Memorandum

Date: April 2, 2024

To: Melissa Miller-Henson
   Executive Director
   Fish and Game Commission

From: Charlton H. Bonham
       Director

Subject: Proposed Marine Protected Area Petition Evaluation Process and Timeline

At their February 14-15, 2024, meeting, the California Fish and Game Commission (CFGC) referred 20 Marine Protected Area (MPA) regulation change petitions to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) for review, evaluation, and recommendation. In addition, the CFGC requested CDFW develop a proposed approach to evaluate the petitions to discuss at the Marine Resources Committee (MRC) meeting on March 19, 2024. After discussion and input from interested stakeholders, the MRC recommended approval of CDFW’s proposed 3-phase approach to evaluate MPA petitions. The proposed approach is briefly described below and in the enclosed presentation that was provided to the MRC on March 19, 2024.

Proposed 3-Phase Approach to MPA Petition Evaluation

Phase 1: Petitions will be categorized into two bins using the criteria outlined below to determine which petitions can be evaluated in the near-term and which petitions will require additional policy guidance, information, and/or resources prior to evaluation.

- **Bin 1 petitions**: Petitions that can be evaluated in the *near-term* must meet all the following criteria:
  - Policy direction not needed for next phases.
  - Within CFGC authority.
  - Immediate evaluation possible.
  - Limited clarification needed from petitioner.
  - Limited controversy anticipated.

- **Bin 2 petitions**: Petitions that do not meet all the above criteria will be categorized into Bin 2. The analysis of these petitions will be more complex as they will require additional policy guidance, information, and/or resources before they can be evaluated. Due to the complexity of these petitions, these will be evaluated in the *longer term.*
Phase 2: Separate all Bin 1 petitions into individual actions and proceed to phase 3. Separate Bin 2 petitions into individual actions and identify additional policy guidance, information, and/or resources that are necessary to advance individual actions to phase 3.

Phase 3: Adaptive management evaluation and recommendations. Apply the evaluation framework approved by the CFGC to each petition action. The process will identify which petitions, and/or actions within each petition, would be recommended to be granted, denied, or considered through an alternative pathway.

Proposed MPA Petition Evaluation Anticipated Timeline

- **March-April 2024: Development of Evaluation Framework**
  - Receive and discuss proposed 3-phase evaluation process at the March 19 MRC and April 17 CFGC meetings.

- **April-August 2024: Phase 1—CDFW Sort Petitions into 2 Bins**
  - Discuss proposed bins at the July 18 MRC and August 14 CFGC meetings.

- **August 2024 and beyond: Phases 2 and 3—Separate petitions into individual actions**
  - Receive guidance on Bin 2 actions as needed.
  - Move forward with evaluation on both Bin 1 and 2 actions. Evaluation timelines for Bin 1 and Bin 2 actions will vary.

If you have any questions or need more information, please contact Dr. Craig Shuman, Marine Regional Manager, at (805) 568-1246.
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Petitions for Proposed MPA Network Changes

- CFGC received **20 petitions** to change MPAs at the December 2023 meeting

- At the February 2024 meeting, CFGC **referred all petitions** to CDFW

- **16 individual organizations** submitted petitions

- Petitions include **80+ proposed petition actions**

- **49+ MPAs and special closures affected** by proposals
Proposed Petition Evaluation Framework: 3-phase Approach

**Phase 1:** Bin whole petitions

**Phase 2:** Separate petitions into individual actions to determine evaluation pathway

**Phase 3:** Adaptive management evaluation and recommendations
Phase 1: Bin Whole Petitions

**Phase 1:**
Bin whole petitions

**Bin 1**
- Policy direction not needed for next phases
- Within CFGC authority
- Immediate evaluation possible
- Limited clarification needed from petitioner
- Limited controversy anticipated

**Bin 2**
Do not meet criteria for Bin 1
Phase 2: Actions and Evaluation Pathway

Phase 2: Separate petitions into individual actions to determine evaluation pathway

Bin 1 Petition Actions
- Non-regulatory actions: Alternative pathway

Bin 2 Petition Actions
- Policy guidance, information, resources on Bin 2; **deny or move forward**
- Non-regulatory actions: Alternative pathway

Proceed with evaluation of proposed regulatory actions - Phase 3
Phase 3: Evaluation Considerations and Recommendations

Evaluation considerations for each petition action:
- MPA Governance
- MPA Management Program
- MPA Network Performance

Proceed with evaluation of proposed regulatory actions:
- Tribes
- JEDI
- Regulatory language
- Master Plan
- Planning process
- Socioeconomics

Adaptive management recommendations to CFGC:
- Long-term monitoring
- Improve outreach, enforcement, compliance
- Science guidance

MPA Governance:
- MLPA goals
- Fisheries
- Connectivity
- MPA design
- Climate change resiliency
Proposed Petition Evaluation Framework: Detailed 3-Phase Approach

**Bin 1**
- Policy direction not needed for next phases
- Within CFGC authority
- Immediate evaluation possible
- Limited clarification needed from petitioner
- Limited controversy anticipated

**Phase 1:** Bin whole petitions

**Bin 2** (Do not meet Bin 1 criteria)

**Phase 2:** Separate petitions into individual actions to determine evaluation pathway

**Policy guidance, information, resources on Bin 2:** *deny or move forward*

**Phase 3:** Adaptive management evaluation and recommendations

**Petition Actions**
- Non-regulatory actions: Alternative pathway
- Proceed with evaluation of proposed regulatory actions

**Petition Actions**
- Non-regulatory actions: Alternative pathway
- Proceed with evaluation of proposed regulatory actions
Development of evaluation framework
• MRC and FGC receive and discuss 3-phase evaluation process.
  - MRC: March 19
  - CFGC: April 17

Phase 1: Sort petitions into 2 Bins
• Sort petitions and discuss petitions in Bin 1 and Bin 2.
  - MRC July 18
  - FGC August 14

Phases 2 and 3: Separate petitions into individual actions, receive guidance on Bin 2 actions as needed, move forward with evaluation.
• Anticipate Bin 1 and 2 evaluation timelines will vary.