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#### Abstract

In 2005, fall-run Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) began entering IGH on September 11. A total of 13,997 Chinook salmon entered IGH during the fall 2005 spawning season. Of these, Klamath River Project (KRP) staff collected scale samples, determined sex, and measured fork lengths for 2,128 Chinook salmon and recovered heads from 892 ad-clipped Chinook salmon. Analysis of the length-frequency distribution for randomly sampled fall-run Chinook males indicates that the cutoff point between grilse and adults occurred at 50 cm (Figure 3). During the 2005 spawning season $0.3 \%$ (42) of the run were grilse, according to length-frequency analysis. This is the lowest percentage of grilse observed since the beginning of the KRP (1978) (Figure 9). The average during this period is $9.5 \%$. Females accounted for $50.4 \%(7,056)$ of the run while males accounted for $49.6 \%(6,941)$. The last Chinook of the 2005 spawning season was observed on December 5, 2005. The 2005 run $(13,997)$ of fall-run Chinook salmon recovered at IGH was close to the average for the period 1978-2005 (16,282). The 2005 IGH Chinook run total $(13,997)$ contributed roughly $21 \%$ to the total (Klamath basin) in-river run and $25 \%$ to the total spawner escapement (Table 6).

KRP staff also collected biological data for coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) (sex, fork length, presence of marks or clips and scale samples) during the 2005-06 coho spawning season. Biological data was collected on 466 coho, which was approximately one third of the coho which entered IGH $(1,425)$. Males ranged in size from 38 to 102 cm (Figure 6), while female coho ranged in size from 44 to 78 cm (Figure 7). Iron Gate Hatchery counts for the 2005-06 coho spawning season included 799 adult females, 596 adult males, and 30 grilse. IGH staff counted 1,282 coho with left maxillary clips, 2 with right maxillary clips and 1 with an adipose clip among these 1,425 coho. The recorded dates for the coho run were from October 19 to December 28, 2005. A total of 124 unmarked coho salmon were Floy tagged and released from IGH to the Klamath River. Of these, 39 coho reentered IGH and were returned to the river, 10 coho were observed in nearby Bogus Creek, and 3 unmarked coho were observed passing the video fish counting station on the Shasta River. No Floy tagged coho were reported for the mainstem Klamath River. Eleven of the 39 unmarked coho that returned to IGH after their initial tagging reentered the hatchery on more than one occasion (Table 5). Two of the coho that were eventually recovered in Bogus Creek had previously reentered IGH on multiple occasions.


## INTRODUCTION

## Iron Gate Hatchery

The Iron Gate Hatchery is located adjacent to the Klamath River (river mile 190), in Siskiyou County, CA, approximately 120 miles north of Redding, near the Oregon border (Figure 1). This hatchery was established in 1963 in order to mitigate for the effects of Iron Gate Dam on anadromous species. The production goals for the hatchery are listed in Table 1 (CDFG and PP\&L 1996).

Table 1. Production goals for anadromous salmonid releases from Iron Gate Hatchery, Klamath River.

| Species | Number released | Released | Run timing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chinook Salmon | $4,920,000$ smolts | May-June | mid September to early |
|  | $1,080,000$ yearlings | November | November |
|  | 75,000 yearlings | March | late October to early January |
| Steelhead | 200,000 yearlings | March-May | November to March |



Figure 1. Location of Iron Gate Hatchery (California Department of Fish and Game, Siskiyou County).

## Klamath River Project

The California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG), Klamath River Project (KRP) conducts random sampling of fall-run Chinook salmon annually, during the Chinook spawning season. The purpose of the sampling is to determine the abundance of adult fall-run Chinook salmon entering Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH), to characterize the run in terms of age and sex composition, and to recover data from all coded wire tags (CWT) from adipose fin clipped (ad-clipped) Chinook. All Chinook salmon tagged at IGH are marked with an adipose fin clip, which is the mark used to identify tagged Chinook salmon when they return to the hatchery during subsequent spawning seasons. The goals of the project are to collect biological data on a random sample of Chinook and coho salmon entering IGH and to recover all coded wire tags. Data from CWT fish provide a reference of known-age fish which is used, along with scale samples and analysis of length frequency distribution, to determine age composition.

## Coded Wire Tagging

During April and May of each year (since 1979), staff of the KRP insert CWTs into 200,000 Chinook smolt ( 90 fish/lb) and 120,000 yearling Chinook salmon. Smolts (fingerlings) receive a half length tag; yearlings receive a full length tag. These tags contain a code that allows for the identification of four separate groups of fingerlings and three groups of yearlings (which correspond to different raceways). One of the goals of the tagging program is to determine the success of the early release strategy (Hampton 2001). Formerly, smolts were released at IGH from June 1 to June 15. At the recommendation of the Joint Hatchery Review Committee (2001), CDFG developed this early release strategy, which allows for the release of smolts in four groups, each separated by approximately 1 week, beginning around mid-May. There are several benefits to the early release strategy, including reduced competition with natural salmonids and improved survival of smolts (due to lower water temperatures and higher flows). One of these yearling groups are raised at Fall Creek Hatchery, which is adjacent to Fall Creek (a tributary to Iron Gate Reservoir), an excellent source of high quality water. 2002 was the first year that Fall Creek yearlings were tagged with a unique CWT code. This unique CWT code allows evaluation of Fall Creek yearling survival rates relative to IGH yearlings.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Chinook Salmon

All Chinook are allowed to enter IGH. Upon entering IGH in the fall of 2005, Chinook salmon were held until they were ready to spawn. Readiness to spawn is determined by hatchery staff and based on timing, firmness of the ovaries, and ease of stripping eggs when handled. Once the fish are spawned, they are counted, sexed and examined for clips and/or marks, by staff of the KRP. During each sampling day, a systematic random sample (every $10^{\text {th }}$ Chinook,) was performed. Fork length and sex were determined and a scale sample was collected for each of these random fish. Heads containing CWTs and scale samples, as well as fork length measurements and sex determinations, were collected from all ad-clipped Chinook (random and non-random fish). Excess fish are killed and added to the post-spawned fish. All fish are processed and donated to local food banks, churches, and the public.

## Coho Salmon

In addition to collecting biological data for the Chinook run, staff of the KRP collected biological data (sex, fork length, presence of marks or clips and scale samples) for a large portion
of the coho which entered IGH. Each coho was inspected for the presence of marks and clips (adipose and right or left maxillary). Since 1995, all hatchery reared coho salmon within the Klamath River basin have been marked with a maxillary clip prior to release. IGH coho receive a left maxillary clip; Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) coho are marked with a right maxillary clip.

In the fall of 2004, prior to the beginning of the coho salmon spawning season, NOAA fisheries and CDFG held several meetings intended to reduce the potential take of naturally produced coho salmon (unknown portion of unmarked coho that enter each facility) that may occur at IGH and TRH during recovery efforts. The discussions primarily focused on three aspects or action items: 1) how to incorporate unmarked coho into the spawning matrix, 2) how and where to release unmarked coho not used in the spawning matrix and 3) how to monitor unmarked coho releases. In response to these concerns, CDFG implemented interim protocols for the handling unmarked adult coho salmon that enter IGH and TRH during the spawning season.

In summary, the Department agreed to implement the following protocols:

1. Only enough eggs and sperm from unmarked coho will be taken to account for the overall egg take needed to make up 20 to $25 \%$ of the total annual release of yearlings at each hatchery. To determine how many coho females will need to be spawned this season at each hatchery to obtain the desired percentage of yearlings released, we assumed 2,600 eggs per female, a $70 \%$ survival rate from green eggs to eyed eggs and an $80 \%$ survival rate from eyed eggs to yearlings. These figures are based on historical averages we have seen at both hatcheries over the past 30 years. This will mean that IGH will need to take approximately 10 unmarked coho ( 5 male and 5 female) to procure 26,000 green eggs. This will produce about 18,200 eyed eggs and 14,560 yearlings ( $19.4 \%$ of 75 k ). TRH will need to take approximately 70 unmarked coho ( 35 male and 35 female) to procure 182,000 green eggs. This will produce about 127,400 eyed eggs and 101,920 yearlings $(20.4 \%$ of 500 k$)$. The remainder of the green eggs needed to meet the overall coho production goals at each hatchery will come only from spawning marked adults of hatchery origin.
2. Spawning protocols will follow a one-to-one mating of males to females. By definition, eggs determined to be of unmarked origin will come from mating one unmarked fish with a marked hatchery origin fish. The Department will not pair an unmarked fish with another unmarked fish during spawning activities.
3. By closely following the above spawning protocols, there will be no need to cull any eggs from unmarked origin fish. There is a chance that the final percentage of unmarked origin yearlings released may be slightly higher or lower than $20 \%$ depending on the size of the run and survival rates experienced this season at both hatcheries.
4. All unmarked adults returning to the hatchery will be passed through a tag detector to determine if they contain a coded wire tag indicating they came from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery in Oregon. All unmarked fish determined to be of Cole M. Rivers Hatchery origin will not be included in the spawning matrix and will be killed and the cwt will be recovered and analyzed to verify the origin of these fish.
5. All unmarked adults not used in the spawning matrix will have their caudal fin clipped, are Floy tagged and then released back into the river at the hatchery site.

Application of a caudal clip and insertion of an individually numbered Floy tag to unmarked coho salmon provides an opportunity to monitor the movement of these coho after release (Figure 2). Once released, these coho may return to the hatchery, spawn in the Klamath River downstream of IGH, or enter one of several tributary streams downstream of Iron Gate Dam. Application of the caudal clip serves as a backup mark, in case the Floy tag is shed. The caudal clip also provides CDFG with the ability to identify these coho if they pass through one of the video fish counting facilities located in Bogus Creek and the Shasta River. Individually numbered Floy tags provide the ability to track individual coho if they return to the hatchery or are recovered in one of the spawning ground surveys.


Figure 2. Photograph of Floy Tag application (left) and anterior caudal clip (right) applied to unmarked coho salmon at Iron Gate Hatchery prior to release back to the river during the 2005 spawning season.

## RESULTS

## Chinook Salmon

In 2005, fall-run Chinook salmon began entering IGH on September 11. A total of 13,997 Chinook salmon returned to IGH during the fall 2005 spawning season. Of these, KRP staff collected scale samples, determined sex, and measured fork lengths for 2,128 Chinook salmon. Randomly sampled male Chinook ranged in size from 42 cm to 102 cm (Figure 3). Analysis of the length frequency distribution for randomly sampled fall-run Chinook males indicated that the cutoff point between grilse and adults occurred at 50 cm in fork length, yielding approximately $0.3 \%$ grilse. Therefore, based on the 50 cm cutoff, it is estimated that 42 grilse and 13,955 adults entered IGH. Females accounted for $50.4 \%(7,056)$ of the run and males accounted for $49.6 \%$ $(6,941)$. Randomly sampled female Chinook ranged in size from 53 to 97 cm (Figure 4). The last Chinook to enter IGH for the 2005 spawning season was observed on December 5, 2005.


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution for random male Chinook salmon recovered at IGH during the 2005 spawning season.


Figure 4. Length frequency distribution for random female Chinook salmon recovered at IGH, during the 2005 spawning season.

Heads from 839 ad-clipped Chinook salmon (from random and non-random fish) were collected for CWT recovery (Figure 5 and Table 2). The contribution of lost or unreadable CWT adclipped Chinook was estimated by applying the proportions of known CWTs (802) to the 37 lost or unreadable CWT ad-clipped Chinook (Table 3). This estimated contribution was then added to the contribution of known CWTs to yield the total contribution of hatchery Chinook entering IGH (Table 2). All Chinook CWTs recovered (and successfully read) originated from IGH. Based on the expansion of these CWTs, KRP staff estimated that 10,785 (77\%) of the Chinook entering IGH during the 2005 season were of hatchery origin (Table 2). The majority of these known age fish were age 3 (53.9\%) and age 4 ( $40.7 \%$ ); grilse only accounted for $0.6 \%$ and age 5 fish contributed only $4.8 \%$. These proportions are similar to what the KRTAT developed for the 2005 run, based on scale age proportions (Table 4).


Figure 5. Example of Coded Wire Tag (CWT) wire used in tagging IGH Chinook salmon. Code 066357 corresponds to the brood year 2001 Chinook yearlings which were released in November 2002.

Table 2. Estimated contribution of Chinook from Iron Gate Hatchery to total run at Iron Gate Hatchery, based on coded wire tags (CWTs) recovered from fall-run Chinook salmon that entered Iron Gate Hatchery, during the 2005 spawning season.

| CWT | Release <br> Location | Brood Year | Age | Release Type | Number Recovered | Production Multiplier | Expanded <br> Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated contribution of known CWTs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66353 | IGH | 2000 | 5 | Fy | 18 | 9.64 | 174 |
| 66354 | IGH | 2000 | 5 | Fy | 15 | 8.51 | 128 |
| 66355 | IGH | 2001 | 4 | Fy | 183 | 9.32 | 1,706 |
| 66356 | IGH | 2001 | 4 | Fy | 119 | 10.55 | 1,256 |
| 66357 | IGH | 2001 | 4 | Fy | 121 | 9.81 | 1,187 |
| 66358 | IGH | 2002 | 3 | Fy | 40 | 10.52 | 421 |
| 66359 | IGH | 2002 | 3 | Fy | 41 | 10.00 | 410 |
| 66360 | IGH | 2002 | 3 | Fy | 30 | 7.99 | 240 |
| 601020306 | IGH | 2000 | 5 | Ff | 3 | 17.76 | 53 |
| 601020307 | IGH | 2000 | 5 | Ff | 2 | 39.30 | 79 |
| 601020308 | IGH | 2000 | 5 | Ff | 2 | 32.44 | 65 |
| 601020400 | IGH | 2001 | 4 | Ff | 1 | 17.50 | 17 |
| 601020403 | IGH | 2001 | 4 | Ff | 1 | 30.66 | 31 |
| 601020404 | IGH | 2002 | 3 | Ff | 104 | 16.32 | 1,697 |
| 601020405 | IGH | 2002 | 3 | Ff | 62 | 15.74 | 976 |
| 601020406 | IGH | 2002 | 3 | Ff | 42 | 32.97 | 1,385 |
| 601020407 | IGH | 2002 | 3 | Ff | 15 | 28.47 | 427 |
| 601020408 | IGH | 2003 | 2 | Ff | 1 | 19.20 | 19 |
| 601020409 | IGH | 2003 | 2 | Ff | 1 | 19.28 | 19 |
| 601020503 | IGH | 2003 | 2 | Ff | 1 | 21.42 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal | 802 |  | 10,310 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated contribution of unknown CWTs (see Table 2): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200000 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |
| 400000 |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal | 37 |  | 476 |
| Total Estimated Hatchery Contribution = 10,785 <br> Unreadable CWTs: 200000=CWT lost, 400000=CWT unreadable $\mathrm{Ff}=$ Fall-run Chinook fingerling, Fy $=$ Fall-run Chinook yearling <br> The production multiplier value is the inverse of the proportion initially tagged. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Estimated contribution of 37 ad-clipped Chinook salmon with unknown coded wire tag (CWT) codes (lost or unreadable) that were recovered at Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH), based on the proportional distribution of known CWT recoveries at IGH during the 2005 season.

| CWT <br> Codes | Brood <br> Year | Number of CWTs Recovered | Proportion of CWTs recovered | Estimated <br> Number | Production <br> Multiplier | Expanded Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66353 | 2000 | 18 | 0.022443890 | 0.83042394 | 9.64 | 8 |
| 66354 | 2000 | 15 | 0.018703242 | 0.69201995 | 8.51 | 6 |
| 66355 | 2001 | 183 | 0.228179551 | 8.442643392 | 9.32 | 79 |
| 66356 | 2001 | 119 | 0.148379052 | 5.490024938 | 10.55 | 58 |
| 66357 | 2001 | 121 | 0.150872818 | 5.582294264 | 9.81 | 55 |
| 66358 | 2002 | 40 | 0.049875312 | 1.845386534 | 10.52 | 19 |
| 66359 | 2002 | 41 | 0.051122195 | 1.891521197 | 10.00 | 19 |
| 66360 | 2002 | 30 | 0.037406484 | 1.3840399 | 7.99 | 11 |
| 601020306 | 2000 | 3 | 0.003740648 | 0.13840399 | 17.76 | 2 |
| 601020307 | 2000 | 2 | 0.002493766 | 0.092269327 | 39.30 | 4 |
| 601020308 | 2000 | 2 | 0.002493766 | 0.092269327 | 32.44 | 3 |
| 601020400 | 2001 | 1 | 0.001246883 | 0.046134663 | 17.50 | 1 |
| 601020403 | 2001 | 1 | 0.001246883 | 0.046134663 | 30.66 | 1 |
| 601020404 | 2002 | 104 | 0.129675810 | 4.798004988 | 16.32 | 78 |
| 601020405 | 2002 | 62 | 0.077306733 | 2.860349127 | 15.74 | 45 |
| 601020406 | 2002 | 42 | 0.052369077 | 1.93765586 | 32.97 | 64 |
| 601020407 | 2002 | 15 | 0.018703242 | 0.69201995 | 28.47 | 20 |
| 601020408 | 2003 | 1 | 0.001246883 | 0.046134663 | 19.20 | 1 |
| 601020409 | 2003 | 1 | 0.001246883 | 0.046134663 | 19.28 | 1 |
| 601020503 | 2003 | 1 | 0.001246883 | 0.046134663 | 21.42 | 1 |
| Totals |  | 802 | 1 | 37 |  | 476 |

The Klamath River Technical Advisory Team (KRTAT) met in January of 2006 to review the 2005 Chinook salmon run size monitoring efforts and estimate the age composition of the 2005 run (KRTAT 2006). The KRTAT used scale age proportions for developing adult structure and length frequency analysis for the grilse cutoff point for the 2005 IGH fall Chinook salmon returns (Table 4).

Table 4. Age composition of the 2005 Chinook salmon run that entered Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH), as developed by the Klamath River Technical Advisory Team (KRTAT).

|  | Age |  |  |  | Total | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Adults | Run |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |
| Number of Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of Total Run | 42 | 8,145 | 4,969 | 840 |  |  |
|  | $0.3 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 13,955 | 13,997 |

## Coho Salmon

The first coho returning to IGH was observed on October 19, 2005 and the last coho of the 200506 spawning season was observed on December 28, 2005. A total of 1,425 coho salmon entered IGH during the season. Of these, 1,282 were progeny of IGH. In addition to IGH returns, 2
coho from Trinity River Hatchery (TRH), and one adipose clipped coho from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery was observed. Staff collected biological data from 466 coho, approximately one third of the entire run. Male coho ranged in size from 38 cm to 102 cm (Figure 6). Female coho ranged in size from 44 cm to 78 cm (Figure 7).


Figure 6. Length frequency distribution for male coho salmon recovered at Iron Gate Hatchery during the 2005-06 spawning season.


Figure 7. Length frequency distribution for female coho salmon recovered at Iron Gate Hatchery during the 2005-06 spawning season.

A total of 124 unmarked coho salmon were Floy tagged and released from IGH to the Klamath River. Of these, 39 coho reentered IGH and were returned to the river, 10 were observed in nearby Bogus Creek, and 3 were observed passing through the video flume at the Shasta River Fish Counting Facility. No Floy tagged coho were reported in the main stem Klamath River. Eleven of the 39 unmarked coho that returned to IGH after their initial tagging reentered the hatchery on more than one occasion (Table 5). Two of the coho that were eventually recovered in Bogus Creek had previously reentered IGH on multiple occasions.


## DISCUSSION

## Chinook Salmon

The Klamath River Project has been monitoring the escapement of fall-run Chinook salmon in the Klamath River basin, excluding the Trinity River, since 1978. The Trinity River Project (TRP) has been monitoring salmon returns in the Trinity River basin during the same period, and the combined run size information generated from these two efforts is summarized in the CDFG "Mega Table" each year. Chinook salmon run size data provided in the Mega Table is reviewed by the KRTAT during their annual age composition meeting in late January. During the age composition meeting, results of the scale analysis are integrated into run size data to estimate the age structure for each of the various stocks within the basin. Age-specific estimates of escapement for 2005 and previous years, coupled with data from CWT recoveries from hatchery stocks, allow for cohort reconstruction of both hatchery and natural components of Klamath River fall-run Chinook. The results of cohort reconstruction allow model-based forecasting of next year's abundance in the ocean, ocean fishery contact rates, and percentage of spawners escaping to natural areas (KRTAT 2006). These forecasts are used by the Pacific Fishery Management Council, as essential inputs to the Klamath Ocean Harvest Model to predict effects of salmon fisheries on Klamath River fall Chinook. Thus, the run size estimates that are compiled each year provide a critical source of data necessary for the effective management of fall Chinook salmon each year.

The 2005 run $(13,997)$ of fall Chinook salmon at IGH was slightly less then the average run size that has been observed for the period from 1978 to $2005(16,282)$. This year IGH Chinook comprised roughly $21 \%$ of the total (Klamath basin) in-river run $(67,579)$ and $25 \%$ of the total natural spawner escapement $(56,188)($ Table 6$)$.

During the 1995 Chinook salmon spawning season, the gates at IGH were closed at times; therefore a significant portion of the IGH Chinook returns were diverted to nearby Bogus Creek. The largest in-river run of Chinook also occurred in $1995(245,542)$, which was nearly double the average run $(122,806)$ for the period 1978 to 2005 (Figure 8). As expected, total Chinook spawner escapement for this period also peaked in 1995 at 217,312. The fall Chinook salmon escapement estimate for Bogus Creek in 1995 was 46,432 which accounted for $19 \%$ of total inriver run $(245,542)$ and $21 \%$ of the natural spawner escapement $(217,312)$ for the basin. In comparison, the peak contributions of IGH Chinook occurred in 1993 when IGH Chinook $(21,711)$ comprised $34 \%$ of the total in-river run $(64,810)$ and $44 \%$ of the natural spawner escapement $(48,954)$. However, if all of the Chinook returning to IGH in 1995 had entered IGH, then the contribution rates for IGH would have been much higher in 1995.

The number of returning grilse provides some insight into the survival and abundance of 3 year old fish that are anticipated to be available in the next year (KRTAT 2006). During the 2005 spawning season $0.3 \%$ (42) of the run was composed of grilse. This is the lowest percentage of grilse observed since the beginning of the KRP in 1978. The highest percentage (51.1\%) of grilse $(3,737)$ was observed in 1992 and the average during this period was $9.5 \%(1,043)$ (Figure 9). From 1978 to 1990, at least $10 \%$ of the run were grilse in 7 out of 13 years. In contrast, from 1991 to 2005 the proportion of grilse exceeded $10 \%$ for only 2 of the 15 years (Table 7). This proportion is similar to what has been observed in nearby Bogus Creek during those same time periods. From 1978 to 1990, at least $10 \%$ of the Bogus Creek run were grilse in 10 out of 13
years. In contrast, from 1991 to 2005 the proportion of grilse in Bogus Creek exceeded $10 \%$ for only 3 of the 15 years (Table 7). The average percentage of grilse Chinook in Bogus Creek during this 28 year period was $12.6 \%$ (684).

Table 6. Historic fall-run Chinook salmon totals (includes adults and grilse) for the Klamath Basin, Iron Gate Hatchery, and Bogus Creek.

| Year | In-River Rum (IRR) | Spawner Escapement (SE) |  | Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH) |  |  | Bogus Creek |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Totals | Totals | \% of IRR | Totals | \% of IRR | \% of SE | Totals | \% of IRR | \% of SE |
| 1978 | 115,728 | 90,135 | 77.9 | 7,870 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 5,579 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
| 1979 | 62,970 | 42,255 | 67.1 | 2,558 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 5,938 | 9.4 | 14.1 |
| 1980 | 82,413 | 57,683 | 70.0 | 2,863 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 5,070 | 6.2 | 8.8 |
| 1981 | 108,422 | 56,333 | 52.0 | 2,595 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 3,642 | 3.4 | 6.5 |
| 1982 | 106,020 | 67,076 | 63.3 | 10,186 | 9.6 | 15.2 | 7,143 | 6.7 | 10.6 |
| 1983 | 61,392 | 47,960 | 78.1 | 8,885 | 14.5 | 18.5 | 3,048 | 5.0 | 6.4 |
| 1984 | 55,542 | 30,375 | 54.7 | 6,094 | 11.0 | 20.1 | 3,504 | 6.3 | 11.5 |
| 1985 | 133,827 | 104,487 | 78.1 | 22,110 | 16.5 | 21.2 | 4,647 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| 1986 | 239,559 | 180,263 | 75.2 | 18,557 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 7,308 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 1987 | 228,182 | 143,890 | 63.1 | 17,014 | 7.5 | 11.8 | 10,956 | 4.8 | 7.6 |
| 1988 | 215,696 | 130,749 | 60.6 | 16,715 | 7.7 | 12.8 | 16,440 | 7.6 | 12.6 |
| 1989 | 133,440 | 72,438 | 54.3 | 11,690 | 8.8 | 16.1 | 2,662 | 2.0 | 3.7 |
| 1990 | 40,274 | 25,705 | 63.8 | 7,040 | 17.5 | 27.4 | 785 | 1.9 | 3.1 |
| 1991 | 34,425 | 19,121 | 55.5 | 4,067 | 11.8 | 21.3 | 1,281 | 3.7 | 6.7 |
| 1992 | 40,391 | 28,479 | 70.5 | 7,318 | 18.1 | 25.7 | 1,154 | 2.9 | 4.1 |
| 1993 | 64,810 | 48,945 | 75.5 | 21,711 | 33.5 | 44.4 | 3,716 | 5.7 | 7.6 |
| 1994 | 78,354 | 60,850 | 77.7 | 14,566 | 18.6 | 23.9 | 8,260 | 10.5 | 13.6 |
| *1995 | 245,542 | 217,312 | 88.5 | 22,940 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 46,432 | 18.9 | 21.4 |
| 1996 | 185,305 | 108,325 | 58.5 | 14,165 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 10,797 | 5.8 | 10.0 |
| 1997 | 91,729 | 70,303 | 76.6 | 13,727 | 15.0 | 19.5 | 10,030 | 10.9 | 14.3 |
| 1998 | 95,286 | 75,157 | 78.9 | 15,326 | 16.1 | 20.4 | 6,835 | 7.2 | 9.1 |
| 1999 | 70,296 | 50,088 | 71.3 | 14,120 | 20.1 | 28.2 | 6,165 | 8.8 | 12.3 |
| 2000 | 228,323 | 188,642 | 82.6 | 72,474 | 31.7 | 38.4 | 35,051 | 15.4 | 18.6 |
| 2001 | 198,676 | 142,324 | 71.6 | 38,568 | 19.4 | 27.1 | 12,575 | 6.3 | 8.8 |
| 2002 | 170,014 | 99,016 | 58.2 | 24,961 | 14.7 | 25.2 | 17,834 | 10.5 | 18.0 |
| 2003 | 195,791 | 152,390 | 77.8 | 32,260 | 16.5 | 21.2 | 15,610 | 8.0 | 10.2 |
| 2004 | 88,589 | 53,478 | 60.4 | 11,519 | 13.0 | 21.5 | 3,788 | 4.3 | 7.1 |
| 2005 | 67,579 | 56,188 | 83.1 | 13,997 | 20.7 | 24.9 | 5,399 | 8.0 | 9.6 |
| Average | 122,806 | 86,427 | 69.5 | 16,282 | 13.7 | 19.4 | 9,345 | 6.8 | 9.7 |
| MAX | 245,542 | 217,312 | 88.5 | 72,474 | 33.5 | 44.4 | 46,432 | 18.9 | 21.4 |
| MIIN | 34,425 | 19,121 | 52.0 | 2,558 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 785 | 1.9 | 3.1 |
| STDEV | 68,453 | 53,167 | 10.1 | 14,016 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 10,075 | 3.9 | 4.7 |

Note: As of Feb 2004, all In-River Run and Spawner Escapement values changed due to a retroactive change in the angling and net mortality rates.
*For the 1995 season the gates at IGH were closed at times; therefore a significant portion of the IGH returns were diverted to Bogus Creek.


Figure 8. Chinook salmon escapement to Iron Gate Hatchery and in-river run of Chinook salmon in the Klamath River, 1978 to 2005.


Figure 9. Historical percentages of Chinook grilse observed at Iron Gate Hatchery, Siskiyou County.

| Year | Iron Gate Hatchery |  |  |  | Bogus Creek |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  | Percent Griles | Number |  |  | Percent Grilse |
|  | Grilse | Adults | Total |  | Grilse | Adults | Total |  |
| 1978 | 925 | 6,945 | 7,870 | 11.8\% | 651 | 4928 | 5,579 | 11.7\% |
| 1979 | 257 | 2,301 | 2,558 | 10.0\% | 494 | 5444 | 5,938 | 8.3\% |
| 1980 | 451 | 2,412 | 2,863 | 15.8\% | 1749 | 3321 | 5,070 | 34.5\% |
| 1981 | 540 | 2,055 | 2,595 | 20.8\% | 912 | 2730 | 3,642 | 25.0\% |
| 1982 | 1,833 | 8,353 | 10,186 | 18.0\% | 2325 | 4818 | 7,143 | 32.5\% |
| 1983 | 541 | 8,371 | 8,912 | 6.1\% | 335 | 2713 | 3,048 | 11.0\% |
| 1984 | 764 | 5,330 | 6,094 | 12.5\% | 465 | 3039 | 3,504 | 13.3\% |
| 1985 | 2,159 | 19,951 | 22,110 | 9.8\% | 1156 | 3491 | 4,647 | 24.9\% |
| 1986 | 1,461 | 17,096 | 18,557 | 7.9\% | 1184 | 6124 | 7,308 | 16.2\% |
| 1987 | 1,825 | 15,189 | 17,014 | 10.7\% | 1208 | 9748 | 10,956 | 11.0\% |
| 1988 | 609 | 16,106 | 16,715 | 3.6\% | 225 | 16215 | 16,440 | 1.4\% |
| 1989 | 831 | 10,859 | 11,690 | 7.1\% | 444 | 2218 | 2,662 | 16.7\% |
| 1990 | 321 | 6,719 | 7,040 | 4.6\% | 53 | 732 | 785 | 6.8\% |
| 1991 | 65 | 4,002 | 4,067 | 1.6\% | 20 | 1261 | 1,281 | 1.6\% |
| 1992 | 3,737 | 3,581 | 7,318 | 51.1\% | 556 | 598 | 1,154 | 48.2\% |
| 1993 | 883 | 20,828 | 21,711 | 4.1\% | 431 | 3285 | 3,716 | 11.6\% |
| 1994 | 758 | 13,808 | 14,566 | 5.2\% | 443 | 7817 | 8,260 | 5.4\% |
| 1995 | 259 | 22,681 | 22,940 | 1.1\% | 1207 | 45225 | 46,432 | 2.6\% |
| 1996 | 543 | 13,622 | 14,165 | 3.8\% | 377 | 10420 | 10,797 | 3.5\% |
| 1997 | 452 | 13,275 | 13,727 | 3.3\% | 221 | 9809 | 10,030 | 2.2\% |
| 1998 | 403 | 14,923 | 15,326 | 2.6\% | 205 | 6630 | 6,835 | 3.0\% |
| 1999 | 4,830 | 9,290 | 14,120 | 34.2\% | 2628 | 3537 | 6,165 | 42.6\% |
| 2000 | 839 | 71,635 | 72,474 | 1.2\% | 373 | 34678 | 35,051 | 1.1\% |
| 2001 | 1,364 | 37,204 | 38,568 | 3.5\% | 648 | 11,927 | 12,575 | 5.2\% |
| 2002 | 1,294 | 23,667 | 24,961 | 5.2\% | 304 | 17,530 | 17,834 | 1.7\% |
| 2003 | 290 | 31,970 | 32,260 | 0.9\% | 188 | 15,422 | 15,610 | 1.2\% |
| 2004 | 937 | 10,582 | 11,519 | 8.1\% | 295 | 3,493 | 3,788 | 7.8\% |
| 2005 | 42 | 13,955 | 13,997 | 0.3\% | 58 | 5,339 | 5,397 | 1.1\% |
| Average | 1,043 | 15,240 | 16,283 | 9.5\% | 684 | 8,660 | 9,345 | 12.6\% |

In September of 2002 a large fish kill occurred in the lower Klamath River (CDFG 2004). Columnaris (Flavobacter columnare) and ICH (Ichthypthirius multifilis) were the primary pathogens responsible for the 2002 fish kill, which resulted in the loss of over 33,000 adult salmonids, the majority of which Chinook salmon. The fish kill extended from the mouth of Klamath River to Coon Creek Falls ( 36 river miles). Low flows coupled with increased water temperature and fish densities (due to the low flows and potentially inadequate fish passage) stressed fish to the point that they were susceptible to the naturally occurring pathogens. If these fish kills had not occurred, the number of Chinook entering IGH during the 2002 spawning season would most likely have been higher than 24,961 .

Large scale mortality of juvenile salmonids, primarily Chinook salmon, has been documented in recent years. In May of 2004 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Yurok Tribe and the Karuk Tribe, reported unusually high levels of mortality and disease infections among naturally
produced juvenile Chinook salmon captured in downstream migrant traps fished in the Klamath River (KFHAT 2005). Trapping efforts were located near Kinsman Creek (RM 146) just upstream of the Scott River confluence, adjacent to the Bulk Plant in Happy Camp (RM 108), at Persido Bar (RM 81) upstream of the Salmon River confluence, and at Big Bar (RM 51) upstream of the Trinity River confluence. Infection rates and mortalities observed were highest at the most upstream sampling location near Kinsman Creek and tended to decrease in intensity downstream. The symptoms observed included bloated abdominal cavities, pale gills, bloody vents, and pop-eye. Infected fish also exhibited lethargic behavior, poor swimming ability and increased vulnerability to handling stress. The primary cause of the disease was found to be the myxosporean parasite Ceratomyxa Shasta which is endemic to the Klamath River. Other diseases observed include another myxosporean parasite, Parvicapsula minibicornis, and the bacteria, Flavobacterium columnare. KFHAT immediately recognized that an accurate quantification of juvenile salmonid mortalities in the Klamath River would not be possible given limited resources and other problems associated with sampling small fish in a large river system, which include loss to predators and scavengers, and rapid decay rates. Therefore, only reconnaissance level surveys were conducted and the sampling protocols were developed accordingly. Although the magnitude of the fish kill could not quantified, the impacts of this kill were believed to be large in scale and the low return of grilse that was observed in the Klamath River and it's tributary streams upstream of the Trinity River in 2005 appear to substantiate this possibility.

Other recent fish kills include the June 2000 and June 1998 fish kills. The 2000 fish kill occurred in late June (CDFG 2000), in the mainstem of the Klamath River, between Coon Creek and Pecwan Creek ( 64 river miles). Estimates of the number of fish killed, primarily young-of-the-year, range from 10,000 to 300,000 individuals. Direct mortality was believed to be caused by two pathogens, ceratomyxosis (Ceratomyxa shasta) and columnaris, which occur naturally in the Klamath River. Recent findings seem to indicate that Parvicapsula minibicornis may have been a contributing factor as well. The presence of unseasonably high air temperatures during the spring and early of summer of 2000 lead to mainstem water temperatures above $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ in June. IGH Chinook tend to be resistant to C. shasta at temperatures $\leq 16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(61^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$, therefore elevated river temperatures appear to exacerbate this disease (Foott et al. 1999). At least 240,000 juvenile Chinook perished during the June 1998 fish kill, caused by columnaris and bacterial septicemia (Williamson and Foott 1998).

The Chinook salmon releases from IGH include both smolt and yearling releases. The current production goals include releases of 4,920,000 Chinook salmon smolts in May and June and $1,080,000$ yearlings in the following November. For the period of 1991 to 2005, IGH Chinook smolt releases have varied from a low of $3,300,312$ in 1993 to a high of 5,626,408 in 1996 (Figure 10). For this same period, Chinook yearling releases have varied from 407,177 in 1996 to $1,155,096$ in 1993. The average smolt and yearling releases for this period are $4,926,167$ and 980,150 , respectively. The largest run of Chinook to IGH, from 1962 to 2005, occurred in 2000 (72,474), the lowest in 1965 (678) (Figure 11). The largest in-river Chinook run (1995) occurred two years after the largest yearling release (1993). One of the recommendations of the Joint Hatchery Review Committee is for IGH to produce more yearlings and less smolts: "DFG should consider the desirability of expanding the Chinook yearling program at IGH and reducing the smolt production. Releasing fewer smolts and more yearlings would relieve some of the


Figure 10. Iron Gate Hatchery (California Department of Fish and Game) Chinook and coho salmon releases, 1991 to 2005.


Figure 11. Chinook and coho salmon runs at Iron Gate Hatchery (California Department of Fish and Game), 1962 to 2005.
hatchery-natural interactions that occur during the low-flow and poor water quality conditions present in the Klamath River during June and July. The time of the release from IGH occurs during October 15 - November 15, which coincides with flow release increases from Iron Gate Dam, increased precipitation in the Klamath Basin, and substantially improved water quality conditions in the Klamath River. Interactions between hatchery and natural Chinook would be minimized as a result of improved water quality and because most natural produced Chinook would have already left the Klamath Basin." (CDFG and NMFS 2001). At this time there are physical and funding constraints that limit the Department's ability to implement an increased rearing program for yearling Chinook salmon.

Analysis of Brood Year (BY) 1979-1984 CWTs recovered from Chinook salmon that were released as yearlings from IGH indicates that yearlings outperform fingerlings roughly 4 to 1 in both ocean fisheries and river returns (Baracco 1990). Therefore, yearling releases provide a combined benefit of lower competition/interaction with natural production and higher percent returns. Analysis of a subset (BY 1990-2000) of fall-run Chinook CWT returns to IGH yields similar results (Table 8). The most striking example of this occurred with BY 1995 where smolts returned at a rate of $0.04 \%$ and yearlings at $1.1 \%$. Yearlings were not tagged in 1998 or 1999 (BY 1997 and 1998) due to budget constraints therefore, contribution rates for these two brood year yearling releases is unknown. Brood Year 1990 through 2000 CWT returns contain the most recent data that includes all potential returns (age-classes: 2, 3, 4, and 5). In view of the fact that yearlings generally return at a substantially higher rates than smolts releases it would be reasonable to assume BY 1997 and 1998 yearlings would return at a higher rate as well, which should be factored in to the historical estimates of hatchery contributions.

Table 8. Return rates of IGH smolt and yearling CWT releases for brood years 1990 to 1996 and 1999 and 2000. There were no yearling CWT releases for Brood Years 1997 and 1998 due to budget constraints.

| Brood Year | IGH Smolt Releases |  |  | IGH Yearling Releases |  |  | Ratio ofyearling/smoltreturn rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# CWTs <br> Released | \# CWTs <br> Returned | \% Return | \# CWTs <br> Released | \# CWTs <br> Returned | \% Return |  |
| 1990 | 188,595 | 713 | 0.378\% | 95,880 | 740 | 0.77\% | 2.04 |
| 1991 | 191,200 | 96 | 0.050\% | 90,982 | 167 | 0.18\% | 3.66 |
| 1992 | 185,464 | 1,015 | 0.547\% | 74,024 | 269 | 0.36\% | 0.66 |
| 1993 | 188,562 | 40 | 0.021\% | 98,099 | 196 | 0.20\% | 9.42 |
| 1994 | 194,644 | 94 | 0.048\% | 86,564 | 453 | 0.52\% | 10.84 |
| 1995 | 191,799 | 85 | 0.044\% | 90,172 | 954 | 1.06\% | 23.87 |
| 1996 | 196,648 | 162 | 0.082\% | 95,396 | 581 | 0.61\% | 7.39 |
| 1999 | 182,131 | 686 | 0.377\% | 91,220 | 514 | 0.56\% | 1.50 |
| 2000 | 187,417 | 277 | 0.148\% | 100,702 | 707 | 0.70\% | 4.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average = | 7.13 |

## Coho Salmon

A total of 1,425 coho salmon entered IGH during the 2005 spawning season. Since 1978 the number of coho entering IGH has ranged from a low of 169 in 1999 to a high of 4,097 in 1996 and has averaged 16,282 (Figure 14).


Figure 14. Coho salmon runs at Iron Gate Hatchery (California Department of Fish and Game), 1962 to 2005.

Starting with the 1994 brood year all hatchery reared coho salmon released within the Klamath Basin have been maxillary clipped. All coho salmon released from TRH receive a right maxillary clip (RM) and all coho salmon released from IGH receive a left maxillary clip (LM). Production goals for coho salmon within the Klamath Basin call for the release of 75,000 yearlings from IGH and 500,000 yearlings from TRH. Cole M. Rivers Hatchery located at the base of Lost Creek Dam on the Rogue River releases about 200,000 coho salmon annually, which include approximately, 150,000 fish with an ad-clip only, 25,000 fish with an ad-clip and CWT, and 25,000 fish that are tagged with a CWT and are not ad-clipped. CWTs recovered from both adclipped and unmarked coho salmon at IGH have typically found that these fish are progeny from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery on the Rogue River.

The age 3 coho returns in 1997 represent the first adult returns that were marked with a LM clip prior to release from the hatchery. Therefore, survival estimates for coho salmon releases from IGH can be calculated for brood years from 1994 to 2002. A summary of coho salmon releases, adult returns, and survival of LM clipped coho to IGH is provided in Table 8. Survival of coho salmon progeny released from IGH since the 1994 brood year has ranged from $0.3 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ and has averaged $1.6 \%$.

Table 8. Survival estimates for coho salmon yearlings released from Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH). Since 1995 all IGH coho have been marked with a left maxillary clip. Numbers of grilse and adult coho salmon that returned to IGH were adjusted based on clip quality control observations by IGH staff.

| Brood <br> Year | Number of <br> Yearlings Released | Number of <br> Returning Grilse | Number of <br> Returning Adults | Total Brood <br> Year Return | Percent <br> Survival to <br> IGH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 74,250 | 322 | 1,717 | 2,039 | $2.7 \%$ |  |  |
| 1995 | 81,498 | 256 | 306 | 562 | $0.7 \%$ |  |  |
| 1996 | 79,607 | 76 | 140 | 216 | $0.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 1997 | 75,156 | 16 | 524 | 540 | $0.7 \%$ |  |  |
| 1998 | 77,147 | 576 | 2,087 | 2,664 | $3.5 \%$ |  |  |
| 1999 | 46,254 | 77 | 930 | 1,007 | $2.2 \%$ |  |  |
| 2000 | 67,933 | 93 | 639 | 732 | $1.1 \%$ |  |  |
| 2001 | 74,271 | 219 | 996 | 1,215 | $1.6 \%$ |  |  |
| 2002 | 109,374 | 237 | 1,393 | 1,630 | $1.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Average Survival Rate $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 . 6 \%}$ |

There is some uncertainty regarding the origin of unmarked coho salmon that return to IGH each year. Both naturally produced coho salmon and those of hatchery origin are potentially present within these returns. Returns of unmarked coho salmon of hatchery origin may be related to clipping error within IGH and TRH, or are unmarked coho salmon that are released from hatcheries located outside the basin. Beginning in 1997 all coho salmon that entered IGH, whose origin was either IGH or TRH, would have been maxillary clipped prior to release. There are a small number of coho that may not have been clipped as a result of clipping error. Quality control estimates for clipping operations have been conducted at IGH since 1996 (1995 BY) and have ranged from a low $90 \%$ effective ( 2002 BY ) to a high of $99.83 \%$ effective ( 2003 BY ). Due to budget constraints, the 2002 BY was clipped by non-hatchery staff, which is most likely where the abnormally high clipping error originated. As a result, the number of LM clips observed at IGH during recovery efforts slightly underestimates the actual number of hatchery origin coho present. By expanding the number of LM clips observed with a clip rate expansion multiplier, derived from the inverse of the clip rate observed during quality control, the number of unmarked IGH origin coho salmon that returned to IGH for each brood year can be estimated. Table 9 provides a summary, by brood year, of the number of LM clips observed, the expanded number, and an estimate of number of unmarked coho that are likely progeny of IGH. For brood years 1995 to 2002 the number of unmarked coho salmon that were estimated to have originated from progeny of IGH ranged from 3 to 163 fish. Similar results would likely be found for coho progeny released from TRH however, given the small number of RM clips that are observed at IGH this number would probably by negligible in most, if not all years.

Table 9. Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH) coho salmon yearling release numbers, LM clip return rates, and expanded return estimates with estimated number of unmarked coho that have returned to IGH.

| Brood <br> Year | Total <br> Yearling <br> Release | Quality <br> Control | Unmarked <br> Release | Marked <br> Release | LM Grilse <br> Observed | LM Adults <br> Observed | Total LM <br> Brood Year <br> Returns | Clip <br> Expansion <br> Multiplier | Expanded <br> Brood Year <br> Returns | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Unmarked <br> IGH coho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 81,498 | 0.9900 | 815 | 80,683 | 253 | 303 | 556 | 1.0101 | 562 | 6 |
| 1996 | 79,607 | 0.9850 | 1194 | 78,413 | 75 | 138 | 213 | 1.0152 | 216 | 3 |
| 1997 | 75,156 | 0.9540 | 3454 | 71,702 | 15 | 500 | 515 | 1.0482 | 540 | 25 |
| 1998 | 77,147 | 0.9840 | 1234 | 75,913 | 567 | 2,054 | 2621 | 1.0163 | 2,664 | 43 |
| 1999 | 46,254 | 0.9850 | 694 | 45,560 | 76 | 916 | 992 | 1.0152 | 1,007 | 15 |
| 2000 | 67,933 | 0.9700 | 2038 | 65,895 | 90 | 620 | 710 | 1.0309 | 732 | 22 |
| 2001 | 74,271 | 0.9940 | 446 | 73,825 | 218 | 990 | 1208 | 1.0060 | 1,215 | 7 |
| 2002 | 109,374 | 0.9000 | 10937 | 98,437 | 213 | 1,254 | 1467 | 1.1111 | 1,630 | 163 |

One unmarked coho with a CWT was recovered at IGH this year, this coho originated from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery operated by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife on the Rogue River. In previous years the Department has not scanned unmarked coho that entered IGH to determine the presence of CWTs. Therefore, an unknown number (most likely very small) of unmarked coho salmon that returned to IGH during those years also may have been of hatchery origin. The number of unmarked coho salmon of hatchery origin that return to IGH in any given year is likely very small (depending on the clip expansion rate) and for most years a comparison of the number of marked versus unmarked coho salmon can be used to conservatively estimate the number of naturally produced coho salmon that enter IGH annually.

Table 10 contains a summary of the number of marked and unmarked coho salmon that have returned to IGH since 1997. From 1997 to 2005 the percentage of marked coho salmon that have returned to IGH has averaged $80 \%$. The number of unmarked coho observed has ranged from a low of 15 in 1999 to a high of 589 in 2003. As previously discussed, these estimates are conservative as they do not account for clipping error or unmarked coho salmon from outside the basin that are of hatchery origin, mainly from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery. Seven of the unmarked coho observed in 2004/2005 contained a CWT which indicated they originated from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery and one of the unmarked coho observed in 2005 contained a CWT which indicated it originated from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery.

Table 10. Marked and unmarked coho salmon that entered Iron Gate Hatchery from 1997 to 2005.

| 1997/1998 |  |  |  | 1998/1999 |  |  |  | 1999/2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS |  | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total |
| Unmarked | 121 | 44 | 165 | Unmarked | 207 | 82 | 289 | Unmarked |  | 12 | 3 | 15 |
| LM | 1,717 | 253 | 1,970 | LM | 303 | 75 | 378 | LM |  | 138 | 15 | 153 |
| RM | 5 |  | 5 | RM |  |  | 0 | RM |  |  |  | 0 |
| AD | 24 | 4 | 28 | AD | 1 | 1 | 2 | AD |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| ADLM | 5 | 1 | 6 | ADLM |  |  | 0 | ADLM |  |  |  | 0 |
| ADRM |  |  | 0 | ADRM |  |  | 0 | ADRM |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total Clipped | 1,751 | 258 | 2,009 | Total Clipped | 304 | 76 | 380 | Total Clipped |  | 139 | 15 | 154 |
| Total Returns | 1,872 | 302 | 2,174 | Total Returns | 511 | 158 | 669 | Total Returns |  | 151 | 18 | 169 |
| 2000/2001 |  |  |  | 2001/2002 |  |  |  | 2002/2003 |  |  |  |  |
| FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS |  | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total |
| Unmarked | 198 | 64 | 262 | Unmarked | 217 | 29 | 246 | Unmarked |  | 216 | 9 | 225 |
| LM | 500 | 567 | 1,067 | LM | 2,054 | 76 | 2,130 | LM |  | 916 | 90 | 1,006 |
| RM | 4 |  | 4 | RM | 136 | 2 | 138 | RM |  | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| AD | 13 |  | 13 | AD | 51 |  | 51 | AD |  | 31 | 7 | 38 |
| ADLM | 8 |  | 8 | ADLM | 7 |  | 7 | ADLM |  | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| ADRM |  |  | 0 | ADRM | 1 |  | 1 | ADRM |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total Clipped | 525 | 567 | 1,092 | Total Clipped | 2,249 | 78 | 2,327 | Total Clipped |  | 977 | 99 | 1,076 |
| Total Returns | 723 | 631 | 1,354 | Total Returns | 2,466 | 107 | 2,573 | Total Returns |  | 1,193 | 108 | 1,301 |
| 2003/2004 |  |  |  | 2004/2005 |  |  |  | 2005/2006 |  |  |  |  |
| FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS |  | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total |
| Unmarked | 575 | 14 | 589 | Unmarked | 401 | 25 | 426 | Unmarked |  | 138 | 2 | 140 |
| LM | 620 | 218 | 838 | LM | 989 | 213 | 1,202 | LM |  | 1,254 | 28 | 1,282 |
| RM | 66 | 3 | 69 | RM | 31 | 1 | 32 | RM |  | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| AD | 52 | 6 | 58 | AD | 69 | 0 | 69 | AD |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| ADLM | 2 | 0 | 2 | ADLM | 0 | 0 | 0 | ADLM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADRM | 2 | 0 | 2 | ADRM | 1 | 0 | 1 | ADRM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | LM/RM | 2 | 0 | 2 | LM/RM |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | LM/RM/AD | 2 | 0 | 2 | LM/RM/AD |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Clipped | 742 | 227 | 969 | Total Clipped | 1,094 | 214 | 1,308 | Total Clipped |  | 1,257 | 28 | 1,285 |
| Total Returns | 1,317 | 241 | 1,558 | Total Returns | 1,495 | 239 | 1,734 | Total Returns |  | 1,395 | 30 | 1,425 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Proportion of clipped to unclipped coho |  |  |  |  |
| 7 of the unmarked coho observed in 2004 contained a CWT which indicated they originated from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Season | Clipped | Total | \% Clipped |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1997/1998 | 2,009 | 2,174 | 92.4\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1998/1999 | 380 | 669 | 56.8\% |  |
| 1 of the unmarked coho observed in 2005 contained a CWT which indicated it originated from Cole M. Rivers Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1999/2000 | 154 | 169 | 91.1\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000/2001 | 1,092 | 1,354 | 80.6\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2001/2002 | 2,327 | 2,573 | 90.4\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2002/2003 | 1,076 | 1,301 | 82.7\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2003/2004 | 969 | 1,558 | 62.2\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004/2005 | 1,308 | 1,734 | 75.4\% |  |
| LM $=$ Iron Gate Hatchery (left maxillary clip) A |  |  |  |  | ADLM * |  |  | 2005/2006 | 1,285 | 1,425 | 90.2\% |  |
| RM = Trinity River Hatchery (right maxillary clip) ADRM * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{AD}=$ Cole M. Rivers Hatchery (adipose clip) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | 1,178 | 1,440 | 80.2\% |  |
| *The adipose left maxillary and adipose right maxillary clipped coho are either Cole M. Rivers Hatchery fish without maxillaries due to injury, improperly marked coho, or IGH coho that birds may have dropped into the steelhead ponds prior to steelhead clipping (steelhead are marked with an adipose left maxillary or right maxillary clip, depending on the year). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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