# **CULTURAL RESOURCES**

## 4.5.1 Introduction

Cultural resources include prehistoric archaeological resources, historic-era archaeological resources, historic architectural resources, as well as paleontological resources (i.e., fossils). The Initial Study found that the Proposed Program would have no significant impacts to historic architectural resources or paleontological resources (see Appendix B). As such, this section focuses solely on the potential impacts of suction dredge mining on historical resources, including shipwrecks and Traditional Cultural Properties, prehistoric and historic-era archaeological resources, and human remains.

# 4.5.2 Regulatory Setting

The State of California implements the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, through its statewide comprehensive cultural resource surveys and preservation programs. The California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) is an office of the California Department of Parks and Recreation, and implements the policies of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) on a statewide level. The OHP also maintains the California Historic Resources Inventory. The State Historic Preservation Officer is an appointed official who implements historic preservation programs within the state's jurisdictions.

#### California Environmental Quality Act

CEQA, as codified in the California Public Resources Code (PRC) section 21000 et seq., is the principal statute governing the environmental review of projects in the state. CEQA requires lead agencies to determine if a proposed project would have a significant effect on historical resources, including archaeological resources. The CEQA Guidelines define a historical resource as: (1) a resource listed in or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR); (2) a resource included in a local register of historical resources, as defined in PRC Section 5020.1(k) or identified as significant in a historical resource survey meeting the requirements of PRC section 5024.1(g); or (3) any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript that a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California, provided the lead agency's determination is supported by substantial evidence in light of the whole record.

If a lead agency determines that an archaeological site is a historical resource, the provisions of PRC section 21084.1 and CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5 would apply. If an archaeological site does not meet the CEQA Guidelines criteria for a historical resource, then the site may meet the threshold of PRC section 21083 regarding unique archaeological resources. A unique archaeological resource is "an archaeological artifact, object, or site

about which it can be clearly demonstrated that, without merely adding to the current body 1 2 of knowledge, there is a high probability that it meets any of the following criteria: 3 Contains information needed to answer important scientific research questions 4 and that there is a demonstrable public interest in that information. 5 Has a special and particular quality such as being the oldest of its type or the best available example of its type. 6 7 Is directly associated with a scientifically recognized important prehistoric or 8 historic event or person (PRC § 21083.2 [g])." 9 The CEQA Guidelines note that if a resource is neither a unique archaeological resource nor 10 a historical resource, the effects of the project on that resource shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines S§ 15064[c][4]). 11 California Public Resources Code 12 13 Several sections of the California Public Resources Code (PRC) protect paleontological resources. Section 5097.5 prohibits "knowing and willful" excavation, removal, destruction, 14 15 injury, and defacement of any paleontological feature on public lands (lands under state, 16 county, city, district, or public authority jurisdiction, or the jurisdiction of a public 17 corporation), except where the agency with jurisdiction has granted permission. 18 Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code protects human remains by prohibiting the 19 disinterring, disturbing, or removing human remains from any location other than a 20 dedicated cemetery. Section 5097.98 of the PRC (and reiterated in CEQA Section 15064.59 21 [e]) also states that in the event of the accidental discovery or recognition of any human remains in any location other than a dedicated cemetery, the following steps should be 22 23 taken: 24 (1) There shall be no further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area reasonably 25 suspected to overlie adjacent human remains until: 26 (A) The coroner of the county in which the remains are discovered must be contacted to 27 determine that no investigation of the cause of death is required, and 28 (B) If the coroner determines the remains to be Native American: 29 1. The coroner shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission within 30 24 hours. 31 The Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the person or persons 2. 32 it believes to be the most likely descended from the deceased Native American.

3.

33

34

35

36

The most likely descendent may make recommendations to the landowner or

the person responsible for the excavation work, for means of treating or

disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and any associated

grave goods as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98, or

1	(2) Where the following conditions occur, the landowner or his authorized representative shall
2	rebury the Native American human remains and associated grave goods with appropriate
3	dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.
4	(A) The Native American Heritage Commission is unable to identify a most likely descendent
5	or the most likely descendent failed to make a recommendation within 48 hours after
6	being notified by the commission.
7	(B) The descendant identified fails to make a recommendation; or
8	(C) The landowner or his authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the
9	descendant, and the mediation by the Native American Heritage Commission fails to
10	provide measures acceptable to the landowner.
11	California Register of Historical Resources
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) is "an authoritative listing and guide to be used by state and local agencies, private groups, and citizens in identifying the existing historical resources of the state and to indicate which resources deserve to be protected, to the extent prudent and feasible, from substantial adverse change" (PRC § 5024.1[a]). The criteria for eligibility to the CRHR are based on National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) criteria (PRC § 5024.1[b]). Certain resources are determined by the statute to be automatically included in the CRHR, including California properties listed in or formally determined eligible for listing in the NRHP.
20 21 22	To be eligible for listing in the CRHR, a prehistoric or historic-era resource must be significant at the local, state, and/or federal level under one or more of the following criteria:
23	<ul> <li>Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad</li></ul>
24	patterns of California's history and cultural heritage;
25	<ul><li>Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past;</li></ul>
26	<ul> <li>Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of</li></ul>
27	construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or
28	possesses high artistic values; or,
29	<ul> <li>Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or</li></ul>
30	history (CEQA §15064.5 [a][3]).
31 32	For a resource to be eligible for the CRHR, it must also retain enough integrity to be recognizable as a historical resource and to convey its significance. A resource that does not

CRHR.

32

33 34 retain sufficient integrity to meet the NRHP criteria may still be eligible for listing in the

# 4.5.3 Environmental Setting

## Prehistoric Setting

#### Introduction

The following prehistoric setting of California is approached by describing archaeological data, ethnographic/linguistic studies, and modern traditions which illustrate the settlement patterns, lifeways, languages, cultures, and beliefs of California's Native peoples. Each of these topical areas is described briefly below, followed by a discussion of prehistoric property types that are commonly found along California's waterways.

#### Archaeological Data

Current archaeological evidence indicates that human occupation in California began at least 15,000 years ago; earlier occupation dates have been debated though not firmly established (Erlandson et al., 2007:62). Perceptions of human colonization of the Americas have shifted in the past 20 years. The theory of terrestrial migration, where big-game hunters crossed over the ice bridge from northeastern Asia and traveled down the ice-free corridor into the central plains, has recently been remodeled. Archaeologists now understand that coastal migrations as well as multiple periods of migration should be included in a viable discussion about California's first human settlement (Erlandson et al., 2007).

Categorizing prehistoric human occupation into broad environmental regions and cultural stages allows researchers to describe a wide number of archaeological sites with similar cultural patterns and components in a particular location, during a given period of time, thereby creating a regional chronology. Numerous and varying cultural chronologies have been developed for California's regions (generally referred to as the Northwest, Northeast, San Francisco Bay Area, Central Valley, Sierra Nevada, Central Coast, Northern Bight, Southern Bight, Mojave Desert, and Colorado Desert); however, interregional diversity cannot be simplified. The variation of environments in California has created differences in both the cultural behavior of the prehistoric inhabitants as well as in the approach of archaeological methods and research, thereby creating a complex and ever expanding understanding of California prehistory (Moratto and Chartkoff, 2007).

While the names and dates of California's prehistoric periods vary by region, time has generally been divided into broad periods that reflect major changes in material culture and settlement patterns (i.e. the Paleoindian Period, the Early Period, the Middle Period, and the Late Period). Economic and technological types, socio-politics, trade networks, population density, and variations of artifact types further delineate cultural periods.

The Paleoindian Period (ca. 15,000 to 8000 B.C.) was characterized by big-game hunters occupying broad geographic areas. During the Early Period (ca. 8000 to 500 B.C.) geographic mobility continued and is characterized by the millingslab and handstone as well as large wide-stemmed and leaf-shaped projectile points. Cut shell beads and the mortar and pestle are first documented in burials during this period, indicating the beginnings of a shift to more sedentary ways. During the Middle Period (ca. 500 B.C. to A.D. 1200) geographic mobility may have continued, although groups began to establish longer-term base camps in localities from which a more diverse range of resources could be

exploited. The occurrence of sites in a wider range of environments suggests that the economic base was more diverse and mobility was slowly replaced by the development of small villages. During the Late Period (ca. A.D. 1200 to 1550), social complexity developed toward lifeways of large, central villages with resident political leaders and specialized activity sites. Artifacts associated with the Late Period include the bow and arrow, small corner-notched points, and a diversity of beads and ornaments.

## **Ethnographies**

Beginning in the early 16th century, but primarily during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, Native American lifeways and languages (i.e., ethnographic data) were documented throughout California. Whether by professional ethnographers/archaeologists, field personnel from government agencies such as the Bureau of Indian Affairs, soldiers, merchants, settlers, or travelers, ethnographic accounts partly illuminate the traditions, beliefs, and cultures of Native American groups during specific points in time. Synthesized narratives such as the *Handbook of North American Indians, California: Volume 8* (Heizer, 1978) categorize Native traditions and practices; however, the complexity of regional diversity should not be overlooked.

There are at least six primary language families in California, with perhaps over 300 different dialects of approximately 100 languages. The "geolingistic mosaic of the ethnographic period, with a startling diversity of languages and language families" indicates numerous major population shifts and migrations (Golla, 2007:71). Ethnographers have also quantified at least 60 greater Indian cultures with as many as 250 specific tribes.

Similarities between California's native populations crossed geographic, climatic, and cultural boundaries. Acorns, where available, were a staple throughout California. Deer, elk, small mammals, birds, and fish were relied upon. Resources were used to their fullest extent, with little to no waste product. Ethnographically-documented communities were generally focused on a central tribe with smaller satellite tribelets, however, this varied from region to region. Shamanism and ceremonialism played important roles in the lives of most California Native Americans. Basketry was well-practiced, although some southeastern tribes manufactured pottery. Hunting, trapping, and fishing technologies were shared across tribal and cultural boundaries, yet varied depending on environmental conditions.

Native American fishing techniques along inland waterways included the construction of fish weirs or dams across rivers to trap anadromous fish during upstream migration. Weirs were constructed of wood poles, logs, and small stakes to completely obstruct fish passage up a waterway. While some fish weirs were built and used by small groups, mainly individual families, communal constructions were also common (Gould, 1975). Cooperation to construct a communal fish weir included organized labor teams from many surrounding villages who would collect logs for the construction of the dam, catch fish, gather firewood, and process the catch. The dam would be in place for approximately ten days before the group would tear it down (Chartkoff, 2004). Other methods of fishing included net traps, harpoons, spears, platforms, and clubs. Tule balsa canoes and dugout canoes were also used in fishing (Wilson and Towne, 1978). Other important riverine subsistence species included steelhead, candlefish, lamprey, eel, and trout among others.

 Trade was well developed in California. Shell beads as currency began as early as the later part of the Middle Period. Food, ornaments, household items, clothing, industrial materials such as obsidian, finished items including canoes, pottery, and basketry, and tobacco were used for trade items. Trade networks were well established, and although it appears that there were not professional traders, central villages served as focal points for trading (Heizer, 1978).

While regional differences are significant among Native American beliefs, there is a common identity and relationship with the environment. California Native peoples believe that nature is interrelated and immersed with sacred power. Creation myths are told in most California tribes, often explaining the origins of the earth, human existence, and individual cultural attributes. Stories often pointed morality or defined the establishment of elements. Modern Native American beliefs vary, but are rooted in their ancestral land and traditions.

#### Modern Native Americans

The 2000 U.S. Census recorded 220,657 American Indians in California, for those designating only one race, excluding Alaska Natives and Native Hawaiians. Of that number, some come from tribes outside the modern boundaries of California. Currently there are 107 federally-recognized Tribes in California and approximately 40 groups seeking to gain recognition. While the devastation brought about by the introduction of disease and displacement following European contact was overwhelming, Native American individuals and communities have continued to protect their cultural heritage and identity and maintain their languages and traditions.

### Prehistoric Property-Types along California Waterways

Water—whether springs, creeks, rivers, lakes, bays, or the ocean—is one of the most important resources necessary for human use and settlement. Water, and access to water, gives sustenance, provides corridors for travel and trade, and establishes traditional boundaries. Both archaeological sites and Traditional Cultural Properties are located along waterways throughout California. Each of these types of properties is described below.

#### Archaeological Sites

Prehistoric archaeological sites generally found along California's waterways include permanent or semi-permanent habitation sites, temporary camps or food processing localities, and isolated artifacts. Archaeological materials that could be found at sites along waterways include obsidian and chert flaked-stone tools (e.g., projectile points, knives, scrapers) or tool making debris; culturally darkened soil ("midden") containing heat-affected rocks, artifacts, or shellfish remains; stone milling equipment (e.g., mortars, pestles, handstones, or milling slabs); and battered stone tools, such as hammerstones and pitted stones. Native American human remains can also be found at prehistoric archaeological sites. Although it is less likely that these types of resources are located within the riverbed, there is a high potential that prehistoric resources are located on the adjacent riverbanks and surrounding vicinity (Foster, Dillon, and Sandelin, 2005).

While the construction of fish weirs and platforms is well documented ethnographically and traditionally, no archaeological evidence has been found in California related to these structures. However, evidence of semi-permanent wood-stake fish weirs have been identified on the Oregon Coast along tidal flats (Tveskov and Erlandson, 2003).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44

## Traditional Cultural Properties

Places of importance to Native Americans can be considered historical resources as "areas" or "places" determined to be significant in the "social" and "cultural annals of California" (CEQA § 15064.5[a][3]). Defined as Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) in the federal nomenclature, a TCP is generally significant because of its association with the "cultural practices or beliefs of a living community that (a) are rooted in that community's history, and (b) are important in maintaining the continuing cultural identity of the community" (Parker, et. al., 1998). According to NRHP Bulletin 38, there are two integrity issues that should be considered in determining the eligibility of a TCP: (1) integrity of relationship and (2) integrity of condition. Assessing integrity of relationship includes developing "some understanding about how the group that holds the beliefs or carries out the practices is likely to view the property" (Parker, et. al., 1998). The condition of the TCP is determined by whether the property maintains that relationship. One defined TCP is a "Riverscape," or "a river and its environs, including their natural and cultural resources, wildlife, and domestic animals, associated with a historic event, activity, or person or exhibiting other cultural or aesthetic values" (King. 2004). Riverscape analysis requires that the entire river system be holistically considered for the cultural values that it conveys for Native peoples, and includes contributing elements such as spatial organization, topography, vegetation, wildlife (including fish), water features, and sites, structures, and objects (Gates, 2003).

Salmon is not only a source of subsistence for Native peoples, but also has ceremonial value in places where this resource is available. Most tribes consider the first salmon catch of the season an important ceremonial occasion; in some cases a shaman is required to spear the first fish that is then eaten communally. Only then can the salmon fishing season begin (Riddell, 1978:374). Other annual traditions include honoring the location where salmon was created (Bright, 1978:188). Ceremonial sites are potential Traditional Cultural Properties.

## **Historic Setting**

## Spanish Discovery

The earliest European presence in California came with the Spanish discovery and exploration of the California coast in the mid-sixteenth century. Alta California had been claimed for Spain in 1542 by the Portuguese Juan Cabrillo, who sailed up the Pacific Coast as far as Fort Ross. Due to the prosperity of its more southern colonies and the great distances required to travel so far north, Spain largely ceased overland and maritime exploration of Alta California (i.e., the area encompassing modern-day California) until the eighteenth century. Spain had originally focused its energy and attention on its southern colonies in New Spain; however, in the eighteenth century the increased presence of Russian settlements along the northwest coast and the British acquisition of Canada in 1763 encouraged Spain to explore and occupy Alta California in order to prevent Russian and British encroachment from the north.

# **Mission Period**

European expansion into Alta California began when Spanish Mexico instigated the establishment of a string of Franciscan missions throughout the region. The California mission system had two goals: to Christianize and civilize the native population of California and to gain political and social control of the area for the Spanish government in Mexico.

Mission San Diego de Alcalá, the first of 21 California missions, was founded in July 1769. Over the next 50 years the mission system was extended further north. Alongside the missions came a network of military establishments or *presidios* and civilian settlements or *pueblos*. Exploration of the California hinterland focused predominantly on the identification of rancho sites to support the mission network as well as the recapture of runaway Natives.

#### **Mexican Ranchos**

Although the original Spanish plan for the mission system included secularization, the process did not begin until Mexican independence from Spain. Fueled by reports of Franciscans padres degrading the Native peoples and failing to provide food and services to the military, the Mexican government began secularization in mid-1834. During the process, the mission lands were to be divided among the Native American neophytes, although rarely did this actually happen. More often the mission lands were granted to high-ranking Mexican Californian soldiers, politicians, and socialites.

Mexican Californians, or *Californios*, were well known for their hospitality and easygoing lifeways. Early accounts describe ranchos with large households, operated by a large Native American labor force. Most ranchos were intensively involved in the hide-and-tallow trade, supporting huge herds of cattle on their vast landholdings. The cattle were driven to *matanzas*, or slaughter sites, that were usually as near to water transportation as possible for easy transport onto foreign trade vessels. The relationship between the *Californios* and the foreign ships had been active since the early 1820s. The ships imported all manner of trade goods, since little refined manufacturing occurred in Mexican California.

Beginning in the 1830s, Americans began to migrate to California. Many became Mexican citizens, married into prominent *Californio* families, and were granted lands from the governor. These first immigrants became acculturated into Mexican society and politics, while many were prominent businessmen and landowners.

#### Gold Rush

The discovery of gold in California in 1848 instigated one of the largest migrations in history. Thousands came by land and sea in search of their fortunes. Most came to dig for the gold, but many came with the foresight that miners needed supplies. Earlier residents of California, including many *Californios* and previous Euroamerican immigrants, capitalized on the new immigrant population. Many *Californios* also struggled to hold on to their vast landholdings. Although the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo promised that property belonging to the Mexicans be "inviolably respected," the new Americans generally believed that the lands in California should be public property as a privilege of military victory. The vague land-grant maps, or *diseños*, that marked the boundaries of each rancho territory were protested and ignored by the land-hungry immigrants. "Squatters" settled on land officially owned by Mexicans and violence often erupted. Many *Californios* lost substantial amounts of land, despite legal efforts to hold on to it. Although many claims were confirmed, the Mexican landowners were often bankrupt by the end of the long and costly proceedings.

## Settlers

Mining camps and towns were established almost immediately throughout the California's gold-bearing regions, which are generally located along the western foothills of the Sierra Nevada mountain range and along the Klamath and Trinity river basins. At the outset, the mining population was made up almost exclusively of single men. But miners needed food and supplies, and people who could provide those goods followed. Ultimately women and children also relocated to mining communities. The influx also brought an extreme diversity of cultures and nationalities. California gold mining was very successful; in 1852 California produced more than \$81,000,000 worth of gold—60 percent of the world production for that year (Clark, 1957:223). Almost immediately after the discovery of gold, investors began talking about the construction of a transcontinental railroad that would connect eastern goods, money, and services to the new western enterprises. Prior to construction of the railroad however, the extensive inland waterway network of California was crucial for travel to the interior.

#### **Suction Dredge Mining**

Successful dredge mining operations began in California in the late 1890s (Caltrans, 2008). Dredging equipment included buckets or draglines attached to floating boats or barges that would scoop up gold-bearing gravel for processing. Dredging operations were generally located in rivers at lower elevations and created expansive tailing piles along the water banks. Large-scale dredge mining reached a peak during the 1930s. In the 1950s small-scale suction-dredge equipment was developed for the individual miner. The first machines were hand-constructed; however, manufactured suction dredges were soon available. Suction-dredge mining, for both recreational and financial opportunities, expanded in areas of California wherever placer gold deposits can be found.

Many other minerals were mined in California; however, gold deposits dominated the initial rush and continue to be a productive enterprise. Two types of gold deposits (placer and lode) involve four basic types of extraction (placer, hydraulic, underground, and dredging). All mining activities have left their mark on the landscape, including river diversions, waste rock and tailing piles, dredge tailings, cut banks, prospect pits, shafts, adits, and water conveyance systems such as dams, reservoirs, ditches, and flumes.

#### Historic-Era Property Types along California Waterways

#### **Submerged Vessels**

Potential historic-era resources that are located within California's river system are submerged vessels. The California State Lands Commission maintains a Shipwreck Database that currently identifies approximately 1,550 recorded shipwrecks in California, of which about 70 are recorded in California's river system (California State Lands Commission, 2009). The vast majority of these resources are wood-hulled, Gold Rush-era vessels submerged within the Sacramento, American, Feather, Yuba, and San Joaquin rivers in Central California. The title to all abandoned shipwrecks is under the jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission. Any submerged vessel remaining in state waters for more than 50 years is considered a potentially significant historical resource.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

2930

31

32

33

34

35

36

# Mining Sites and Features

Other historic-era resources that might be present in California's waterways are mining sites and features that are submerged within or adjacent to the state's river system. Property types include mining remains such as tailing piles and river diversions; water conveyance features such as ditches, flumes, and dams; and community remains including foundations, dugouts, and refuse deposits located along riverbanks and in the surrounding vicinity (Caltrans, 2008). Similar to submerged vessels, many of these other Gold Rush-era resources are concentrated within California's Sierra Nevada foothills, but may exist anywhere within the state's waterways.

# Modern Development

California's waterways are a patchwork of both highly altered riverine systems and wild and scenic drainages that are undisturbed by modern development. The construction of dams, levees, canals, and reservoirs during modern times, whether for power generation, irrigation, flood control or transportation, have greatly altered the state's waterways, and with it, much of the surface evidence associated with the types of prehistoric and historicera sites described above. Natural processes such as flooding and erosion/deposition have also altered or destroyed many of the cultural resources found along the state's waterways. Regardless of these natural and human-made disturbances, the state's waterways remain abundant with both recorded and unrecorded cultural resources, all of which provide a detailed record of California's rich cultural heritage.

# 4.5.4 Impact Analysis

The methodology described below accounts for activities conducted in accordance with the proposed regulations contained in Chapter 2. Additional or more extensive impacts related to cultural resources may result for those suction dredge activities requiring notification under Fish and Game Code section 1602. Notification is required for the following activities:

- Use of gas or electric powered winches for the movement of instream boulders or wood to facilitate suction dredge activities;
- Temporary or permanent flow diversions, impoundments, or dams constructed for the purposes of facilitating suction dredge activities;
- Suction dredging within lakes; and
- Use of a dredge with an intake nozzle greater than 4 inches in diameter.

A general description of how such activities requiring Fish and Game Code section 1602 notification would deviate from the impact findings are described at the end of the impact section below.

## Findings of 1994 Environmental Impact Report

The 1994 EIR did not make findings for this environmental resource area.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

3334

35

36 37

# Criteria for Determining Significance

For the purposes of this analysis, the Proposed Program would result in a significant impact if it would cause:

- A substantial adverse change, when considered statewide, in the significance of historical resources that are either listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, the California Register of Historical Resources, or a local register of historic resources;
- A substantial adverse change, when considered statewide, in the significance of unique archaeological resources; or
- Disturbance of any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.

#### Historical Resources

CEQA Guidelines section 15064.5 requires the lead agency to consider the effects of a project on historical resources. A historical resource is defined as any building, structure, site, or object listed in or determined to be eligible for listing in the CRHR, or determined by a lead agency to be significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, or cultural annals of California. Types of historical resources potentially located in areas where suction dredge mining is conducted includes submerged vessels, TCPs, and historic-era mining sites and features. Archaeological resources that are potentially historical resources according to section 15064.5 are addressed in *Unique Archaeological Resources* below.

#### Archaeological Resources

The effects of a project on archaeological resources, both as historical resources according to section 15064.5, as well as unique archaeological resources as defined in section 21083.2 (g) must also be considered.

#### **Human Remains**

Human remains, including those buried outside formal cemeteries, are protected under a number of state laws including Public Resources Code section 5097.98 and Health and Safety Code section 7050.5.

# 4.5.5 Environmental Impacts

Impact CUL-1: Substantial Adverse Changes, When Considered Statewide, in the Significance of Historical Resources (Significant and Unavoidable)

#### Historical Resources

A significant impact could occur if suction dredge mining would cause a substantial adverse change, when considered statewide, in the significance of historical resources that are either listed or eligible for listing on the NRHP, the CRHR, or a local register of historic resources. Substantial adverse change is defined as the demolition, relocation, or alteration

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

of a resource to the extent that the character defining features which convey its significance would be lost.

Many cultural resources are known to exist in the rivers where suction dredge mining could occur. Some cultural resources may meet the criteria of significance defined in CEOA section 15064.5, and would be considered historical resources for CEQA purposes, while others may not meet the criteria, and therefore would not be considered historical resources under CEOA. The significance of cultural resources is derived from one or more factors including: associations with important historical events or persons; possession of high artistic value or distinctive characteristics; and the potential to yield important information. To be considered significant, a resource must also retain sufficient integrity, including integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association. Impacts to nonsignificant resources would not be a significant impact to historical resources under CEOA section 15064.5. However, suction dredge mining does have the potential to affect significant resources. Whether this impact would have a substantial adverse change in the significance of a resource when considered statewide is a function of the likelihood of disturbance to these resources and their individual and/or collective significance. It is unknown whether suction dredge mining would affect significant historical resources to a level that would be considered significant statewide. As described in Chapter 2, CDFG will distribute an informational packet to each suction dredge permit holder to provide "Best Management Practices" guidance. This information packet will include measures regarding the identification and avoidance of historic and cultural resources if they are encountered during dredging activities. However, such adverse impacts cannot be entirely discounted, even with the inclusion of avoidance measures contained within the "Best Management Practices" information packet. For this reason, impacts to historical resources are considered potentially significant.

For example, the numerous submerged vessels within California's river system might be located within areas of suction dredge mining. Submerged historic-era vessels, both recorded and unrecorded, which have the potential to yield information important to statewide history, would be considered historical resources for CEQA purposes. While many of these resources are concentrated within the rivers and tributaries of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, they may exist anywhere within the state's waterways. Damage to, or destruction of, historically-significant submerged vessels would be a potentially significant impact. Although the potential damage to or destruction of such resources resulting from dredge mining operations is unknown and may be somewhat reduced by the information contained in the "Best Management Practices" packet, it cannot be entirely discounted. As both recorded and unrecorded submerged vessels may exist in locations where suction dredge mining may occur, potential damage to such resources is considered a significant impact.

Other potential historical resources that might be present in areas of suction dredge mining are historic-era mining sites and features that are submerged within or adjacent to waterways. Property types might include mining remains such as tailing piles and river diversions; water conveyance features such as ditches, flumes, and dams; and community remains including foundations, dugouts, and refuse deposits located along riverbanks and in the surrounding vicinity (Caltrans, 2008). Mining-related cultural resources are numerous in locations where modern suction dredge mining is conducted. Many of these Gold Rush-era resources are concentrated within California's Sierra Nevada foothills, or

'Gold Country,' but may exist anywhere within the state's waterways. A previous study conducted on the effects of suction dredge mining on cultural resources concluded that the activity has the potential to affect historic-era resources along the creek banks during access and camping activities (USFS, 2006).

While the potential impacts to specific historical resources may be reduced if certain river reaches are closed to suction dredge mining, the potential impact to historical resources that continue to be part of the Program Area would not diminish. And though the guidance provided in the "Best Management Practices" packets could reduce these effects, the potential for Program activities to result in a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource due to possible demolition, relocation, or alteration would remain. For these reasons, impacts to historical resources resulting from suction dredge mining activities are considered potentially significant.

Mitigation measures designed to reduce impacts to a less-than-significant level are available for historical resources that may be affected by a project. These mitigation measure include archival research at the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) or the State Lands Commission, field surveys by qualified archaeologists and/or architectural historians, to determine the location of recorded resources prior to dredging activities, and data recovery and other documentation efforts designed to collect or record the significant data associated with the resources. Despite the information contained in the "Best Management Practices" packets to avoid such adverse effects, CDFG does not have the jurisdictional authority to mitigate impacts to historical resources. Therefore impacts to historical resources are considered significant and unavoidable.

#### Traditional Cultural Properties

TCPs are known to exist in and around waterways where suction dredge mining could occur. The natural settings associated with "Riverscapes" are a recognized type of TCP (King 2004). Riverscape analysis requires that the entire river system be holistically considered for the cultural values that it conveys for Native peoples, and includes contributing elements such as spatial organization, topography, vegetation, wildlife (including fish), water features, and sites, structures, and objects (Gates, 2003).

Suction dredging activities could cause a substantial adverse change to TCPs through the introduction of increased human activity around the state's waterways. Implications of suction dredge mining could include elevated noise levels, intrusion by non-local or non-tribal persons, and the potential alteration of the physical environment associated with TCPs. Some of the TCPs that might be subject to impacts from suction dredge mining may meet the criteria of significance, as defined in CEQA section 15064.5, and would be considered historical resources for CEQA purposes. Other TCPs may not meet the criteria of significance as defined in CEQA section 15064.5, and would not be considered historical resources for CEQA purposes. Because TCPs are distinctive depending on location, setting, context, and association, substantial adverse changes to even one TCP may be considered a significant impact even in the statewide context of the Program. The informational packet distributed to each suction dredge permit holder will include guidelines to minimize and avoid adverse affects to TCPs. However, such guidance would only be advisory and would therefore not reduce adverse effects to a less-than-significant level.

 Information about TCPs is generally gathered through the processes of consultation with Native American groups and local communities and ethnographic study. Due to the broad statewide nature of the Program, consultation and study were not feasible within the context of this SEIR. Without consultation and study, it is not possible to determine whether TCPs that qualify as historical resources under CEQA will also be subject to impacts from suction dredge mining activities. Conversely, without consultation and analysis of all locations where suction dredge mining occurs, it is not possible to determine the specific locations of all CEQA-significant TCPs in a statewide context. Furthermore, some TCPs would be required to be kept confidential, which would make regulation of those sites difficult. Mitigation measures, including documentation and interpretation, designed to reduce impacts to a less-than-significant level are available for significant TCPs that may be affected by a project. However, as CDFG does not have the jurisdictional authority to mitigate impacts to historical resources, impacts to TCPs are therefore considered significant and unavoidable.

# Impact CUL-2: Substantial Adverse Changes, When Considered Statewide, in the Significance of Unique Archaeological Resources (Significant and Unavoidable)

Archaeological resources are usually eligible to be listed in the CRHR as historical resources under criterion d: a resource that has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history. In order to evaluate an archaeological site under criterion d, data requirements, research questions, and the historic context of that property must be identified and the integrity of the property must be addressed. If an archaeological resource does not qualify as a historical resource under CEQA, then the resource must be evaluated to determine whether it meets the criteria to qualify as a unique archaeological resource. Unique archaeological resources can include prehistoric and historic-era archaeological sites, individual artifacts, or objects. To be considered a unique archaeological resource, the resource must: (1) contain important scientific information of interest to the public; (2) retain a special quality, such as being the oldest or best example of its type and/or; (3) be associated with an important event of person. Alteration or destruction of a unique archaeological resource would be a significant impact.

Riverine settings are considered highly sensitive for the existence of significant archaeological resources. Prehistoric archaeological sites generally found along riverways include permanent or semi-permanent habitation sites, temporary camps or food processing localities, and isolated artifacts. Although it is less likely that these types of resources are located within the riverbed and the immediate area of impact of suction dredge mining, there is a high potential that prehistoric resources are located on the adjacent riverbanks and surrounding vicinity (Meyer and Rosenthal, 2008).

Suction dredge mining activities could cause a substantial adverse change to a unique archaeological resource through riverbed suctioning and screening activities that could disturb or destroy cultural materials which may be located just below the surface of the riverbed or along its banks. Impacts to unique archaeological resources resulting from suction dredge mining could also occur through increased human activity in the vicinity of the state's waterways. A significant impact could occur if suction dredge mining activities would cause a substantial adverse change, when considered statewide, in the significance of unique archaeological resource. Whether this impact would have a substantial adverse change in the significance of a unique archaeological resource when considered statewide is

a function of the likelihood of disturbance to such a resource and its individual and/or collective significance. It is unknown whether suction dredge mining would affect unique archaeological resources to a level that would be considered significant statewide. However, such adverse impacts cannot be entirely discounted even with the inclusion of avoidance measures contained within the "Best Management Practices" information packet. For this reason, impacts to unique archaeological resources are considered potentially significant.

Mitigation measures designed to reduce impacts to a less-than-significant level are available for unique archaeological resources that may be affected by a project. These mitigation measures include archival research at the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) or the State Lands Commission, field surveys by qualified archaeologists and/or architectural historians, to determine the location of recorded resources prior to dredging activities, and data recovery and other documentation efforts designed to collect or record the significant data associated with the resources. Despite the advisory information contained in the "Best Management Practices" packets to avoid such adverse effects, CDFG does not have the jurisdictional authority to adopt or enforce mitigation for impacts to unique archaeological resources. Therefore, impacts to such resources are considered significant and unavoidable.

## Impact CUL-3: Disturbance of Human Remains (Less than Significant)

A significant impact could occur if suction dredge mining would disturb, mutilate or remove human remains, including those which may be interred outside of a formal cemetery.

The potential for human remains to be located within or adjacent to areas of suction dredge mining activity is relatively low, but cannot be entirely discounted. Archaeological sites containing human remains may be located in areas subject to suction dredge mining. The suctioning and sorting activities of suction dredge mining could unearth, expose, disturb, and remove buried human remains, which would be considered a significant impact.

Potential impacts to human remains are significant; however California state law requires specific steps when human remains are discovered accidentally (section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code and section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code). The specific steps to be taken in the event of discovery of human remains are described in the Regulatory Setting section above, and will be included in the information packet distributed to each suction dredge permit holder. Compliance with State law would ensure impacts are less than significant.

## Activities Requiring Fish and Game Code Section 1602 Notification

Activities requiring notification under Fish and Game Code section 1602 are likely to result in additional site disturbances, increasing the potential to cause adverse changes in the significance of archeological and/or historic resources. Larger nozzle sizes and power winching increase the amount of substrate movement capability, while dredging in lakes would result in potential for disturbances in locations that would not otherwise be subject to dredging under the Proposed Program. Furthermore, dredging in lakes or diverting flows could increase physical intrusions on, or alterations to, TCPs. Though impacts on historical and significant archeological resources (Impacts CUL-1 and CUL-2) have been found to be significant and unavoidable, activities requiring notification under Fish and

4

5

6

Game Code section 1602 may contribute to additional adverse effects; the extent of which would need to be evaluated in a CEQA analysis.

The potential for additional site disturbance also increase the potential to encounter or disturb human remains. Though activities requiring notification under Fish and Game Code section 1602 may increase the potential for accidental discovery, compliance with State laws would ensure that impacts on this resource would remain less than significant.