

**TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission
Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 203, 203.1, 265, 1050, and 4902 of the California Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 1050, 3950, and 4902 of said Code, proposes to amend Section 362, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), relating to bighorn sheep hunting.

Unless otherwise specified, all section references in this document are to Title 14, CCR.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Background

The Commission periodically considers recommendations from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) to amend bighorn sheep hunting regulations. Considerations include recommendations for adjusting tag quotas, setting hunt periods, modifying area boundaries, and authorizing methods of take, among others, to help achieve management goals and objectives for bighorn sheep.

Current regulations in Section 362 provide definitions, hunting zone descriptions, season opening and closing dates, tag quotas (total number of hunting tags to be made available), and bag and possession limits for bighorn sheep hunting. Individuals are awarded a bighorn sheep hunting tag through the Department's Big Game Drawing. A limited number of fundraising tags are also available for purchase, usually by auction, via non-governmental organizations that assist the Department with fundraising.

Harvest of a bighorn sheep is authorized for an individual with a tag for a specific hunt zone and season. Tag quotas are established based on a variety of factors including population density and abundance, age and sex composition, and distribution.

Proposed Changes

The Department recommends that the Commission consider the following changes to bighorn sheep hunting regulations:

- Amend subsections 362(a) and (b) to add three new hunt areas in San Bernardino County for bighorn sheep: Granite and North Bristol Mountains (Zone 11), Providence, Woods, and Hackberry Mountains (Zone 12), and Castle Mountains and Piute Range (Zone 13). The season for all three zones would match the other zones in the area starting the first Saturday in December and extending through the first Sunday in February.

Bighorn sheep are widespread in San Bernardino County, and these populations have been monitored for over 5 years. The proposed changes would increase the number of tags available and the geographic areas, or hunt zones, available for hunting.

- Amend subsections 362 (b)(2) and (3) to identify new zones 11, 12 and 13 for the Single Zone Fundraising tags.

Existing regulations provide for allocation of two Single Zone fundraising tags and specify the season for each zone. This proposal allows for the possible reallocation of these tags to the new

zones based on population survey results to maintain biologically sound hunting opportunities and continue to generate revenue.

- Amend Subsection 362(d) to modify hunt tag quotas for each hunt zone (Table 1 in the Initial Statement of Reasons).

Periodic adjustments of tag quotas in response to dynamic environmental and biological conditions are necessary to maintain sustainable populations of Nelson bighorn sheep, provide hunt opportunities, and ensure consistency with statutory authorities and management recommendations. Due to the timing of administrative procedures and requirements of the California Fish and Game Code, the Department submits proposed regulatory changes to the Commission prior to completion of all surveys. The Department will recommend final tag quotas for each zone and the zone for single zone fundraising tag 2 based upon analyses and findings from 2025-2026 surveys, scheduled for completion by March 2026.

Benefits of the Regulations

The proposed regulations will provide Nelson bighorn sheep hunting opportunities while maintaining sustainable population sizes in accordance with management recommendations in existing unit plans, and so as not to exceed the 15 percent threshold identified in subdivision (b)(2) of Fish and Game Code Section 4902.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations

The proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. Section 20, Article IV, of the state Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated to the Commission the power to adopt regulations governing Nelson bighorn sheep. No other state agency has the authority to adopt regulations governing hunting of Nelson bighorn sheep. The Commission has reviewed its own regulations and finds that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. The Commission has searched the CCR for any regulations regarding hunting of Nelson bighorn sheep; therefore, the Commission has concluded that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

Public Participation

Comments Submitted by Mail or Email

It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before April 2, 2026 at the address given below, or by email to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. **Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on April 10, 2026.** If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090.

Meetings

NOTICE IS GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in the California Natural Resources Headquarters Building,

715 P Street, Sacramento, California, which will commence at 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday, February 11, 2026, and may continue at 8:30 a.m., on Thursday, February 12, 2026. The Commission will make a reasonable effort to provide the public additional opportunities to observe or provide comment in the meeting through the Zoom videoconference platform by computer, mobile device, or telephone connections. However, the Commission cannot guarantee the accessibility or functionality of the remote connection options. Should technical issues affect remote attendee access or quality, an attempt will be made to resolve them, but the meeting will continue with in-person attendees. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at www.fgc.ca.gov in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling (916) 653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in the California Natural Resources Headquarters Building, 715 P Street, Sacramento, California, which will commence at 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 15, 2026, and may continue at 8:30 a.m., on Thursday, April 16, 2026. The Commission will make a reasonable effort to provide the public additional opportunities to observe or provide comment in the meeting through the Zoom videoconference platform by computer, mobile device, or telephone connections. However, the Commission cannot guarantee the accessibility or functionality of the remote connection options. Should technical issues affect remote attendee access or quality, an attempt will be made to resolve them, but the meeting will continue with in-person attendees. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at www.fgc.ca.gov in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling (916) 653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

Availability of Documents

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulation in underline and strikeout format can be accessed through the Commission website at www.fgc.ca.gov. The regulations as well as all related documents upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Melissa Miller-Henson, Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 715 P Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above-mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Melissa Miller-Henson or Sherrie Fonbuena at FGC@fgc.ca.gov at the preceding address or phone number.

Paige Prentice, Statewide Bighorn Sheep Coordinator, Department of Fish and Wildlife, BigGame@wildlife.ca.gov, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.

Availability of Modified Text

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 265 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in sections 11343.4, 11346.4,

11346.8 and 11347.1 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

Impact of Regulatory Action/Results of the Economic Impact Assessment

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

- (a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Business, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed action will potentially add up to 10 tags in three new hunting zones across a large geographic region and will adjust tag quotas for existing hunts with a potential of 28 more tags for new and existing zones combined. Given the number of tags available and the area over which they are distributed, these proposals are economically neutral to business.
- (b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment:

The Commission does not anticipate impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs within the state, the creation of new business, the elimination of existing businesses, or the expansion of businesses in California because the expected economic impacts of the proposed regulations are unlikely to be substantial enough to significantly stimulate demand for goods or services related to Nelson bighorn sheep hunting. If greater numbers of hunters visit the areas in the state with increased opportunities, businesses that provide goods and services to Nelson bighorn sheep hunters could benefit from small increases in sales. Conversely, if fewer tags are awarded and fewer hunters visit the areas in the state with decreased opportunities, businesses that provide goods and services to Nelson bighorn sheep hunters could be negatively affected from small decreases in sales. Anticipated benefits to the environment include, but are not limited to, the maintenance of populations of Nelson bighorn sheep to ensure their continued existence and supporting recreational opportunity, and funding wildlife conservation through the fees that hunters pay for licenses and tags. While there are no anticipated benefits to worker safety, hunting is an outdoor activity that can provide several health and welfare benefits to California residents, including the benefits of fresh game to eat and exercise from outdoor recreation.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The total potential range of tags across all zones is anticipated increase by up to 28 tags across a large geographic area, so no net economic impacts to individuals or to businesses that support Nelson bighorn sheep hunts are anticipated. As such, the Commission does not anticipate significant impacts on the representative private persons or businesses.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

The Commission does not anticipate any new costs or savings to state agencies or costs/savings in federal funding to the state. However, Department revenue will potentially increase with a proposed increased number of available bighorn sheep tags.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None.

(g) Costs Imposed on any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code: None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.

(i) Business Reporting Requirements:

The proposed action does not impose a business reporting requirement.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code Sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: January 13, 2026

Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director