

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

California Endangered Species Act



Petition Evaluation for California Beaked-Rush (*Rhynchospora californica*)

Report to the Fish and Game Commission
June 2026

Suggested citation: California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2026. Petition evaluation for California beaked-rush (*Rhynchospora californica*). A report to the Fish and Game Commission, California Natural Resources Agency, Sacramento, CA, USA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures	iii
List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Terms	iii
Executive Summary.....	iv
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Petition Evaluation Overview.....	1
1.2 CESA Petition History	2
1.3 Federal Status	2
1.4 Additional Species Status Designations.....	2
1.4.1 NatureServe Conservation Status Ranks	2
1.4.2 California Rare Plant Rank.....	2
2 Species Description and Taxonomy	3
2.1 Species Description and Taxonomy	3
3 Summary of Petition Components	3
3.1 Life History.....	4
3.1.1 Department Assessment.....	4
3.2 Range and Distribution	5
3.2.1 Department Assessment.....	7
3.3 Habitat	7
3.3.1 Department Assessment.....	7
3.4 Abundance and Population Trend	8
3.4.1 Department Assessment.....	8
3.5 Threats	9
3.5.1 Department Assessment.....	10
3.6 Existing Management.....	11
3.6.1 Department Assessment.....	12
3.7 Future Management	12
3.7.1 Department Assessment.....	13
3.8 Availability and Sources of Information	13
3.8.1 Department Assessment.....	13
4 Other Relevant Information Available to the Department.....	14

5	Sufficiency of Scientific Information and Recommendation to the Commission	14
	Literature Cited	15

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Map of the range and distribution of California beaked-rush as provided in	
Figure 2 of the petition.....	6

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND TERMS

CESA – California Endangered Species Act
 CNDDDB – California Natural Diversity Database
 CNPS – California Native Plant Society
 Commission – California Fish and Game Commission
 CRPR – California Rare Plant Rank
 Department – California Department of Fish and Wildlife

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This petition evaluation for California beaked-rush (*Rhynchospora californica*) has been prepared by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) in response to the petition to list California beaked-rush as endangered under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). The purpose of this petition evaluation is to provide a recommendation to the Fish and Game Commission (Commission) on whether the petition provides sufficient information to indicate the petitioned action may be warranted.

California beaked-rush is a perennial herb in the sedge family (Cyperaceae) that blooms May through July. The petition describes California beaked-rush as being known from nine occurrences in the Outer North Coast Ranges, northern Sierra Nevada Foothills, and Central Coast and occurring in bogs and fens, freshwater marshes and swamps, and seeps in woodlands and lower montane coniferous forests. The petition provides an abundance estimate at six occurrences with population sizes ranging from a few plants to more than 1,000 plants and provides information to suggest a declining population trend. The petition lists several threats to California beaked-rush including agricultural and developed land uses, invasive plants, incompatible grazing practices, and climate change. The petition mentions that extirpation from much of its range or extinction of the species may occur because: all occurrences are threatened and the severity of some threats is increasing, the species has been documented at only a small number of sites that are also small in area, and half of the sites occupied by the species are unprotected and not managed for the conservation of the species. Existing management efforts were reviewed and suggestions for future management actions were discussed in the petition. Information sources and a distribution map were also provided in the petition.

The Department has determined that the petition does not sufficiently address each of the required petition components listed in Fish and Game Code section 2072.3 and California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 670.1, subdivision (d)(1):

- Life history
- Range
- Distribution
- Detailed distribution map
- Kind of habitat necessary for survival
- Abundance
- Population trend
- Factors affecting the ability to survive and reproduce
- Degree and immediacy of threat
- Impact of existing management efforts

- Suggestions for future management
- Availability and sources of information

In completing its petition evaluation, the Department considered the information in the petition and other relevant information the Department possesses. The Department has determined that there is not sufficient scientific information to indicate that the petitioned action to list California beaked-rush as endangered under CESA may be warranted. Therefore, the Department recommends that the Commission reject the petition for further consideration pursuant to CESA.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Petition Evaluation Overview

This petition evaluation serves as the basis for the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's (Department) recommendation to the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) on whether the petition to list California beaked-rush (*Rhynchospora californica*) as endangered under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) should be accepted and considered. The recommendation is based on the sufficiency of scientific information in the petition, as well as other relevant information that was reviewed by the Department during the evaluation period.

A petition to list a species under CESA must include "information regarding the population trend, range, distribution, abundance, and life history of a species, the factors affecting the ability of the population to survive and reproduce, the degree and immediacy of the threat, the impact of existing management efforts, suggestions for future management, and the availability and sources of information. The petition shall also include information regarding the kind of habitat necessary for species survival, a detailed distribution map, and any other factors that the petitioner deems relevant" (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)).

Once a petition is submitted to the Commission, the Department has 90 days (120 days with extension) to prepare a petition evaluation that assesses each of the petition components and makes a recommendation to the Commission as to whether there is sufficient scientific information to indicate that the petitioned action to list the species under CESA may be warranted (Fish & G. Code, § 2073.5, subds. (a)-(b)). Once completed by the Department, the petition evaluation is delivered to the Commission and placed on the agenda for receipt at the next available meeting of the Commission. At that time, the petition evaluation will be made available to the public for a 30-day public comment period prior to the Commission taking any action on the petition. The Commission then considers the petition, the Department's petition evaluation and recommendation, written comments received, and oral testimony, and will then make a finding at the next available meeting of the Commission as to whether the petition provides "sufficient information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted" (Fish & G. Code, § 2074.2, subd. (e)(2)). The standard for accepting a petition for consideration and assessing sufficiency of information is addressed in *Center for Biological Diversity v. California Fish and Game Commission* (2008) 166 Cal.App.4th 597.

If the Commission determines that the petitioned action may be warranted, the species becomes a candidate for CESA listing and proceeds to the status review stage of the CESA listing process. The Department then prepares a peer-reviewed report that advises

the Commission on whether the petitioned action is warranted, based upon the best scientific information available (Fish & G. Code, § 2074.6). Finally, the Commission determines whether the petitioned action to list the species as threatened or endangered is warranted, based on the Department's status review and other information in the administrative record (Fish & G. Code, § 2075.5).

1.2 CESA Petition History

On August 29, 2025, Dr. John C. Hunter submitted a petition to the Commission to list California beaked-rush as endangered under CESA. On September 8, 2025, the Commission referred the petition to the Department for evaluation. At its meeting on October 8, 2025, the Commission officially acknowledged receipt of the petition. At its meeting on December 10, 2025, the Commission granted the Department's request for a 30-day extension of the period to review the petition and prepare this petition evaluation.

1.3 Federal Status

California beaked-rush has no status under the Federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq.). In 1993, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service provided notice that it was possibly appropriate to propose listing California beaked-rush as endangered or threatened, but sufficient data was not then available to support a listing proposal (58 Fed.Reg. 51144 (Sep. 30, 1993)).

1.4 Additional Species Status Designations

1.4.1 NatureServe Conservation Status Ranks

NatureServe's conservation status ranks consist of a global conservation status rank (global rank, G rank) describing the status of a given species over its entire distribution, and a subnational conservation status rank (subnational rank, S rank) describing the status of a given subspecies or variety over its state distribution (Master et al. 2012). NatureServe has assigned California beaked-rush a global rank of G1 and a subnational rank of S1, indicating that the species is critically imperiled both globally and within California with a very high risk of extinction due to one or more of the following: very restricted range, very few populations or occurrences, very steep declines, very severe threats, or other factors (CNDDDB 2020, 2025).

1.4.2 California Rare Plant Rank

The California Native Plant Society (CNPS) works in collaboration with botanical experts throughout the state, including Department biologists, to assign rare plants a

California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) reflective of their rarity status (CNDDDB and CNPS 2020). CNPS has assigned California beaked-rush a CRPR of 1B.1 (CNPS 2025). Plants with a CRPR of 1B are considered rare, threatened, or endangered throughout their range with the majority endemic to California (CNDDDB and CNPS 2020). The threat code extension of “.1” indicates that the species is seriously threatened in California with over 80% of occurrences threatened and a high degree and immediacy of threat (CNDDDB and CNPS 2020).

2 SPECIES DESCRIPTION AND TAXONOMY

2.1 Species Description and Taxonomy

California beaked-rush is a member of the sedge family (Cyperaceae). It is a perennial, densely clumped herb that is up to 100 cm (39 in) tall (Kral 2003). Leaf blades are elongate linear, proximally flat, and 2 to 3 mm wide (Kral 2003). Stems have flowers that are clustered terminally and laterally along the stem into inflorescences (Kral 2003). Each individual flower and fruit is subtended by six bristles that generally exceed the tip of the tubercle (triangular appendage at the top of the fruit) and have ascending barbs (Kral 2003). Fruits are about 3 mm (0.12 in) (including 1 mm for the tubercle), pear shaped to inverted egg shaped (pyriform-obovoid), sides are lens-shaped (lenticular), wrinkled, pale yellow brown in color, and have a wide stalk-like base (Kral 2003).

California beaked-rush was first collected as *Rhynchospora* at Pitkin Marsh in Sonoma County by John Thomas Howell in 1936 (CCH 2025). California beaked-rush was formally described as *Rhynchospora californica* by Shirley Gale in 1944 (Gale 1944). The currently accepted name for California beaked-rush is *Rhynchospora californica* (Smith 2012).

3 SUMMARY OF PETITION COMPONENTS

Pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2072.3 and California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 670.1, subdivision (d)(1), the Department evaluated whether the petition contained information on each of the following petition components:

- Life history
- Range
- Distribution
- Detailed distribution map
- Kind of habitat necessary for survival
- Abundance
- Population trend

- Factors affecting the ability to survive and reproduce
- Degree and immediacy of threat
- Impact of existing management efforts
- Suggestions for future management
- Availability and sources of information

The Department did not receive new information from the public during the petition evaluation period (Fish & G. Code, § 2073.4). Pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2073.5, the Department evaluated the petition to determine whether there is, or is not, sufficient information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted. A summary of the relevant information from the petition for each of the petition components is presented below. For each petition component, the Department has also presented an assessment of the information provided in the petition and a summary of any relevant information in the Department's possession. Overall sufficiency of the petition is determined by looking at the information in the petition as a whole and is further discussed in the "Sufficiency of Scientific Information and Recommendation to the Commission" section of this petition evaluation. In this petition evaluation, the Department has grouped similar petition components together and renamed components to create a more cohesive and readable document.

3.1 Life History

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding the species' life history (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). Section E on pages 6 and 7 of the petition provides information on the life history of California beaked-rush.

The petition provides a physical description of California beaked-rush, with descriptions of its habit, stems, leaves, flowers, and fruits. The petition includes distinguishing characteristics to separate California beaked-rush from similar species. The petition describes California beaked-rush as a perennial herb that blooms May through July and is wind pollinated. The petition also states that the dispersal, seed dormancy, and germination mechanisms of the species have not been documented.

3.1.1 Department Assessment

The Department considers the information provided in the petition on the life history of California beaked-rush to be adequate for assessing this petition component.

3.2 Range and Distribution

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding the species' range and distribution and provides a detailed distribution map (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). A species' range for the purposes of CESA and this petition evaluation is the species' range within California (Cal. Forestry Assn. v. Cal. Fish and Game Com. (2007) 156 Cal.App.4th 1535, 1551). Range describes the general geographical area in which a species occurs. Distribution describes the actual sites where individuals and populations of the species occur within the species' range. Section B on page 3 and 4 of the petition provides information on the range of California beaked-rush. Section C on page 4 of the petition provides information on its distribution. Section L, Figure 2 on page 20 of the petition provides a distribution map, which has been provided as Figure 1 of this petition evaluation.

The petition describes California beaked-rush as a California endemic of the Outer North Coast Ranges, northern Sierra Nevada Foothills, and Central Coast. The petition states that California beaked-rush's range comprises approximately 6,219 square kilometers (2,401 square miles), and that it occurs in Butte, Napa, Marin, and Sonoma counties at elevations of 45 to 1,010 meters (150 to 3,315 feet). The petition states that California beaked-rush is known from nine sites that were documented between 1936 and 2003, with one of those occurrences likely extirpated. Three occurrences are noted in the petition as being nonspecific occurrences in which the precise location and boundaries of the species have not been documented. California beaked-rush has a disjunct distribution with four occurrences in the vicinity of Chico and the remaining five occurrences near Sebastopol, Napa, and on the Point Reyes Peninsula. The petition also mentions that California beaked-rush may occupy a few additional, undocumented sites.




Figure 1. Map of the range and distribution of California beaked-rush as provided in Figure 2 of the petition. Occurrences from the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) are labeled with CNDDDB occurrence numbers. Some occurrences consist of multiple polygons.

3.2.1 Department Assessment

Range and distribution of California beaked-rush are presented in the petition based primarily on data from the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB); however, no discussion is provided on the completeness of the information presented including whether the CNDDB data represents the complete range and distribution of the species, whether additional information on range and distribution is available for the species that is not reflected in the CNDDB data, or whether any survey efforts have been made to confirm or ascertain the full range and distribution of the species. Without further discussion explaining why the information provided in the petition is a scientifically defensible assessment of the range and distribution of the species, the Department is unable to properly determine whether the petition provides a reasonable explanation of the range and distribution of the species, or whether other petition components that rely on knowing the range and distribution of the species have been properly assessed.

The Department has some additional, readily available information not presented in the petition. The CNDDB has an unprocessed online field survey form for the species which may be a new occurrence. In addition, regional Department staff have information on a potentially new occurrence in Nevada County. While this additional, readily available information contributes to our current knowledge on the range and distribution of California beaked-rush, this information does not compensate for deficiencies in data in the petition for these topics. The information presented in the petition, considered alongside readily available information from the Department, is not adequate for assessing the range and distribution of California beaked-rush.

3.3 Habitat

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding the kind of habitat necessary for species survival (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). Section F on page 7 of the petition provides information on the kind of habitat necessary for California beaked-rush survival.

The petition states that California beaked-rush occurs in bogs and fens, freshwater marshes and swamps, and seeps in woodlands and lower montane coniferous forests. The petition also includes a list of several plant species that grow with California beaked-rush.

3.3.1 Department Assessment

The Department considers the information provided in the petition on the kind of habitat necessary for California beaked-rush survival to be adequate for assessing this petition component.

3.4 Abundance and Population Trend

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding the species' abundance and population trend (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). Section D on page 5 of the petition provides information on the abundance of California beaked-rush. Section A on page 3 of the petition provides information on the population trend of California beaked-rush.

The petition summarizes information on the abundance of California beaked-rush at six CNDDDB occurrences with population sizes ranging from a few plants to more than 1,000 plants at each occurrence. The petition states that range-wide monitoring of California beaked-rush has not been conducted and, thus, a rigorous estimate of the total number of plants cannot be made. The petition estimates the area of occupied habitat to be less than 23 hectares (56 acres) based on CNDDDB polygon data.

The petition states that, based on information from the CNDDDB and land cover mapping from the U.S. Geological Survey, California beaked-rush has had a decreasing population trend because of loss and degradation of occupied habitat at four or more of the nine sites where the species has been observed. The petition lists three occurrences that are either extirpated or that were not observed during the most recent site visit in the CNDDDB and reports two occurrences that are likely experiencing degradation or loss of habitat based on land cover mapping. The petition states that the species may have had additional, undocumented habitat losses, population reductions, or both that occurred before the distribution of California beaked-rush was well documented.

3.4.1 Department Assessment

Abundance of California beaked-rush is provided in the petition based on population estimates reported in the CNDDDB; however, no discussion is provided on the completeness of the information including whether there have been efforts to estimate population sizes at all occurrences, whether the population estimates are historical or recent, and whether the population estimates are based on complete or partial surveys of the occurrences. Area of occupied habitat is estimated in the petition as an indicator of abundance using CNDDDB polygon data which the Department considers to be an inappropriate use of CNDDDB data. CNDDDB polygons are typically mapped to include a buffer that represents the locational accuracy of the data and therefore should not be used to determine area occupied by the species.

Population trend of California beaked-rush is determined in the petition to be declining based on: 1) the number of CNDDDB occurrences that are designated as extirpated or not seen during the most recent survey, 2) the use of CNDDDB polygon data overlaid on land cover mapping to report the extent of any overlap between the CNDDDB polygon

data with development or agriculture, and 3) the historical and ongoing changes to wetlands. No discussion is provided in the petition on the completeness of the information, including whether any recent efforts have been made to update information on the presence and condition of California beaked-rush occurrences or whether population declines at some occurrences have resulted in a population decline throughout the species range. The petition uses CNDDDB polygon data overlaid on land cover mapping to report on the extent of overlap between CNDDDB polygons with development or agriculture to determine additional occurrences that may be experiencing a declining population trend. CNDDDB polygons are typically mapped to include a buffer that represents the locational accuracy of the data, and the petition does not indicate if development or agriculture shown on aerial imagery overlapped with the portion of the CNDDDB polygon that was previously occupied by the species or within areas that were once appropriate habitat for the species. In addition, the statements in the petition about changes to wetlands likely causing additional, undocumented habitat losses, population reductions, or both to California beaked-rush are too general and do not specifically identify impacts to habitat likely to have contained California beaked-rush.

The Department has some additional, readily available information from the CNDDDB not presented in the petition. The CNDDDB has an unprocessed online field survey form for the species which may be a new occurrence; this form includes abundance information. While this additional, readily available information contributes to our current knowledge on the abundance of California beaked-rush, this information does not compensate for deficiencies in data in the petition for these topics. The information presented in the petition, considered alongside readily available information from the Department, is not adequate for assessing the abundance and population trend of California beaked-rush.

3.5 Threats

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding the factors affecting the ability of the species to survive and reproduce, and the degree and immediacy of threats (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). Section G on pages 7 through 10 of the petition provides information on factors affecting the ability of California beaked-rush to survive and reproduce (threats). Section H on pages 10 through 13 of the petition provides information on the degree and immediacy of threats to California beaked-rush.

The petition lists several prominent range-wide threats to California beaked-rush: agricultural and developed land uses, invasive plants, incompatible grazing practices, and climate change. The petition describes agricultural and developed land uses as major threats to California beaked-rush because these land uses have been directly

eliminating, fragmenting, and increasing threats to the remaining habitat. The petition highlights land cover conversion and altered hydrology as the primary mechanisms through which development and agriculture are impacting California beaked-rush. The petition notes that four occurrences of California beaked-rush that are precisely located have been impacted by agriculture and development based on the proximity of CNDDDB polygons to developed or agricultural land cover.

The petition notes that invasive species are threatening multiple occurrences of California beaked-rush and the petition lists two invasive plant species that co-occur with California beaked-rush. The petition states that infestations of invasive species can directly affect the conditions or resources on which California beaked-rush, thereby reducing abundance and making the species more vulnerable to extirpation from other causes. The petition also mentions that invasive species are spreading in wetlands within the species' range. In addition, the petition discusses how one occurrence of California beaked-rush has been extirpated by incompatible grazing and a second occurrence has grazing reported as a threat.

The petition states that climate change directly and indirectly threatens California beaked-rush by altering growing conditions, water availability, and frequency and intensity of disturbances. The petition also notes that climate change can alter interactions of the plant with pollinators, dispersers, fungal symbionts, seed predators, herbivores, and competitors. The petition states that the magnitude of climate change impacts on California beaked-rush is uncertain but may be substantial, particularly because of the species' limited range, rarity, habitat specificity, and distribution in relatively small and isolated areas that will be exposed to dramatic changes.

The petition states that without additional management actions, there is a moderate to high likelihood that California beaked-rush will be extirpated from much of its range or become extinct. The petition mentions that this may occur because: all occurrences are threatened and the severity of some threats is increasing, the species has been documented at only a small number of sites that are also small in area, and half of the sites occupied by the species are unprotected and not managed for the conservation of the species.

3.5.1 Department Assessment

Factors affecting the ability of California beaked-rush to survive and reproduce, and the degree and immediacy of threats, are presented in the petition based primarily on threat data reported in the CNDDDB. Threats listed in the CNDDDB can be representative of various types of threat data, including current threats, future threats, past threats, threats affecting a small portion of the site, threats in one year but not another, etc. The petition also presents land cover conversion and climate change as threats to California

beaked-rush that are not listed in the CNDDDB. None of these threats are described in adequate detail in relation to California beaked-rush and the petition does not provide information on the degree and immediacy of these threats for the species.

The petition also uses CNDDDB polygon data overlaid on land cover mapping to see if there is any overlap between the CNDDDB polygon data with development or agriculture to determine additional occurrences that may be experiencing threats. CNDDDB polygons are typically mapped to include a buffer that represents the locational accuracy of the data, and the petition does not indicate if development or agriculture shown on aerial imagery overlapped with the portion of the CNDDDB polygon that was previously occupied by the species or within areas that were once appropriate habitat for the species. Further discussion is needed on the use of aerial imagery to provide an accurate reflection of the degree of threat that development and agriculture are currently having on California beaked-rush.

The petition also mentions small number and size of occupied sites and lack of protection and management as additional reasons why California beaked-rush is likely to be extirpated from much of its range or become extinct. The petition has not shown that California beaked-rush's distribution and number of sites have been fully assessed and has not accurately represented the size of the occupied sites. The petition also does not discuss what the unprotected lands with California beaked-rush are being used for and how that land use is threatening, or potentially threatening, the species.

The Department does not have additional, readily available information in its possession that compensates for deficiencies in data for these topics. The information presented in the petition is not adequate for evaluating the factors affecting the ability of California beaked-rush to survive and reproduce, and the degree and immediacy of threats to California beaked-rush.

3.6 Existing Management

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding the impact of existing management efforts on the species (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). Section I on pages 13 and 14 of the petition provides information on the impact of existing management efforts on California beaked-rush.

The petition states that management of California beaked-rush has been very limited, has not sufficiently addressed threats to the species, and consequently has not resulted in significant conservation of the species. The petition describes the impact of several management categories including legal protection, preservation and seed banking, management and monitoring, restoration, and surveys and research.

The petition describes California beaked-rush as having no legal protections but notes that the species has a CRPR of 1B.1 and should be treated as a special-status species during environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act.

The petition states that one occurrence of California beaked-rush is on land managed for biodiversity and three occurrences are on land managed for multiple uses. The petition mentions that seeds of California beaked-rush have been collected and placed in long-term storage at California/Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden.

The petition notes that occurrences of California beaked-rush on preserved and partially protected lands are managed to maintain habitats, and that land managers routinely implement avoidance and minimization measures for the habitats. The petition mentions that none of the California beaked-rush occurrences are the subject of systematic, formal monitoring.

The petition states that no efforts have been made to restore or create habitat occupied by California beaked-rush. The petition discusses how surveys have not been conducted to confirm the continued existence of California beaked-rush at all occurrences, surveys have not been conducted to more precisely map non-specific occurrences, and range-wide surveys of potential habitat have not been conducted to search for additional, undocumented populations. The petition states that research has not been conducted on the species' genetic diversity, pollination, dispersal, establishment, growth, mortality, interactions with other species, population dynamics, habitat requirements, or other aspects of its ecology, and therefore understanding of the species' ecology is based primarily on observations by botanists and understanding of the ecology of related and similar species.

3.6.1 Department Assessment

The Department considers the information provided in the petition on impact of existing management efforts on California beaked-rush to be adequate for assessing this petition component.

3.7 Future Management

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding suggestions for future management (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). Section J on pages 14 and 15 of the petition provides suggestions for future management of California beaked-rush.

The petition provides a list of suggestions for future management including:

- list the species under CESA and prepare a recovery plan for it,
- include the species as a focal species in regional conservation investment strategies and as a covered species in natural community conservation plans,
- preserve occupied sites in perpetuity,
- develop and implement site-specific monitoring and management plans,
- manage extant populations to prevent the spread of invasive species,
- implement compatible grazing practices,
- implement measures to prevent impacts from recreational activities,
- coordinate and share information among organizations working to conserve the species,
- coordinate with and support private landowners implementing conservation practices in occupied habitat,
- restore degraded, occupied habitat,
- establish additional populations,
- conduct surveys to improve data on distribution and abundance,
- document genetic diversity, and
- investigate the species' population ecology and habitat requirements to inform conservation and management.

3.7.1 Department Assessment

The Department considers the information provided in the petition on suggestions for future management of California beaked-rush to be adequate for assessing this petition component.

3.8 Availability and Sources of Information

This section summarizes the information in the petition regarding availability and sources of information (Fish & G. Code, § 2072.3; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 670.1, subd. (d)(1)). Section K on pages 15 through 19 of the petition provides the sources of information used in the California beaked-rush petition. Digital copies of references cited were also provided to the Commission and Department.

3.8.1 Department Assessment

The Department received digital copies of references cited in the petition and considers this to be adequate to address the availability and sources of information mentioned in the petition for California beaked-rush.

4 OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE DEPARTMENT

Pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2073.5, the Department also evaluates petitions in relation to other relevant information the Department possesses or receives. The Department evaluated readily available information relating to California beaked-rush that is available from the Department's document library and the CNDDDB, including data housed in the CNDDDB's unprocessed data layer. Regional Department staff were contacted to determine if additional information on the species was readily available and in the possession of Department staff. Any relevant information from these sources is mentioned within the "Department Assessment" section for each petition component.

To the extent the Department was able to review other relevant information in its possession as it relates to the petition, the Department concluded that none of the additional information compensates for data deficiencies in the petition. If the Commission accepts the petition for consideration, regardless of the Department's recommendation, all reasonable attempts will be made by the Department to notify affected and interested parties and to solicit data and comments on the petitioned action (Fish & G. Code, § 2074.4). At that time, the Department will commence a review of the status of the species and produce a written peer-reviewed report, based upon the best scientific information available to the Department, which indicates whether the petitioned action is warranted (Fish & G. Code, § 2074.6).

5 SUFFICIENCY OF SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION

The Department evaluated the petition components set forth in Fish and Game Code section 2072.3 and California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 670.1, subdivision (d)(1) for sufficiency of information pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2073.5. Based upon the information contained in the petition and other relevant information, the Department determined there is not sufficient information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted (Fish & G. Code § 2073.5).

In this petition evaluation, the Department has assessed the information in the petition for each petition component individually; however, sufficiency of the petition as a whole is ultimately determined by looking at all petition components taken together. The Department considers scientifically defensible information on range and distribution to be critical for determining sufficiency of the petition. For California beaked-rush, the Department has determined that information is lacking for the following petition components: range, distribution, abundance, population trend, factors affecting the ability of the species to survive and reproduce (threats), and the degree and immediacy

of threats. Due to the lack of adequate information on these petition components, the Department does not consider the information in the petition to be sufficient as a whole for assessing whether listing California beaked-rush under CESA may be warranted and recommends the Commission reject the petition and not consider it further under CESA.

LITERATURE CITED

- CCH (Consortium of California Herbaria). 2025. Consortium of California Herbaria portal 2. Query for herbarium specimens of *Rhynchospora californica*. Available from: <https://cch2.org/portal/> (Accessed: 15 December 2025).
- CNDDDB (California Natural Diversity Database). 2020. California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) Management Framework. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, CA, USA.
- CNDDDB (California Natural Diversity Database). 2025. RareFind 5 [internet]: *Rhynchospora californica*. Government version- dated December 2025. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, CA, USA.
- CNDDDB (California Natural Diversity Database), and CNPS (California Native Plant Society). 2020. The CNDDDB and CNPS cooperative relationship and rare plant status review process. Sacramento, CA, USA. Available from: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=175695&inline> (Accessed: 2 August 2024).
- CNPS (California Native Plant Society). 2025. Rare plant inventory (online edition, v9.5.1): *Rhynchospora californica*. Available from: <https://rareplants.cnps.org/Plants/Details/1416> (Accessed: 15 December 2025).
- Gale, S. 1944. *Rhynchospora*, Section Eurhynchospora, in Canada, the United States and the West Indies. *Rhodora* 46(547):255–277.
- Kral, R. 2003. *Rhynchospora californica* in Flora of North America Editorial Committee, editors. Flora of North America North of Mexico [online]. 25+ vols. New York and Oxford. Available from: http://www.efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora_id=1&taxon_id=242357861. (Accessed: 11 December 2025).
- Master, L., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Bittman, G. Hammerson, B. Heidel, L. Ramsay, K. Snow, A. Teucher, and A. Tomaino. 2012. NatureServe conservation status assessments: factors for evaluating species and ecosystem risk. NatureServe, Arlington, VA, USA.
- Smith, S. 2012. *Rhynchospora* in Jepson Flora Project, editors. Jepson eFlora. Available from: https://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/eflora/eflora_display.php?tid=8919 (Accessed: 11 December 2025)