL | 579 | 5.1 | 10,839 | 94.9 | 11,418 | 415 | 9,273 | 9,688 | 105 | 1,243 | 1,349 |  | 58 | 322 | 380 |  |
| 2003 TRH | 968 | 1.8 | 51,976 | 98.2 | 52,944 | 343 | 21,922 | 22,265 | 529 | 28,509 | 29,037 |  | 97 | 1,545 | 1,642 |  |
| 2003 TOTAL | 1,547 | 2.4 | 62,815 | 97.6 | 64,362 | 758 | 31,195 | 31,953 | 634 | 29,752 | 30,386 |  | 155 | 1,867 | 2,022 | d/ |
| 2004 NATURAL | 3,210 | 90 | 369 | 10 | 3,578 | 2,941 | -223 | 2,718 | 70 | 595 | 664 | c/ | 200 | -3 | 197 |  |
| 2004 TRH | 2,014 | 8 | 23,941 | 92 | 25,956 | 898 | 11,768 | 12,666 | 989 | 11,789 | 12,779 |  | 127 | 384 | 511 |  |
| 2004 TOTAL | 5,224 | 17.7 | 24,310 | 82.3 | 29,534 | 3,839 | 11,545 | 15,384 | 1,059 | 12,384 | 13,443 |  | 327 | 381 | 708 | d/ |
| 2005 NATURAL | 879 | 10.3 | 7,678 | 89.7 | 8,557 | 743 | 6,364 | 7,107 | 36 | 1,065 | 1,101 |  | 100 | 247 | 347 |  |
| 2005 TRH | 20 | 0.1 | 19,654 | 99.9 | 19,674 | 8 | 6,353 | 6,361 | 12 | 12,693 | 12,705 |  | 0 | 609 | 609 |  |
| 2005 TOTAL | 899 | 3.2 | 27,332 | 96.8 | 28,231 | 751 | 12,717 | 13,468 | 48 | 13,758 | 13,806 |  | 100 | 856 | 956 | d/ |
| 2006 NATURAL | 6,845 | 52 | 6,299 | 48 | 13,144 | 6,358 | 5,114 | 11,472 | 421 | 1,185 | 1,606 |  | 66 | 0 | 66 |  |
| 2006 TRH | 5,445 | 25 | 16,323 | 75 | 21,768 | 1,870 | 9,452 | 11,322 | 3,517 | 6,871 | 10,388 |  | 58 | 0 | 58 |  |
| 2006 TOTAL | 12,290 | 35.2 | 22,622 | 64.8 | 34,912 | 8,228 | 14,566 | 22,794 | 3,938 | 8,056 | 11,994 |  | 124 | 0 | 124 | d/ |
| 2007 NATURAL | 819 | 2.4 | 33,421 | 97.6 | 34,240 | 723 | 31,412 | 32,135 | 16 | 1,457 | 1,473 |  | 81 | 552 | 633 |  |
| 2007 TRH | 67 | 0.3 | 24,566 | 99.7 | 24,633 | 42 | 7,555 | 7,597 | 17 | 16,624 | 16,641 |  | 8 | 387 | 395 |  |
| 2007 TOTAL | 886 | 1.5 | 57,987 | 98.5 | 58,873 | 765 | 38,967 | 39,732 | 33 | 18,081 | 18,114 |  | 89 | 939 | 1,028 | d/ |
| 2008 NATURAL | 6,723 | 46.6 | 7,689 | 53.4 | 14,412 | 6,373 | 6,951 | 13,324 | 185 | 599 | 784 |  | 165 | 138 | 303 |  |
| 2008 TRH | 1,133 | 13.2 | 7,452 | 86.8 | 8,585 | 488 | 3,457 | 3,945 | 616 | 3,852 | 4,468 |  | 29 | 143 | 172 |  |
| 2008 TOTAL | 7,856 | 34.2 | 15,141 | 65.8 | 22,997 | 6,861 | 10,408 | 17,269 | 801 | 4,451 | 5,252 |  | 194 | 281 | 475 | d/ |
| 2009 NATURAL | 5,733 | 29.4 | 13,788 | 70.6 | 19,521 | 5,602 | 12,537 | 18,139 | -9 | 921 | 912 | c/ | 141 | 330 | 471 |  |
| 2009 TRH | 285 | 2.8 | 9,787 | 97.2 | 10,072 | 130 | 3,126 | 3,256 | 150 | 6,432 | 6,582 |  | 4 | 229 | 233 |  |
| 2009 TOTAL | 6,018 | 20.3 | 23,575 | 79.7 | 29,593 | 5,732 | 15,663 | 21,395 | 141 | 7,353 | 7,494 |  | 145 | 559 | 704 | d/ |



| Origin and Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Adults |  | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 NATURAL | 4,087 | 32.1 | 8,650 | 67.9 | 12,737 | 3,883 | 7,538 | 11,421 | 20 | 819 | 839 | 184 | 293 | 477 |  |
| 2018 TRH | 359 | 2.5 | 13,752 | 97.5 | 14,111 | 192 | 6,961 | 7,153 | 151 | 6,323 | 6,475 | 16 | 468 | 484 |  |
| 2018 TOTAL | 4,446 | 16.6 | 22,402 | 83.4 | 26,848 | 4,075 | 14,499 | 18,574 | 171 | 7,142 | 7,313 | 200 | 761 | 961 | d/ |
| 2019 NATURAL | 3,323 | 48.2 | 3,564 | 51.8 | 6,887 | 3,205 | 3,441 | 6,646 | 35 | 16 | 50 | 83 | 108 | 191 |  |
| 2019 TRH | 624 | 12.4 | 4,399 | 87.6 | 5,023 | 439 | 2,900 | 3,339 | 170 | 1,365 | 1,536 | 16 | 133 | 149 |  |
| 2019 TOTAL | 3,947 | 33.1 | 7,963 | 66.9 | 11,910 | 3,644 | 6,341 | 9,985 | 205 | 1,381 | 1,586 | 98 | 241 | 340 | d/ |

a/ Natural area spawners include both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
b/ Jacks are two-year-old fish, adults are a minimum of three years old.
c/ Negative numbers occur when the estimated number of hatchery fish, based on expansion of coded-wire tag recoveries for sampling and production, exceeds the estimated total number of fish.
d/ The 1999-2018 sport harvest of Klamath Basin fall Chinook was managed with a quota system. The quota for adult fall Chinook was 957 in 1999 ; 693 in $2000 ; 9,834$ in 2001 6,926 in 2002; 10,800 in 2003; 4,700 in 2004; 1,262 in 2005, zero in 2006, 10,600 in 2007, 20,500 in 2008, 30,800 in 2009, 12,000 in 2010, 7,900 in $2011,67,600$ in 2012 , 40,006 in 2013, 4,128 in 2014, 14,133 in 2015, 1,110 in 2016, zero (no allowable harvest) in 2017, 3,490 in 2018 and 7,637 in 2019.

Appendix 9. Fall Chinook Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 2002-2019, showing naturalorigin (NOR) and Trinity River Hatchery (HOR) -origin composition.


Appendix 10. Coho Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2019.

| YEAR | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Total | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Adults |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |
| 1977 | 3,106 | 80.5 | 752 | 19.5 | 3,858 | 1,756 | 25 | 1,781 | 1,230 | 698 | 1,928 | 120 | 29 | 149 |
| 1978 | 6,685 | 73.2 | 2,447 | 26.8 | 9,132 | 4,309 | 1,168 | 5,477 | 2,376 | 1,279 | 3,655 |  | ing closu |  |
| 1979 | 9,067 | 78.0 | 2,557 | 22.0 | 11,624 | 5,567 | 1,695 | 7,262 | 2,793 | 742 | 3,535 | 707 | 120 | 827 |
| 1980 | 2,499 | 41.0 | 3,595 | 59.0 | 6,094 | 954 | 1,817 | 2,771 | 1,545 | 1,778 | 3,323 |  |  | 0 |
| 1981 | 6,144 | 56.0 | 4,826 | 44.0 | 10,970 | 3,486 | 1,995 | 5,481 | 1,994 | 2,529 | 4,523 | 664 | 302 | 966 |
| 1982 | 2,021 | 17.5 | 9,508 | 82.5 | 11,529 | 1,158 | 5,097 | 6,255 | 823 | 3,975 | 4,798 | 40 | 436 | 476 |
| 1983 | 536 | 27.2 | 1,435 | 72.8 | 1,971 | 295 | 788 | 1,083 | 192 | 514 | 706 | 49 | 133 | 182 |
| 1984 | 15,208 | 77.2 | 4,486 | 22.8 | 19,694 | 6,188 | 2,971 | 9,159 | 7,727 | 1,134 | 8,861 | 1,293 | 381 | 1,674 |
| 1985 | 9,216 | 23.7 | 29,717 | 76.3 | 38,933 | 4,798 | 21,586 | 26,384 | 4,237 | 7,549 | 11,786 | 181 | 582 | $763{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1986 | 18,909 | 67.6 | 9,063 | 32.4 | 27,972 | 13,034 | 6,247 | 19,281 | 5,402 | 2,589 | 7,991 | 473 | 227 | 700 |
| 1987 | 7,253 | 12.3 | 51,826 | 87.7 | 59,079 | 3,975 | 28,398 | 32,373 | 2,865 | 20,473 | 23,338 | 413 | 2,955 | 3,368 |
| 1988 | 2,731 | 7.0 | 36,173 | 93.0 | 38,904 | 1,850 | 22,277 | 24,127 | 743 | 12,073 | 12,816 | 138 | 1,823 | 1,961 |
| 1989 | 290 | 1.5 | 18,462 | 98.5 | 18,752 | 208 | 13,274 | 13,482 | 77 | 4,893 | 4,970 | 5 | 295 | 300 |
| 1990 | 412 | 10.6 | 3,485 | 89.4 | 3,897 | 234 | 1,981 | 2,215 | 173 | 1,462 | 1,635 | 5 | 42 | 47 |
| 1991 | 265 | 2.9 | 8,859 | 97.1 | 9,124 | 164 | 6,163 | 6,327 | 98 | 2,590 | 2,688 | 3 | 106 | 109 |
| 1992 | 2,378 | 23.0 | 7,961 | 77.0 | 10,339 | 1,168 | 5,565 | 6,733 | 1,210 | 2,372 | 3,582 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| 1993 | 573 | 10.2 | 5,048 | 89.8 | 5,621 | 416 | 3,024 | 3,440 | 93 | 2,024 | 2,117 | 64 | 0 | 64 |
| 1994 | 613 | 71.9 | 239 | 28.1 | 852 | 453 | 105 | 558 | 160 | 134 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 634 | 3.9 | 15,477 | 96.1 | 16,111 | 370 | 10,680 | 11,050 | 264 | 4,503 | 4,767 | 0 | 294 | 294 |
| 1996 | 1,269 | 3.5 | 35,391 | 96.5 | 36,660 | 1,149 | 25,308 | 26,457 | 120 | 9,835 | 9,955 | 0 | 248 | 248 d |
| 1997 | 5,951 | 75.0 | 1,984 | 25.0 | 7,935 | 5,038 | 1,097 | 6,135 | 871 | 887 | 1,758 | 42 | 0 | 42 d |
| 1998 | 2,471 | 19.8 | 10,009 | 80.2 | 12,480 | 1,494 | 5,995 | 7,489 | 977 | 4,014 | 4,991 | 0 | 0 | 0 d |
| 1999 | 623 | 11.3 | 4,912 | 88.7 | 5,535 | 234 | 1,696 | 1,930 | 389 | 3,118 | 3,507 | 0 | 98 | $98{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2000 | 5,486 | 35.3 | 10,046 | 64.7 | 15,532 | 4,560 | 6,585 | 11,145 | 926 | 3,461 | 4,387 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2001 | 3,670 | 11.4 | 28,470 | 88.6 | 32,140 | 2,644 | 18,715 | 21,359 | 1,026 | 9,755 | 10,781 | 0 | 0 | 0 d |
| 2002 | 1,709 | 10.7 | 14,307 | 89.3 | 16,016 | 1,006 | 7,812 | 8,818 | 703 | 6,495 | 7,198 | 0 | 0 | 0 d |
| 2003 | 3,501 | 12.4 | 24,651 | 87.6 | 28,152 | 2,038 | 14,255 | 16,293 | 1,463 | 10,396 | 11,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 d |
| 2004 | 5,819 | 15.0 | 33,063 | 85.0 | 38,882 | 4,742 | 23,117 | 27,859 | 1,077 | 9,906 | 10,983 | 0 | 40 | 40 d |


| YEAR | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Total | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |
|  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Adults |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 3,093 | 9.8 | 28,326 | 90.2 | 31,419 | 1,341 | 11,702 | 13,043 | 1,731 | 16,624 | 18,355 | 21 | 0 | $21^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2006 | 1,369 | 6.8 | 18,709 | 93.2 | 20,078 | 708 | 8,870 | 9,578 | 661 | 9,839 | 10,500 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2007 | 545 | 9.5 | 5,205 | 90.5 | 5,750 | 270 | 2,552 | 2,822 | 275 | 2,653 | 2,928 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2008 | 2,379 | 23.8 | 7,603 | 76.2 | 9,982 | 1,730 | 3,064 | 4,794 | 649 | 4,539 | 5,188 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2009 | 1,762 | 27.5 | 4,634 | 72.5 | 6,396 | 888 | 2,157 | 3,045 | 874 | 2,477 | 3,351 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2010 | 1,278 | 16.1 | 6,669 | 83.9 | 7,947 | 752 | 2,770 | 3,522 | 526 | 3,899 | 4,425 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2011 | 9,722 | 64.6 | 5,318 | 35.4 | 15,040 | 6,792 | 3,394 | 10,186 | 2,886 | 1,924 | 4,810 | 44 | 0 | $44{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2012 | 3,389 | 18.2 | 15,268 | 81.8 | 18,657 | 2,510 | 7,912 | 10,422 | 879 | 7,357 | 8,236 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2013 | 2,819 | 12.9 | 19,087 | 87.1 | 21,906 | 2,392 | 12,883 | 15,275 | 427 | 6,204 | 6,631 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2014 | 3,338 | 24.7 | 10,199 | 75.3 | 13,537 | 2,401 | 7,228 | 9,629 | 937 | 2,971 | 3,908 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2015 | 935 | 20.2 | 3,684 | 79.8 | 4,619 | 657 | 625 | 1,282 | 278 | 3,059 | 3,337 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| $2016{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 208 | 15.7 | 1,117 | 84 | 1,325 | 163 | 635 | 798 | 45 | 482 | 527 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2017 | 244 | 37.3 | 411 | 63 | 655 | 94 | 141 | 235 | 150 | 270 | 420 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2018 | 427 | 28.7 | 1,059 | 71 | 1,486 | 241 | 503 | 744 | 186 | 556 | 742 | 0 | 0 | $0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2019 | 10 | 0.9 | 1,063 | 99 | 1,073 | 4 | 420 | 424 | 6 | 643 | 649 | 0 | 0 | $0^{\text {d }}$ |

a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River
Hatchery.
b/ The 1978 sport harvest of Coho was essentially eliminated by a salmon fishing closure beginning August 25, 1978.
c/ The 1985 sport harvest of adult Coho was limited by a closure for the taking of salmon > 55 cm total length beginning September 22,1985 .
d/ The 1996-2019 sport fishery was closed to the take of Coho Salmon.
e/ Jacks are two-year-old fish, adults are three years.
f/ The methods used to estimate the run-size and escapement of Coho in 2016 differs from those in other years due to insufficient sample marked at Willow Creek weir.

Appendix 11. Coho Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2019.


Appendix 12. Coho Salmon run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1997-2019, showing natural- and Trinity River Hatchery (TRH)-origin composition.


| Year | Origin | Run-size estimate |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Adults | Total | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |
| 2005 | Natural | 81 | 2,648 | 2,729 | 71 | 1,728 | 1,799 | 10 | 920 | 930 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,012 | 25,678 | 28,690 | 1,270 | 9,974 | 11,244 | 1,721 | 15,704 | 17,425 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,093 | 28,326 | 31,419 | 1,341 | 11,702 | 13,043 | 1,731 | 16,624 | 18,355 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 2006 | Natural | 38 | 1,586 | 1,624 | 34 | 1,416 | 1,450 | 4 | 170 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,331 | 17,123 | 18,454 | 674 | 7,454 | 8,128 | 657 | 9,669 | 10,326 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,369 | 18,709 | 20,078 | 708 | 8,870 | 9,578 | 661 | 9,839 | 10,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | Natural | 42 | 1,157 | 1,199 | 37 | 940 | 977 | 5 | 217 | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 503 | 4,048 | 4,551 | 233 | 1,612 | 1,845 | 270 | 2,436 | 2,706 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 545 | 5,205 | 5,750 | 270 | 2,552 | 2,822 | 275 | 2,653 | 2,928 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | Natural | 89 | 1,223 | 1,312 | 83 | 861 | 944 | 6 | 362 | 368 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 2,290 | 6,381 | 8,671 | 1,647 | 2,204 | 3,851 | 643 | 4,177 | 4,820 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,379 | 7,604 | 9,983 | 1,730 | 3,065 | 4,795 | 649 | 4,539 | 5,188 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | Natural | 117 | 525 | 643 | 114 | 438 | 552 | 3 | 87 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,645 | 4,108 | 5,753 | 774 | 1,718 | 2,492 | 871 | 2,390 | 3,258 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,762 | 4,633 | 6,396 | 888 | 2,156 | 3,044 | 874 | 2,477 | 3,352 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | Natural | 44 | 817 | 861 | 34 | 624 | 658 | 10 | 193 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,233 | 5,852 | 7,085 | 717 | 2,146 | 2,863 | 516 | 3,706 | 4,222 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 1,277 | 6,669 | 7,946 | 751 | 2,770 | 3,521 | 526 | 3,899 | 4,425 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | Natural | 208 | 1,205 | 1,413 | 187 | 991 | 1,178 | 21 | 214 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 9,514 | 4,113 | 13,627 | 6,606 | 2,403 | 9,009 | 2,865 | 1,710 | 4,575 | 44 | 0 | 44 |
|  | TOTAL | 9,722 | 5,318 | 15,040 | 6,793 | 3,394 | 10,187 | 2,886 | 1,924 | 4,810 | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| 2012 | Natural | 192 | 1,774 | 1,966 | 184 | 1,577 | 1,761 | 8 | 197 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,198 | 13,494 | 16,692 | 2,327 | 6,335 | 8,662 | 871 | 7,159 | 8,030 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,390 | 15,268 | 18,658 | 2,511 | 7,912 | 10,423 | 879 | 7,356 | 8,235 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2013 | Natural | 152 | 4,305 | 4,457 | 149 | 3,948 | 4,097 | 3 | 357 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 2,667 | 14,782 | 17,448 | 2,243 | 8,935 | 11,177 | 424 | 5,847 | 6,271 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | Run-size estimate |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Jacks | Adults | Total |
| Year | Origin | Jacks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total | Jacks | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | 2,819 | 19,087 | 21,905 | 2,392 | 12,883 | 15,274 | 427 | 6,204 | 6,631 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2014 | Natural | 99 | 902 | 1,001 | 94 | 823 | 917 | 5 | 79 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,239 | 9,297 | 12,536 | 2,307 | 6,405 | 8,712 | 932 | 2,892 | 3,824 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 3,338 | 10,199 | 13,537 | 2,401 | 7,228 | 9,629 | 937 | 2,971 | 3,908 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2015 | Natural | 65 | 748 | 814 | 57 | 459 | 517 | 8 | 289 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 870 | 2,936 | 3,805 | 600 | 166 | 765 | 270 | 2,770 | 3,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 935 | 3,684 | 4,619 | 657 | 625 | 1,282 | 278 | 3,059 | 3,337 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 | Natural | 163 | 635 | 798 | insufficient sample to make estimation of composition ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | 0 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 45 | 482 | 527 |  |  |  | 45 | 408 | 453 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 208 | 1,117 | 1,325 | 163 | 635 | 798 | 45 | 482 | 527 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2017 | Natural | 9 | 57 | 65 | 8 | 34 | 41 | 1 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 236 | 354 | 590 | 87 | 107 | 194 | 149 | 247 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 244 | 411 | 655 | 94 | 141 | 235 | 150 | 270 | 420 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | Natural | 18 | 42 | 60 | 17 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 41 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 409 | 1,017 | 1,426 | 224 | 502 | 726 | 185 | 515 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 427 | 1,059 | 1,486 | 241 | 503 | 744 | 186 | 556 | 742 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | Natural | 5 | 104 | 109 | 4 | 63 | 67 | 1 | 41 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 5 | 960 | 965 | 0 | 358 | 358 | 5 | 602 | 607 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TOTAL | 10 | 1,064 | 1,074 | 4 | 421 | 425 | 6 | 643 | 649 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

a/ Natural area spawners include both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery.
b/ Jacks are two-year-old fish, adults are three years.
c/ The 1996-2019 sport fishery was closed to the take of Coho Salmon
d/ The methods used to estimate run-size and escapement of Coho Salmon in 2016 differs from other years due to insufficient sample size.

Appendix 13. Coho Salmon estimated run-size for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1997-2018, showing natural-origin (NOR) and Trinity River Hatchery (HOR) origin composition.


Appendix 14. Fall-run adult steelhead ( $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL) run-size, spawner escapement, and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1977-2019.


| Year | Run-size estimates |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hatchery ${ }^{\text {b }}$ \# |  |  |  | Total | Natural Area Spawners ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Hatchery | Wild | Total |  |
|  |  | \% | \# | \% |  | Hatchery | Wild | Total | Hatchery | Wild | Total |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | " |  |  | 12,638 | " |  | 9,938 | " |  | 2,333 | " |  | 367 | e |
| 2002 | 14,408 | 75.6 | 4,650 | 24.4 | 19,058 | 7,715 | 4,551 | 12,266 | 5,996 | 42 | 6,038 | 697 | 57 | 754 | e |
| 2003 | 19,245 | 83.0 | 3,947 | 17.0 | 23,192 | 8,717 | 3,837 | 12,554 | 10,182 | 42 | 10,224 | 346 | 68 | 414 | e |
| 2004 | 15,038 | 75.7 | 4,817 | 24.3 | 19,855 | 8,937 | 4,732 | 13,669 | 5,688 | 37 | 5,725 | 413 | 48 | 461 | e |
| 2005 | 14,049 | 72.4 | 5,363 | 27.6 | 19,412 | 5,782 | 5,280 | 11,062 | 8,080 | 63 | 8,143 | 187 | 20 | 207 | e |
| 2006 | 32,609 | 78.8 | 8,781 | 21.2 | 41,390 | 20,272 | 8,660 | 28,932 | 11,509 | 38 | 11,547 | 828 | 83 | 911 | e |
| 2007 | 46,379 | 86.1 | 7,506 | 13.9 | 53,885 | 31,923 | 7,405 | 39,328 | 11,366 | 31 | 11,397 | 3,090 | 70 | 3,160 | e |
| 2008 | 9,538 | 63.5 | 5,477 | 36.5 | 15,015 | 6,680 | 5,415 | 12,095 | 2,471 | 24 | 2,495 | 386 | 38 | 424 | e |
| 2009 | 13,314 | 72.5 | 5,047 | 27.5 | 18,361 | 7,704 | 4,877 | 12,581 | 4,234 | 17 | 4,251 | 1,376 | 154 | 1,530 | e |
| 2010 | 4,640 | 54.9 | 3,811 | 45.1 | 8,451 | 2,468 | 3,749 | 6,217 | 2,000 | 37 | 2,037 | 172 | 25 | 197 | e |
| 2011 | 14,969 | 68.3 | 6,932 | 31.7 | 21,901 | 8,344 | 6,850 | 15,194 | 5,700 | 50 | 5,750 | 925 | 32 | 957 | e |
| 2012 | 12,253 | 59.4 | 8,359 | 40.6 | 20,612 | 6,060 | 8,215 | 14,275 | 5,685 | 52 | 5,737 | 507 | 92 | 599 | e |
| 2013 | 7,389 | 44.5 | 9,205 | 55.5 | 16,594 | 4,521 | 9,039 | 13,560 | 2,295 | 80 | 2,375 | 573 | 86 | 659 | e |
| 2014 | 4,460 | 43.4 | 5,822 | 56.6 | 10,282 | 1,822 | 5,691 | 7,513 | 2,499 | 62 | 2,561 | 139 | 69 | 208 | e |
| 2015 | 8,713 | 78.0 | 2,454 | 22.0 | 11,167 | 5,043 | 2,417 | 7,460 | 3,235 | 37 | 3,272 | 436 | 0 | 436 | e |
| 2016 | 2,568 | 56.6 | 1,972 | 43.4 | 4,540 | 943 | 1,927 | 2,870 | 1,557 | 17 | 1,574 | 68 | 28 | 96 | e |
| 2017 | 4,498 | 65.7 | 2,348 | 34.3 | 6,846 | 2,249 | 2,295 | 4,544 | 1,996 | 53 | 2,049 | 253 | 0 | 253 | e |
| 2018 | 3,531 | 60.0 | 2,354 | 40.0 | 5,885 | 1,543 | 2,289 | 3,832 | 1,859 | 37 | 1,896 | 129 | 28 | 157 | e |
| 2019 | 1,088 | 23.9 | 3,459 | 76.1 | 4,547 | 689 | 3,443 | 4,132 | 370 | 16 | 386 | 30 | 0 | 30 | e |
| a/ Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery. <br> b/ Trinity River Hatchery-produced steelhead. <br> c/ Naturally produced steelhead. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 15. Fall-run adult steelhead (>41 cm FL) estimated in the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1980-2019.


Appendix 16. Fork length (FL) distribution of spring Chinook Salmon trapped and tagged at Junction City weir (JCW), and subsequently recovered during the 2019-20 season.

| FL (cm) | JCW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trapped and Tagged ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ad-clips C | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest e | TRH ${ }^{f}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ Recoveries | Found Tags | Angler Released i | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| 34 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 35 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 37 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 40 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 41 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 42 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 100.0 |
| 43 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 44 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 45 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 16.7 |
| 46 | 10 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 40.0 |
| 47 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 48 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 49 | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 14.3 |
| 50 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 66.7 |
| 51 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 5 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 80.0 |
| 53 | 10 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 6 | 60.0 |
| 54 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 9 | 81.8 |
| 55 | 25 | 8 |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 40.0 |
| 56 | 28 | 2 | 1 |  | 11 | 2 |  |  | 14 | 50.0 |
| 57 | 34 | 13 |  | 4 | 14 | 2 |  | 1 | 21 | 61.8 |
| 58 | 29 | 4 |  | 2 | 10 | 2 |  |  | 14 | 48.3 |


| FL (cm) | JCW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trapped } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Tagged }^{b} \end{gathered}$ | Ad-clips <br> c | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest e | TRH ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass 9 Recoveries | Found Tags | Angler Released i | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| 59 | 34 | 6 |  | 1 | 14 | 5 |  | 1 | 21 | 61.8 |
| 60 | 58 | 6 |  | 4 | 23 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 62.1 |
| 61 | 48 | 13 |  | 3 | 18 | 2 |  |  | 23 | 47.9 |
| 62 | 36 | 4 |  | 1 | 13 | 3 |  | 1 | 18 | 50.0 |
| 63 | 41 | 17 |  | 2 | 11 | 3 |  |  | 16 | 39.0 |
| 64 | 24 | 5 |  | 2 | 8 | 3 |  |  | 13 | 54.2 |
| 65 | 32 | 4 |  | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 53.1 |
| 66 | 11 | 5 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 6 | 54.5 |
| 67 | 8 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 37.5 |
| 68 | 11 | 4 |  |  | 6 | 3 |  |  | 9 | 81.8 |
| 69 | 13 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 38.5 |
| 70 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 66.7 |
| 71 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 50.0 |
| 72 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 73 | 5 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 40.0 |
| 74 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 50.0 |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 76 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 100.0 |
| 77 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 78 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 82 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 83 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 85 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |


| FL (cm) | JCW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trapped } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Tagged } \end{aligned}$ | Ad-clips | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest $\qquad$ | TRH ${ }^{\text {f }}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | Found Tags | Angler Released i |  |  |
| 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 90 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Totals: | 545 | 100 | 1 | 27 | 189 | 43 | 3 | 10 | 273 | 50.1\% |
| Mean FL: | 60.1 | 60.8 | 56.0 | 60.3 | 60.5 | 61.7 | 59.0 | 60.2 | 60.6 |  |
| Total jacks: ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 23.3\% |
| Total adults: | 515 | 98 | 1 | 25 | 186 | 42 | 3 | 9 | 266 | 51.7\% |

a/ Trapping at JCW took place July 16 - October 1, 2019 (Julian weeks 29-40).
b/ All Chinook trapped at Junction City weir in 2019 were tagged.
c/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
d/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging are considered tagging mortalities.
e/ Fish reported as harvested by
anglers.
f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 3, 2019 - March 3, 2020 (JWs 36-10; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
$\mathrm{g} /$ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
$\mathrm{h} /$ Fish tags found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts.
i/ Fish caught and released by anglers, their tag removed.
j/ Spring Chinook <47 cm FL were considered jacks in 2019.

Appendix 17. Total number (by entry week) and numbers of Junction City weir (JCW) and Willow Creek weir (WCW) tagged Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon and adult steelhead that entered Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2019-20 season.

| Julian week | Inclusive dates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Chinook Salmon |  |  |  |  | Coho Salmon |  | Steelhead |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total entering TRH | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Sprir } \\ \text { taggir } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { JCW } \end{gathered}$ | ing run <br> ing site <br> WCW | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fal } \\ \text { taggir } \\ \hline \text { JCW } \end{gathered}$ | I run ng site WCW | Total entering TRH | Tagged at WCW | Total entering TRH | Tagged at WCW |
| 36 | 3-Sep-9-Sep | 467 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 37 | 10-Sep-16-Sep | 919 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 38 | 17-Sep-23-Sep | 1,453 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 39 | 24-Sep-30-Sep | 887 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 1-Oct - 7-Oct | 502 | 40 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 8-Oct - 14-Oct | 250 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 42 | 15-Oct - 21-Oct | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 22-Oct - 28-Oct | 134 |  |  |  | 35 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 44 | 29-Oct - 4-Nov | 217 |  |  |  | 45 | 20 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 45 | 5-Nov-11-Nov | 78 |  |  |  | 16 | 15 |  | 1 |  |
| 46 | 12-Nov-18-Nov | 567 |  |  |  | 81 | 97 | 16 | 5 |  |
| 47 | 19-Nov-25-Nov | 282 |  |  |  | 13 | 167 | 22 | 1 |  |
| 48 | 26-Nov-2-Dec | 131 |  |  |  | 3 | 59 | 8 | 1 |  |
| 49 | 3-Dec-9-Dec | 131 |  |  |  |  | 69 | 13 | 1 |  |
| 50 | 10-Dec-16-Dec | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 81 | 11 | 6 | 1 |
| 51 | 17-Dec-23-Dec | 26 |  |  |  | 1 | 113 | 12 | 58 | 4 |
| 52 | 24-Dec-31-Dec | 9 |  |  |  | 1 | 25 | 2 | 66 | 8 |
| 1 | 1-Jan-7-Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 2 |
| 2 | 8-Jan - 14-Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 3 | 15-Jan-21-Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| 4 | 22-Jan-28-Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 13 |
| 5 | 29-Jan - 4-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 7 |
| 6 | 5-Feb - 11-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 7 |
| 7 | 12-Feb-18-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1 |
| 8 | 19-Feb-25-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 3 |
| 9 | 26-Feb-4-Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 3 |
| 10 | 5-Mar-11-Mar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |
|  | Totals: | 6,064 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 649 | 91 | 396 | 51 |

a/ Trapping occurred at TRH September 3, 2019 - March 10, 2020 (JWs 36-10; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).

Appendix 18. Recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH), by Julian week, of ad-clipped spring Chinook Salmon during the 2019-20 season.

| Coded-wire tag number and release type ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Brood year | Number of ad-clipped spring Chinook Salmon entering TRH, by Julian week ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 |  |
| 060772-f | 2015 | 21 | 7 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 35 |
| 060773-f | 2015 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 33 |
| 060774-f | 2015 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 6 |  | 31 |
| 060779-y | 2015 | 71 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 1 |  | 137 |
| 060781-f | 2015 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 060705-f | 2016 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 060954-f | 2016 | 80 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 1 |  | 205 |
| 060955-f | 2016 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 6 |  | 133 |
| 060956-f | 2016 | 30 | 38 | 27 | 54 | 30 | 1 | 180 |
| 060963-f | 2016 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 12 |
| 060961-y | 2016 | 49 | 39 | 48 | 27 | 11 |  | 174 |
| 061297-f | 2017 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 061489-f | 2017 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 061490-f | 2017 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 061491-f | 2017 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 |
| 061496-y | 2017 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 |
| Lost CWT ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| No CWT ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| Weekly totals: <br> TOTAL |  | 311 | 239 | 186 | 172 | 65 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 975 |

a/ Trapping occurred at TRH September 3, 2019 - March 10, 2020 (JWs 36-10; closed all or parts of JWs 41-43).
b/ Release types are either fingerling (f) or yearling (y).
c/ CWTs were lost or unreadable. Chinook with lost or unreadable tags recovered before JW 42 were consided spring run.
d/ No CWTs were recovered from these ad-clipped fish. Chinook with shed tags recovered before JW 42 were consided spring run.

Appendix 19. Recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH), by Julian week, of ad-clipped fall Chinook Salmon during the 2019-20 season.

| CWTnumber and Brood $\quad$ Number of ad-clipped fall Chinook Salmon entering TRH, by Julian week ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| release type ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | year | 40 | 41 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | Totals |
| 060775-f | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 060776-f | 2015 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 060777-f | 2015 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 060778-f | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 060780-y | 2015 |  |  | 3 | 5 |  | 8 | 1 | 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| 060962-y | 2016 | 2 | 1 | 48 | 54 | 12 | 106 | 32 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 284 |
| 060594-f | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 060708-f | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 061492-f | 2017 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 061494-f | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 061495-f | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 061497-y | 2017 |  |  | 3 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 33 |
| Lost CWT ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| No CWT ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Weekly totals: |  | 2 | 1 | 61 | 67 | 15 | 148 | 40 | 28 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 377 |

a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 3, 2019 - March 10, 2020 (JWs 36-10; closed all of JWs 41-43).
b/ Release types are either fingerling (f) or yearling (y).
c/ CWTs were lost or unreadabe. Chinook with lost or unreadable tags recovered after JW 41 were considered fall Chinook. d/ No CWTs were recovered from these ad-clipped fish. Chinook with shed tags recovered after JW 41 were considered fall Chinook.

Appendix 20. Fork length distribution of coded-wire tagged, Trinity River Hatchery origin spring Chinook Salmon recovered at TRH during the 2019-20 season. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FL } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Brood Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 060772-f 060773-f 060774-f 060779-y 060781-f |  |  |  |  | 060705-f 060954-f 060955-f 060956-f 060961-y 060963-f |  |  |  |  |  | 061297-f 061489-f 061490-f 061491-f 061496-y |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 53 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 54 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 7 | 4 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 31 |
| 55 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 9 | 17 | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 10 | 15 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| 58 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 23 | 13 | 23 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| 59 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 25 | 8 | 14 | 21 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |
| 60 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 17 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| 61 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 21 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| 62 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 14 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| 63 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 |  |  | 12 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |
| 64 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 18 |  |  | 9 | 5 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |
| 65 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 |  |  | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |
| 66 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 67 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 12 |  |  | 9 | 7 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 |
| 68 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 13 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 69 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 70 | 5 |  | 2 | 9 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 71 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 10 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 72 | 2 | 3 |  | 5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 73 |  | 2 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 74 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 75 |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 76 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 77 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 78 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 79 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 80 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 81 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 82 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 84 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 85 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 86 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 87 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 90 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Totals: | 35 | 33 | 31 | 137 | 1 | 2 | 205 | 133 | 180 | 174 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 956 |
| Mean | 69.3 | 71.4 | 69.8 | 68.7 | 66.0 | 58.5 | 59.5 | 60.0 | 59.5 | 58.3 | 57.8 | 41.0 | 46.5 | 47.0 | 46.8 | 42.8 | 61.6 |

a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 3, 2019 - March 10,2020 (JWs 36-10; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
b/ Age at release: $f=$ fingerlings, $y=$ yearlings.
Note: There were no known brood year 2014 (age 5) spring Chinook recovered at TRH in 2019.

Appendix 21. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery origin, coded-wire tagged spring Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2014.

|  | Fingerling releases |  |  |  | Yearling releases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood <br> year | Number <br> released | Number of <br> returns | Percent <br> return |  | Number <br> released | Number of <br> returns | Percent <br> return |
| 1986 | 197,113 | 103 | $0.05 \%$ |  | 101,030 | 1,960 | $1.94 \%$ |
| 1987 | 185,718 | 208 | $0.11 \%$ |  | 0 | 0 | -- |
| 1988 | 181,698 | 8 | $0.05 \%$ |  | 98,820 | 112 | $0.11 \%$ |
| 1989 | 186,413 | 7 | $0.00 \%$ |  | 102,555 | 176 | $0.17 \%$ |
| 1990 | 196,908 | 479 | $0.24 \%$ |  | 94,639 | 82 | $0.09 \%$ |
| 1991 | 198,277 | 297 | $0.15 \%$ |  | 110,797 | 68 | $0.06 \%$ |
| 1992 | 215,038 | 2,766 | $1.29 \%$ |  | 109,856 | 1,272 | $1.16 \%$ |
| 1993 | 222,056 | 1,125 | $0.51 \%$ |  | 111,525 | 958 | $0.86 \%$ |
| 1994 | 113,236 | 202 | $0.18 \%$ |  | 113,491 | 513 | $0.45 \%$ |
| 1995 | 196,211 | 450 | $0.23 \%$ |  | 101,934 | 1,581 | $1.55 \%$ |
| 1996 | 222,950 | 743 | $0.33 \%$ |  | 112,464 | 312 | $0.28 \%$ |
| 1997 | 209,155 | 1,834 | $0.88 \%$ |  | 147,507 | 4,471 | $3.03 \%$ |
| 1998 | 176,968 | 845 | $0.48 \%$ |  | 137,602 | 2,186 | $1.59 \%$ |
| 1999 | 148,380 | 3,372 | $2.27 \%$ |  | 129,919 | 4,288 | $3.30 \%$ |
| 2000 | 261,193 | 4,422 | $1.69 \%$ |  | 99,304 | 2,029 | $2.04 \%$ |
| 2001 | 253,248 | 412 | $0.16 \%$ |  | 104,627 | 1,480 | $1.41 \%$ |
| 2002 | 244,754 | 2,217 | $0.91 \%$ |  | 106,139 | 514 | $0.48 \%$ |
| 2003 | 265,556 | 310 | $0.12 \%$ |  | 104,974 | 339 | $0.32 \%$ |
| 2004 | 253,830 | 2,095 | $0.83 \%$ |  | 104,478 | 1,269 | $1.21 \%$ |
| 2005 | 263,108 | 317 | $0.12 \%$ |  | 107,607 | 111 | $0.10 \%$ |
| 2006 | 486,833 | 229 | $0.05 \%$ |  | 104,019 | 1,354 | $1.30 \%$ |
| 2007 | 180,083 | 252 | $0.14 \%$ |  | 96,803 | 626 | $0.65 \%$ |
| 2008 | 229,956 | 1,107 | $0.48 \%$ |  | 104,078 | 231 | $0.22 \%$ |
| 2009 | 161,053 | 4,364 | $2.71 \%$ |  | 108,824 | 959 | $0.88 \%$ |
| 2010 | 168,702 | 994 | $0.59 \%$ |  | 97,128 | 361 | $0.37 \%$ |
| 2011 | 167,205 | 406 | $0.24 \%$ |  | 97,771 | 292 | $0.30 \%$ |
| 2012 | 260,105 | 349 | $0.13 \%$ |  | 101,471 | 192 | $0.19 \%$ |
| 2013 | 258,761 | 349 | $0.13 \%$ |  | 103,872 | 75 | $0.07 \%$ |
| 2014 | 246,945 | 451 | $0.18 \%$ |  | 102,032 | 121 | $0.12 \%$ |
| Means: | 219,016 | 1,062 | $0.58 \%$ |  | 103,975 | 963 | $0.98 \%$ |

a/ Based on estimated returns upstream of Junction City weir. No estimate was produced in 1995, therefore
returns of age 2 through 5 Chinook from that year are hatchery returns only. Does not include ocean
harvest, in-river harvest, and escapement below Junction City weir.

Appendix 22. Brood year release and return data for Trinity River Hatchery (TRH)-origin, CWT spring Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River basin upstream of Junction City weir, 2016 2019.


| Release data |  |  |  | Estimated returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT a/ Brood code year | Date b/ | Number | Site | Age | Run- <br> size | \% of Angler Spawning escapement release harvest TRH c/ Natural Total f/ |  |  |  |  |
| 0607792015 |  |  |  | 4 | 343 | 0.32 | 17.0 | 137 | 189 | 326 |
| 0607812015 | 03/29-7/11/16 | 12,943 | RIVER | 2 | 5 | 0.04 | 0.5 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 0607812015 |  |  |  | 3 | 23 | 0.18 | 0.9 | 10 | 12 | 22 |
| 0607812015 |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 0607052016 | 06/16-23/17 | 2,588 | TRH | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0607052016 |  |  |  | 3 | 5 | 0.19 | 0.2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 0609542016 | 06/16-23/17 | 87,269 | TRH | 2 | 86 | 0.10 | 0.0 | 55 | 32 | 86 |
| 0609542016 |  |  |  | 3 | 513 | 0.59 | 25.4 | 206 | 282 | 488 |
| 0609552016 | 06/16-23/17 | 73,142 | TRH | 2 | 16 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 10 | 6 | 16 |
| 0609552016 |  |  |  | 3 | 333 | 0.46 | 16.5 | 133 | 183 | 317 |
| 0609562016 | 06/16-23/17 | 101,275 | TRH | 2 | 19 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| 0609562016 |  |  |  | 3 | 451 | 0.45 | 22.3 | 181 | 248 | 429 |
| 0609612016 | 10/21-26/17 | 105,153 | TRH | 2 | 19 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| 0609612016 |  |  |  | 3 | 436 | 0.41 | 21.6 | 175 | 240 | 414 |
| 0609632016 | 04/11-06/06/17 | 10,203 | RIVER | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0609632016 |  |  |  | 3 | 30.1 | 0.30 | 1.5 | 12 | 17 | 29 |
| 0606162017 | 04/11-05/15/18 | 5,101 | RIVER | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0612972017 | 06/08-22/18 | 50,511 | RIVER | 2 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0614892017 | 06/08-22/18 | 53,841 | TRH | 2 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 0614902017 | 06/08-22/18 | 55,671 | TRH | 2 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0614912017 | 06/08-22/18 | 53,829 | TRH | 2 | 6 | 0.01 | 0.4 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 0614962017 | 10/01-10/18 | 107,506 | TRH | 2 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.3 | 4 | 1 | 5 |

a/ CWT = coded-wire tag.
b/ Chinook Salmon released during June were fingerlings, those released in October were yearlings.
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 2014. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle.
e/ The term "adults" includes Chinook Salmon aged three through five.
$\mathrm{f} /$ Rounding sometimes makes for seeming addition errors in this column.

Appendix 23. Run-size, angler harvest and spawning escapement estimates, and associated expanded estimates, by tag code, of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) orgin spring Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River basin during the 2019-20 season. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CWT } \\ \text { code }^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | BY ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Age | TRH expansion factor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | TRH <br> Total CWTs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Percent of total CWTs | Run-size | Expanded run-size ${ }^{f}$ | Angler harvest | Expanded angler harvest ${ }^{f}$ | Spawning escapement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expanded } \\ \text { TRH }^{\dagger} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | River | Expanded River ${ }^{\mathrm{fg}}$ | Total ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expanded } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060772-f | 15 | 4 | 4.15 | 35.1 | 3.7\% | 87.6 | 363.9 | 4.3 | 18.0 | 35.1 | 145.8 | 48.2 | 200.1 | 83.3 | 345.9 |
| 060773-f | 15 | 4 | 4.12 | 33.1 | 3.5\% | 82.6 | 340.1 | 4.1 | 16.8 | 33.1 | 136.2 | 45.4 | 187.0 | 78.5 | 323.2 |
| 060774-f | 15 | 4 | 4.13 | 31.2 | 3.3\% | 77.8 | 321.0 | 3.9 | 15.9 | 31.2 | 128.6 | 42.8 | 176.5 | 73.9 | 305.1 |
| 060779-y | 15 | 4 | 4.08 | 137.4 | 14.5\% | 342.9 | 1,399.7 | 17.0 | 69.3 | 137.4 | 560.7 | 188.6 | 769.7 | 325.9 | 1,330.4 |
| 060781-f | 15 | 4 | 4.36 | 1.0 | 0.1\% | 2.5 | 10.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 10.4 |
| 060705-f | 16 | 3 | 4.12 | 2.0 | 0.2\% | 5.0 | 20.6 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 8.3 | 2.8 | 11.4 | 4.8 | 19.6 |
| 060954-f | 16 | 3 | 4.24 | 205.5 | 21.7\% | 512.9 | 2,175.9 | 25.4 | 107.7 | 205.5 | 871.6 | 282.1 | 1,196.6 | 487.5 | 2,068.2 |
| 060955-f | 16 | 3 | 4.16 | 133.4 | 14.1\% | 333.0 | 1,386.7 | 16.5 | 68.6 | 133.4 | 555.5 | 183.1 | 762.6 | 316.5 | 1,318.1 |
| 060956-f | 16 | 3 | 4.08 | 180.7 | 19.1\% | 451.1 | 1,840.0 | 22.3 | 91.1 | 180.7 | 737.0 | 248.1 | 1,011.9 | 428.8 | 1,748.9 |
| 060961-y | 16 | 3 | 4.36 | 174.6 | 18.5\% | 435.9 | 1,898.3 | 21.6 | 94.0 | 174.6 | 760.4 | 239.7 | 1,043.9 | 414.3 | 1,804.3 |
| 060963-f | 16 | 3 | 1.09 | 12.1 | 1.3\% | 30.1 | 32.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 16.5 | 18.0 | 28.6 | 31.2 |
| Adult totals: |  |  |  | 945.9 | 100.0\% | 2,361.4 | 9,790.0 | 116.9 | 484.6 | 945.9 | 3,921.6 | 1,298.6 | 5,383.8 | 2,244.5 | 9,305.4 |
| Jacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 061297-f | 17 | 2 | 4.08 | 1.0 | 7.8\% | 1.3 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 4.8 |
| 061489-f | 17 | 2 | 4.03 | 2.0 | 15.3\% | 2.5 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 9.3 |
| 061490-f | 17 | 2 | 4.07 | 1.0 | 7.7\% | 1.2 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 4.7 |
| 061491-f | 17 | 2 | 4.09 | 5.0 | 38.4\% | 6.2 | 25.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 20.5 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 5.8 | 23.6 |
| 061496-y | 17 | 2 | 4.07 | 4.0 | 30.8\% | 5.0 | 20.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 16.4 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 18.9 |
|  |  |  | Jack totals: | 13.1 | 100.0\% | 16.1 | 65.7 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 13.1 | 53.3 | 1.9 | 7.9 | 15.0 | 61.2 |
| Spring Chinook CWT Totals: |  |  |  | 959.0 |  | 2,377.5 | 9,855.7 | 118.0 | 489.1 | 959.0 | 3,974.8 | 1,300.5 | 5,391.7 | 2,259.5 | 9,366.5 |

a/ Estimate is for upstream of Junction City weir.
b/ CWT=coded-wire tag code. Fish are of the same race and release type (f=fingerling and $y=y e a r l i n g$ ).
c/ $\mathrm{BY}=$ brood year.
d/ Expansion factors used to account for untagged releases of the same BY and release type for each CWT group.
e/ Number of ad-clipped fish observed at Trinity River Hatchery, expanded by the number of ad-clipped fish with lost or unreadable tags.
f/ Expanded run-size, angler harvest, TRH escapement and river (natural area) escapement estimates are the product of each of those respective estimates multiplied by the TRH expansion
$\mathrm{g} /$ River (natural area) escapement estimates equal the total escapment minus the TRH escapement.
h/ Run-size estimate minus harvest estimate equals escapment estimate.

Appendix 24. Estimated contribution of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) origin spring Chinook Salmon to the total estimated run-size upstream of Junction City weir, 1991-2019 seasons.

| Year | Run-size | TRH-origin <br> component | Natural-origin <br> component | \% TRH <br> composition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 2,381 | 1,016 | 1,365 | $42.7 \%$ |
| 1992 | 4,030 | 1,794 | 2,236 | $44.5 \%$ |
| 1993 | 5,232 | 3,206 | 2,026 | $61.3 \%$ |
| 1994 | 6,788 | 2,659 | 4,129 | $39.2 \%$ |
| 1995 | No estimate | No estimate | No estimate | No estimate |
| 1996 | 23,416 | 12,524 | 10,892 | $53.5 \%$ |
| 1997 | 20,039 | 8,303 | 11,736 | $41.4 \%$ |
| 1998 | 16,167 | 8,774 | 7,393 | $54.3 \%$ |
| 1999 | 11,293 | 7,616 | 3,677 | $67.4 \%$ |
| 2000 | 26,083 | 19,730 | 6,353 | $75.6 \%$ |
| 2001 | 19,622 | 12,051 | 7,571 | $61.4 \%$ |
| 2002 | 38,485 | 24,599 | 13,886 | $63.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | 47,795 | 33,546 | 14,249 | $70.2 \%$ |
| 2004 | 16,147 | 11,324 | 4,823 | $70.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | 13,984 | 10,966 | 3,018 | $78.4 \%$ |
| 2006 | 7,483 | 3,649 | 3,834 | $48.8 \%$ |
| 2007 | 14,835 | 12,099 | 2,736 | $81.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | 10,283 | 4,577 | 5,706 | $44.5 \%$ |
| 2009 | 7,426 | 3,973 | 3,453 | $53.5 \%$ |
| 2010 | 11,285 | 4,505 | 6,780 | $39.9 \%$ |
| 2011 | 19,219 | 9,846 | 9,373 | $51.2 \%$ |
| 2012 | 25,617 | 16,306 | 9,311 | $63.7 \%$ |
| 2013 | 8,961 | 6,146 | 2,815 | $68.6 \%$ |
| 2014 | 6,959 | 4,828 | 2,131 | $69.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | 4,408 | 3,085 | 1,323 | $70.0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 3,904 | 2,389 | 1,515 | $61.2 \%$ |
| 2017 | 4,425 | 2,650 | 1,775 | $59.9 \%$ |
| 2018 | 8,032 | 5,654 | 2,378 | $70.4 \%$ |
| 2019 | 12,612 | 9,367 | 3,245 | $74.3 \%$ |
| Mean: | 14,175 | 8,828 | 5,347 | $60.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 25. Fork length (FL) distribution of fall Chinook Salmon trapped and tagged at Willow Creek weir (WCW), and subsequently recovered during the 2019-20 season.

| FL (cm) | WCW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  | Angler Released | Total Recoveries | \% Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trapped | Total <br> Tagged ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Adclips ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest ${ }^{e}$ | TRH ${ }^{f}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | Found Tags ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |  |  |
| 34 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 35 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36 | 12 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 37 | 15 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 38 | 27 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 21.7 |
| 39 | 31 | 25 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 16.0 |
| 40 | 52 | 52 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 7.7 |
| 41 | 61 | 61 | 3 |  | 1 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 10 | 16.4 |
| 42 | 57 | 56 | 3 |  | 5 | 3 |  |  |  | 8 | 14.3 |
| 43 | 75 | 75 | 7 |  |  | 6 | 2 |  | 2 | 10 | 13.3 |
| 44 | 57 | 57 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 8.8 |
| 45 | 54 | 53 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 6 | 11.3 |
| 46 | 49 | 48 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 14.6 |
| 47 | 36 | 36 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 13.9 |
| 48 | 23 | 21 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 14.3 |
| 49 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 8 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 25.0 |
| 51 | 13 | 13 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 38.5 |
| 52 | 25 | 25 | 4 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 6 | 24.0 |
| 53 | 33 | 33 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 21.2 |
| 54 | 55 | 55 | 4 |  | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 8 | 14.5 |
| 55 | 44 | 43 | 6 |  |  | 13 | 1 |  |  | 14 | 32.6 |
| 56 | 78 | 77 | 11 |  | 1 | 11 | 2 |  | 2 | 16 | 20.8 |
| 57 | 58 | 58 | 7 |  | 3 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 31.0 |
| 58 | 98 | 97 | 14 |  | 2 | 14 | 1 |  | 2 | 19 | 19.6 |
| 59 | 73 | 71 | 13 |  | 1 | 12 | 3 |  | 1 | 17 | 23.9 |
| 60 | 83 | 83 | 14 |  | 2 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 24 | 28.9 |
| 61 | 59 | 59 | 9 |  | 2 | 11 | 4 |  | 1 | 18 | 30.5 |
| 62 | 55 | 54 | 8 |  | 2 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 33.3 |
| 63 | 48 | 48 | 5 |  | 1 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 14 | 29.2 |
| 64 | 55 | 54 | 8 |  | 3 | 12 | 1 |  | 1 | 17 | 31.5 |
| 65 | 51 | 51 | 6 |  | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | 2 | 10 | 19.6 |
| 66 | 36 | 36 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 | 10 | 27.8 |
| 67 | 19 | 18 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 11.1 |


| FL (cm) | WCW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trapped | Total Tagged ${ }^{b}$ | Adclips ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | TRH ${ }^{f}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | Found Tags ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ | Angler Released ${ }^{i}$ | Total Recoveries | \% Recoveries |
| 68 | 27 | 26 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 8 | 30.8 |
| 69 | 21 | 21 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 23.8 |
| 70 | 21 | 20 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 15.0 |
| 71 | 10 | 10 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 40.0 |
| 72 | 13 | 13 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 15.4 |
| 73 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 20.0 |
| 74 | 7 | 7 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 14.3 |
| 75 | 7 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 14.3 |
| 76 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 77 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 78 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 79 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 80 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 81 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 82 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 20.0 |
| 83 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 84 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 85 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 86 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 87 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 88 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 89 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Totals: | 1,589 | 1,545 | 162 | 4 | 35 | 199 | 35 | 6 | 40 | 319 | 20\% |
| Mean FL: | 54.2 | 54.5 | 58.5 | 42.0 | 54.7 | 57.9 | 57.9 | 64.7 | 52.3 | 56.8 |  |
| Total jacks: ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 554 | 522 | 22 | 4 | 9 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 67 | 12.8 |
| Total adults: | 1,035 | 1,023 | 140 | 0 | 26 | 170 | 27 | 6 | 23 | 252 | 24.6 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place September 9 -December 1, 2019 (Julian weeks 37-48). All Chinook trapped at WCW in 2019 were considered fall Chinook.
b/ Forty-four ( 32 jack and 12 adult) fall Chinook were not tagged due to poor condition.
c/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
d/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging are considered tagging mortalities.
e/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers.
f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 3, 2019 - March 10, 2020 (JWs 36-10; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43)
g/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
h/ Fish tags found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts
i/ Fish caught and released by anglers, their tag removed
j/ Fall Chinook $<49 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were considered jacks in 2019 (for this analysis).

Appendix 26. Fork length distribution of coded-wire tagged, Trinity River Hatchery origin fall Chinook Salmon recovered at TRH during the 2019-20 season.

|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL (cm) | 060775-f 060776-f 060777-f 060778-f 060780-y |  |  |  |  | 060962-y | 060594-f 060708-f 061492-f 061494-f 061495-f 061497-y TOTALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 9 | 11 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 5 | 7 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 56 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 59 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 60 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 62 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| 63 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 64 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 65 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 66 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 67 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 68 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 69 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 70 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 71 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 72 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 73 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 74 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 75 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 76 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 78 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 79 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 85 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Totals: | 3 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 284 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 33 | 371 |
| Mean | 69.0 | 67.3 | 71.3 | 78.7 | 67.6 | 59.5 | 41.0 | 38.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.5 | 42.2 |  |

[^5]$b /$ Age at release: $f=$ fingerlings, $y=$ yearlings.

Appendix 27. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery origin, coded -wire tagged fall Chinook Salmon, brood years 1986-2014.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & \text { year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fingerlings -f |  |  | Yearlings-Y |  |  | $\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{Y}$ combined |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number released | Number of returns | Percent return | Number released | Number of returns | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Percent } \\ \text { return } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number released | Number of returns | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Percent } \\ \text { return } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1986 | 393,955 | 292 | 0.07\% | 153,700 | 4,899 | 3.19\% | 547,655 | 5,191 | 0.95\% |
| 1987 | 172,980 | 129 | 0.07\% | 92,300 | 418 | 0.45\% | 265,280 | 547 | 0.21\% |
| 1988 | 194,197 | 138 | 0.07\% | 143,934 | 796 | 0.55\% | 338,131 | 934 | 0.28\% |
| 1989 | 201,622 | 21 | 0.01\% | 143,978 | 174 | 0.12\% | 345,600 | 195 | 0.06\% |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | --- | 103,040 | 166 | 0.16\% | 103,040 | 166 | 0.16\% |
| 1991 | 206,416 | 937 | 0.45\% | 115,300 | 517 | 0.45\% | 321,716 | 1,454 | 0.45\% |
| 1992 | 192,032 | 2,503 | 1.30\% | 108,894 | 5,369 | 4.93\% | 300,926 | 7,872 | 2.62\% |
| 1993 | 201,032 | 158 | 0.08\% | 110,336 | 798 | 0.72\% | 311,368 | 956 | 0.31\% |
| 1994 | 216,563 | 374 | 0.17\% | 113,124 | 756 | 0.67\% | 329,687 | 1,130 | 0.34\% |
| 1995 | 216,051 | 285 | 0.13\% | 110,327 | 3,106 | 2.82\% | 326,378 | 3,391 | 1.04\% |
| 1996 | 217,981 | 445 | 0.20\% | 112,746 | 394 | 0.35\% | 330,727 | 839 | 0.25\% |
| 1997 | 216,772 | 1,707 | 0.79\% | 313,080 | 11,396 | 3.64\% | 529,852 | 13,103 | 2.47\% |
| 1998 | 184,781 | 292 | 0.16\% | 334,726 | 7,173 | 2.14\% | 519,507 | 7,465 | 1.44\% |
| 1999 | 181,301 | 693 | 0.38\% | 296,892 | 5,833 | 1.96\% | 478,193 | 6,526 | 1.36\% |
| 2000 | 522,316 | 3,909 | 0.75\% | 216,593 | 5,245 | 2.42\% | 738,909 | 9,154 | 1.24\% |
| 2001 | 499,919 | 476 | 0.10\% | 230,055 | 5,894 | 2.56\% | 729,974 | 6,370 | 0.87\% |
| 2002 | 508,963 | 3,563 | 0.70\% | 236,319 | 3,561 | 1.51\% | 745,282 | 7,124 | 0.96\% |
| 2003 | 534,219 | 289 | 0.05\% | 225,798 | 944 | 0.42\% | 760,017 | 1,233 | 0.16\% |
| 2004 | 486,369 | 4,125 | 0.85\% | 218,386 | 3,909 | 1.79\% | 704,755 | 8,034 | 1.14\% |
| 2005 | 488,466 | 157 | 0.03\% | 227,903 | 675 | 0.30\% | 716,369 | 832 | 0.12\% |
| 2006 | 486,833 | 849 | 0.17\% | 238,156 | 3,240 | 1.36\% | 724,989 | 4,089 | 0.56\% |
| 2007 | 446,316 | 324 | 0.07\% | 244,661 | 2,330 | 0.95\% | 690,977 | 2,654 | 0.38\% |
| 2008 | 518,269 | 3,576 | 0.69\% | 259,330 | 4,211 | 1.62\% | 777,599 | 7,787 | 1.00\% |
| 2009 | 496,761 | 2,988 | 0.60\% | 230,461 | 7,361 | 3.19\% | 727,222 | 10,349 | 1.42\% |
| 2010 | 475,062 | 856 | 0.18\% | 231,430 | 2,221 | 0.96\% | 706,492 | 3,077 | 0.44\% |
| 2011 | 406,418 | 461 | 0.11\% | 200,337 | 2,489 | 1.24\% | 606,755 | 2,950 | 0.49\% |
| 2012 | 393,038 | 84 | 0.02\% | 221,247 | 714 | 0.32\% | 614,285 | 798 | 0.13\% |
| 2013 | 526,760 | 136 | 0.03\% | 239,886 | 280 | 0.12\% | 766,646 | 416 | 0.05\% |
| 2014 | 338,088 | 430 | 0.13\% | 236,204 | 788 | 0.33\% | 574,292 | 1,218 | 0.21\% |
| Means: | 342,189 | 1,041 | 0.30\% | 196,867 | 2,954 | 1.42\% | 539,056 | 3,995 | 0.73\% |

a/ Based on estimated returns upstream of Willow Creek weir. Does not include ocean harvest, in-river harvest, or escapement below Willow Creek weir.

Appendix 28. Run-size, percent return, in-river sport harvest, and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery (TRH)-origin, CWT fall Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River basin upstream of Willow Creek weir during the period 2016-2019.

| Release data |  |  |  |  | Estimated returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Brood year | Date ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Number | Site | Age | Runsize | \% of release | River harvest | Spawning escapement |  |  |
| code |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Natural | Total ${ }^{9}$ |
| 060615 | 2014 | 06/01-15/15 | 8,075 | TRH | 2 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 060615 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1.4 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| 060615 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 060615 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | tals: d/ |  | 1.4 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  | Total | ults: e/ |  | 1.4 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| 060692 | 2014 | 06/01-15/15 | 94,892 | TRH | 2 | 43.0 | 0.05 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 18.9 | 43.0 |
| 060692 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 144.7 | 0.15 | 0.0 | 105.0 | 39.7 | 144.7 |
| 060692 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 060692 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | tals: d/ |  | 187.8 | 0.20 | 0.0 | 129.1 | 58.7 | 187.8 |
|  |  |  | Total | ults: e/ |  | 144.7 | 0.15 | 0.0 | 105.0 | 39.7 | 144.7 |
| 060693 | 2014 | 06/01-15/15 | 93,755 | TRH | 2 | 61.2 | 0.07 | 0.0 | 34.3 | 27.0 | 61.2 |
| 060693 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 108.4 | 0.12 | 0.0 | 78.7 | 29.8 | 108.4 |
| 060693 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 060693 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | als: $\mathrm{d} /$ |  | 169.7 | 0.18 | 0.0 | 112.9 | 56.7 | 169.7 |
|  |  |  | Total | ults: e/ |  | 108.4 | 0.12 | 0.0 | 78.7 | 29.8 | 108.4 |
| 060694 | 2014 | 06/01-15/15 | 92,404 | TRH | 2 | 27.0 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 11.9 | 27.0 |
| 060694 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 23.6 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 6.5 | 23.6 |
| 060694 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 060694 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | als: d/ |  | 50.6 | 0.05 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 18.4 | 50.6 |
|  |  |  | Total | ults: e/ |  | 23.6 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 6.5 | 23.6 |
| 068829 | 2014 | 06/01-15/15 | 48,962 | TRH | 2 | 3.6 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.6 |
| 068829 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 16.7 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 4.6 | 16.7 |
| 068829 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 068829 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | als: $\mathrm{d} /$ |  | 20.2 | 0.04 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 6.2 | 20.3 |
|  |  |  | Total | ults: e/ |  | 16.7 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 4.6 | 16.7 |
| 060697 | 2014 | 10/01-15/15 | 236,204 | TRH | 2 | 19.8 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 19.8 |
| 060697 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 733.3 | 0.31 | 0.0 | 532.0 | 201.3 | 733.3 |
| 060697 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 34.8 | 0.01 | 1.2 | 15.2 | 18.4 | 33.6 |
| 060697 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: <br> d/ |  | 788.0 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 558.3 | 228.4 | 786.8 |
|  |  |  | Total | ults: e/ |  | 768.1 | 0.33 | 1.2 | 547.2 | 219.7 | 766.9 |


| 060775 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 116,945 | TRH | 2 | 102.0 | 0.09 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 42.4 | 102.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 060775 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 372.4 | 0.32 | 12.7 | 162.6 | 197.1 | 359.7 |
| 060775 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 9.7 | 0.01 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 9.4 |
| 060776 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 115,416 | TRH | 2 | 115.8 | 0.10 | 0.0 | 67.6 | 48.2 | 115.8 |
| 060776 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 337.7 | 0.29 | 11.5 | 147.5 | 178.7 | 326.2 |
| 060776 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 32.2 | 0.03 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 21.2 | 31.2 |
| 060777 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 111,222 | TRH | 2 | 62.1 | 0.06 | 0.0 | 36.3 | 25.8 | 62.1 |
| 060777 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 143.2 | 0.13 | 4.9 | 62.6 | 75.8 | 138.4 |
| 060777 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 12.9 | 0.01 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 8.5 | 12.5 |
| 060778 | 2015 | 06/01-15/16 | 111,020 | TRH | 2 | 41.5 | 0.04 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 17.2 | 41.5 |
| 060778 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 143.6 | 0.13 | 4.9 | 62.7 | 76.0 | 138.7 |
| 060778 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 9.7 | 0.01 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 9.4 |
| 060780 | 2015 | 10/01-15/16 | 239,139 | TRH | 2 | 6.9 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 6.9 |
| 060780 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2447.4 | 1.02 | 83.2 | 1068.8 | 1295.4 | 2364.2 |
| 060780 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 80.5 | 0.03 | 2.4 | 25.0 | 53.1 | 78.1 |
| 060782 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2015 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 06 / 19- \\ 8 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | 6,444 | River | 2 | 336.7 | 5.22 | 0.0 | 196.6 | 140.0 | 336.7 |
| $060782^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  | 3 | 27.8 | 0.43 | 0.9 | 12.1 | 14.7 | 26.8 |
| 060782 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 060962 \\ & 060962 \end{aligned}$ | 2016 | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 21- \\ 26 / 2017 \end{gathered}$ | 247,474 | TRH | 2 3 | $\begin{gathered} 91.1 \\ 915.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 27.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.4 \\ 284.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.6 \\ 603.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.0 \\ 887.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 061497 | 2017 | 06/08-22/18 | 244,018 | TRH | 2 | 121.1 | 0.05 | 3.0 | 33.0 | 85.1 | 118.1 |
| 061492 | 2017 | 06/08-22/18 | 81,503 | TRH | 2 | 11.0 | 0.01 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 7.7 | 10.7 |
| 061493 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2017 | 10/1-10/18 | 82,197 | River | 2 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 061494 | 2017 | 06/08-22/18 | 84,414 | TRH | 2 | 7.3 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 7.2 |
| 061495 | 2017 | 06/08-22/18 | 81,704 | TRH | 2 | 7.3 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 7.2 |
| 060708 | 2017 | 06/08-22/18 | 82,823 | TRH | 2 | 3.7 | 0.00 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 060594 | 2017 | 06/08-22/18 | 76,609 | TRH | 2 | 3.7 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 061498 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2017 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 06/08- } \\ & 10 / 10 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | 12,003 | River | 2 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

a/ CWT = coded-wire tag.
b/ Chinook Salmon released during June were smolts, those released in October were yearlings.
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 2014. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle.
e/ The term "adults" includes Chinook aged three through five.
f/ Experimental release group. Fish used in screw trap efficiency studies; released near North Fork Trinity River or Willow Creek. $\mathrm{g} /$ Rounding sometimes makes for seeming addition errors in this column.

Appendix 29. Run-size, angler harvest and spawning escapement estimates, and associated expanded estimates, by tag code, of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) orgin fall Chinook Salmon returning to the Trinity River during the 2019-20 season. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CWT code } \\ \mathrm{b} \end{gathered}$ | $B Y^{\circ}$ Age |  | TRH expansion factor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | TRH <br> Total CWTs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { total } \\ & \text { CWTs } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Run-size | Expanded run-size ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Angler harvest | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expanded } \\ \text { angler } \\ \text { harvest }^{f} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Spawning escapement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TRH |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expanded } \\ \text { TRH }^{\mathrm{f}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | River | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expanded } \\ \text { River } \mathrm{fg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Escapement Total ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expanded } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060775-f | 15 | 4 |  | 4.10 | 3.0 | 0.9\% | 9.7 | 39.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 12.3 | 6.4 | 26.1 | 9.4 | 38.5 |
| 060776-f | 15 | 4 | 4.09 | 10.0 | 3.0\% | 32.2 | 131.7 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 40.9 | 21.2 | 86.8 | 31.2 | 127.7 |
| 060777-f | 15 | 4 | 4.12 | 4.0 | 1.2\% | 12.9 | 53.1 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 16.5 | 8.5 | 35.0 | 12.5 | 51.5 |
| 060778-f | 15 | 4 | 4.13 | 3.0 | 0.9\% | 9.7 | 39.9 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 12.4 | 6.4 | 26.3 | 9.4 | 38.7 |
| 060780-y | 15 | 4 | 4.14 | 25.0 | 7.6\% | 80.5 | 333.1 | 2.4 | 10.1 | 25.0 | 103.4 | 53.1 | 219.6 | 78.1 | 323.0 |
| 060962-y | 16 | 3 | 4.15 | 284.0 | 86.3\% | 915.0 | 3,801.1 | 27.7 | 115.2 | 284.0 | 1,179.9 | 603.2 | 2,506.0 | 887.3 | 3,685.9 |
|  |  |  | Adult totals: | 329.0 | 100.0\% | 1,059.9 | 4,398.6 | 32.1 | 133.3 | 329.0 | 1,365.4 | 698.8 | 2,899.9 | 1,027.8 | 4,265.3 |
| Jacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 060594-f |  | 2 | 4.03 | 1.0 | 2.4\% | 3.7 | 14.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 10.4 | 3.6 | 14.4 |
| 060708-f | f 17 | 2 | 4.03 | 1.0 | 2.4\% | 3.7 | 14.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 10.4 | 3.6 | 14.4 |
| 061492-f | f 17 | 2 | 4.05 | 3.0 | 7.1\% | 11.0 | 44.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 31.3 | 10.7 | 43.5 |
| 061494-f | f 17 | 2 | 4.08 | 2.0 | 4.8\% | 7.3 | 29.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 21.0 | 7.2 | 29.2 |
| 061495-f | f 17 | 2 | 4.05 | 2.0 | 4.8\% | 7.3 | 29.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 20.9 | 7.2 | 29.0 |
| 061497-y | 17 | 2 | 4.05 | 33.0 | 78.6\% | 121.1 | 490.5 | 3.0 | 12.2 | 33.0 | 133.6 | 85.1 | 344.6 | 118.1 | 478.3 |
|  |  |  | Jack totals: | 42.0 | 100.0\% | 154.2 | 624.3 | 3.8 | 15.5 | 42.0 | 170.1 | 108.3 | 438.7 | 150.3 | 608.8 |
| Fall | Chin | ook | CWT Totals: | 371.0 |  | 1,214.1 | 5,022.9 | 36.0 | 148.8 | 371.0 | 1,535.5 | 807.1 | 3,338.6 | 1,178.1 | 4,874.1 |

a/ Estimate is for upstream of Willow Creek weir (WCW).
b/ CWT=coded-wire tag code. Fish are of the same race and release type (f=fingerling and $y=y e a r l i n g$ ).
c/ BY=brood year.
d/ Expansion factors used to account for untagged releases of the same BY and release type for each CWT group.
e/ Number of ad-clipped fish observed at Trinity River Hatchery, expanded by the number of ad-clipped fish with lost or unreadable tags.
f/ Expanded run-size, angler harvest, TRH escapement and river (natural area) escapement estimates are the product of each of those respective estimates multiplied by the TRH expansion factors.
g/ River (natural area) escapement estimates equal the total escapment minus the TRH escapement.
$\mathrm{h} /$ Run-size estimate minus harvest estimate equals escapment estimate.

Appendix 30. Estimated contribution of Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) origin fall Chinook Salmon to the total estimated run-size upstream of Willow Creek weir, 1991-2019 seasons.

| Year | Run-size | TRH <br> component | Natural <br> component | $\%$ TRH <br> composition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 9,207 | 5,597 | 3,610 | $60.8 \%$ |
| 1992 | 14,164 | 4,651 | 9,513 | $32.8 \%$ |
| 1993 | 10,485 | 1,499 | 8,986 | $14.3 \%$ |
| 1994 | 21,924 | 11,880 | 10,044 | $54.2 \%$ |
| 1995 | 105,725 | 53,263 | 52,462 | $50.4 \%$ |
| 1996 | 55,646 | 20,824 | 34,822 | $37.4 \%$ |
| 1997 | 21,347 | 9,977 | 11,370 | $46.7 \%$ |
| 1998 | 43,189 | 23,536 | 19,653 | $54.5 \%$ |
| 1999 | 18,516 | 13,081 | 5,435 | $70.6 \%$ |
| 2000 | 55,473 | 38,881 | 16,592 | $70.1 \%$ |
| 2001 | 57,109 | 33,984 | 23,125 | $59.5 \%$ |
| 2002 | 18,156 | 6,884 | 11,272 | $37.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | 64,362 | 52,944 | 11,418 | $82.3 \%$ |
| 2004 | 29,534 | 25,956 | 3,578 | $87.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | 28,231 | 19,674 | 8,557 | $69.7 \%$ |
| 2006 | 34,912 | 21,768 | 13,144 | $62.4 \%$ |
| 2007 | 58,873 | 24,633 | 34,240 | $41.8 \%$ |
| 2008 | 22,997 | 8,585 | 1,412 | $37.3 \%$ |
| 2009 | 29,593 | 10,072 | 19,521 | $34.0 \%$ |
| 2010 | 40,792 | 15,853 | 24,939 | $389 \%$ |
| 2011 | 80,818 | 32,875 | 47,943 | $40.7 \%$ |
| 2012 | 73,666 | 32,735 | 40,931 | $44.4 \%$ |
| 2013 | 36,989 | 13,371 | 23,618 | $36.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | 37,829 | 20,463 | 17,366 | $54.1 \%$ |
| 2015 | 10,365 | 4,531 | 5,834 | $43.7 \%$ |
| 2016 | 6,196 | 2,188 | 4,008 | $35.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 15,450 | 7,393 | 8,057 | $47.9 \%$ |
| 2018 | 26,848 | 14,111 | 12,737 | $52.6 \%$ |
| 2019 | 11,910 | 5,023 | 6,887 | $42.2 \%$ |
|  | 35,873 | 18,491 | 17,382 | $49.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 31. Fork length (FL) distribution of Coho Salmon trapped and tagged at Willow Creek weir and subsequently recovered during the 2019-20 season.

| FL (cm) | Willow Creek Weir |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recovered | \% <br> Recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trapped | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Tagged } \end{gathered}$ | RMclips | Tag Morts d | Angler Harvest e | TRH ${ }^{f}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ <br> Recoveries | Found Tags h | Angler Released |  |  |
| 34 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 38 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 47 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 51 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 52 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 100.0 |
| 54 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 50.0 |
| 55 | 7 | 7 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 57.1 |
| 56 | 12 | 12 | 10 |  |  | 7 |  | 1 |  | 8 | 66.7 |
| 57 | 18 | 18 | 17 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 9 | 50.0 |
| 58 | 13 | 13 | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 6 | 46.2 |
| 59 | 13 | 12 | 13 |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 83.3 |
| 60 | 22 | 21 | 21 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  | 18 | 85.7 |
| 61 | 21 | 19 | 20 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 11 | 57.9 |
| 62 | 11 | 10 | 9 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 | 50.0 |


| FL (cm) | Willow Creek Weir |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Recovered | \% <br> Recovered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trapped | Total Tagged | RMclips | Tag Morts d | Angler Harvest | TRH ${ }^{\text {f }}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ Recoveries | Found Tags h | Angler Released |  |  |
| 63 | 9 | 9 | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 6 | 66.7 |
| 64 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 60.0 |
| 65 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 100.0 |
| 66 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 40.0 |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 68 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Totals: | 156 | 151 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 94 | 62.3 |
| Mean FL: | 59.0 | 58.9 | 59.2 | -- | -- | 59.5 | 62.0 | 56.0 | -- | 59.5 |  |
| Total jacks: ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total adults: | 154 | 149 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 94 |  |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place September 9 - December 1, 2019 (Julian weeks 37-48).
b/ Five trapped Coho went untagged in 2019 due to poor condition.
c/ RM-clips = Right maxillary clipped fish of Trinity River Hatchery origin.
d/ There were no tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging (considered tagging mortalities) in 2019.
e/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers. There were zero reported as harvested by anglers in 2019.
f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery Sept 3, 2019 - Mar 10, 2020 (JWs 36-10; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
$\mathrm{g} /$ There were two WCW tagged Coho recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
h/ There was one tag found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts in 2019.
i/ There were zero Coho reported as caught and released by anglers, their tag removed, in 2019.
j/ Coho <47 cm FL were considered jacks in 2019.

## Appendix 32. Juvenile Coho Salmon Marking at Trinity River Hatchery, 2019.

In order to distinguish natural-origin (NOR) from hatchery-origin (HOR) Coho Salmon in the Trinity River, CA Department of Fish and Wildlife crew excised the right maxillaries (RM) of the Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) BY 2018 yearling Coho Salmon from December 31, 2019 - February 24, 2020. Marking of TRH Coho Salmon has been performed since 1994.

Approximately $2 \%(6,731)$ of the BY 2018 fish were sampled for RM clip quality and FL prior to the start of their volitional release in March 2020. We estimate 329,342 of the 329,640 yearling Coho released from TRH were effectively marked with a RM clip (Table CA1). Based on the quality control sampling, an estimated 99.9\% of the BY 2018 production was effectively RM clipped. Although there was a court-mandated decrease in production from the approximately 500,000 to no more than 300,000 beginning with the 2013 BY, the release number of BY 2018 Coho was slightly higher $(329,640)$ which includes an allowed production buffer (CDFW 2017).

Table CA1. Production, marking totals, and quality control data for BY 2018 TRH Coho Salmon volitionally released in April 2019.

| Raceway | Net marked | QC \# checked | Estimated \% unmarked | Effectively marked a | Estimated unmarked releases | Marked releases | Total released |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T3-T4 | 84,735 | 1,764 | 0.000\% | 84,735 | 0 | 84,675 | 84,675 |
| T1-T2 | 78,823 | 1,590 | 0.189\% | 78,826 | 149 | 78,732 | 78,881 |
| O3-04 | 82,314 | 1,687 | 0.000\% | 82,314 | 0 | 82,292 | 82,292 |
| O1-O2 | 83,674 | 1,690 | 0.178\% | 83,677 | 148 | 83,643 | 83,792 |
| Total | 329,546 | 6,731 | 0.366\% | 329,552 | 297 | 329,342 | 329,640 |

a/ Effectively marked $=$ Net marked + QC re-clipped

## Coho Salmon Returns

Coho Salmon of the Trinity River typically have a three-year life-cycle with juveniles rearing in freshwater during their first year, then migrating to the ocean. After approximately one year at sea, some return to the river as two-year-old jacks (mostly males) and a year later as three-year-old adults. Coho adults (age 3) returning to the Trinity River in 2019 were of BY 2016 brood stock, Coho Salmon jacks (age 2) returning during 2019 were of BY 2017 brood stock (Table CA2).

Total percent return for RM-clipped TRH-origin Coho from BY 2016 was 0.45\%. Since 1994 the BY total return rate has ranged from 0.17 to 6.60 \%. (Figure CA1, Table CA3).

Table CA2. Release and recovery data for right maxillary-clipped Coho Salmon recovered at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2019-20 season.

|  |  |  | Release da |  |  |  | TRH | Reco | ry da |  | Number | covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Egg | Brood |  |  |  |  |  | Fem | ales | Total | Taggi | site |
| Mark | source | year | Date | Number | Site | No. | FL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | FL ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | WCW | JCW |
| RM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TRH | 2016 | 3/15-25/18 | 258,243 | TRH | 294 | 60.9 | 308 | 60.4 | 602 | 91 | 0 |
| RM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TRH | 2017 | 4/15-22/19 | 149,807 | TRH | 5 | 36.0 | 0 | -- | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Coho: | 299 |  | 308 |  | 607 | 91 | 0 |

a/ FL = Mean fork length in cm.
b/ Since 1996, all Coho Salmon produced at TRH have received a right maxillary clip (RM). Coho Salmon <47 cm FL were classified as brood year 2017 and Coho Salmon $>46 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were classified as brood year 2016. Age cutoff based on fork length distribution.


Figure CA1. Percent return of Trinity River Hatchery origin Coho Salmon to Trinity River Hatchery, 1994-2019.

The 2019 estimated escapement of Coho Salmon to the Trinity River (upstream of Willow Creek weir) was an estimated 1,0743 fish. This consisted of only 10 jacks ( 5 NOR, 5 HOR) and 1,064 adults ( 104 NOR and 960 HOR) for a total of $89.8 \%$ HOR fish.

Table CA3. Run-size, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for right maxillary clipped, Trinity River Hatchery-produced Coho Salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, brood years, 1994-2016.

| Release data |  |  |  | Return data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood year | Date | Effective <br> Number | Site | Age | Run-size | \% of release | In-river harvest | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | Natural | Total |
| 1994 | 3/17-21/96 | 72,311 | TRH | 2 | 970 | 1.34\% | 0 | 105 | 865 | 970 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 1,732 | 2.40\% | 0 | 867 | 865 | 1,732 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 2,702 | 3.74\% | 0 | 972 | 1,730 | 2,702 |
| 1995 | 3/17-21/97 | 580,880 | TRH | 2 | 5,552 | 0.96\% | 39 | 858 | 4,655 | 5,513 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 9,008 | 1.55\% | 0 | 3,899 | 5,109 | 9,008 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 14,560 | 2.51\% | 39 | 4,757 | 9,764 | 14,521 |
| 1996 | 3/16-20/98 | 513,663 | TRH | 2 | 2,340 | 0.46\% | 0 | 969 | 1,371 | 2,340 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,357 | 0.85\% | 86 | 3,015 | 1,256 | 4,271 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 6,697 | 1.30\% | 86 | 3,984 | 2,627 | 6,611 |
| 1997 | 3/15-22/99 | 517,196 | TRH | 2 | 592 | 0.11\% | 0 | 381 | 211 | 592 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 9,704 | 1.88\% | 0 | 3,407 | 6,297 | 9,704 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 10,296 | 1.99\% | 0 | 3,788 | 6,508 | 10,296 |
| 1998 | 3/15-20/00 | 493,233 | TRH | 2 | 5,289 | 1.07\% | 0 | 916 | 4,373 | 5,289 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 25,395 | 5.15\% | 0 | 9,625 | 15,770 | 25,395 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 30,684 | 6.22\% | 0 | 10,541 | 20,143 | 30,684 |
| 1999 | 3/15-22/01 | 512,986 | TRH | 2 | 3,373 | 0.66\% | 0 | 1,024 | 2,349 | 3,373 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 13,849 | 2.70\% | 0 | 6,409 | 7,440 | 13,849 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 17,222 | 3.36\% | 0 | 7,433 | 9,789 | 17,222 |
| 2000 | 3/17-19/02 | 524,238 | TRH | 2 | 1,571 | 0.30\% | 0 | 688 | 883 | 1,571 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 20,721 | 3.95\% | 0 | 9,730 | 10,991 | 20,721 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 22,292 | 4.25\% | 0 | 10,418 | 11,874 | 22,292 |
| 2001 | 3/17-19/03 | 416,201 | TRH | 2 | 3,338 | 0.80\% | 0 | 1,449 | 1,889 | 3,338 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 24,162 | 5.81\% | 40 | 8,835 | 15,287 | 24,122 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 27,500 | 6.60\% | 40 | 10,284 | 17,176 | 27,460 |

Table CA3 (continued). Run-size, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for right maxillary clipped, Trinity River Hatcheryproduced Coho Salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, brood years, 1994-2016.

| Release Data |  |  |  | Return data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood year | Date | Effective Number | Site | Age | Run-size | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { of } \\ \text { release } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 3/15-18/04 | 516,906 | TRH | 2 | 5,665 | 1.10\% | 0 | 1,068 | 4,597 | 5,665 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 25,678 | 4.97\% | 0 | 15,704 | 9,974 | 25,678 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 31,343 | 6.06\% | 0 | 16,772 | 14,571 | 31,343 |
| 2003 | 3/14-18/05 | 520,847 | TRH | 2 | 3,012 | 0.58\% | 21 | 1,269 | 1,721 | 2,990 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 17,123 | 3.29\% | 0 | 7,454 | 9,669 | 17,123 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 20,135 | 3.90\% | 21 | 8,723 | 11,390 | 20,113 |
| 2004 | 3/15-20/06 | 545,199 | TRH | 2 | 1,331 | 0.24\% | 0 | 657 | 674 | 1,331 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,048 | 0.74\% | 0 | 2,436 | 1,612 | 4,048 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 5,379 | 0.99\% | 0 | 3,093 | 2,286 | 5,379 |
| 2005 | 3/15-20/07 | 511,961 | TRH | 2 | 503 | 0.10\% | 0 | 270 | 233 | 503 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 6,381 | 1.25\% | 0 | 4,177 | 2,204 | 6381 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 6,884 | 1.34\% | 0 | 4,447 | 2,437 | 6,884 |
| 2006 | 3/15-20/08 | 455,482 | TRH | 2 | 2,290 | 0.50\% | 0 | 643 | 1,647 | 2,290 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,067 | 0.89\% | 0 | 2,386 | 1,681 | 4,067 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 6,357 | 1.40\% | 0 | 3,029 | 3,328 | 6,357 |
| 2007 | 3/16-20/09 | 457,478 | TRH | 2 | 1,645 | 0.36\% | 0 | 871 | 774 | 1,645 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 5,852 | 1.28\% | 0 | 3,706 | 2,146 | 5,852 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 7,497 | 1.64\% | 0 | 4,577 | 2,920 | 7,497 |
| 2008 | 4/6-8/10 | 413,178 | TRH | 2 | 1,233 | 0.30\% | 0 | 516 | 707 | 1,233 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,113 | 1.00\% | 0 | 1,710 | 2,403 | 4,113 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 5,346 | 1.29\% | 0 | 2,226 | 3,110 | 5,336 |
| 2009 | 3/15-28/11 | 490,998 | TRH | 2 | 10,982 | 2.24\% | 0 | 2,862 | 8,120 | 10,982 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 13,494 | 2.75\% | 0 | 7,159 | 6,335 | 13,494 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 24,476 | 4.98\% | 0 | 10,021 | 14,455 | 24,476 |

Table CA3 (continued). Run-size, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for right maxillary clipped, Trinity River Hatcheryproduced Coho Salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek weir, brood years, 1994-2015.

## Release Data

| Release Data |  |  |  | Return data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood year | Date | Effective Number | Site | Age | Run-size | \% of release | In-river harvest | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | Natural | Total |
| 2010 | 3/15-26/2012 | 489,429 | TRH | 2 | 3,198 | 0.65\% | 0 | 871 | 2,327 | 3,198 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 14,782 | 3.02\% | 0 | 5,847 | 8,935 | 14,782 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 17,980 | 3.67\% | 0 | 6,718 | 11,262 | 17,980 |
| 2011 | 3/15-20/13 | 511,618 | TRH | 2 | 2,667 | 0.52\% | 0 | 424 | 2,243 | 2,667 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 9,297 | 1.82\% | 0 | 2,892 | 6,405 | 9,297 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 11,964 | 2.34\% | 0 | 3,316 | 8,648 | 11,964 |
| 2012 | 3/15-18/14 | 528,016 | TRH | 2 | 3,239 | 0.61\% | 0 | 932 | 2,307 | 3,239 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 2,936 | 0.56\% | 0 | 2,770 | 166 | 2,936 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 6,175 | 1.17\% | 0 | 3,702 | 2,473 | 6,175 |
| 2013 | 3/15-23/15 | 287,720 | TRH | 2 | 870 | 0.30\% | 0 | 270 | 600 | 870 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 482 | 0.17\% | 0 | 408 | 74 | 482 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 1,352 | 0.47\% | 0 | 678 | 674 | 1,352 |
| 2014 | 3/15-21/16 | 230,821 | TRH | 2 | 45 | 0.02\% | 0 | 45 | 0 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 354 | 0.15\% | 0 | 247 | 107 | 354 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 399 | 0.17\% | 0 | 292 | 107 | 399 |
| 2015 | 03/16-24/17 | 248,102 | TRH | 2 | 236 | 0.10\% | 0 | 149 | 87 | 236 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 515 | 0.21\% | 0 | 515 | 502 | 1,017 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 751 | 0.30\% | 0 | 664 | 589 | 1,253 |
| 2016 | 03/15-25/18 | 258,243 | TRH | 2 | 185 | 0.07\% | 0 | 185 | 224 | 409 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 965 | 0.37\% | 0 | 602 | 358 | 960 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals | 1,150 | 0.45\% | 0 | 787 | 582 | 1,369 |

Appendix 33. Fork length (FL) distribution of fall steelhead trapped and tagged at Willow Creek weir and subsequently recovered during the 2019-20 season.

| FL (cm) | WCW a |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Trapped | Total Tagged ${ }^{b}$ | Ad-clips ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest ${ }^{e}$ | TRH ${ }^{\text {f }}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ Recoveries | Found Tags ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ | Angler Released ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Total Recoveries | \% Recoveries |
| 29 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 31 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 32 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 33 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 34 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 35 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 36 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 38 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 40 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 43 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 44 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 33.3 |
| 45 | 8 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 12.5 |
| 46 | 21 | 21 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 6 | 28.6 |
| 47 | 20 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 15.0 |
| 48 | 24 | 24 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 6 | 25.0 |
| 49 | 35 | 35 | 7 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 6 | 17.1 |
| 50 | 38 | 38 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 5 | 13.2 |
| 51 | 35 | 35 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 | 6 | 17.1 |
| 52 | 55 | 55 | 19 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 6 | 8 | 14.5 |
| 53 | 55 | 53 | 27 |  | 1 | 10 |  |  | 11 | 22 | 41.5 |
| 54 | 55 | 55 | 22 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 8 | 12 | 21.8 |
| 55 | 43 | 43 | 13 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 10 | 18 | 41.9 |
| 56 | 49 | 49 | 14 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 | 11 | 22.4 |
| 57 | 42 | 42 | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 | 10 | 23.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| FL (cm) | WCW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | RECOVERIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Trapped } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Tagged }^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | Ad-clips ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Tag Morts ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Angler Harvest ${ }^{e}$ | TRH ${ }^{\text {f }}$ <br> Recoveries | Carcass ${ }^{9}$ Recoveries | Found Tags ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ | Angler Released ${ }^{i}$ | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| 58 | 39 | 39 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 6 | 15.4 |
| 59 | 40 | 39 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 | 8 | 20.5 |
| 60 | 26 | 26 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 7.7 |
| 61 | 21 | 20 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 10.0 |
| 62 | 24 | 24 | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 12.5 |
| 63 | 10 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 10.0 |
| 64 | 11 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 9.1 |
| 65 | 17 | 17 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 17.6 |
| 66 | 9 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 11.1 |
| 67 | 6 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 33.3 |
| 68 | 6 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 16.7 |
| 69 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 71 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- |
| 73 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 74 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Totals: | 718 | 698 | 179 | 1 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 87 | 147 | 21.1 |
| Mean FL: | 54.5 | 55.0 | 53.8 | 60.0 | 55.8 | 55.5 | -- | 61.8 | 53.7 | 54.6 |  |
| Total 1/2lbers | 16 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total adults ${ }^{\text {: }}$ | 702 | 698 | 168 | 1 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 4 | 87 | 147 | 21.1 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek weir took place September 9 - December 1, 2019 (Julian weeks 37-48).
b/ Twenty steelhead were trapped but not tagged at WCW in 2019; 16 were half-pounders (too small), and 4 adults were in poor condition.
c/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
d/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging are considered tagging mortalities.
e/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers.
f/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 3, 2019 - March 10, 2020 (JWs 36-10; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).
$\mathrm{g} /$ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys; of which we found none in 2019.
$\mathrm{h} /$ Fish tags found loose or on dead fish and returned by anglers or other river enthusiasts.
i/ Fish caught and released by anglers and their tag removed.
j/ Adult steelhead are all those > 41 cm FL .

Appendix 34. Daily mean flow (CFS) recorded at USGS gauge (11526250) for Trinity River upstream of Junction City, 2019.


Appendix 35. Daily mean flow (CFS) recorded at USGS gauge (11530000) and water ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) temperature for Trinity River near Willow Creek weir, 2019.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adipose fin-clipped and coded-wire-tagged, HOR Chinook and right-maxillary (RM)-clipped Coho Salmon.
    ${ }^{2}$ Serially numbered "spaghetti" tags applied by CDFW to salmonids on their up-river migration (spawning run).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The use of brand or trade names is for identification purposes only and does not imply the endorsement of any product by the CDFW.

[^2]:    a/ Stratum: Jacks = two-year-old salmon, Adults = three years old or older, Steelhead adults were fish greater than 41 cm FL.
    b/ Harvest rates were based on the return of reward tags for spring and fall Chinook Salmon and steelhead.
    There was no legal Coho Salmon harvest allowed, nor any reported.
    c/ Calculated as the run-size times the harvest rate.
    d/ Calculated as run-size minus angler harvest minus hatchery escapement. Natural area spawners includes both wild and hatchery fish that spawn in areas outside Trinity River Hatchery. Any difference between these numbers and others throughout this report are due to rounding.

[^3]:    * Eight-day Julian week only during leap years
    **Eight-day Julian week every year

[^4]:    No estimate in 1983 or 1995 due to lack of funding

[^5]:    a/ Trapping occurred at Trinity River Hatchery September 3, 2019 - March 10, 2020 (JWs 36-11; closed parts or all of JWs 41-43).

