

Staff Summary for April 15-16, 2026

12. Pronghorn Antelope Hunting (Consent)**Today's Item**Information Action

Consider adopting proposed amendments to regulations for pronghorn antelope hunting and taking final action under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Summary of Previous/Future Actions

- Wildlife Resources Committee (WRC) vetting May 15, 2025; WRC
- WRC discussion and recommendation September 11, 2025; WRC
- Notice hearing December 10-11, 2025
- Discussion hearing February 11-12, 2026
- **Today's adoption hearing April 15-16, 2026**

Background

At its December 2025 meeting, the Commission authorized publication of a notice of its intent to modify pronghorn antelope hunting tag quotas and the Commission subsequently published notice of intent to amend its regulations. At its February 2026 meeting, the Commission received public comments on the proposed regulations. See exhibits 1 and 2 for additional background information and a description of the proposed amendments.

Update

Based on analyses and findings from 2025-26 pronghorn antelope surveys, the Department recommends retaining current pronghorn tag allocations (Exhibit 6). If the Commission chooses not to proceed with the proposed regulations, the current regulations will remain in place.

Table 1. Current Pronghorn Antelope Tag Allocations

Hunt Area	Archery-Only Season Buck	Archery-Only Season Doe	General Season Period 1 Buck	General Season Period 1 Doe	General Season Period 1 Apprentice Either-Sex	General Season Period 2 Buck	General Season Period 2 Doe	Fund-raising
Zone 1 -- Mount Dome	0	0	2	0	N/A	0	0	0
Zone 2 -- Clear Lake	1	0	6	0	N/A	0	0	0
Zone 3 -- Likely Tables	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
Zone 4 -- Lassen	5	0	35	0	5	35	0	0
Zone 5 -- Big Valley	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	0
Zone 6 -- Surprise Valley	1	0	10	0	4	0	0	0
Zones 1-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

Staff Summary for April 15-16, 2026

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The Department is recommending no change to the current pronghorn antelope hunting regulations; therefore, the Department has not prepared a draft CEQA document for Commission consideration. If the Commission agrees with the Department recommendation and chooses not to proceed with the proposed regulation, no CEQA action is required.

Significant Public Comments (N/A)**Recommendation**

Commission staff: Under a motion to adopt the consent calendar, choose not to proceed with the proposed regulation and direct staff to issue a notice of decision not to proceed with rulemaking.

Department: Based on the minimum count data, elect not to proceed with the proposed regulation.

Exhibits

1. [Staff summary for Agenda Item 11, December 2025 Commission meeting \(for background purposes only\).](#)
2. [Staff summary for Agenda Item 22, February 2026 Commission meeting \(for background purposes only\)](#)
3. [Department memo transmitting draft initial statement of reasons, received December 8, 2025](#)
4. [Initial statement of reasons, dated January 8, 2026](#)
5. [Proposed regulatory language](#)
6. [Department email recommending the “no change” alternative, received March 18, 2026](#)

Motion

Moved by _____ and seconded by _____ that the Commission adopts the staff recommendations for items 8 through 14 on the consent calendar.

Staff Summary for December 10-11, 2025
For Background Purposes Only

11. Pronghorn Antelope Hunting

Today's Item

Information

Action

Consider authorizing publication of notice of intent to amend regulations regarding pronghorn antelope hunting.

Summary of Previous/Future Actions

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wildlife Resources Committee (WRC) vetting • WRC discussion and recommendation • Today's notice hearing • Discussion hearing • Adoption hearing | <p>May 15, 2025; WRC</p> <p>September 11, 2025; WRC</p> <p>December 10-11, 2025</p> <p>February 11-12, 2026</p> <p>April 15-16, 2026</p> |
|--|---|

Background

Current regulations provide descriptions of hunt zone boundaries, season opening and closing dates, methods of take, tag designations (buck, doe, either-sex), tag quotas, bag and possession limits, and special conditions for pronghorn antelope.

The recommended regulation changes for the 2026-27 season modify tag quotas for archery-only season buck, general season period 1 buck, general season period 2 buck, and general season period 1 apprentice either-sex hunts.

Tag quotas are established based on a variety of factors, including pronghorn population density and abundance, age and sex composition, and distribution. Previous surveys have suggested declines in populations of pronghorn antelope; in response, the Commission adopted reduced tag allocations for the 2025 hunt year. However, final recommended tag quotas for 2026-27 will not be determined until surveys are completed and the data analyzed. Surveys and analyses are scheduled for completion by spring 2026. At the adoption hearing, the Department will recommend final tag quotas for each zone based upon findings from the 2025-26 surveys.

Today the Department will give a presentation summarizing the recommended regulation changes (Exhibit 5).

Significant Public Comments (N/A)

Recommendation

Commission staff: Authorize publication of a notice of intent to amend regulations related to pronghorn antelope hunting as recommended by WRC and the Department.

Committee: Support the recommended regulation changes related to pronghorn antelope hunting.

Department: Authorize publication of a notice of intent to amend regulations as detailed in the Department's presentation (Exhibit 5).

Staff Summary for December 10-11, 2025
For Background Purposes Only

Exhibits

1. [Memo transmitting initial statement of reasons, received December 8, 2025](#)
2. [Draft initial statement of reasons](#)
3. [Draft proposed regulatory language](#)
4. [Draft economic and fiscal impact statement](#)
5. [Department presentation](#)

Motion

Moved by _____ and seconded by _____ that the Commission authorizes publication of a notice of its intent to amend Section 363, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, related to pronghorn antelope hunting.

Staff Summary for February 11-12, 2026
For Background Purposes Only

22. Pronghorn Antelope Hunting

Today's Item

Information

Action

Discuss proposed amendments to regulations for pronghorn antelope hunting.

Summary of Previous/Future Actions

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| • Wildlife Resources Committee (WRC) vetting | May 15, 2025; WRC |
| • WRC discussion and recommendation | September 11, 2025; WRC |
| • Notice hearing | December 10-11, 2025 |
| • Today's discussion hearing | February 11-12, 2026 |
| • Adoption hearing | April 15-16, 2026 |

Background

At its December 2025 meeting, the Commission authorized publication of a notice of its intent to amend pronghorn antelope hunting regulations to potentially decrease hunt tag quotas. At the Commission's April meeting, the Department will provide its final recommendations based upon analyses and findings from pronghorn antelope surveys, scheduled for completion in February 2026. See Exhibit 1 for additional background information and a description of the proposed amendments. Further details of the proposed changes are available in the initial statement of reasons (Exhibit 3) and proposed regulatory language (Exhibit 4).

The notice for the proposed amendments authorized in December was published in the California Regulatory Notice Register on January 23, 2026. No comments have been received since its publication.

Today's meeting is an opportunity for public discussion of the proposed regulations.

Significant Public Comments (N/A)

Recommendation (N/A)

Exhibits

1. [Staff summary for Agenda Item 11, December 2025 Commission meeting](#) (for background purposes only).
2. [Department memo, received December 8, 2025](#)
3. [Initial statement of reasons, dated January 8, 2026](#)
4. [Proposed regulatory language](#)

Motion (N/A)

Memorandum

Date: November 25, 2025

To: Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director
Fish and Game Commission

From: Charlton H. Bonham
Director

Received December 8, 2025
Original signed copy on file

Subject: **Item for December 10-11, 2025 Fish and Game Commission Meeting: Regulatory Action to Amend Sections 353, 363, 364, 364.1, 555, and 555.1, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, re: Methods Authorized for Taking Big Game, Pronghorn Antelope Hunting, Elk Hunting, SHARE Elk Hunts, Cooperative Elk Hunting Areas, and Conflict Cooperative Elk Hunting Areas.**

Please find attached the Initial Statement of Reasons to amend sections 353, 363, 364, 364.1, 555, and 555.1, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, regarding methods authorized for taking big game, pronghorn antelope hunting, elk hunting, SHARE elk hunts, cooperative elk hunting areas, and conflict cooperative elk hunting areas. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) requests consideration of publication of notice after the December 10-11, 2025 Fish and Game Commission meeting.

The proposed amendments would:

- Potentially reduce tag quotas for pronghorn antelope hunts, pending population assessments to be completed in February 2026.
- Expand methods of take for elk to include shotguns firing single slugs for SHARE elk hunts.
- Define a new elk hunt zone for areas currently undefined in a hunt area.
- Increase tag quotas for elk hunts in the Owens Valley Elk Management Unit.
- Increase tag quotas for SHARE elk hunts.
- Clarify tag eligibility across general, fundraising, SHARE, cooperative, and conflict cooperative elk hunts.

If you have any questions on this item, please contact Scott Gardner, Wildlife Branch Chief, at (916) 801-6257. The Department point of contact for this rulemaking is the Statewide Elk and Pronghorn Antelope Coordinator, Brent Wolf, who can be contacted at BigGame@wildlife.ca.gov.

ec: Chad Dibble, Deputy Director
Wildlife and Fisheries Division

Melissa Miller-Henson, Executive Director
California Fish and Game Commission
November 25, 2025
Page 2

Scott Gardner, Chief
Wildlife Branch

Dr. Mario Klip, Environmental Program Manager
Game Conservation and Connectivity Program

Chelle Temple-King, Supervisor
Big Game Unit

Regina Vu, Wildlife Regulations Coordinator
Wildlife Branch

Ona Alminas, Env. Program Manager
Regulations Unit

Mike Randall, Regulatory Analyst
Regulations Unit

David Thesell, Deputy Executive Director
Fish and Game Commission

Ari Cornman, Wildlife Advisor
Fish and Game Commission

David Haug, Analyst
Fish and Game Commission

State of California
Fish and Game Commission
Initial Statement of Reasons for Regulatory Action

Amend Sections 363
Title 14, California Code of Regulations
Re: Pronghorn Antelope Hunting

I. Date of Initial Statement of Reasons: January 8, 2026

II. Dates and Locations of Scheduled Hearings

(a) Notice Hearing:

Date: December 10, 2025

Location: Sacramento

(b) Discussion Hearing:

Date: February 12, 2026

Location: Sacramento

(c) Adoption Hearing:

Date: April 16, 2026

Location: Sacramento

III. Description of Regulatory Action

(a) Statement of Specific Purpose of Regulatory Change and Factual Basis for Determining that Regulation Change is Reasonably Necessary

Unless otherwise specified, all section references in this document are to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

The California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) periodically considers recommendations from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) to amend pronghorn antelope hunting regulations. Considerations include recommendations for adjusting tag quotas (total number of hunting tags to be made available), setting hunt periods, modifying zone boundaries, and authorizing methods of take, among others, to help achieve management goals and objectives for pronghorn antelope. To maintain appropriate harvest levels and hunting quality, tags must be adjusted periodically in response to dynamic environmental and biological conditions.

The proposed changes focus on pronghorn antelope tag quotas under subsection 363(m). The last time these regulations were subject to amendment was April 2025. The proposed amendments here represent the culmination of the Department's internal discussion regarding pronghorn antelope population status. The proposed changes are necessary to manage harvest for the population size.

Background

The goal of the Department's pronghorn antelope program is to maintain viable, healthy pronghorn antelope populations, and to provide a variety of recreational activities, including harvest opportunity. A limited number of pronghorn antelope hunting tags are offered annually via the Big Game Drawing, and public demand for pronghorn antelope hunting tags has annually exceeded tag availability for the last ten years. In addition to harvest opportunity, public pronghorn antelope hunting also provides data that enhances the Department's ability to monitor pronghorn antelope populations including spatial, age, genetic, and disease information.

Current Regulations

Section 363 provides descriptions of hunt zone boundaries, season opening and closing dates, methods of take (e.g., general methods, archery only), tag designations (buck, doe), tag quotas, bag and possession limits, and special conditions for pronghorn antelope.

Proposed Regulations

The proposed regulations amend subsection 363(m) to potentially adjust hunting tag numbers across all six hunt zones. Previous surveys have suggested declines in population of pronghorn antelope, and the Commission adopted reduced tag allocations for the 2025 hunt year in response. The Department is prioritizing additional surveys in February 2026 to continue to assess pronghorn antelope population status, and will make a final recommendation following the completion of surveys and data analysis. Administrative regulatory procedures require the notice of proposed changes to the regulations prior to completing the population surveys and data analysis.

Section 363 Pronghorn Antelope

Amend subsection 363(m) to modify tag quotas for archery-only season buck, general season period 1 buck and period 2 buck, and general season period 1 apprentice either-sex hunts. Tag allocations may need to be adjusted to manage harvest following the completion of population surveys. Tag quotas are shown in Table 1 below in a bracketed range. The Department will recommend final tag quotas to the Commission at the adoption hearing.

Table 1. Proposed Pronghorn Antelope Tag Allocations (Subsection 363 (m))

<i>Hunt Area</i>	<i>Archery-Only Season Buck</i>	<i>Archery-Only Season Doe</i>	<i>General Season Period 1 Buck</i>	<i>General Season Period 1 Doe</i>	<i>General Season Period 1 Apprentice Either-Sex</i>	<i>General Season Period 2 Buck</i>	<i>General Season Period 2 Doe</i>	<i>Fundraising</i>
Zone 1 -- Mount Dome	0	0	2 [0-2]	0	N/A	0	0	0
Zone 2 -- Clear Lake	4 [0-1]	0	6 [0-6]	0	N/A	0	0	0
Zone 3 -- Likely Tables	0	0	5 [0-5]	0	0	0	0	0
Zone 4 -- Lassen	5 [0-5]	0	35 [0-35]	0	5 [0-5]	35 [0-35]	0	0
Zone 5 -- Big Valley	4 [0-1]	0	5 [0-5]	0	4 [0-1]	0	0	0
Zone 6 -- Surprise Valley	4 [0-1]	0	40 [0-10]	0	4 [0-4]	0	0	0
Zones 1-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

(b) Goals and Benefit of the Regulation

It is the policy of the state to encourage the preservation, conservation, and maintenance of wildlife resources under the jurisdiction and influence of the state. This policy shall include, but is not limited to, the following objectives:

The maintenance of sufficient populations of all species of wildlife and the habitat necessary to provide for the beneficial use and enjoyment of wildlife by all citizens of the state, to perpetuate all species of wildlife for their intrinsic and ecological values, as well as for their direct benefits to all persons, to provide for aesthetic, educational, and non-appropriative uses of the various wildlife species, to maintain diversified recreational uses of wildlife, including sport hunting, as proper uses of certain designated species of wildlife, consistent with the maintenance of healthy, viable wildlife resources, the public safety, and a quality outdoor experience, to alleviate economic losses or public health or safety problems caused by wildlife to the people of the state either individually or collectively in a

manner designed to bring the problem within tolerable limits consistent with economic and public health considerations and the objectives.

The proposed regulations will contribute to the sustainable management of pronghorn antelope populations in California. Population objectives are maintained and managed in part by periodically modifying the number of hunting tags distributed. The proposed tag quota changes will help conserve pronghorn antelope populations.

(c) Authority and Reference Sections from Fish and Game Code for Regulation

Authority: Sections 219, 265, 331 and 1050, Fish and Game Code.

Reference: Sections 331, 713 and 1050, Fish and Game Code.

(d) Specific Technology or Equipment Required by Regulatory Change: None.

(e) Identification of Reports or Documents Supporting Regulation Change

Report to follow completion of winter surveys in February 2026.

(f) Public Discussions of Proposed Regulations Prior to Notice Publication

- Wildlife Resources Committee: May 2025
- Wildlife Resources Committee: September 2025

IV. Description of Reasonable Alternatives to Regulatory Action

(a) Alternatives to Regulation Change

No alternatives were identified by or brought to the attention of Commission staff that would have the same desired regulatory effect.

(b) No Change Alternative

Without the proposed changes, the outstanding issues concerning the regulations currently governing pronghorn antelope hunting would remain unaddressed. Retaining the current number of tags for the hunts listed would not be responsive to changes in population status.

V. Mitigation Measures Required by Regulatory Action

The proposed regulatory action will have no negative impact on the environment; therefore, no mitigation measures are needed. The maximum number of tags available in the proposed range is at or below the number of tags analyzed in the 2004 Final Environmental Document Regarding Pronghorn Antelope Hunting.

VI. Impact of Regulatory Action

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed action adjusts tag quotas for existing hunts. Given the number of tags available and the area over which they are distributed, these proposals are economically neutral to business.

(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment

The Commission does not anticipate impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs within the State, the creation of new businesses, the elimination of existing businesses, or the expansion of businesses in California because the expected economic impacts of the proposed regulations are unlikely to be substantial enough to significantly stimulate demand for goods or services related to pronghorn antelope hunting. The Commission anticipates benefits to the environment including, but not limited to, the maintenance of populations of pronghorn antelope to ensure their continued existence and supporting recreational opportunity, and funding wildlife conservation through the fees that hunters pay for licenses and tags. While there are no anticipated benefits to worker safety, hunting is an outdoor activity that can provide several health and welfare benefits to California residents, including the benefits of fresh game to eat and exercise from outdoor recreation.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

The Commission does not anticipate any new costs or savings to state agencies or costs/savings in federal funding to the state. However, Department revenue is expected to decline if a reduced number of pronghorn antelope tags are made available after the February 2026 survey results.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None

(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code: None

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None

VII. Economic Impact Assessment

(a) Effects of the Regulation on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State

The Commission does not anticipate impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs within the state.

(b) Effects of the Regulation on the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses Within the State

The Commission does not anticipate impacts on the creation of new businesses or the elimination of existing businesses within the state because the potential economic impacts of the proposed regulations vary annually as tag quotas change and are unlikely to be substantial enough to stimulate demand for goods or services related to pronghorn antelope hunting in the long run due to annual variability.

(c) Effects of the Regulation on the Expansion of Businesses Currently Doing Business Within the State

The Commission does not anticipate impacts on the expansion of businesses currently doing business within the state because the potential economic impacts of the proposed regulations vary annually as tag quotas change and are unlikely to be substantial enough to stimulate demand for goods or services related to pronghorn antelope hunting in the long run due to annual variability.

(d) Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents

Hunting is an outdoor activity that can provide several health and welfare benefits to California residents. Hunters and their families benefit from fresh game to eat, and from the benefits of outdoor recreation, including exercise. People who hunt have a special connection with the outdoors and an awareness of the relationships between wildlife, habitat, and humans, and hunting can be a family tradition and a bonding activity.

(e) Benefits of the Regulation to Worker Safety

The Commission does not anticipate impacts on worker safety.

(f) Benefits of the Regulation to the State's Environment

The proposed regulations will contribute to the sustainable management of pronghorn antelope populations in California. Population objectives are maintained and managed in part by periodically modifying the number of hunting tags distributed. The proposed tag quota changes will help conserve pronghorn antelope populations.

(g) Other Benefits of the Regulation:

The Commission does not anticipate other benefits from the proposed regulation.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Unless otherwise specified, all section references in this document are to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).

Background

The California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) periodically considers recommendations from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) to amend pronghorn antelope hunting regulations. Considerations include recommendations for adjusting tag quotas, setting hunt periods, modifying zone boundaries, and authorizing methods of take, among others, to help achieve management goals and objectives for pronghorn antelope. To maintain appropriate harvest levels and hunting quality, tags must be adjusted periodically in response to dynamic environmental and biological conditions.

Section 363 provides descriptions of pronghorn antelope hunt zone boundaries, season opening and closing dates, methods of take (e.g., general methods, archery only), tag designations (buck, doe), tag quotas (total number of hunting tags to be made available), bag and possession limits, and special conditions for pronghorn antelope.

Proposed Changes

The proposed changes amend subsection 363(m) to potentially adjust hunting tag numbers across all six hunt zones. Previous surveys have suggested declines in population of pronghorn antelope and the Commission adopted reduced tag allocations for the 2025 hunt year in response. The Department is prioritizing additional surveys in February 2026 to continue to assess pronghorn antelope population status and will make a final recommendation following the completion of surveys and data analysis. Administrative regulatory procedures require the notice of proposed changes to the regulations prior to completing the surveys and data analysis.

The Department recommends that the Commission consider proposed changes to subsection 363(m) to modify tag quotas for general season period 1 buck and period 2 buck, archery-only season buck, and general season period 1 apprentice either-sex hunts. No changes are proposed to tag quotas for archery only doe or general season doe hunts. Tag allocations may need to be adjusted to manage harvest following the completion of population surveys.

Benefits of the Regulations

The proposed regulations will contribute to the sustainable management of pronghorn antelope populations in California. Population objectives are maintained and managed in part by periodically modifying the number of hunting tags distributed. The proposed tag quota changes will help conserve pronghorn antelope populations.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations

The proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. Section 20, Article IV, of the state Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated to the Commission the power to adopt regulations governing pronghorn antelope hunting. No other state agency has the

authority to adopt regulations governing pronghorn antelope hunting. The Commission has reviewed its own regulations and finds that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. The Commission has searched the CCR for any regulations regarding pronghorn antelope hunting; therefore, the Commission has concluded that the proposed pronghorn antelope hunting regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

Proposed Regulatory Language

Section 363, Title 14, CCR, is amended to read:

§ 363. Pronghorn Antelope.

... [No changes to the unnumbered first paragraph of Section 363 and subsections (a) through (l)(7)] ...

(m) Pronghorn Antelope Tag Allocations Table.

Hunt Area	Archery-Only Season Buck	Archery-Only Season Doe	General Season Period 1 Buck	General Season Period 1 Doe	General Season Period 1 Apprentice Either-Sex	General Season Period 2 Buck	General Season Period 2 Doe	Fundraising
Zone 1 -- Mount Dome	0	0	2 [0-2]	0	N/A	0	0	0
Zone 2 -- Clear Lake	4 [0-1]	0	6 [0-6]	0	N/A	0	0	0
Zone 3 -- Likely Tables	0	0	5 [0-5]	0	0	0	0	0
Zone 4 -- Lassen	5 [0-5]	0	35 [0-35]	0	5 [0-5]	35 [0-35]	0	0
Zone 5 -- Big Valley	4 [0-1]	0	5 [0-5]	0	4 [0-1]	0	0	0
Zone 6 -- Surprise Valley	4 [0-1]	0	10 [0-10]	0	4 [0-4]	0	0	0
Zones 1-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 219, 265, 331 and 1050, Fish and Game Code.
Reference: Sections 331, 713 and 1050, Fish and Game Code.

Decision not to proceed: 363 Pronghorn Antelope Hunting Regulations

From Alminas, Ona [redacted]
Date Wed 03/18/2026 02:58 PM
To FGC <FGC@fgc.ca.gov>
Cc Wilce, Cooper [redacted]; Pelzman, Robert [redacted]; [redacted] Cisneros, Megan [redacted] Wildlife Big Game Management <BigGame@wildlife.ca.gov>; Randall, Mike [redacted]

Greetings Commission staff:

Please see below the request from Wildlife Branch to not proceed with the pronghorn regulations (Title 14, Section 363), Office of Administrative Law Notice ID #Z2026-0113-09.

Regards,
Ona Alminas



Ona Alminas, M.S. (she/her)
Regulations Unit Manager

715 P Street, 17th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814



[Celebrate With Us!](#)

***** Share your thoughts with us on delivery of hunting and fishing regulations!*****

<https://wildlife.ca.gov/Notices/Regulations/survey>

From: Vu, Regina [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2026 10:28 AM
To: Alminas, Ona [redacted]; Randall, Mike [redacted]
[redacted]
Cc: Klip, Mario [redacted]; Temple-King, Chelle [redacted]
[redacted]; Wolf, Brent [redacted]; Gardner, Scott [redacted]

[REDACTED]
Subject: Update: Pronghorn Antelope Hunting Regulations

Ona and Mike,

Last month, the Department conducted pronghorn antelope surveys in northeastern California. All six pronghorn hunt zones (Big Valley, Clear Lake, Lassen, Likely Tables, Mount Dome, and Surprise Valley) were surveyed by helicopter. More than 1,700 km of transects were flown, resulting in a minimum count of 1,711 pronghorn. Approximately half of the pronghorn observed were in the Lassen hunt zone. Distance sampling will be used to analyze the raw count data and generate a population estimate. However, based on the minimum count data the Department does not recommend making any changes to the pronghorn antelope hunt tag quotas, and recommends the Commission adopt the *no change alternative*. Thank you in advance for sharing this information with the Fish and Game Commission and staff.

For any questions, please contact Brent Wolf at BigGameManagement@wildlife.ca.gov.

Regina Vu
Wildlife Regulations Coordinator, Wildlife Branch
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
P.O. BOX 944209
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090
[REDACTED]