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## Foreward

This is the sixteenth annual report to the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBOR). This year's activities were conducted under terms of Cooperative Agreement Number 02FG200027, and cover the period October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2004 (FFY 2004). The field work was conducted by personnel of the California Department of Fish and Game’s (CDFG) Klamath-Trinity Program. Cooperators of CDFG field studies include the Hoopa Valley Tribe (HVT) fisheries department, Yurok Tribe (YT) fisheries department, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) fisheries department and U.S. Forest Service (USFS) fisheries department. The HVT, YT, and USFWS were contracted separately by the USBOR for cooperative and singular work performed during FFY 2003. Please refer to the respective agency/tribal fisheries departments or USBOR for information regarding cooperative or other projects/studies.

This year's CDFG work was comprised of five separate projects (Tasks) performed on the lower Klamath River, mainstem Trinity River, and at Trinity River Hatchery. The necessity for performing our Klamath-Trinity basin monitoring activities have been outlined in several Acts of Congress including Public Law 386 (69 Stat. 719), August 12, 1955; Public Law 98-541, October 24, 1984; "Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Reauthorization Act" of 1995; and Trinity River "Record of Decision", 2000.
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TASK 1
ANNUAL RUN-SIZE, HARVEST, AND SPAWNER ESCAPEMENT ESTIMATES FOR TRINITY RIVER BASIN CHINOOK AND COHO SALMON AND STEELHEAD
by

Wade Sinnen and Morgan Knechtle


#### Abstract

The California Department of Fish and Game's Trinity River Project conducted tagging and recapture operations from June 2003 through March 2004 to obtain spring and fall run Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), coho salmon (O. kisutch), and adult fall run steelhead (O. mykiss) run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement estimates in the Trinity River basin. The project was conducted by the California Department of Fish and Game with cooperation from the Hoopa Valley Tribal Fisheries Department. We placed weirs in the Trinity River near the towns of Junction City and Willow Creek, and trapped 2,576 Chinook salmon, 250 coho salmon, 963 fall steelhead and 170 brown trout (Salmo trutta).

Based on tagged fish recovered at Trinity River Hatchery and on the return of reward tags by anglers, we estimated that 47,795 spring Chinook salmon migrated into the Trinity River basin upstream of Junction City Weir this season. We estimate that 2,033 of these were caught by anglers, leaving 45,762 fish as potential spawners. We estimated 64,362 fall Chinook salmon migrated past Willow Creek Weir and that 2,022 of these were caught by anglers, leaving 62,340 potential spawners.

The coho salmon run in the Trinity River basin, upstream of Willow Creek Weir, was estimated to be 28,152 fish. No coho salmon were estimated to be harvested, thus we assume all coho were potential spawners.

An estimated 23,192 (3,948 naturally produced and 19,245 hatchery produced) adult fall run steelhead entered the Trinity River basin upstream of Willow Creek Weir. Anglers harvested an estimated 414 of the adult fall steelhead that migrated past Willow Creek Weir, leaving 22,778 fish as potential spawners.


## JOB OBJECTIVES

1. To determine the size, composition, distribution and timing of adult Chinook and coho salmon, and steelhead runs in the Trinity River basin.
2. To determine the in-river angler harvest and spawner escapements of Trinity River Chinook and coho salmon, and steelhead.

## INTRODUCTION

The California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG) Trinity River Project (TRP), in cooperation with the Hoopa Valley Tribe (HVT) conducts annual tagging and recapture operations for Chinook and coho salmon, and fall adult steelhead in the mainstem Trinity River. This effort determines the composition (race and proportion of hatchery-marked ${ }^{1 / 1}$ or Projecttagged ${ }^{2 /}$ fish), distribution, and timing of Chinook and coho salmon, and fall steelhead runs in the Trinity River basin. Recaptures of hatchery-marked or Project-tagged fish are used to develop run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement estimates for Chinook and coho salmon, and steelhead runs. Additionally, we initiated tagging studies of brown trout this season to determine angler harvest and growth rates.

This is a continuation of studies that began in 1977 with the trapping, tagging, and recapture of fall-run Chinook salmon (fall Chinook), coho salmon (coho), and fall steelhead (steelhead) in the Trinity River in order to determine run-size and angler harvest rates. In 1978, similar studies were added to include spring-run Chinook salmon (spring Chinook). Steelhead were dropped from the program in 1985 through 1989 and reinstated in 1990. Results of these studies are available from California Department of Fish and Game (Heubach 1984a, 1984b; Heubach and Hubbell 1980; Heubach et al. 1992a, 1992b; Lau et al. 1994; Zuspan et al. 1985; Zuspan et al. 1995; Zuspan and Sinnen 1995, Zuspan 1996, Zuspan 1997, Lau and Sinnen 1998, Lau and Sinnen 2000, Sinnen et al. 2000, Reese, 2001 and Reese and Sinnen, 2004.

Earlier studies were funded by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR), and with Anadromous Fish Act funds administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. The USBR has funded the program from 1 October 1989 through the present.

[^0]Prior to the current program, all efforts to measure salmon and steelhead populations in the Trinity River basin had been restricted to portions of the upper mainstem Trinity River and several of its tributaries, including the South Fork Trinity River and some of its tributaries (Gibbs 1956; La Faunce 1965a, 1965b, 1967; Miller 1975; Moffett and Smith 1950; Rogers 1970, 1972, 1973a, 1973b, 1982; Smith 1975; Weber 1965). Earlier efforts did not include fish which used the mainstem and tributaries of the lower Trinity River or attempt to determine the proportion of hatchery fish in the runs and the rates at which various runs contributed to the fisheries. To develop a comprehensive management plan for the Trinity River basin, all salmon stocks utilizing the basin must be considered.

## METHODS

## Trapping and Tagging

## Trapping Locations and Periods

Trapping and tagging operations were conducted by TRP and HVT personnel from June through mid November 2003 at temporary weir sites near the towns of Willow Creek and Junction City in the mainstem Trinity River. The downstream site, Willow Creek Weir (WCW), was located 4.5 km downstream from the town of Willow Creek, 36.5 km upstream from the Trinity River's confluence with the Klamath River, and 143.5 km downstream from Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) (Figure 1). The upstream site, Junction City Weir (JCW), was located 5.4 km upstream from the town of Junction City, 132.7 km upstream from the Klamath River confluence, and 47.1 km downstream from TRH (Figure 1). Prior to 1995, JCW was operated from May through November. Currently, JCW is operated from late June through September. WCW is generally operated from mid-August through November. Most fall Chinook salmon spawning occurs upstream of WCW, while the majority of spring Chinook spawning occurs upstream of JCW.

We operated the WCW from September 18 through November 14, 2003 and the JCW from July 21 through October 7, 2003. At JCW there was a three week period between August 22 and September 18 when no trapping occurred. The weir was removed due to "fall fishery" flows released from Lewiston Dam. The flows peaked at $1,820 \mathrm{cfs}$ and were released to provide migrational cues to Trinity River fall Chinook in the lower Klamath River. This was done to alleviate crowding in the lower Klamath River, which was one factor identified as contributing to a fish kill in September of 2002 (DFG, 2003).


Figure 1. Location of trapping and tagging weirs for anadromous salmonids near Willow Creek and Junction City in the mainstem Trinity River, 2003-04 season.

At both weir sites, we attempted to trap during a five day period beginning late-afternoon on Sunday and ending mid-afternoon on Friday. We opened the weir each trapping day for approximately four hours allowing fish to pass unimpeded. Occasionally, trapping schedules were modified to allow for holidays or high flows which prevented trapping in a safe manner. Trapping and tagging were not conducted if stream temperatures exceeded 22 degrees Celsius.

## Weir and Trap Design

Since 1989, we have used the Bertoni (Alaskan) weir design at both sites (Figures 2-4). The weir was supported by wooden tripods set 2.5 m apart. Weir panels consisted of $3.0-\mathrm{m}$ X 1.9-$\mathrm{cm}(10-\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{X} 3 / 4-\mathrm{in}$ ) electrical conduit spaced 5.1 cm apart on center, leaving a gap of 3.2 cm between conduits. Conduits were supported by three pieces of aluminum channel arranged 0.92 m apart, that connected to the supporting tripods. We anchored the tripods with cable attached to $1.8-\mathrm{m}$ stakes driven into the stream bottom. The weir panels were angled, with the top of the weir standing 1.8 m above the river bottom.


Figure 2. Photograph of Alaskan style weir tripods, support channels and conduit.


Figure 3. Photograph of upstream view of Alaskan weir. Note the panel boat gate (left center of picture).


Figure 4. Photograph of Alaskan weir showing the trapping box on the upstream side of the weir.

The trap was made of $1.9-\mathrm{cm}$ electrical conduit spaced 2.5 cm apart and welded into panels. The panels were wired together at the corners to produce a $2.4-\mathrm{m}$ square box which was bolted to a plywood floor and covered with plywood to prevent fish from jumping out. A fyke, also made of conduit panels, was installed in the trap. Its purpose was to guide the fish into the trap and prevent their escape. The trap was placed on the upstream side of the weir. About 12 weir conduits were raised creating an opening approximately 60 cm , to allow fish to pass through the weir into the trap. A gate, inserted between two weir panels, allowed boat passage at both weirs. The gate was made of welded conduit panels with $2.5-\mathrm{cm}$ spacing between conduits. The gate spanned approximately 6 m .

## Processing of Fish

At both weirs, we identified all trapped salmonids to species, measured them to the nearest cm fork length (FL), and examined them for hook, predator, and gill-net scars, fin clips, and tags. Each untagged salmonid judged in good condition and unspawned was tagged with a serially numbered FT-4 ${ }^{3 /}$ spaghetti tag (Project-tagged). Tags were inserted using an applicator needle through the fishes back approximately two cm below the posterior insertion point of the dorsal fin. To determine angler harvest and catch-and-release rates upstream of the weirs, one-third of the Chinook salmon received $\$ 10$-reward tags, while the remaining tags were non-reward. At WCW, half of the steelhead received reward tags, while the remaining received non-reward. Coho were tagged with non-reward tags due to their status as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) which prohibits their take by sport anglers. At JCW, brown trout $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL

[^1]were tagged with reward tags. Steelhead and coho were not tagged at JCW.

## Determining the Separation Between Spring and Fall Chinook Salmon Runs at the Weirs

Each year there is a temporal overlap in the spring and fall Chinook runs in the Trinity River. Since the timing of runs varies between years, each season we assign new dates separating the two runs so that numbers of spring and fall Chinook used to estimate the run-size and angler harvest could be determined. We compared the proportions of known and estimated spring and fall Chinook trapped at the weirs each week. The week at which the proportion of fall Chinook exceeded spring Chinook was designated as the first week of the fall Chinook run at that weir. If there are two consecutive weeks with nearly identical proportions, then the first week is designated as spring and the following as fall. A recovered tagged Chinook was identified as either a spring or a fall Chinook based on two separate criteria. First, some Chinook tagged at the weirs carried coded-wire tags (CWT's), placed in their snouts as juveniles at TRH. These fish are identified by the absence of an adipose (AD) fin, which is clipped during the tagging process. If these fish were recovered at the hatchery or during spawning surveys, the CWT code indicated whether they were spring or fall fish. Second, non-CWT'ed Chinook tagged at the weir and recovered at the hatchery were classified as either spring or fall fish based on the date they entered the hatchery. If they entered the hatchery during the period associated with the spring run (based on CWT recoveries at the hatchery) they were considered spring Chinook. Those Chinook entering the hatchery during the period associated with the fall run (again, based on CWT recoveries) were considered fall Chinook.

## Estimating Numbers of Spring and Fall Chinook Salmon at Trinity River Hatchery

As at the weirs, there is an overlap in the return timing of spring and fall Chinook into TRH. To estimate the respective numbers of spring and fall Chinook without CWT's entering TRH, we expanded the numbers of tags recovered from each returning CWT group by the ratio of tagged to total Chinook salmon (production multiplier) when they were originally released (same strain, brood year [BY], release site, release group and date). For example, 44,654 fall Chinook of CWT group 06-52-54 plus 438,756 unmarked fall Chinook were released directly from TRH in June of 2000. The expanded estimate for each return of this group is 10.83 $(44,654+438,756 / 44,654)$. Thus, each CWT return was expanded by its production multiplier to estimate the total number of spring and fall Chinook that entered the hatchery.

If more Chinook salmon entered the hatchery on a particular sorting day than could be accounted for by the expansion of all CWT groups, we assumed the additional fish were naturally produced. We designated these fish as spring or fall in the same proportions that were determined by the expansion of the CWT groups on that day.

For the purpose of estimating spring and fall Chinook run-sizes, we assigned a separation date at the hatchery between the two runs. The separation date was the week in which fall Chinook outnumbered spring Chinook based on the expansion of coded-wire tags.

Size Discrimination Between Adult and Grilse Chinook and Coho Salmon
We designated the size separating an adult fish from a grilse for spring and fall Chinook based on two criteria; length frequency data obtained at the two trapping sites and TRH and length data obtained from groups of CWT'ed fish that entered TRH whose exact age was known. Daily Chinook salmon FL data from TRH were assigned to either spring or fall Chinook only when the expansion of the number of CWTs indicated $\geq 90 \%$ of the Chinook salmon entering TRH were from either spring or fall runs.

Coho salmon do not receive CWT's, therefore exact ages are unknown. We relied on length frequency analysis to separate grilse and adults.

Chinook and coho length frequency data collected at the weirs and TRH were smoothed with a moving average of five, $1-\mathrm{cm}$ increments to determine the nadir separating grilse and adults.

## Size Discrimination Between Adult and Immature Steelhead

All steelhead $>41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were considered adults, and steelhead $\leq 41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL captured at the weirs were assumed to be half-pounders (assumed to have migrated to the ocean). Steelhead $\leq 41 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL that entered TRH were classified as sub-adults, since we did not know whether they had migrated to the ocean or were residual fish.

## Recovery of Tagged Fish

## Weir Recovery

We measured to the nearest cm, FL all dead salmonids recovered against the weir and examined them for tags, fin clips, and spawning condition. Heads of adipose fin-clipped (Ad-clipped) (potentially hatchery-marked) fish were removed for the recovery of the CWT. After examination, the carcasses were cut in half to prevent recounting and returned to the river downstream of the weir.

## Tagging Mortalities

Tagged salmonids recovered dead at the weir, in spawning surveys, or reported dead by anglers were considered tagging mortalities, if there was no evidence they had spawned and they were recovered dead $\leq 30$ days after tagging. Tagged fish recovered dead more than 30 days after tagging, or those that had spawned, regardless of the number days after tagging, were not considered tagging mortalities.

## Angler Tag Returns

We used the information from Project-tags returned by anglers to assess sport harvest. All the tags placed on fish at the weirs were inscribed with our address so anglers could return the tags to us. All anglers that returned tags were sent questionnaires asking the date and location of their catch and whether they harvested (kept) or released their catch. The questionnaire informed them of the fish's tagging date and location.

Tags returned to us through May 1, 2004 were used to assess harvest and catch-and-release rates. Tags returned after that date were processed for payment but not used for analysis. This date was chosen due to time constraints associated with the completion of this report and because the vast majority of tags have been returned by this date in previous years.

## Trinity River Hatchery

The TRH fish ladder was open from 08 September 2003 through 15 March 2004. Hatchery personnel conducted fish sorting and spawning operations generally two days per week. We considered the initial day a fish was observed during sorting as the day it entered the hatchery.

On all sorting days, salmon and steelhead entering TRH were identified to species, sexed, and examined for tags and fin clips. We measured all salmonids to the nearest cm FL, except those that were Project-tagged fish from the weirs. Project-tagged salmon and steelhead recovered at TRH were assigned the FL recorded for them at the weir where they were originally tagged.

During each sorting week, we gave a distinguishing fin-clip to AD-clipped and project-tagged Chinook that were placed in ponds to ripen, so the week they initially entered the hatchery (i.e., were sorted) could be determined when they were spawned. Fish that were neither AD-marked or project-tagged were tallied. On the day they were spawned, we removed the heads of all ADclipped salmon and placed each in a plastic bag with a serially numbered tab noting the date and location of recovery, species, sex, and FL. Project personnel later performed CWT extraction and decoding.

## Spawner Surveys

In cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service and Yurok Tribe, we conducted spawner surveys in the upper Trinity River from Cedar Flat (RK 78) upstream to Lewiston Dam (RK 180). Tagged fish recovered in these surveys were examined for spawning success and project tag numbers. Results of these surveys are presented in Task 4 of this report.

Statistical Analyses

## Effectively Tagged Fish

We estimated the number of effectively tagged fish by subtracting from the total tagged, those fish we classified as tagging mortalities, tagged-fish recovered downstream of the tagging site, and angler-caught-and-released fish.

## Run-size Estimates

We determined the run-size estimates by using Chapman's version ${ }^{4 /}$ of the Petersen Single Census Method:

$$
\mathrm{N}=\frac{(\mathrm{M}+1)(\mathrm{C}+1)}{(\mathrm{R}+1)}, \text { where }
$$

$\mathrm{N}=$ estimated run-size
$\mathrm{M}=$ the number of effectively tagged fish
$\mathrm{C}=$ the number of fish examined at TRH
$\mathrm{R}=$ the number of Project-marked fish recovered in the hatchery sample.
We attempted to tag and recover enough fish to obtain $95 \%$ confidence limits within $\pm 10 \%$ of the run-size estimate. We used criteria established by Chapman (1948) to select the type of confidence interval estimator. We used the proportions of grilse and adult salmon trapped at each weir to estimate the numbers of grilse and adults comprising the run upstream of that respective weir. We did not stratify the estimates into grilse and adult salmon because there were not sufficient grilse and adult salmon recovered to obtain $95 \%$ confidence of $\pm 10 \%$ of each of the stratified portions of the run.

All steelhead run-size estimates were for adults only. Since the 1997 BY, all TRH-produced steelhead have been adipose-fin-clipped. We determined the proportion of the run that was hatchery produced based upon the percentage of adipose fin clipped steelhead observed at Willow Creek Weir.

For the run-size estimates, we assumed that: 1) fish trapped and released from the weir were a random sample representative of the population; 2) tagged and untagged fish were equally vulnerable to recapture at TRH; 3) all Project tags were recognized upon recovery; 4) tagged and untagged fish were randomly mixed throughout the population and among the fish recovered at TRH; and 5) we accounted for all tagging mortalities.

## Angler Harvest and Catch-and-Release Rates and Harvest Estimates

Generally, anglers will return reward tags at a rate higher or nearly equal to that of non-reward tags. When this was the case, we used only reward tag returns to determine harvest rates. When non-reward tags were returned at higher rates than reward tags, we combined the two to determine harvest rates.

We computed the harvest rate for each species (and race of Chinook) by dividing the number of angler-returned tags from harvested fish by the number of fish we effectively tagged. We calculated independent harvest rates for grilse and adult salmon.
We computed the catch-and-release rate for each species (and race of Chinook) by dividing the number of angler-returned tags from caught and released fish by the number of fish effectively

[^2]tagged plus the number of fish reported as released.
We estimated the numbers of fish harvested upstream of each weir by multiplying the harvest rates (for each species and race) by their respective run-sizes upstream of each weir.

Use of Standard Julian Week
Weekly sampling data collected by Project personnel at the weirs are presented in Julian week (JW) format. Each JW is defined as one of a consecutive set of 52 weekly periods, beginning 1 January, regardless of the day of the week on which 1 January falls. The extra day in leap years is included in the ninth week (Appendix 1). This procedure allows inter-annual comparisons of identical weekly periods.

## RESULTS

## Trapping and Tagging

## Chinook Salmon

Spring-Fall Chinook Separation and Run Timing. Spring Chinook were the predominant race at JCW through JW 34, after which fall Chinook became predominate (Figure 5). No trapping was attempted between JW's 35 and 37 due to the aforementioned increased flows, which precluded operation of the weir. At JCW, spring Chinook catch peaked during JW 29, the first week of trapping, at 109 fish/night. Chinook catch declined thereafter, but remained above 27 fish/night, with the exception of JW 31 when only 4.4 fish/night were captured (Table 1, Figure 6).

Based on the return of project-tagged and AD-clipped fish, the proportion of fall Chinook tagged at WCW exceeded spring Chinook throughout the entire season, so for the purpose of analysis all Chinook salmon trapped at the WCW were considered fall-run (Figure 5). Fall Chinook daily catch (fish/night) averaged greater than 43 fish between JW's 38 and 41 (9/17 10/14), with the peak observed during JW 40 when 78.4 fish/night were trapped. Catches declined sharply after JW 41 and no Chinook were trapped during the last week, JW 46 (Table 2, Figure 7). Fall Chinook run timing this year may have been affected by increased "fishery" flows released in the Trinity River during late August through early September. The increased flows, released from Lewiston Dam, peaked at 1820 cfs on August 25 and gradually receded to 450 cfs , normal base flow on September $16^{\text {th }}$. The flows were increased to stimulate Chinook migration out of the lower Klamath River to help avoid a fish kill, similar to which occurred in 2002.



Figure 5. Percent recovery of Junction City and Willow Creek weir project marked Chinook at Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-04 season. Chinook salmon were designated as either spring or fall-run based on recoveries of coded-wire tags or entry timing into Trinity River Hatchery. For the purposes of analysis, all Chinook salmon captured at Willow Creek Weir were considered fall-run and Chinook salmon captured prior to Julian week 35 at Junction City Weir were considered spring-run.

Table 1. Weekly summary of spring- and fall-run chinook salmon trapped in the Trinity River at Junction City Weir during the 2003-04 season. a/

| Julian Week | Inclusive dates |  | Nights | Number Trapped |  |  | fish/night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Trapped | Grilse b/ | Adults | Total |  |
| Spring Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 16-Jul | - 22-Jul | 2 |  | 218 | 218 | 109.0 |
| 30 | 23-Jul | - 29-Jul | 5 | 5 | 308 | 313 | 62.6 |
| 31 | 30-Jul | - 5-Aug | 5 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 4.4 |
| 32 | 6-Aug | - 12-Aug | 5 | 4 | 142 | 146 | 29.2 |
| 33 | 13-Aug | - 19-Aug | 5 | 10 | 248 | 258 | 51.6 |
| 34 | 20-Aug | - 26-Aug | 2 | 3 | 52 | 55 | 27.5 |
|  |  | Sub-total: | 24 | 22 | 990 | 1,012 |  |
| Sub-mean: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42.2 |
| Fall Chinook c/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 17-Sep | - 23-Sep | 3 | 4 | 95 | 99 | 33.0 |
| 39 | 24-Sep | - 30-Sep | 5 | 1 | 86 | 87 | 17.4 |
| 40 | 1-Oct | - 7-Oct | 5 | 4 | 126 | 130 | 26.0 |
|  |  | Sub-total: | 13 | 9 | 307 | 316 |  |
|  |  | Sub-mean: |  |  |  |  | 24.3 |
| Grand total: <br> Combined mean: |  |  | 37 | 31 | 1,297 | 1,328 | 35.9 |
| a/ Trapping at Junction City took place from 21 July (Julian week 29) through 21 August (Julian week 34) and 19 September (Julian week 38) through 7 October (Julian week 40) of 2003. <br> b/ Spring-run chinook $<52 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL and fall-run $<55 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL were considered grilse. <br> c/ There was a temporal overlap of spring and fall-run chinook during Julian week 38. For the purpose of analysis all chinook trapped prior to Julian week 38 were considered spring run. Chinook trapped during julian week 38 through 40 were considered fall Chinook. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 6. Average catch of spring- and fall-run chinook salmon in the Trinity River at Junction City Weir during the 2003-04 season.

Table 2. Weekly summary of fall-run chinook salmon trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek Weir during the 2003-04 season. For the purpose of analysis there were no spring-run chinook salmon trapped at the Willow Creek Weir. al

| Julian <br> Week | Inclusive dates |  | Nights | Number Trapped |  |  | fish/night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Trapped | Grilse b/ | Adults | Total |  |
| 38 | 17-Sep | 23-Sep | 4 | 6 | 179 | 185 | 46.3 |
| 39 | 24-Sep | - 30-Sep | 5 | 6 | 258 | 264 | 52.8 |
| 40 | 1-Oct | - 7-Oct | 5 | 9 | 383 | 392 | 78.4 |
| 41 | 8-Oct | - 14-Oct | 5 | 7 | 212 | 219 | 43.8 |
| 42 | 15-Oct | - 21-Oct | 5 | 1 | 97 | 98 | 19.6 |
| 43 | 22-Oct | - 28-Oct | 5 | 0 | 38 | 38 | 7.6 |
| 44 | 29-Oct | - 4-Nov | 5 | 1 | 34 | 35 | 7.0 |
| 45 | $5-\mathrm{Nov}$ | - 11-Nov | 3 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 5.7 |
| 46 | 12-Nov | 18-Nov | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total: |  |  | 40 | 30 | 1,218 | 1,248 |  |
| Mean: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.2 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from 18 September (Julian Week 38) through 14 November (Julian Week 46) of 2003.
b/ Fall run chinook $<55 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL were considered grilse.


Figure 7. Average catch of fall-run chinook salmon in the Trinity River at Willow Creek Weir during the 2003-04 season.

## Sizes of Trapped Fish.

Spring Chinook trapped this season at JCW and TRH averaged 71.8 and 73.5 cm FL, respectively. The combined average of the two sites was 73.4 cm , FL (Figure 8). An obvious nadir between grilse and adult spring Chinook was lacking. Using data from known-age, hatchery-marked spring Chinook that entered TRH, we selected a grilse length of $\leq 51 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL and adults $>51 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL (Appendix 2). Applying this size to observed populations, we estimate that grilse comprised $2.2 \%$ and $0.9 \%$ of the spring Chinook observed at JCW and TRH, respectively.
Fall Chinook trapped at WCW averaged 73.6 cm , FL and 73.3 cm , FL at TRH. The fork length frequency analysis for the two sites combined indicated that the nadir separating grilse from adults was 54 cm (Figure 9). Size data of known-age, hatchery-marked fall Chinook entering TRH generally supported this size separation, however there was considerable overlap between sizes of age 2 and 3 fall Chinook (Appendix 3). Based on a maximum grilse size of 54 cm , fall Chinook grilse comprised $2.4 \%$ and $2.1 \%$ of the run observed at WCW and TRH respectively.

Effectively Tagged Fish. We trapped 1,012 spring Chinook at JCW, of which 988 (22 grilse and 966 adults) were effectively tagged (Appendix 4). There were 16 tagging mortalities and 8 caught-and-released spring Chinook from which anglers reported removing tags that were not considered effectively tagged. We reward-tagged 328 ( $32.8 \%$ ) spring Chinook (6 grilse and 322 adults). The remaining fish received non-reward tags.

We trapped 1,248 fall Chinook at WCW and 1,147 of them (28 grilse and 1,119 adults) were effectively tagged (Appendix 5). Due to poor condition we did not tag 90 fall-run Chinook, anglers removed the tags from 9, and there was one tagging mortality. We placed reward tags on 380 ( 10 grilse and 370 adults), or $33.1 \%$, of the effectively tagged fall Chinook at WCW.

Incidence of Tags and Fin Clips. Two of the Chinook tagged at WCW were subsequently recaptured at JCW this year. Ad-clipped fish comprised $18.2 \%(184 / 1,012)$ of the spring Chinook captured at JCW (Appendix 4). Sixty seven (including 1 fall Chinook) of the 184 Adclipped Chinook designated as Spring Chinook and tagged at JCW were subsequently recovered at TRH (Table 3). These were predominantly from release groups with CWT codes 0625258 , four-year-old spring Chinook released as yearlings in October of 1999 and 065279 , three-yearold spring Chinook released as yearlings in 2000 (Table 3). Of the 316 Chinook designated as fall-run captured at JCW, $15.8 \%$ (50) were Ad-clipped. Sixteen of these fish were subsequently recaptured at TRH.

Ad-clipped fish comprised $21.2 \%(265 / 1,248)$ of the fall Chinook observed at WCW (Appendix 5). One hundred thirty (49.1\%) of the Ad-clipped fall Chinook tagged at WCW were recovered at TRH (Table 3). Of these, the vast majority were 3 and 4 -year-old fall Chinook released from TRH as yearlings in 1998 and 1999 (CWT groups 065259 and 065280 ).




Figure 8. Spring-run Chinook salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Junction City Weir and Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-04 season. The number of fish at each fork length is shown as a moving average of five, 1-cm increments. The arrow denotes the size we used to separate grilse and adults for analysis.




Figure 9. Fall-run Chinook salmon fork lengths (cm) observed at Willow Creek Weir and Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-04 season. The number of fish at each fork length is shown as a moving average of five, $1-\mathrm{cm}$ increments. The arrow denotes the size we used to separate grilse and adults for analysis.

Table 3. Release data and recoveries of coded-wire tagged (CWT) and maxillary-clipped salmon trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek and Junction City weirs, and subsequently recovered at Trinity River Hatchery during the in the 2003-04 season.

| Release data |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numbers recovered from tagging site: b/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT and |  |  | Brood |  | Number |  |  |  |
| release type a/ | Species | Race | year | Date | of fish | Site c/ | WCW | JCW |
| Spring-run Chinnok |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065247-f | Chinook | spring | 1998 | 06/01-07/99 | 54,378 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065248-f | Chinook | spring | 1998 | 06/01-07/99 | 61,516 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065249-f | Chinook | spring | 1998 | 06/01-07/99 | 61,074 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065250-y | Chinook | spring | 1998 | 10/04-13/99 | 137,602 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065251-f | Chinook | spring | 1999 | 06/01-07/00 | 49,421 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065252-f | Chinook | spring | 1999 | 06/01-07/00 | 51,993 | TRH | 0 | 3 |
| 065253-f | Chinook | spring | 1999 | 06/01-07/00 | 46,966 | TRH | 0 | 3 |
| 065258-y | Chinook | spring | 1999 | 10/03-06/00 | 129,919 | TRH | 0 | 20 |
| 065259-f | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 52,491 | TRH | 0 | 5 |
| 065260-f | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 33,049 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065261-f | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 32,621 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065262-f | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 24,480 | TRH | 0 | 1 |
| 065263-f | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 34,385 | TRH | 0 | 4 |
| 065264-f | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 31,857 | TRH | 0 | 2 |
| 065270-f | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 52,580 | TRH | 0 | 2 |
| 065279-y | Chinook | spring | 2000 | 10/01-10/01 | 99,304 | TRH | 0 | 16 |
| 065281-f | Chinook | spring | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 89,482 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065282-f | Chinook | spring | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 89,978 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065283-f | Chinook | spring | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 73,788 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065288-y | Chinook | spring | 2001 | 10/10-16/02 | 104,627 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| shed tag d/ | Chinook | spring |  |  |  |  | 0 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total spr | un Chinook: | 0 | 66 |
| Fall-run Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062641-y | Chinook | fall | 1998 | 10/4-13/99 | 334,726 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065242-f | Chinook | fall | 1998 | 06/01-07/99 | 46,399 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065642-f | Chinook | fall | 1998 | 10/4-13/99 | 16,673 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065243-f | Chinook | fall | 1998 | 06/01-07/99 | 42,659 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065244-f | Chinook | fall | 1998 | 06/01-07/99 | 49,332 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065245-f | Chinook | fall | 1998 | 06/01-07/99 | 46,391 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065254-f | Chinook | fall | 1999 | 06/01-07/00 | 44,654 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065255-f | Chinook | fall | 1999 | 06/01-07/00 | 42,549 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065257-f | Chinook | fall | 1999 | 06/01-07/00 | 50,533 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065256-f | Chinook | fall | 1999 | 06/01-07/00 | 43,565 | TRH | 1 | 0 |
| 065259-y | Chinook | fall | 1999 | 10/03-06/00 | 296,892 | TRH | 47 | 5 |
| 065265-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 32,795 | TRH | 4 | 2 |
| 065266-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 33,806 | TRH | 1 | 0 |
| 065267-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 34,852 | TRH | 3 | 1 |
| 065268-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 33,240 | TRH | 2 | 0 |
| 065271-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 54,867 | TRH | 7 | 1 |
| 065272-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 36,035 | TRH | 4 | 1 |
| 065273-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 57,444 | TRH | 7 | 1 |
| 065274-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 32,096 | TRH | 3 | 0 |
| 065275-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 64,250 | TRH | 3 | 0 |
| 065276-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 27,159 | TRH | 2 | 0 |
| 065277-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 56,582 | TRH | 2 | 0 |
| 065278-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 34,183 | TRH | 2 | 0 |
| 065280-y | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 10/01-10/01 | 216,593 | TRH | 38 | 6 |
| 065643-f | Chinook | fall | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 25,007 | TRH | 1 | 0 |
| 065284-f | Chinook | fall | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 119,555 | TRH | 1 | 0 |
| 065285-f | Chinook | fall | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 114,119 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065286-f | Chinook | fall | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 126,135 | TRH | 1 | 0 |
| 065287-f | Chinook | fall | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 121,607 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065290-f | Chinook | fall | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 10,234 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065291-f | Chinook | fall | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 8,269 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| 065289-y | Chinook | fall | 2001 | 10/10-16/02 | 230,055 | TRH | 0 | 0 |
| shed tag d/ | Chinook | fall |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | un Chinook: | 137 | 17 |
| Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RM e/ | coho |  | 2000 | 03/15-22/02 | 530,285 | TRH | 79 |  |
| RM e/ | coho |  | 2001 | 03/17-19/03 | 416,201 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total coho: |  | 91 | 0 |

a/ CWT=coded-wire tag.
b/ Tagging site: WCW=Willow Creek Weir; JCW=Junction City Weir.
c/ Release site: TRH=Trinity River Hatchery
d/ Fish with shed CWTs were designated as spring- or fall-race based on the date they were trapped at the weirs.
e/ Since 1996, all coho produced at TRH have received a right maxillary clip (RM). Coho less than 54 cm ,FL were classified as brood year 2001 and coho greater than 53 cm , FL were classified as brood year 2000. Age cutoff based on fork length distribution.

Incidence of Gill-net Wounds, Hook Scars, and Predator Wounds. One hundred eighty-three ( $10.5 \%$ ) of the 1,743 spring Chinook trapped at JCW had gill-net wounds. The average size of gill-net-wounded vs. spring Chinook without gill net wounds was 69.2 and 72.5 cm FL, respectively. Three fresh hooking scars, eight predator scars, and 21 wounds of unknown origin were observed on spring Chinook at JCW.

For fall Chinook, $6.1 \%(76 / 1,248)$ of the fish trapped at WCW were gill-net-wounded. The average size of gill-net-wounded fish was 74.9 cm , FL and non-gill-net-wounded fall Chinook averaged 73.5 cm , FL. Hooking scars, 27 ocean and 98 fresh, were observed on fall Chinook at WCW. Predator wounds were observed on 151 of the fall Chinook and 48 fish had wounds of unknown origin.

## Coho Salmon

Run timing. We trapped the first coho at WCW on 18 September, 2003 (JW 38). Coho trapping peaked during JW 41 when average catch was 14.4 fish/night (Table 4, Figure 10). We trapped 250 coho salmon ( 43 grilse and 207 adults) at WCW this season.

Size of Fish Trapped. Coho trapped at WCW ranged from 38 to 83 cm FL and averaged 60.8 cm FL (Figure 11, Appendix 6). The size separating grilse and adult coho was based on the combined length data from coho trapped at WCW and that entered TRH (Figure 11). This year all coho $\leq 53 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL were considered grilse, while larger coho were adults. Grilse coho comprised $17.2 \%$ and $12.3 \%$ of the coho trapped at WCW and TRH respectively.

Effectively Tagged Fish. Of the 250 coho salmon trapped at WCW, 234 were effectively tagged (Appendix 6). To discourage anglers from harvesting coho, all coho received non-reward tags.

Incidence of Tags and Fin Clips. Eighty six percent (215/250) of the coho salmon we trapped at WCW (38 grilse and 196 adults) bore right maxillary (RM) clips (Appendix 6). Ninety one of the project tagged, RM-clipped coho, were recovered at TRH (Table 3).

Incidence of Gill-net Wounds, Hook Scars and Predator Wounds. Eight coho observed at WCW were gill-net-wounded, five had fresh hook wounds, eleven had wounds of unknown origin, and 30 ( $12 \%$ ) were observed to have predator scarring.

## Fall Steelhead

Run Timing. We trapped steelhead every week of trapping at WCW (Table 5, Figure 12). Peak trapping of steelhead occurred early to mid October. The highest catch was observed during Julian week 41 when an average of 62.4 steelhead/night were trapped. We trapped a total of 752 adult steelhead at WCW during the 2003 season. At JCW, steelhead numbers were low during the early part of the season but increased substantially after the fall "fishery" flows were released. Once the JCW was re-installed during Julian week 38, steelhead were trapped in increasing numbers each week, peaking the last week of trapping at 20.6 fish/night (Table 6, Figure 13).

Table 4. Weekly summary of coho salmon trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek Weir during the 2003-04 season. a/

| Julian <br> Week | Inclusive dates |  |  | Nights | Number Trapped |  |  | fish/night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Trapped | Grilse b/ | Adults | Total |  |
| 38 | 17-Sep | - | 23-Sep | 4 |  | 5 | 5 | 1.3 |
| 39 | 24-Sep | - | 30-Sep | 5 | 7 | 15 | 22 | 4.4 |
| 40 | 1-Oct | - | 7-Oct | 5 | 13 | 33 | 46 | 9.2 |
| 41 | 8-Oct | - | 14-Oct | 5 | 15 | 57 | 72 | 14.4 |
| 42 | 15-Oct | - | 21-Oct | 5 | 3 | 51 | 54 | 10.8 |
| 43 | 22-Oct | - | 28-Oct | 5 | 2 | 17 | 19 | 3.8 |
| 44 | 29-Oct | - | 4-Nov | 5 | 2 | 17 | 19 | 3.8 |
| 45 | 5-Nov | - | 11-Nov | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 46 | 12-Nov | - | 18-Nov | 3 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 3.3 |
| Total |  |  |  | 40 | 43 | 207 | 250 |  |
| Mean: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.3 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from 18 September (Julian Week 38) through 14 November (Julian Week 46) of 2003.
b/ Coho salmon <=53 cm, FL were considered grilse.


Figure 10. Average catch of coho salmon in the Trinity River at Willow Creek Weir during the 2003-04 season.




Figure 11. Coho salmon fork lengths (FL) observed at the Willow Creek Weir and Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-2004 season. The number of fish at each FL is shown as a moving average of five, 1-cm increments. The arrow denotes the size we used to separate grilse and adults for analysis.

Table 5. Weekly summary of steelhead trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek Weir during the 2003-04 season. al

| Julian Week | Inclusive dates |  | Nights | Number Trapped |  |  | fish/night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Trapped | $1 / 2$ lbers b/ | Adults | Total |  |
| 38 | 17-Sep | - 23-Sep | 4 |  | 15 | 15 | 3.8 |
| 39 | 24-Sep | - 30-Sep | 5 |  | 59 | 59 | 11.8 |
| 40 | 1-0ct | - 7-0ct | 5 |  | 196 | 196 | 39.2 |
| 41 | 8-Oct | - 14-0ct | 5 |  | 312 | 312 | 62.4 |
| 42 | 15-Oct | - 21-Oct | 5 |  | 119 | 119 | 23.8 |
| 43 | 22-Oct | - 28-Oct | 5 |  | 30 | 30 | 6.0 |
| 44 | 29-Oct | - 4-Nov | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 1.0 |
| 45 | 5-Nov | - 11-Nov | 3 |  | 10 | 10 | 3.3 |
| 46 | 12-Nov | - 18-Nov | 3 |  | 6 | 6 | 2.0 |
| Total |  |  | 40 | 0 | 752 | 752 |  |
| Mean: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.8 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from 18 September (Julian Week 38) through 14 November (Julian Week 46) of 2003.
b/ Steelhead < 42 cm , FL were considered $1 / 2$ half-pounders.


Figure 12. Average catch of steelhead in the Trinity River at Willow Creek Weir during the 2003-04 season.

Table 6. Weekly summary of steelhead trapped in the Trinity River at Junction City Weir during the 2003-04 season. a/

| Julian Week | Inclusive dates |  |  | Nights | Number Trapped |  |  | fish/night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Trapped | 1/2 lbers b/ | Adults | Total |  |
| 29 | 16-Jul | - | 22-Jul | 2 |  | 5 | 5 | 2.5 |
| 30 | 23-Jul | - | 29-Jul | 5 |  | 10 | 10 | 2.0 |
| 31 | 30-Jul | - | 5-Aug | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 1.0 |
| 32 | 6-Aug | - | 12-Aug | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 33 | 13-Aug | - | 19-Aug | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 1.0 |
| 34 | 20-Aug | - | 26-Aug | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 35 | 27-Aug | - | 2-Sep | high flows |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 3-Sep | - | 9-Sep | no |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 10-Sep | - | 16-Sep | trapping |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 17-Sep | - | 23-Sep | 3 | 1 | 17 | 18 | 6.0 |
| 39 | 24-Sep | - | 30-Sep | 5 | 1 | 63 | 64 | 12.8 |
| 40 | 1-Oct | - | 7-Oct | 5 | 1 | 102 | 103 | 20.6 |
| Total |  |  |  | 37 | 3 | 208 | 211 |  |
| Mean: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.7 |

a/ Trapping at Junction City took place from 21 July (Julian week 29) through 21 August (Julian week 34) and 19 September (Julian week 38) through 7 October (Julian week 40) of 2003.
b/ Steelhead <42cm, FL were considered $1 / 2$ half-pounders.


Figure 13. Average catch of steelhead in the Trinity River at Junction City Weir during the 2003-04 season.

Size of Fish Trapped. Steelhead caught at, JCW, WCW, and TRH averaged 58.7, 62.0 and 61.7 cm FL, respectively (Figure 14). Adult steelhead ( $>41 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{FL}$ ) made up $98.6 \%, 100.0 \%$ and $99.9 \%$ of the steelhead trapped at JCW, WCW and TRH respectively.

Effectively Tagged Fish. We trapped 752 adult steelhead at WCW and 684 of those were effectively tagged (Appendix 7). We detected no tagging mortalities, 23 fish were not tagged, and anglers reported removing tags from 45. Three hundred thirty-eight of the effectively tagged adults were reward-tagged, the remainder received non-reward tags. Steelhead were not tagged at JCW.

Incidence of Tags and Fin Clips. We observed Ad-clips on 615 (81.8 \%) of the steelhead at WCW, 187 ( $88.6 \%$ ) at JCW, and 10,193 (99.6\%) at TRH. (Appendix 8). Additionally, nine steelhead at WCW had various other clips. The mean FL of adipose-fin-clipped versus unmarked steelhead was less than 1 cm for all three sites. All steelhead released from TRH have been Ad-clipped prior to release since brood year 1997.

Incidence of Gill-net Wounds, Hook Scars and Predator Wounds. At WCW, 5 of the steelhead trapped had gill net wounds, 8 had fresh hook wounds, 16 had unknown wounds, and 94 had predator wounds. At JCW, one steelhead had a gill-net wound, one had a wound of unknown origin and one had a predator wound.

## Brown trout

Capture timing. Brown trout were captured every week of trapping at JCW during the 2003 season (Table 7, Figure 15). The peak week of brown trout capture was JW 31 (July 30 - Aug. 5), when an average of 10.2 brown trout were captured per night.

Size of Fish Trapped. Brown trout captured this season ranged in size from 34 to 62 cm , FL and averaged 44.4 cm (Table 8, Figure 16). Average size by week of capture was variable. Length frequency analysis suggests that several year classes of brown trout were trapped at JCW in 2003. The absence of brown trout less than 34 cm FL suggests that fish this size are not vulnerable to capture using our standard weir configuration.

Effectively Tagged Fish. We trapped 112 brown trout greater than 41 cm , FL, of which 110 were effectively tagged. Two brown trout were reported as caught and released by anglers.

## Recovery of Tagged Fish

Total Recoveries. Fish tagged at JCW and WCW were recovered from four different sources; at TRH, upper Trinity River spawner surveys, angler returns, and tagging mortalities found on or near the tagging weirs. Length frequencies of spring and fall Chinook, coho, and steelhead tagged at the weirs and subsequently recovered are presented in Appendices 9-12.





Figure 14. Fall-run steelhead fork lengths observed at Junction City and Willow Creek weirs, and Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-04 season. The number of fish at each fork length is shown as a moving average of $5,1-\mathrm{cm}$ increments. The arrow denotes the size we used to separate half-pounders and adults for analysis.

Table 7. Weekly summary of brown trout trapped in the Trinity River at Junction City Weir during the 2003-04 season. a/

| Julian <br> Week |  | Nights <br> Inclusive dates | Number Trapped |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

a/ Trapping at Junction City took place from 21 July (Julian week 29) through 21 August (Julian week 34) and 19 September (Julian week 38) through 7 October (Julian week 40) of 2003.


Figure 15. Average catch of brown trout in the Trinity River at Junction City Weir during the 2003-04 season.

Table 8. Summary of fork length by Julian week for brown trout captured at Junction City weir, 2003-04 season.

| Fork length (cm) | Julian week of capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 38 | 39 | 40 |  |
|  | Number of trapping days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 |  |
| 34 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 35 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| 36 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |
| 37 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 6 |
| 38 |  | 1 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 6 |
| 39 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 4 |
| 40 |  | 0 | 8 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 13 |
| 41 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 22 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 43 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 3 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 19 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 45 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 22 |
| 46 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 47 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 48 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| 49 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 51 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 52 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 53 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 54 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 3 |
| 55 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 56 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| 57 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 58 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 59 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 60 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 61 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Totals: | 6 | 39 | 51 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 27 | 11 | 170 |
| Mean FL: | 48.3 | 44.6 | 42.7 | 44.9 | 48.0 | 43.7 | 46.5 | 44.2 | 46.4 | 44.4 |



Figure 16. Fork length distribution of brown trout captured at Junction City weir during the 2003-04 season.

Forty-two percent of the effectively tagged spring Chinook at JCW and 56\% of the fall Chinook at WCW were recovered. Forty six percent of the effectively tagged coho, and $49 \%$ of the effectively tagged steelhead from WCW were recovered. The highest number of recoveries for all species occurred at TRH.

## Tag Returns by Anglers

Angler Harvest Regulations. Department of Fish and Game fishing regulations can affect the return of tags each year by limiting harvest. Special quota restrictions were in place during the season, but they did not effect harvest because the quotas were not met (Appendix 13). The adult fall Chinook salmon sport quota for the Trinity River during the 2003-04 season was 3,564 fish, split equally between the lower River (Weitchpec to Cedar Flat) and the upper River (Cedar Flat to Lewiston). Additionally, anglers were allowed to retain adipose-fin-clipped steelhead only. The take of coho was prohibited.

Spring Chinook. Anglers returned 14 reward and 16 non-reward tags from harvested adult spring Chinook tagged at JCW. No tags from grilse were returned this season (Appendix 9). We estimated harvest rate, based on the return of reward tags, at $0.0 \%$ for grilse and $4.3 \%$ for adults. Anglers reported releasing three reward-tagged adult and five non-reward-tagged adults, a rate of $0.9 \%$ using reward tags. No grilse were reported as caught and released.

Fall Chinook. Anglers returned tags from 1 grilse (1 reward tag) and 26 (11 reward, 15 nonreward) adult harvested fall Chinook salmon tagged at WCW (appendix 10). Based on the return of reward tags, the estimated harvest rate upstream of WCW was $3.0 \%$ for adults and $10.0 \%$ for grilse fall Chinook. Anglers returned an additional 9 (4 reward, 5 non-reward) tags from adult Chinook that were caught and released (Appendix 10). Using reward tagged fish; we estimated that the catch-and-release rate upstream of WCW was $1.2 \%$ for adults. No tags from caught and released grilse Chinook were returned.

Coho Salmon. To discourage the harvest of threatened coho salmon, we tagged coho at WCW with non-reward tags only. No tags were returned from angler caught coho and 2 tags were returned from caught and released adult coho, a rate of $1.0 \%$ (Appendix 11).

Fall Steelhead. Anglers returned 12 tags from steelhead tagged at WCW (Appendix 12). Based on the reward tags returned, we estimated that anglers harvested $1.8 \%$ of the steelhead migrating upstream of WCW. Anglers returned 45 tags from steelhead reported as caught and released (Appendix 12). Based on the return of reward tags, we estimated that anglers caught and released $7.2 \%$ of the steelhead migrating upstream of the WCW.

[^3]Spring Chinook. A total of 73 adult and 2 grilse spring Chinook tagged at JCW were subsequently recovered during spawner carcass surveys (Appendix 9). Chinook found during spawner surveys were approximately the same size as Chinook tagged at JCW.

Fall Chinook. Zero grilse and 69 adults from WCW were recovered during the spawner survey (Appendix 10). The mean fork length of these fish was 73.1 cm while fall Chinook salmon tagged at WCW averaged about the same length, 73.6 cm FL.

Coho. No grilse and seven adult coho salmon tagged at WCW were subsequently recovered during the spawner surveys this year (appendix 11). Coho averaged 64.4 cm , FL in spawner surveys versus 60.9 for all coho observed at WCW. Since coho spawn later in the year (December through February), it is likely that the time frame of the spawner surveys (October through December) inhibited full recovery of coho salmon.

Steelhead. No steelhead were recovered during spawner surveys this season (Appendix12).

## Trinity River Hatchery

Operation Dates. The fish ladder and trapping facilities at TRH operated from September $8^{\text {th }}$, 2003 (JW 37) through March $15^{\text {th }}, 2004$ (JW 11). The ladder and trap were closed for a two week period from 10 October through 26 October (JW's 41 - 43). The closure was implemented to allow for separation of the spring and fall runs of Chinook. The ladder is also occasionally closed at the discretion of the hatchery manager for fish health concerns or labor constraints.

Spring Chinook. Based on CWT recoveries, spring Chinook began entering TRH during JW 36 (3-9 Sept 2003) and continued through JW 46 (Figure 17, Table 9). Based upon CWT expansion, we estimated that 14,188 spring Chinook entered TRH (Figure 17). For the purpose of analysis, the 14,642 Chinook which entered TRH prior to Julian week 43 were considered spring Chinook.

We recaptured $30.8 \%$ (304/988) of effectively tagged spring Chinook from JCW at TRH (Table 10). The mean FL for effectively tagged JCW Chinook was 71.9 cm , slightly larger than tagged spring Chinook recovered at TRH, which averaged 70.7 cm (Appendix 4).

We recovered 3,459 Ad-clipped spring Chinook at TRH, from which we recovered 3,320 CWTs (Table 9). The age structure of TRH spring Chinook was dominated by a mix of age three and four year old returns (See Task 2 of this report).


Figure 17. Estimated numbers of spring- and fall-run Chinook salmon that entered Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-04 season, based on expansion of coded-wire tagged fish.

Table 9. Recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery of coded-wire tagged, spring-run Chinook salmon during the 2003-04 season. al

al The fish ladder was open from September 8, 2003 through March 15, 2004 (Julian weeks 36-11).
b/ Entry week was the week that fish were initally sorted, although they may have actually entered the hatchery during the previous sorting week.
cl Release types are either fingerling (f) or yearling (y).
d/ The hatchery was closed to fish entry this week.
e/ No CWT's were recovered from these Ad-clipped fish. Chinook salmon with shed or lost tags recovered after October 21,2003 (JW 42) were considered fall-run and are shown on Table 11.

| Julian Week of entry c/ | Inclusive Dates |  | Total entering TRH d/ | Numbers of chinook salmon |  |  |  | Numbers of coho salmon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Springtaggin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { from } \\ & \text { eb/ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Total entering | From tagging site |
|  |  |  | WCW | JCW | WCW | JCW | TRH d/ | WCW |
| 36 | 09/03/03 | - 09/09/03 |  | 2,284 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 09/10/03 | - 09/16/03 |  | 863 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 09/17/03 | - 09/23/03 | 3,828 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 09/24/03 | - 09/30/03 | 3,770 |  | 89 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 10/01/03 | - 10/07/03 | 2,747 |  | 77 |  | 6 | 5 |  |
| 41 | 10/08/03 | - 10/14/03 | 1,150 |  | 26 | 1 | 8 | 13 |  |
| 42 | 10/15/03 | - 10/21/03 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 43 | 10/22/03 | - 10/28/03 | 4,924 |  | 2 | 66 | 21 | 325 | 1 |
| 44 | 10/29/03 | - 11/04/03 | 10,083 |  |  | 156 | 24 | 734 | 6 |
| 45 | 11/05/03 | - 11/11/03 | 7,661 |  |  | 145 | 3 | 1,668 | 23 |
| 46 | 11/12/03 | - 11/18/03 | 4,603 |  |  | 111 | 1 | 3,044 | 25 |
| 47 | 11/19/03 | - 11/25/03 | 1,659 |  |  | 38 |  | 1,736 | 15 |
| 48 | 11/26/03 | - 12/02/03 | 888 |  |  | 21 |  | 1,922 | 16 |
| 49 | 12/03/03 | - 12/09/03 | 471 |  |  | 4 |  | 1,607 | 6 |
| 50 | 12/10/03 | - 12/16/03 | 87 |  |  |  |  | 622 | 3 |
| 51 | 12/17/03 | - 12/23/03 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 146 | 1 |
| 52 | 12/24/03 | - 12/31/03 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 2 |
| 1 | 01/01/04 | - 01/07/04 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |
| 2 | 01/08/04 | - 01/14/04 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| 3 | 01/15/04 | - 01/21/04 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 4 | 01/22/04 | - 01/28/04 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 01/29/04 | - 02/04/04 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 02/05/04 | - 02/11/04 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 02/12/04 | - 02/18/04 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 02/19/04 | - 02/25/04 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals: |  |  | 45,028 | 0 | 304 | 542 | 63 | 11,859 | 98 |

a/ The fish ladder was open from September 8, 2003 through March 15,2004 (Julian Week 36-11)
b/ Tagging site: WCW= Willow Creek Weir; JCW= Junction City Weir.
c/ Entry week was the week that fish were initally sorted, although they may have actually entered the hatchery during the previous sorting week.
d/ Numbers shown include tagged fish recovered the same week.
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Fall Chinook. Based on the recovery of CWTs, the first fall Chinook entered TRH during JW 38 of 2003 (Table 11). The run peaked during JW 44 when 10,083 Chinook salmon entered the facility, decreasing thereafter until the last Chinook entered during JW 8 (Figure 17, Table 10). Based on CWT expansions, we estimated that 30,839 fall Chinook entered TRH (Figure 17). For the purpose of estimating fall Chinook run-size however, the 30,386 Chinook which entered TRH after JW 42 were considered fall run.

Hatchery recovery of fall Chinook tagged at WCW consisted of 7 grilse and 535 adults. This total represented $47.3 \%(542 / 1,147)$ of those effectively tagged at WCW (Table 10, Appendix 5). The mean FL of effectively tagged Chinook at WCW was slightly higher than WCW-tagged fall Chinook that subsequently entered TRH; 73.6 cm and 72.3 cm , respectively.

We recovered 7,598 Ad-clipped fall Chinook at TRH, from which we recovered 7,314 CWTs (Table 11). Similar to spring Chinook, the age structure of TRH fall Chinook was dominated by a mix of age three and four year old returns. Based on CWT's, no five-year-old fall Chinook returned to TRH this season.

Coho Salmon. The first coho entered TRH during JW 40, 2003. The coho run peaked during Julian week 46 and the last coho entered TRH during JW 3, 2004 (Table 10). We recovered 11,859 coho ( 2,129 grilse and 9,730 adults) at TRH. We recovered 98 WCW-tagged coho (12 grilse and 86 adults) at TRH ( $41.9 \%$ of those effectively tagged). The mean FL of WCWtagged coho recovered at TRH was 62.0 cm , which was slightly larger than the mean FL of 60.9 cm for those effectively tagged (Appendix 6). Coho were not tagged at JCW this year.

Of the 11,859 coho recovered at TRH, 11,169 (94.2\%) were observed to have right maxillary (RM) clips, indicating they were of TRH origin (Table 12). Six hundred seventy nine (5.7\%) had no clips. These fish are believed to be coho which received no or poor clips prior to release from the hatchery or naturally produced fish which entered the hatchery. Eleven other marks were observed on coho which entered TRH this year, including LM (left maxillary) and ADRM (Adipose + right maxillary) clips.

Based on length frequency analysis, we apportioned TRH-produced, RM-clipped coho, into two brood years. Coho $\leq 53 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL were considered grilse (age 2) from the 2001 brood year and accounted for $9.8 \%(703 / 7,198)$ of the total, the remaining 6,495 were considered adults (age 3), progeny of the 2000 brood year. The 679 unmarked coho which entered the hatchery were also considered grilse or adults based on their length (Appendix 14). Unmarked coho entering TRH had a slightly larger mean fork length $(66.6 \mathrm{~cm})$ than marked coho $(62.8 \mathrm{~cm})$.

Table 11. Recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery of coded-wire tagged fall-run Chinook salmon during the 2003-04 season

a/ The fish ladder was open from September 8, 2003 through March 15, 2004 (Julian Week 36-11).
b/ Entry week was the week that fish were initally sorted, although they may have actually entered the hatchery during the previous sorting week
c/ Release types are either fingerling (f) or yearling (y)
d/ The hatchery was closed to fish entry this week.
e/ No CWT's were recovered from these Ad-clipped fish. Chinook salmon with shed or lost tags recovered prior to October 21,2003 (JW 42) were considered spring-run and are shown on Table 9.
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Table 12. Recovery of coho salmon that returned to Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-04 season. al

| Julian Week of entry cl | Inclusive Dates |  | Brood year and clip b/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | No clip | RM | LM | ADRM | AD | No clip | RM | ADRM |  |
| 40 | 10/01/03 | 10/07/03 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 5 |
| 41 | 10/08/03 | 10/14/03 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 6 |  | 13 |
| 42 | 10/15/03 | 10/21/03 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 43 | 10/22/03 | 10/28/03 | 4 | 116 |  |  |  | 1 | 204 |  | 325 |
| 44 | 10/29/03 | 11/04/03 | 18 | 373 |  |  |  | 2 | 341 |  | 734 |
| 45 | 11/05/03 | 11/11/03 | 84 | 1,240 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 339 |  | 1,668 |
| 46 | 11/12/03 | 11/18/03 | 157 | 2,675 | 0 | 2 |  | 4 | 206 |  | 3,044 |
| 47 | 11/19/03 | 11/25/03 | 104 | 1,515 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 116 |  | 1,736 |
| 48 | 11/26/03 | 12/02/03 | 130 | 1,669 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 121 |  | 1,922 |
| 49 | 12/03/03 | 12/09/03 | 114 | 1,402 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 86 | 1 | 1,607 |
| 50 | 12/10/03 | 12/16/03 | 34 | 568 |  | 0 |  |  | 20 |  | 622 |
| 51 | 12/17/03 | 12/23/03 | 14 | 123 |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  | 146 |
| 52 | 12/24/03 | 12/31/03 | 4 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 1 | 01/01/04 | 01/07/04 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 2 | 01/08/04 | 01/14/04 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 3 | 01/15/04 | 01/21/04 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  | 666 | 9,720 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 1,449 | 1 | 11,859 |

a/ The fish ladder was open from September 8, 2003 through March 15,2004 (Julian weeks 36-11).
b/ Brood year determinations were estimated using length frequency analysis; coho less than or equal to 53 cm , fl were considered to be from the 2001brood year, larger coho from the 2000 brood year. RM=right maxillary; LM=left maxillary; ADRM=adipose+right maxillary; AD=adipose.
c/ Entry week was the week the fish were initially sorted, although they may have actually entered the hatchery during the previous week.

Fall Steelhead. Appreciable numbers of steelhead did not enter the hatchery until late October (Table 13). A total of 11 sub-adults ( $<42 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{FL}$ ) and 10,224 adult steelhead entered TRH during the season. A total of 301 WCW -tagged steelhead ( $31.6 \%$ of those effectively tagged) also entered TRH this season (Appendix 7). These fish were essentially the same size as those effectively tagged at WCW.

Steelhead recovered at TRH were composed of 10,193 Ad-clipped fish and 42 unmarked fish. Unmarked steelhead were slightly larger, on average, than Ad-clipped fish ( 62.8 vs .61 .7 cm ). Sub-adult steelhead, less than 42 cm , FL, comprised $0.1 \%$ of the total number of steelhead entering TRH. All of the sub-adults were Ad-clipped fish (Appendix 8). Beginning with the 1997 brood year, all steelhead released from TRH have been Ad-clipped prior to their release.

Table 13. Total number and numbers of Project-tagged steelhead that entered Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2003-2004 season. a/

| Julian Week of entry c/ | Inclusive Dates |  | Number entering TRH |  |  | Recoveries from tagging site $\mathrm{b} /$ WCW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adults | Sub adults d/ | Total |  |
| 36 | 09/03/03 | 09/09/03 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 37 | 09/10/03 | 09/16/03 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 38 | 09/17/03 | 09/23/03 | 7 |  | 7 |  |
| 39 | 09/24/03 | 09/30/03 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 40 | 10/01/03 | 10/07/03 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 41 | 10/08/03 | 10/14/03 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| 42 | 10/15/03 | 10/21/03 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 43 | 10/22/03 | 10/28/03 | 47 | 1 | 48 |  |
| 44 | 10/29/03 | 11/04/03 | 107 | 2 | 109 | 2 |
| 45 | 11/05/03 | 11/11/03 | 125 | 0 | 125 | 1 |
| 46 | 11/12/03 | 11/18/03 | 204 | 2 | 206 | 5 |
| 47 | 11/19/03 | 11/25/03 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 4 |
| 48 | 11/26/03 | 12/02/03 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| 49 | 12/03/03 | 12/09/03 | 493 | 5 | 498 | 6 |
| 50 | 12/10/03 | 12/16/03 | 755 | 0 | 755 | 16 |
| 51 | 12/17/03 | 12/23/03 | 304 | 0 | 304 | 8 |
| 52 | 12/24/03 | 12/31/03 | 551 | 0 | 551 | 16 |
| 1 | 01/01/04 | 01/07/04 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 1 |
| 2 | 01/08/04 | 01/14/04 | 562 | 0 | 562 | 17 |
| 3 | 01/15/04 | 01/21/04 | 890 | 0 | 890 | 27 |
| 4 | 01/22/04 | 01/28/04 | 1126 | 0 | 1,126 | 34 |
| 5 | 01/29/04 | 02/04/04 | 629 | 0 | 629 | 28 |
| 6 | 02/05/04 | 02/11/04 | 700 | 0 | 700 | 20 |
| 7 | 02/12/04 | 02/18/04 | 726 | 0 | 726 | 29 |
| 8 | 02/19/04 | 02/25/04 | 1705 | 1 | 1,706 | 52 |
| 9 | 02/26/04 | 03/03/04 | 711 |  | 711 | 22 |
| 10 | 03/04/04 | 03/10/04 | 351 |  | 351 | 12 |
| 11 | 03/11/04 | 03/17/04 | 92 |  | 92 | 1 |
|  |  | Totals: | 10,224 | 11 | 10,235 | 301 |

a/ The fish ladder was open from September 8, 2003 through March 15, 2004 (Julian weeks 36-11). b/ Tagging site: WCW = Willow Creek Weir
c/ Entry week was the week the fish were initially sorted, although they may have actually entered the hatchery during the previous sorting week.
d/ Steelhead less than or equal to 41 cm FL are considered sub-adults.

## Run-size, Angler Harvest, and Spawner Escapement Estimates

We tagged and recovered too few grilse salmon to generate independent estimates for adults and grilse. Therefore, we combined the numbers of adults and grilse tagged and recovered for calculating the population estimate, and stratified the estimate based on the ratio of adults and grilse observed at each of the respective weirs.

## Spring Chinook Salmon

We estimated 47,795 (46,756 adults and 1,039 grilse) spring Chinook (including those harvested) migrated into the Trinity River basin upstream of JCW. Based on the Poisson Approximation, the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the run-size estimate was $42,805-53,633$ spring Chinook salmon (Table 14). We estimated that the spawning escapement above JCW was 44,723 adult fish, including 14,512 adult spring Chinook that entered TRH (Table 15). Mean spring Chinook run-size since 1978, excluding two years in which no estimate was made, is 19,018. Estimated spring Chinook run-size has ranged from 2,381 fish in 1991 to 62,692 fish in 1988 (Appendix 15). This year's run-size estimate was the third highest since 1978. Anglers caught and kept an estimated 2,033 ( $4.3 \%$ ) of the adults from the spring run (Table 15). We did not receive any tag returns by anglers from harvested grilse salmon.

## Fall Chinook Salmon

We estimated that 64,362 (62,815 adults and 1,547 grilse) fall Chinook (including those harvested) migrated into the Trinity River basin upstream of WCW. Based on the Poisson Approximation, the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the fall Chinook run-size estimate upstream of WCW was 59,226-70,096 (Table 14). We estimated the Trinity River fall Chinook spawner escapement, upstream of WCW, was 62,339 adult fish, including 29,752 adult fall Chinook that entered TRH (Table 15). We estimated that anglers harvested 155 ( $10.0 \%$ ) grilse and 1,868 (3.0\%) adults. The estimated total fall Chinook run-size, upstream of WCW, has ranged from 9,207 fish in 1991 to 147,888 fish in 1986 (Appendix 16). This year's fall Chinook estimated run-size of 64,362 fish is 1.5 times the mean fall Chinook run-size since 1977 of 43,806 fish.

## Coho Salmon

We estimated 28,152 (24,651 adults and 3,501 grilse) coho migrated upstream of WCW. Based on the Poisson Approximation, the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the coho run-size estimate upstream of WCW was 23,253-34,539 fish (Table 14). The spawning escapement estimate for coho upstream of WCW this year was 24,651 adult fish, 10,396 of which entered TRH (Table 15). Estimated coho salmon run-size, upstream of WCW, has ranged from 59,079 fish in 1987 to 852 fish in 1994 (Appendix 17). The mean run-size since 1977 is 16,997 fish. This year's coho estimate was 1.7 times larger than the long term average. There were no angler returned tags from harvested coho salmon this year, therefore we estimate that none of the coho migrating upstream of WCW were harvested (Table 15).

Table 14. Run-size estimates and confidence limits for Trinity River basin spring and fall-run Chinook and coho salmon, and adult fall-run steelhead during the 2003-2004 season.

| Species/ <br> race | Area of Trinity River basin for run size estimate | Stratum a/ | Trinity River Hatchery recoveries |  |  | Run-size estimate d/ | Confidence limits$1-p=0.95$ | Confidence limit estimator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number effectively tagged b/ | Number examined for tags c/ | Number of tags in sample |  |  |  |
| Spring-run chinook | Upstream of Junction City Weir | Grilse | 22 | 130 | 5 | 1,039 |  |  |
|  |  | Adults | 966 | 14,512 | 297 | 46,756 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 988 | 14,642 | 302 | 47,795 | 42,805-53,633 | Poisson Approximation |
| Fall-run chinook | Upstream of Willow Creek Weir | Grilse | 28 | 634 | 6 | 1,547 |  |  |
|  |  | Adults | 1,119 | 29,752 | 535 | 62,815 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 1,147 | 30,386 | 541 | 64,362 | 59,226-70,096 | Poisson Approximation |
| Coho | Upstream of Willow Creek Weir | Grilse | 38 | 1,463 | 12 | 3,501 |  |  |
|  |  | Adults | 196 | 10,396 | 86 | 24,651 |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 234 | 11,859 | 98 | 28,152 | 23,253-34,539 | Poisson Approximation |
| Fall-run steelhead | Upstream of Willow Creek Weir | Adults | 684 | 10,224 | 301 | 23,192 | 20,758-26,018 | Poisson Approximation |
| a/ Stratum: Grilse = two year old salmon, Adults = three years old or older, Steelhead adults were fish greater than 41 cm FL. <br> b/ The number of effectively tagged fish was corrected for tagging mortalities, fish not tagged and fish which had their tags removed (caught and released). <br> c/ Numbers of spring and fall-run Chinook were estimated from expansion of coded-wire-tag recoveries at Trinity River Hatchery, coho and steelhead numbers were actualy recoveries. <br> $\mathrm{d} /$ Estimates for grilse and adult spring and fall-run Chinook and salmon were based on proportioning the total run size by the ratio of grilse to adults observed at the respective weirs. Combined TRH and WCW grilse and adult ratios were used to proportion coho salmon estimates. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 15. Estimates of Trinity River basin spring and fall-run chinook and coho salmon, and adult fall-run steelhead run size, angler harvest, and spawner escapements during the 2003-2004 season.

| Species/ race | Area of Trinity River basin for run size estimate | Stratum a/ | Run-size estimate | Angler Harvest |  | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Harvest rate b/ | Number of fish cl | Natural d/ | Trinity River Hatchery | Total |
| Spring-run chinook | Upstream of | Grilse | 1,039 | 0.000 | 0 | 909 | 130 | 1,039 |
|  | Junction City Weir | Adults | 46,756 | 0.043 | 2,033 | 30,211 | 14,512 | 44,723 |
|  |  | Total | 47,795 | 0.043 | 2,033 | 31,120 | 14,642 | 45,762 |
| Fall-run chinook | Upstream of | Grilse | 1,547 | 0.100 | 155 | 758 | 634 | 1,392 |
|  | Willow Creek Weir | Adults | 62,815 | 0.030 | 1,868 | 31,195 | 29,752 | 60,947 |
|  |  | Total | 64,362 | 0.040 | 2,023 | 31,953 | 30,386 | 62,339 |
| Coho | Upstream of | Grilse | 3,501 | 0 | 0 | 2,038 | 1,463 | 3,501 |
|  | Willow Creek Weir | Adults | 24,651 | 0 | 0 | 14,255 | 10,396 | 24,651 |
|  |  | Total | 28,152 | 0 | 0 | 16,293 | 11,859 | 28,152 |
| Fall-run adult steelhead | Upstream of | Natural | 3,947 | 0.017 | 68 | 3,837 | 42 | 3,879 |
|  | Willow Creek Weir | Hatchery | 19,245 | 0.018 | 346 | 8,717 | 10,182 | 18,899 |
|  |  | Total | 23,192 |  | 414 | 12,554 | 10,224 | 22,778 |

a/ Stratum: Grilse = two year old salmon, Adults = three years old or older, Steelhead adults were fish greater than 41 cm FL.
b/ Harvest rates were based on the return of reward tags, except for coho, which were based on return of non-reward tags.
c/ Calculated as the run size times the harvest rate.
$\mathrm{d} /$ Calculated as run size minus angler harvest minus hatchery escapement.

## Adult Fall Steelhead

We estimated 23,192 adult fall-run steelhead migrated upstream of WCW this season. The 95\% confidence interval for our estimate, based on the Poisson Approximation, was 20,758-26,018 adult steelhead (Table 14). The adult steelhead spawning escapement was composed of 3,947 naturally produced fish and 19,245 steelhead of TRH origin. We estimate anglers harvested 68 wild and 346 TRH-produced steelhead (Table 15).

Intermittent fall steelhead run-size estimates made since 1980 have ranged from 37,276 in 1989 to 3,046 in 1992 (Appendix 18). Mean run-size for fall adult steelhead, for years in which we have estimates, is 11,034 fish.

## DISCUSSION

All the anadromous runs we monitor, spring and fall Chinook, coho and steelhead, were estimated to have had runs larger than the long term averages this season. All of these runs have experienced fairly good returns since 1995 (Appendicies 15-18), with the exception of fall Chinook in 2002, which fell victim to the large adult fish kill in the lower Klamath River.

The "fall fishery" flows released this season interrupted our trapping operations at JCW and prevented an earlier installation at WCW. It is unknown how these breaks in our trapping routine may have biased our estimates. We also noticed a slightly earlier arrival time for fall Chinook at JCW and TRH which suggests that the fall fishery flows did induce fall Chinook to migrate upstream out of the lower Klamath River. The consequence of this action is still not fully understood. Historically spring and fall Chinook spawning was spatially separated. Spatial separation no longer exists due to the construction of Lewiston and Trinity Dams. We are concerned that earlier run-timing for fall Chinook may cause a temporal overlap between spawning spring and fall Chinook salmon, both at TRH and in the wild. This racial mixing may lead to genetic changes in both populations that could result in intermediate life history traits such as run and spawn timing that will lead to greater difficulty in maintaining two distinct races of Chinook salmon.

Also of concern is our inability to fish JCW prior to late June or early July. Historically, we fished JCW beginning in May and observed peak numbers of spring Chinook in late May and early June. However, in these years spring flow releases from Lewiston Dam were much lower than under the current flow schedule. Thus, spring Chinook run-timing past JCW may have shifted in response to the recent increased flows. If a change in our trapping effectiveness (percentage of the run trapped) has occurred, a bias to our estimates may result. We investigated how a change in run-timing and coincident lowered number of fish trapped may influence our run-size estimates. We calculated run-size estimates for years where we had data from trapping in May and June through September and compared these data to recalculated estimates excluding the number of spring Chinook trapped in May and June. Based on six years of data, population estimates generated only using data from July through September were not significantly different from the whole season data (Appendix 19), although recalculated estimates did produce a small
positive bias in estimates.
Unaccounted tagging mortality creates a positive bias in all mark-recapture studies (Hankin 2001). Although we attempt to account for these mortalities through recovery of tagged fish found dead at the weirs or in carcass surveys, we can not be sure that all mortalities are recovered. Most of our tagging mortalities from WCW are observed during the early part of the season when water temperatures are high (near $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). We believe that tagging mortality is not a constant rate and is a function of water temperature. This postulation leads to difficulty in applying a potential tagging mortality rate for the season. Hankin (2001) concluded that tagging mortality could substantially positively bias our estimates. Using Hankin's example: if $90 \%$ of untagged fish passing WCW survive to arrive at TRH (assuming that they are otherwise programmed to arrive at that destination), but only $75 \%$ of WCW-tagged fish survive to arrive at TRH, then the approximate positive proportional bias would be almost $30 \%$. We have attempted to partially address this concern through our tagging protocols at the weirs. Fish are not tagged if deemed in poor condition, if they have already spawned, or if water temperatures exceed $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Tagging and recapture operations for adult spring and fall Chinook and coho salmon, and adult fall steelhead in the Trinity River basin should be continued during the migration season, using the capture sites near Willow Creek and Junction City.
2. An alternate weir site for the Junction City area should be investigated. The current site does not allow for trapping at flows that exceed approximately 800 cfs. Current releases from Lewiston Dam do not subside to this level until late June or early July which is after spring Chinook have already begun migrating to the upper Trinity basin. Ideally, we should commence trapping in mid to late May.
3. Continue to trap five (instead of four) nights-per-week with mid-day weir openings at the weirs. Preliminary data indicates that our trapping efficiency has increased using the five-day schedule, while reducing numbers of fish "stacking up" downstream of the weir.
4. Conduct snorkel surveys upstream of the weirs for several miles to recover any tagging mortalities.
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Appendix 1. List of Julian weeks and their calendar date equivalents.

| Julian Week | Inclusive dates |  |  | Julian Week | Inclusive dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1-Jan | - | 7-Jan | 27 | 2-Jul | - | 8-Jul |
| 2 | 8-Jan | - | 14-Jan | 28 | 9-Jul | - | 15-Jul |
| 3 | 15-Jan | - | 21-Jan | 29 | 16-Jul | - | 22-Jul |
| 4 | 22-Jan | - | 28-Jan | 30 | 23-Jul | - | 29-Jul |
| 5 | 29-Jan | - | 4-Feb | 31 | 30-Jul | - | 5-Aug |
| 6 | 5-Feb | - | 11-Feb | 32 | 6-Aug | - | 12-Aug |
| 7 | 12-Feb | - | 18-Feb | 33 | 13-Aug | - | 19-Aug |
| 8 | 19-Feb | - | 25-Feb | 34 | 20-Aug | - | 26-Aug |
| 9/a | 26-Feb | - | 4-Mar | 35 | 27-Aug | - | 2-Sep |
| 10 | 5-Mar | - | 11-Mar | 36 | 3-Sep | - | 9-Sep |
| 11 | 12-Mar | - | 18-Mar | 37 | 10-Sep | - | 16-Sep |
| 12 | 19-Mar | - | 25-Mar | 38 | 17-Sep | - | 23-Sep |
| 13 | 26-Mar | - | 1-Apr | 39 | 24-Sep | - | 30-Sep |
| 14 | 2-Apr | - | 8-Apr | 40 | 1-Oct | - | 7-Oct |
| 15 | 9-Apr | - | 15-Apr | 41 | 8-Oct | - | 14-Oct |
| 16 | 16-Apr | - | 22-Apr | 42 | 15-Oct | - | 21-Oct |
| 17 | 23-Apr | - | 29-Apr | 43 | 22-Oct | - | 28-Oct |
| 18 | 30-Apr | - | 6-May | 44 | 29-Oct | - | 4-Nov |
| 19 | 7-May | - | 13-May | 45 | 5-Nov | - | 11-Nov |
| 20 | 14-May | - | 20-May | 46 | 12-Nov | - | 18-Nov |
| 21 | 21-May | - | 27-May | 47 | 19-Nov | - | 25-Nov |
| 22 | 28-May | - | 3-Jun | 48 | 26-Nov | - | 2-Dec |
| 23 | 4-Jun | - | 10-Jun | 49 | 3-Dec | - | 9-Dec |
| 24 | 11-Jun | - | 17-Jun | 50 | 10-Dec | - | 16-Dec |
| 25 | 18-Jun | - | 24-Jun | 51 | 17-Dec | - | 23-Dec |
| 26 | 25-Jun | - | 1-Jul | $52 \mathrm{~b} /$ | 24-Dec | - | 31-Dec |

a/ Eight day week in each leap year.
b/ Eight day week every year.

Appendix 2. Fork length (FL) distribution of coded-wire-tagged, Trinity River Hatchery produced, spring-run Chinook salmon recovered at TRH during the 2003-2004 season. a/

| FL | Brood year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65282-f | 65283-f | 65288-y |  |
|  | $65250-\mathrm{y}$ | 65251-f | 65252-f | 65253-f | 65258-y | 65260-f | $\frac{\mathrm{Co}}{65261-f}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{d} \text {-wire-tag }}{65262-f}$ | mber and | $\frac{\text { ease type }}{65264-\mathrm{f}}$ | 65269-f | 65270-f | 65279-y | 65281-f |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  | 65258\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65279-1 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 49 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 1 | 0 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  | 4 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 6 |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 5 |
| 54 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 55 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 56 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 57 58 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{0}$ | 15 22 |  |  |  |  | 23 29 |
| 59 |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 60 |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 49 |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| 61 |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 39 |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| 62 63 |  | 0 1 |  |  | 7 3 | 8 14 | 10 3 | 8 | 9 8 | 3 9 | 11 20 | 7 | 32 25 |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| 64 |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  | 5 | ${ }_{9}^{14}$ | 8 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 92 |
| 65 |  | - |  |  | 8 | 13 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 24 | 12 | 33 |  |  |  |  | 125 |
| 66 |  | 1 |  |  | 4 | 16 | 21 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 17 | 10 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 115 |
| 67 |  | 0 |  |  | 15 | 5 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 24 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |  | 127 |
| 68 |  | 0 |  |  | 14 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 8 | 26 | 13 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 133 |
| 69 |  | 0 |  |  | 16 | 16 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 17 9 | 27 | 12 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 135 |
| 70 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 29 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 9 | 32 | 18 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 161 |
| 71 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 1 | 2 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 24 37 | ${ }_{4}^{6}$ | 12 | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ | 16 | 8 9 | 8 | 14 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 110 |
| 72 73 | 0 | 3 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 37 47 | 4 9 | 4 6 | 8 | ${ }_{12}^{9}$ | 9 4 | 15 17 | 8 | 6 5 |  |  |  |  | 106 |
| 74 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 55 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 17 | 15 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 142 |
| 75 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 62 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 24 | 12 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 132 |
| 76 | 0 | 7 | 1 5 | 3 5 5 | 60 73 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 11 9 | 5 | 10 6 | 9 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 121 |
| 77 78 | 0 | 2 | 5 4 | 5 7 | 73 64 | 1 | 11 2 | 3 | 9 5 | 7 | 6 4 | 8 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 139 112 |
| 79 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 73 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 119 |
| 80 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 68 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | 106 |
| 81 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 49 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 78 |
| 82 | 0 | 15 6 | 4 | 8 | 56 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| 83 84 | ${ }_{0}$ | 6 | 8 | 7 | 55 47 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 1 | 3 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 82 82 |
| 85 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 33 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |
| 86 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 35 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| 87 | 0 | 5 4 | 4 | 3 | 27 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |
| 88 89 | 0 1 | 4 | 4 0 | 3 3 | 27 23 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 41 31 |
| 90 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 91 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| ${ }_{93}^{92}$ | 0 | ${ }_{8}^{1}$ | 0 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 27 |
| 94 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 95 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 97 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{9}^{8}$ |
| 98 |  | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 99 100 |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | 0 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 5 |
| 101 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 103 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 104 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Totals: |  | 137 | $8{ }^{87}$ |  | 1,111 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{711} 22$ |  | ${ }_{510}^{2}$ | ${ }_{49}{ }^{8}$ | ${ }_{47}^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{3,320}$ |
| Means: | 86.3 | 83.9 | 84.4 | 84.3 | 79.2 | 68.4 | 69.0 | 69.2 | 70.7 | 70.0 | 69.7 | 71.1 | 63.7 | 51.0 | 49.3 | 47.5 | 46.0 | 73.3 |
| a/ The fish ladder was open from September 8, 2003 through March 15, 2004 (Julian Week 36-11). b/ Release type: $f=$ fingerlings, $y=$ yearlings. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 3. Fork length (FL) distribution of coded-wire-tagged, Trinity River Hatchery produced, fall-run Chinook salmon recovered at TRH during the 2003-2004 season. al

| $\begin{gathered} \text { FL } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Brood Year }}{2000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $65254-\mathrm{f}$ | 65255-f | $\frac{1999}{65256-f}$ | 65257-f | 65259-y | 65265-f | 65266-f | 65267-f | 65268-f | 65271-f | $\frac{\text { Coded }}{65272-f}$ | wire-tag number an$65273-\mathrm{f}$$65274-\mathrm{f}$ |  | 65275-f 65276 -f |  | $65277-f$ | 65278-f | 65280-y 65643-f |  | $65284-\mathrm{f}$ | 65285-f | $\frac{2001}{65286-\mathrm{f}}$ | 65287-f | 65289-y | 65290-f | Total |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 2 |  | 628 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 18 |  | 20 |
| ${ }_{48}^{47}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 10 14 |  | 11 16 |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 14 |
| 50 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 1 1 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 4 1 |  | 7 6 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | ${ }_{0}$ | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 13 |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{3}$ |  | $\frac{1}{3}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ${ }_{4}^{0}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |  | 7 |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 11 |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 25 |
| 57 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |  | 33 |
| 58 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 32 44 | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | 2 | ${ }_{0}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ |  | 37 51 |
| 59 60 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | ${ }_{77}$ | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 51 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 79 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 96 |
| 62 |  |  |  |  | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ |  | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ | 146 | ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 168 |
| 63 64 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }_{1}^{4}$ | 1 | 3 | 2 | ${ }_{4}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ | 180 | ${ }_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 203 |
| 65 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 192 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 273 |
| 66 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 222 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 305 |
| 67 68 |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 13 14 14 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ | 10 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ | 8 | 18 | 7 | 8 | 4 | ${ }_{181}^{226}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |
| 69 |  |  | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{25}$ | ${ }_{15}^{10}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 11 | ${ }^{3}$ | 19 | 13 | ${ }_{9}^{11}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }_{18}^{12}$ | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ | 10 | 5 | 153 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 316 |
| 70 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 42 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 17 | 12 | ${ }^{23}$ | 13 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 151 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 340 |
| 71 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 46 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 102 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 265 |
| 73 |  | 2 | ${ }_{1}$ | 2 | ${ }_{71}$ | 9 | ${ }_{4}^{14}$ | 5 | 3 | 17 | ${ }_{9}^{13}$ | 21 11 | 8 | 11 | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{4}^{8}$ | 1 | 108 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 327 |
| 74 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 87 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 54 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 257 |
| 75 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 109 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 241 |
| 76 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | 122 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }_{5}^{3}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 11 | 7 | 7 | 3 | ${ }_{7}^{11}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{24}^{36}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 229 |
| 77 78 | 1 | 1 | 4 5 | 4 | 155 185 | 5 4 | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ | 5 4 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 16 12 | ${ }_{10}$ | 10 12 | 3 3 3 | 7 | ${ }_{6}^{8}$ | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 265 |
| 79 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 153 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 217 |
| 80 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 200 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 278 |
| 81 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1 | 5 | 5 | 175 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 233 |
| 82 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 166 | 6 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 207 |
| 83 | 5 | 1 | ${ }_{6}$ | 5 | 166 | 1 |  | ${ }_{2}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 210 |
| 84 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 145 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 1 |  | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 189 |
| 85 86 | 7 | 2 | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ | 3 2 | 119 115 | 0 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 0 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | ${ }_{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| 87 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 84 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101 |
| 88 | ${ }_{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{3}^{1}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | 92 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105 |
| 89 | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | 3 0 0 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 69 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| ${ }_{91} 9$ | 4 | 1 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 54 |
| 92 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |
| 93 94 | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 1 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 45 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 40 |
| 95 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 96 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| ${ }_{98}^{97}$ | 0 |  | 1 |  | 13 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 7 |
| 99 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 100 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 102 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 103 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 104 105 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 106 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals: |  |  | 55 |  | 2,765 | ${ }^{131}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,313 |
| Means: | 85.9 | 81.7 | 84.0 | 81.7 | 81.2 | 72.2 | 72.7 | 71.8 | 68.3 | 72.7 | 72.0 | 72.1 | 72.4 | 72.0 | 72.3 | 70.4 | 70.9 | 66.8 | 71.5 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 52.5 | 53.4 | 47.5 | 49.0 | 73.7 |
| a/ The fish ladder was open from September 8, 2003 through March 15, 2004 (Julian Week 36-11). $b /$ Release type: $f=$ fingerlings, $y=$ yearlings. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 4. Fork length (FL) distribution of spring-run Chinook salmon trapped and tagged in the Trinity River at Junction City Weir and recovered atTrinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2003-04 season. a/

| FL (cm) | Total Trapped | Ad-clips b/ | Effective Tags c/ | TRH Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 36 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 37 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 38 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 39 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 40 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 41 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 |
| 42 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 45 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 46 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 47 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 51 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 52 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 53 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 54 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| 55 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 3 |
| 56 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 2 |
| 57 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 58 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 3 |
| 59 | 18 | 2 | 18 | 4 |
| 60 | 19 | 2 | 19 | 5 |
| 61 | 34 | 5 | 32 | 13 |
| 62 | 34 | 8 | 33 | 14 |
| 63 | 34 | 5 | 33 | 12 |
| 64 | 35 | 12 | 34 | 18 |
| 65 | 42 | 7 | 41 | 14 |
| 66 | 43 | 12 | 42 | 9 |
| 67 | 42 | 10 | 41 | 6 |
| 68 | 35 | 3 | 31 | 10 |
| 69 | 40 | 3 | 39 | 17 |
| 70 | 34 | 5 | 34 | 17 |
| 71 | 33 | 4 | 33 | 10 |
| 72 | 34 | 5 | 34 | 11 |
| 73 | 24 | 5 | 22 | 3 |
| 74 | 29 | 7 | 28 | 8 |
| 75 | 38 | 10 | 37 | 15 |
| 76 | 37 | 7 | 37 | 14 |
| 77 | 29 | 4 | 28 | 12 |
| 78 | 30 | 9 | 29 | 10 |
| 79 | 38 | 6 | 37 | 9 |
| 80 | 33 | 10 | 32 | 8 |
| 81 | 34 | 5 | 34 | 6 |
| 82 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 9 |
| 83 | 22 | 4 | 22 | 4 |
| 84 | 24 | 4 | 24 | 8 |
| 85 | 20 | 4 | 20 | 4 |
| 86 | 25 | 2 | 24 | 4 |
| 87 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 4 |
| 88 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 2 |
| 89 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 90 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| 91 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 92 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 93 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 94 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 95 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 96 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 97 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 101 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Totals: | 1,012 | 184 | 988 | 304 |
| Mean FL: | 71.8 | 71.8 | 71.9 | 70.7 |
| Total grilse d/: Total adults: | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 990 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 181 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 966 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 299 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

a/ Trapping at Junction City took place from July 21st through August 21st (Julian Weeks 29-34) and September 19th through October 7th week 38-40)
b/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
c/ Number of effectively tagged fish excludes fish that were not tagged, tagging mortalities, and fish that had their tags removed (caught and released) d/ Spring-run Chinook salmon less than or equal to 51 cm FL were considered grilse.

Appendix 5. Fork length (FL) distribution of fall-run Chinook salmon trapped and tagged at the Willow Creek Weir and recovered at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2003-04 season. a/

| FL (cm) | Total Trapped | Ad-clips b/ | Effective Tags c/ | TRH Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 41 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 46 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 |
| 47 | 2 |  | 2 | 0 |
| 48 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 |
| 49 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 51 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 52 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 53 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 54 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 55 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 56 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| 57 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 6 |
| 58 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| 59 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 60 | 16 | 4 | 16 | 10 |
| 61 | 25 | 9 | 21 | 14 |
| 62 | 29 | 6 | 26 | 11 |
| 63 | 28 | 9 | 24 | 18 |
| 64 | 53 | 10 | 46 | 25 |
| 65 | 49 | 5 | 46 | 29 |
| 66 | 53 | 9 | 52 | 30 |
| 67 | 52 | 12 | 48 | 25 |
| 68 | 57 | 10 | 55 | 29 |
| 69 | 45 | 10 | 39 | 20 |
| 70 | 64 | 17 | 63 | 38 |
| 71 | 47 | 11 | 45 | 18 |
| 72 | 33 | 8 | 30 | 18 |
| 73 | 44 | 10 | 42 | 22 |
| 74 | 50 | 9 | 46 | 18 |
| 75 | 46 | 14 | 41 | 20 |
| 76 | 25 | 7 | 23 | 10 |
| 77 | 31 | 11 | 27 | 13 |
| 78 | 39 | 8 | 32 | 13 |
| 79 | 46 | 13 | 41 | 22 |
| 80 | 51 | 8 | 44 | 22 |
| 81 | 27 | 6 | 26 | 9 |
| 82 | 37 | 12 | 34 | 14 |
| 83 | 36 | 8 | 35 | 15 |
| 84 | 24 | 7 | 24 | 12 |
| 85 | 31 | 2 | 27 | 8 |
| 86 | 32 | 7 | 31 | 10 |
| 87 | 21 | 2 | 17 | 6 |
| 88 | 15 | 4 | 15 | 4 |
| 89 | 15 | 2 | 15 | 5 |
| 90 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 3 |
| 91 | 13 | 1 | 13 | 3 |
| 92 | 15 | 4 | 13 | 3 |
| 93 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| 94 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| 95 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| 96 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 97 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 98 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 100 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 101 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 102 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 103 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 104 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 105 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 106 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Totals: | 1,248 | 265 | 1,147 | 542 |
| Mean FL: | 73.6 | 73.5 | 73.6 | 72.3 |
| Total grilse d/: Total adults: | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ 1.218 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 260 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 1.119 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 535 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total adults: | $1,218$ | $260$ | $1,119$ | 535 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from September 18th through November 14th (Julian Weeks 38-46).
b/ Ad-clip = Adipose fin clipped fish.
c/ Number of effectively tagged fish excludes fish that were not tagged, tagging mortalities, and fish that had their tags removed (caught and released)
d/ Fall-run Chinook salmon less than or equal to 54 cm FL were considered grilse.

Appendix 6. Fork length (FL) distribution of coho salmon trapped and tagged at the Willow Creek Weir and recovered at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2003-04 season. a/

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL (cm) | Total Trapped | RM-clips b/ | Effective Tags c/ | TRH Recoveries |
| 38 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 39 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 40 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 41 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 42 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 43 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 45 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 46 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 47 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 48 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 51 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 57 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 4 |
| 58 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| 59 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| 60 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 4 |
| 61 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 7 |
| 62 | 18 | 16 | 18 | 10 |
| 63 | 23 | 20 | 23 | 9 |
| 64 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 10 |
| 65 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 6 |
| 66 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 7 |
| 67 | 25 | 18 | 24 | 8 |
| 68 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
| 69 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| 70 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| 71 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 72 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 73 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 74 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 78 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 79 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 80 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 81 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 82 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 83 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Totals: | 250 | 215 | 234 | 98 |
| Mean FL: | 60.8 | 60.1 | 60.9 | 61.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total grilse d/: | 43 | 41 | 38 | 12 |
| Total adults: | 207 | 174 | 196 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from September 18th through November 14th (Julian Weeks 38-46). |  |  |  |  |
| b/ RM-clip = Right maxillary clipped fish. |  |  |  |  |
| c/ Number of effectively tagged fish excludes fish that w ere not tagged, tagging mortalities, and fish that had their tags |  |  |  |  |
| removed (caught and released). |  |  |  |  |
| d/ Coho salmon less than or equal to 53 cm FL w ere considered grilse. |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 7. Fork length (FL) distribution of fall-run steelhead trapped and tagged in the Trinity River at the Willow Creek and Junction City weirs, and recovered at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) during the 2003-2004 season.

| FL (cm) | Willow Creek Weir a/ |  |  |  |  | Junction City Weir a/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  | Effective | $\overline{T R H}$ | Total |  |
|  | Trapped | Ad-clips b/ | Other-clips c/ | Tags d/ |  | $\frac{\text { Trapped }}{2}$ | $\frac{\text { Ad-clips b/ }}{2}$ |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 3 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 5 | 2 |
| 51 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 3 |
| 52 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 53 | 5 | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 13 | 12 |
| 54 | 17 | 13 |  | 14 | 3 | 12 | 10 |
| 55 | 22 | 19 |  | 18 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
| 56 | 37 | 27 | 1 | 34 | 12 | 14 | 13 |
| 57 | 40 | 35 | 0 | 38 | 10 | 18 | 17 |
| 58 | 49 | 43 | 0 | 44 | 22 | 21 | 20 |
| 59 | 70 | 60 | 1 | 63 | 26 | 15 | 15 |
| 60 | 78 | 66 | 2 | 71 | 39 | 12 | 11 |
| 61 | 65 | 55 | 1 | 63 | 29 | 20 | 18 |
| 62 | 53 | 42 | 1 | 47 | 16 | 14 | 13 |
| 63 | 44 | 39 | 0 | 42 | 27 | 14 | 12 |
| 64 | 46 | 39 | 1 | 42 | 21 | 6 | 5 |
| 65 | 41 | 35 | 0 | 39 | 26 | 5 | 5 |
| 66 | 30 | 24 | 0 | 30 | 12 | 2 | 2 |
| 67 | 29 | 24 | 0 | 25 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| 68 | 31 | 22 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 2 | 1 |
| 69 | 26 | 18 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 2 |
| 70 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| 71 | 16 | 14 |  | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 72 | 11 | 7 |  | 10 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 73 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 74 | 5 | 4 |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 75 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 76 | 4 | 3 |  | 4 | , |  |  |
| 77 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 78 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 79 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 80 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 81 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 82 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Totals: | 752 | 615 | 9 | 684 | 301 | 211 | 187 |
| Mean FL: | 62.0 | 61.9 | 62.4 | 62.0 | 62.3 | 58.7 | 58.6 |
| Total 1/2 pounders e/: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Total adults: | 752 | 615 | 9 | 684 | 301 | 208 | 184 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from September 18th through November 14th (Julian Weeks 38-46) and at Junction City from July 21 through August 21 (julian weeks 29-34) and September 19 through October 7 (Julian weeks 38-40).
b/ Ad clips= Adipose fin clipped fish.
c/ Other clips include: 3-ADLM (adipose fin and left maxillary clip); 3-ADRM (adipose fin and right maxillary clip);
2-LM (left maxillary clip) and 1-RM (right maxillary clip).
d/ Number of effectively tagged fish excludes fish that were not tagged, tagging mortalities, and fish that had their tags removed (caught and released).
e/ Steelhead less than or equal to 41 cm FL were considered half pounders.
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Appendix 8. Fork Length (FL) distribution of Ad-clipped and non-clipped fall-run steelhead trapped in the Trinity River at Willow Creek and Junction City weirs and that entered Trinity River Hatchery during the 2003-2004 season.

| FL (cm) | Recovery Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Willow Creek Weir a/ |  |  | Junction City Weir a/ |  | Trinity River Hatchery b/ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unmarked | Ad-clips c/ | Other-clips d/ | Unmarked | Ad-clips c/ | Unmarked | Ad-clips c/ |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 37 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| 38 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 4 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 47 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 48 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| 49 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 50 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 0 | 28 |
| 51 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 69 |
| 52 | 0 | 4 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 73 |
| 53 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 | 12 | 0 | 97 |
| 54 | 4 | 13 |  | 2 | 10 | 1 | 170 |
| 55 | 3 | 19 |  | 1 | 10 | 0 | 265 |
| 56 | 9 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 415 |
| 57 | 5 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 498 |
| 58 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 685 |
| 59 | 9 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 771 |
| 60 | 10 | 66 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 1,061 |
| 61 | 9 | 55 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 894 |
| 62 | 10 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 906 |
| 63 | 5 | 39 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 784 |
| 64 | 6 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 744 |
| 65 | 6 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 585 |
| 66 | 6 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 486 |
| 67 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 351 |
| 68 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 327 |
| 69 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 264 |
| 70 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 175 |
| 71 | 2 | 14 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| 72 | 4 | 7 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 84 |
| 73 | 0 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 48 |
| 74 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | 2 | 54 |
| 75 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 25 |
| 76 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 | 30 |
| 77 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 24 |
| 78 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 16 |
| 79 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 17 |
| 80 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 9 |
| 81 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| 82 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Totals: | 128 | 615 | 9 | 24 | 187 | 42 | 10,193 |
| Mean FL: | 62.4 | 61.9 | 62.4 | 59.1 | 58.6 | 62.8 | 61.7 |
| Total 1/2 pounders e/ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| Total adults: | 128 | 615 | 9 | 24 | 184 | 42 | 10,182 |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from September 18th through November 14th (Julian Weeks 38-46) and at Junction City from July 21st through August 21st (Julian weeks 29-34) and September 19th through October 7th (Julian weeks 38-40).
b/ The fish ladder was open from September 8th through March 15th (Julian Weeks 36-11).
c/ Ad clips= Adipose fin clipped fish.
d/ Other clips include: 3-ADLM (adipose fin and left maxillary clip); 3-ADRM (adipose fin and right maxillary clip); 2-LM (left maxillary clip) and 1-RM (right maxillary clip).
e/ Steelhead less than or equal to 41 cm FL were considered half pounders.

Appendix 9 . Fork Length (FL) distribution of spring-run Chinook salmon tagged at Junction City Weir and subsequently recovered during the 20032004 season. a/

| FL (cm) | Total Tagged | Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recoveries | \% Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tag Morts b/ | Carcass c/ Recoveries | TRH d/ Recoveries | Angler Released el | Angler Harvest f/ | Angler Found Tags g/ |  |  |
| 35 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 36 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 37 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 38 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 39 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | . |
| 41 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 42 | 2 |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 43 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | . |
| 44 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 45 | 4 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 50.0 |
| 46 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 48 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 49 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 50 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 100.0 |
| 52 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 53 | 3 |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 66.7 |
| 54 | 4 |  | U | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 50.0 |
| 55 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 6 | 60.0 |
| 56 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 33.3 |
| 57 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 60.0 |
| 58 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 |  | 4 | 44.4 |
| 59 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  | 0 |  | 5 | 27.8 |
| 60 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 7 | 36.8 |
| 61 | 34 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 0 |  | 17 | 50.0 |
| 62 | 34 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 1 |  | 20 | 58.8 |
| 63 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 3 |  | 18 | 52.9 |
| 64 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 |  | 21 | 60.0 |
| 65 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 2 |  | 21 | 50.0 |
| 66 | 43 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 |  | 13 | 30.2 |
| 67 | 42 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 |  | 13 | 31.0 |
| 68 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 |  | 15 | 42.9 |
| 69 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 1 |  | 23 | 57.5 |
| 70 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 2 |  | 20 | 58.8 |
| 71 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  | 12 | 36.4 |
| 72 | 34 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 1 |  | 16 | 47.1 |
| 73 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 25.0 |
| 74 | 29 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 13 | 44.8 |
| 75 | 38 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 2 |  | 22 | 57.9 |
| 76 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 |  | 15 | 40.5 |
| 77 | 29 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  | 16 | 55.2 |
| 78 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 |  | 14 | 46.7 |
| 79 | 38 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 3 |  | 16 | 42.1 |
| 80 | 33 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 15 | 45.5 |
| 81 | 34 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 |  | 10 | 29.4 |
| 82 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  | 11 | 36.7 |
| 83 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 27.3 |
| 84 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 11 | 45.8 |
| 85 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 25.0 |
| 86 | 25 | 0 |  | 4 | 1 | 0 |  | 5 | 20.0 |
| 87 | 13 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 6 | 46.2 |
| 88 | 9 |  |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 2 | 22.2 |
| 89 | 7 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 90 | 8 |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 12.5 |
| 91 | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 92 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 20.0 |
| 93 | 4 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 94 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 66.7 |
| 95 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 96 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 97 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 98 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | . |
| 99 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 100 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | , |
| 101 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Grilse: h/ | 22 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 31.8 |
| Adults: | $990$ | 16 | 73 | 299 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 420 | 42.4 |
| Total: | 1,012 | 16 | 75 | 304 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 427 | 42.2 |
| Mean FL: | 71.8 | 71.8 | 71.0 | 70.7 | 67.3 | 70.8 |  | 70.7 |  |
| a/ Trapping at Junction City took place from July 21st through August 21st (Julian Weeks 29-34) and September 19th through October 7th (Julian Weeks 38-40). <br> b/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging. <br> c/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys. <br> d/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery <br> e/ Fish reported as caught and released by anglers. <br> f/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers. <br> $\mathrm{g} /$ Tags found on dead fish or found unattached. <br> $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{Grilse}$ were considered fish less than or equal to 51 cm FL. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 10 . Fork Length (FL) distribution of fall-run Chinook salmon tagged at Willow Creek Weir and subsequently recovered during the 2003-04 season a/.

|  | Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recoveries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL (cm) | Total Tagged | Tag Morts b/ | Carcass c/ Recoveries | TRH d/ Recoveries | $\begin{gathered} \text { Angler } \\ \text { Released e/ } \end{gathered}$ | Angler Harvest f/ | Angler Found Tags g/ |  | \% Recoveries |
| 40 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 41 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 42 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 43 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 44 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 45 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 46 | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 47 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 48 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 49 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 4 |  |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 2 | 50.0 |
| 51 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 53 | 5 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 55 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 56 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 57 | 8 |  |  | 6 |  | 0 |  | 6 | 75.0 |
| 58 | 6 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 33.3 |
| 59 | 5 |  |  | 3 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 60.0 |
| 60 | 16 |  | 1 | 10 |  | 0 |  | 11 | 68.8 |
| 61 | 22 |  | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 |  | 17 | 77.3 |
| 62 | 26 |  | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 53.8 |
| 63 | 25 |  | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 |  | 20 | 80.0 |
| 64 | 46 |  | 6 | 25 | 0 | 3 |  | 34 | 73.9 |
| 65 | 47 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 |  | 31 | 66.0 |
| 66 | 52 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 1 |  | 33 | 63.5 |
| 67 | 50 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 1 |  | 32 | 64.0 |
| 68 | 55 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 1 |  | 33 | 60.0 |
| 69 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 0 |  | 25 | 62.5 |
| 70 | 63 | 0 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 3 |  | 44 | 69.8 |
| 71 | 45 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 1 |  | 23 | 51.1 |
| 72 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1 |  | 21 | 70.0 |
| 73 | 42 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 1 |  | 26 | 61.9 |
| 74 | 46 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 2 |  | 23 | 50.0 |
| 75 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 3 |  | 28 | 66.7 |
| 76 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 |  | 13 | 56.5 |
| 77 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 |  | 15 | 55.6 |
| 78 | 34 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 1 |  | 20 | 58.8 |
| 79 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 |  | 24 | 58.5 |
| 80 | 44 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 0 |  | 25 | 56.8 |
| 81 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 |  | 12 | 46.2 |
| 82 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 |  | 16 | 47.1 |
| 83 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 |  | 17 | 48.6 |
| 84 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 |  | 13 | 54.2 |
| 85 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 |  | 13 | 46.4 |
| 86 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 11 | 35.5 |
| 87 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  | 0 |  | 6 | 35.3 |
| 88 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  | 0 |  | 5 | 33.3 |
| 89 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 5 |  | 0 |  | 6 | 40.0 |
| 90 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 40.0 |
| 91 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 30.8 |
| 92 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 23.1 |
| 93 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 50.0 |
| 94 | 5 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 20.0 |
| 95 | 5 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 60.0 |
| 96 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 97 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 98 | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 99 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 100 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 101 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 102 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 103 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 104 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 105 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 106 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Grilse: h/ | 28 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 25.0 |
| Adults: | 1,130 | 2 | 69 | 536 | 9 | 26 | 1 | 643 | 56.9 |
| Total: | 1,158 | 2 | 69 | 542 | 9 | 27 | 1 | 650 | 56.1 |
| Mean FL: | 73.6 | 80.0 | 73.1 | 72.3 | 71.4 | 72.3 | 62.0 | 72.4 |  |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from September 18th through November 14th (Julian Weeks 38-46).
b/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging
c/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
d/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery
e/ Fish reported as caught and released by anglers.
$\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{Fish}$ reported as harvested by anglers.
g/ Tags found on dead fish or found unattached.
h/ Grilse were considered fish less than or equal to 54 cm FL.

Appendix 11 . Fork Length (FL) distribution of coho salmon tagged at Willow Creek Weir and subsequently recovered during the 2003-04 season a /.

| FL (cm) | Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Tagged | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Tag } \\ \text { Morts b/ } \end{gathered}$ | Carcass c/ Recoveries | TRH d/ Recoveries | Angler Released e/ | Angler Harvest f/ | Angler Found Tags g/ |  |  |
| 38 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 39 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 41 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 42 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 43 | 4 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 44 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 45 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 46 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 100.0 |
| 47 | 4 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 48 | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 75.0 |
| 49 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | . |
| 50 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 51 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 53 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 54 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 55 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 56 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 66.7 |
| 57 | 10 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 40.0 |
| 58 | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 50.0 |
| 59 | 8 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 37.5 |
| 60 | 15 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 33.3 |
| 61 | 12 |  | 1 | 7 | 0 |  |  | 8 | 66.7 |
| 62 | 18 |  | 0 | 10 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 55.6 |
| 63 | 23 |  | 3 | 9 | 0 |  |  | 12 | 52.2 |
| 64 | 15 |  | 0 | 9 | 1 |  |  | 10 | 66.7 |
| 65 | 12 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  | 7 | 58.3 |
| 66 | 12 |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  | 8 | 66.7 |
| 67 | 24 |  | 0 | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | 33.3 |
| 68 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 50.0 |
| 69 | 6 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 16.7 |
| 70 | 8 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 | 62.5 |
| 71 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 72 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 33.3 |
| 73 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 20.0 |
| 74 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 75 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 76 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 77 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 78 | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 79 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 80 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 81 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 82 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 83 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| Grilse: $\mathrm{h} /$ | 38 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 31.6 |
| Adults: | 199 | 1 | 7 | 86 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 48.2 |
| Total: | 237 | 1 | 7 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 45.6 |
| Mean FL: | 60.9 | 68.0 | 64.4 | 61.7 | 62.0 |  |  | 61.9 |  |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from September 18th through November 14th (Julian Weeks 38-46).
b/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging.
c/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
d/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
e/ Fish reported as caught and released by anglers.
$\mathrm{f} /$ Fish reported as harvested by anglers.
$\mathrm{g} /$ Tags found on dead fish or found unattached.
$\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{Grilse}$ were considered fish less than or equal to 53 cm FL.

Appendix 12 . Fork Length (FL) distribution of fall-run steelhead tagged at Willow Creek Weir and subsequently recovered during the 2003-04 season. a/

| $\mathrm{FL}(\mathrm{cm})$ |  | Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  | Total Recoveries | \% <br> Recoveries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Tagged | Tag Morts b/ | Carcass Recoveries c/ | TRH d/ Recoveries | Angler Released e/ | Angler Harvest f/ | Angler Found Tags g/ |  |  |
| 48 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 100.0 |
| 49 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 3 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 51 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 25.0 |
| 53 | 5 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 40.0 |
| 54 | 17 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  | 6 | 35.3 |
| 55 | 22 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 3 |  | 13 | 59.1 |
| 56 | 37 |  |  | 12 | 3 | 1 |  | 16 | 43.2 |
| 57 | 40 |  |  | 10 | 2 | 1 |  | 13 | 32.5 |
| 58 | 47 |  |  | 22 | 3 | 0 |  | 25 | 53.2 |
| 59 | 66 |  |  | 26 | 3 | 3 |  | 32 | 48.5 |
| 60 | 75 |  |  | 39 | 4 | 0 |  | 43 | 57.3 |
| 61 | 65 |  |  | 29 | 2 | 1 |  | 32 | 49.2 |
| 62 | 52 |  |  | 16 | 5 | 0 |  | 21 | 40.4 |
| 63 | 43 |  |  | 27 | 1 | 0 |  | 28 | 65.1 |
| 64 | 44 |  |  | 21 | 2 | 0 |  | 23 | 52.3 |
| 65 | 41 |  |  | 26 | 2 | 0 |  | 28 | 68.3 |
| 66 | 30 |  |  | 12 | 0 | 1 |  | 13 | 43.3 |
| 67 | 26 |  |  | 9 | 1 | 0 |  | 10 | 38.5 |
| 68 | 29 |  |  | 13 | 3 | 0 |  | 16 | 55.2 |
| 69 | 25 |  |  | 9 | 1 | 0 |  | 10 | 40.0 |
| 70 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 18.2 |
| 71 | 15 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 2 |  | 8 | 53.3 |
| 72 | 11 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 45.5 |
| 73 | 5 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 60.0 |
| 74 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 50.0 |
| 75 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 50.0 |
| 76 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 50.0 |
| 77 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | - |
| 78 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 100.0 |
| 79 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 80 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 81 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | - |
| 82 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |
| Adults: | 729 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 358 | 49.1 |
| Mean FL: | 61.9 |  |  | 62.3 | 60.9 | 60.3 |  | 62.0 |  |

a/ Trapping at Willow Creek took place from September 18th through November 14th (Julian Weeks 38-46).
b/ Tagged fish found dead and unspawned within 30 days of tagging.
c/ Fish recovered in upper Trinity River spawner surveys.
d/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
e/ Fish reported as caught and released by anglers.
f/ Fish reported as harvested by anglers.
$\mathrm{g} /$ Tags found on dead fish or found unattached.

Appendix 13. Summary of California Fish and Game Commission regulations that affected salmonid harvest in the Trinity River during the 2003-2004 season. ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$

| Body of Water | Open Season and Special Regulations ${ }^{\text {b/ }}$ | Daily Bag Limit (if Different from general bag limits in subsection 7.50(b)(91.1)(D))*. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Trinity River and Tributaries. |  |  |
| a. Trinity River main stem from 250 feet below Lewiston Dam to Old Lewiston bridge. | Last Saturday in Apr. through Sept.15. Only artificial flies with barbless hooks may be used. | 0 |
| b. Trinity River main stem from Old Lewiston bridge to the Highway 299 West bridge at Cedar Flat. | Fourth Saturday in May through Mar. 31. | Quota Area. Also see subsection (b)(91.1)(C)**. |
| c. Canyon Creek above the falls located about four miles above the wilderness boundary. | Last Saturday in Apr. through Nov.15. | 2 |
| d. Trinity River main stem from the Highway 299 West bridge at Cedar Flat downstream to the Hawkins Bar Bridge (Road to Denny) | Fourth Saturday in May through Aug. 31 and Dec. 1 through Mar. 31. | See subsection (b)(91.1)(D)* |
| e. Trinity River main stem from Hawkins Bar Bridge (Road to Denny) to the confluence with the Klamath River. | Fourth Saturday in May through Mar. 31. | Quota Area. Also see subsection (b)(91.1)(C)*** |
| f. Trinity River South Fork downstream from the mouth of Grouse Creek. | Fourth Saturday in May through Mar. 31. | 1 hatchery trout or 1 hatchery steelhead 0 king salmon |
| g. Trinity River South Fork from the mouth of Grouse Creek to the South Fork Trinity River bridge at Hyampom. | Nov. 1. through Mar. 31. | 1 hatchery trout or 1 hatchery steelhead 0 king salmon |
| h. Hayfork Creek main stem, from Highway 3 bridge in Hayfork downstream to the mouth. | Fourth Saturday in May through Mar. 31. Only artificial lures with barbless hooks may be used. | 0 |

*(b)(91.1)(D) In anadromous waters of the Trinity River basin, except for those with special bag limits provided above, the daily trout/salmon bag limit is three king salmon, but no more than one king salmon over 22 inches total length, and 1 hatchery trout or brown trout or 1 hatchery steelhead. No more than 4 king salmon over 22 inches total length may be retained in any 7 consecutive days. No more than 12 king salmon may be possessed, of which no more than 4 may be over 22 inches total length.
**(b)(91.1)(C)(c)(ii) No salmon over 22 inches total length may be retained after $50 \%$ of the basin quota has been taken in the Klamath River basin above Coon Creek Falls. Exception: King salmon over 22 inches total length may be retained from the Old Lewiston Bridge to the mouth of Indian Creek when the adult fall-run king salmon spawning escapement at Trinity River Hatchery exceeds 4,800 fish.
***(b)(91.1)(C)(e)(ii) No salmon over 22 inches total length may be retained after $50 \%$ of the basin quota has been taken in the Klamath River basin above Coon Creek Falls.
(b)(91.1)(E) All anadromous waters of the Trinity River basin are closed to all fishing all year except those listed above.
a/. From State of California, Fish and Game Commission, California Code of Regulations for 2003, Title 14. Natural Resources, Division 1. Fish and Game Commission-Department of Fish and Game, Supplemental regulations, Section 7.50(b)(91.1).
b/. The 2003 Klamath River basin quota is 10,800 king salmon over 22 inches total length.

|  |  | Right maxillary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FL (cm) | Unmarked | Other <br> Clips c/ | Total |

a/ The fish ladder was open from September 8th through March 15th (Julian Weeks 36-11).
b/ Beginning with the 1994 brood, all coho salmon reared at Trinity River Hatchery received a right maxillary clip prior to release. c/ Other clips include: 1 AD (adipose fin clip); 6 ADRM (adipose fin clip and right maxillary clip); 4 LM (left maxillary clip).

Appendix 15. Spring-run Chinook salmon run-size, spawner escapement and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Junction City Creek Weir from 1977 through 2003

| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse |  | Adults |  | Total | Natural |  | Total | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Grilse | Adults | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |  |  |  | Aduls |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  | no estimates |  |  |  | no estimates |  | 385 | 1,124 | 1,509 | no estimates |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 190 | 1.0\% | 18,816 | 99.0\% | 19,006 | 29 | 14,384 | 14,413 | 153 | 3,680 | 3,833 | 8 | 752 | a/ | 760 |
| 1979 | 113 | 1.4\% | 7,964 | 98.6\% | 8,077 | 0 | 5,008 | 5,008 | 113 | 1,658 | 1,771 | o | 1,298 |  | 1,298 |
| 1980 | 1,949 | 45.9\% | 2,301 | 54.1\% | 4,250 | 1,312 | 1,614 | 2,926 | 353 | 547 | 900 | 284 | 140 |  | 424 |
| 1981 | 347 | 4.2\% | 7,913 | 95.8\% | 8,260 | 242 | 3,362 | 3,604 | 95 | 2,405 | 2,500 | 10 | 2,146 |  | 2,156 |
| 1982 | 656 | 10.3\% | 5,731 | 89.7\% | 6,387 | 387 | 3,868 | 4,255 | 150 | 1,226 | 1,376 | 119 | 637 |  | 756 |
| 1983 |  |  | no estimates |  |  |  | no estimates |  | 385 | 930 | 1,315 | no estimates |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 255 | 9.4\% | 2,465 | 90.6\% | 2,720 | 140 | 1,354 | 1,494 | 76 | 736 | 812 | 39 | 375 |  | 414 |
| 1985 | 1,434 | 14.8\% | 8,278 | 85.2\% | 9,712 | 799 | 4,897 | 5,696 | 508 | 2,645 | 3,153 | 127 | 736 | b/ | 863 |
| 1986 | 7,018 | 23.1\% | 23,403 | 76.9\% | 30,421 | 4,335 | 13,371 | 17,706 | 1,461 | 7,083 | 8,544 | 1,222 | 2,949 |  | 4,171 |
| 1987 | 4,858 | 9.5\% | 46,016 | 90.5\% | 50,874 | 2,577 | 29,083 | 31,660 | 1,387 | 8,466 | 9,853 | 894 | 8,467 |  | 9,361 |
| 1988 | 720 | 1.1\% | 61,972 | 98.9\% | 62,692 | 241 | 39,329 | 39,570 | 377 | 13,905 | 14,282 | 102 | 8,738 |  | 8,840 |
| 1989 | 502 | 1.9\% | 25,804 | 98.1\% | 26,306 | 435 | 18,241 | 18,676 | 17 | 4,983 | 5,000 | 50 | 2,580 |  | 2,630 |
| 1990 | 265 | 4.1\% | 6,123 | 95.9\% | 6,388 | 126 | 2,880 | 3,006 | 104 | 2,433 | 2,537 | 35 | 810 |  | 845 |
| 1991 | 190 | 8.0\% | 2,191 | 92.0\% | 2,381 | 92 | 1,268 | 1,360 | 71 | 614 | 685 | 27 | 309 |  | 336 |
| 1992 | 1,671 | 41.5\% | 2,359 | 58.5\% | 4,030 | 944 | 942 | 1,886 | 533 | 1,313 | 1,846 | 194 | 104 | b/ | 298 |
| 1993 | 68 | 1.3\% | 5,164 | 98.7\% | 5,232 | 37 | 2,111 | 2,148 | 31 | 2,630 | 2,661 | 0 | 423 | b/ | 423 |
| 1994 | 1,793 | 26.4\% | 4,995 | 73.6\% | 6,788 | 550 | 2,897 | 3,447 | 944 | 1,943 | 2,887 | 299 | 155 | b/ | 454 |
| 1995 |  |  | no estimates |  |  |  | no estimates |  | 385 | 8,722 | 9,107 | no estimates |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 489 | 2.1\% | 22,927 | 97.9\% | 23,416 | 370 | 16,283 | 16,653 | 119 | 5,131 | 5,250 | o | 1,513 | b/ | 1,513 |
| 1997 | 768 | 3.8\% | 19,271 | 96.2\% | 20,039 | 543 | 13,049 | 13,592 | 225 | 4,892 | 5,117 | o | 1,330 | b/ | 1,330 |
| 1998 | 802 | 5.0\% | 15,365 | 95.0\% | 16,167 | 567 | 9,057 | 9,624 | 184 | 4,679 | 4,863 | 51 | 1,629 | b/ | 1,680 |
| 1999 | 1,028 | 9.1\% | 10,265 | 90.9\% | 11,293 | 440 | 5,968 | 6,408 | 547 | 3,671 | 4,218 | 41 | 626 | b/ | 667 |
| 2000 | 2,159 | 8.3\% | 23,923 | 91.7\% | 26,082 | 1,264 | 10,846 | 12,110 | 571 | 11,594 | 12,165 | 324 | 1,483 | b/ | 1,807 |
| 2001 | 2,065 | 10.5\% | 17,556 | 89.5\% | 19,621 | 1,178 | 10,284 | 11,462 | 629 | 6,366 | 6,995 | 258 | 906 |  | 1,164 |
| 2002 | 2,575 | 6.7\% | 35,910 | 93.3\% | 38,485 | 1,883 | 23,674 | 25,557 | 617 | 10,440 | 11,057 | 75 | 1,796 |  | 1,871 |
| 2003 | 1,039 | 2.2\% | 46,756 | 97.8\% | 47,795 | 909 | 30,211 | 31,120 | 130 | 14,512 | 14,642 | o | 2,033 |  | 2,033 |

Trinity River Spring-run Chinook Run-size Estimates Upstream of Junction City Weir

a/ The 1978 sport harvest of spring-run chinook was limited by a salmon fishing closure beginning 25 August 1978
b/ The sport harvest of adult spring-run chinook was limited by fishing closures to the taking chinook salmon greater than or equal to 56 cm total length during these years. The closures took effect 22 September in 1985, 5 November 1992, 9 October 1994. The Trinity River was subject to seasonal closures during the $1995-03$ seasons
commencing 9 September in the lower river. Various periods of opening and closures (seasons) were instituted along the river through November 30 .

Appendix 16. Fall-run Chinook salmon run-size, spawner escapement and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek Weir from 1977 through 2003.

|  | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse |  | Adults |  | Total |  Natural <br> Grilse Adults |  | Total | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Grilse | Adults | Total |
|  |  |  | Grilse | Adults |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 14,318 | 43.5\% |  |  | 18,596 | 56.5\% | 32,914 |  | 9,737 | 13,501 | 23,238 | 2,177 | 2,035 | 4,212 | 2,404 | 3,060 | 5,464 |
| 1978 | 6,037 | 14.0\% | 37,086 | 86.0\% | 43,123 | 4,712 | 31,052 | 35,764 | 1,325 | 6,034 | 7,359 | Fishing | sure a/ | o |
| 1979 | 5,665 | 35.0\% | 10,520 | 65.0\% | 16,185 | 3,936 | 8,028 | 11,964 | 964 | 1,335 | 2,299 | 765 | 1,157 | 1,922 |
| 1980 | 21,549 | 62.7\% | 12,797 | 37.3\% | 34,346 | 16,837 | 7,700 | 24,537 | 2,256 | 4,099 | 6,355 | 2,456 | 998 | 3,454 |
| 1981 | 8,366 | 28.6\% | 20,884 | 71.4\% | 29,250 | 5,906 | 15,340 | 21,246 | 1,004 | 2,370 | 3,374 | 1,456 | 3,174 | 4,630 |
| 1982 | 14,938 | 52.2\% | 13,653 | 47.8\% | 28,591 | 8,149 | 9,274 | 17,423 | 4,235 | 2,058 | 6,293 | 2,554 | 2,321 | 4,875 |
| 1983 | 1,240 | 4.7\% | 25,138 | 95.3\% | 26,378 | 853 | 17,284 | 18,137 | 271 | 5,494 | 5,765 | 116 | 2,360 | 2,476 |
| 1984 | 4,575 | 34.8\% | 8,556 | 65.2\% | 13,131 | 3,416 | 5,654 | 9,070 | 766 | 2,166 | 2,932 | 393 | 736 | 1,129 |
| 1985 | 53,062 | 81.6\% | 11,954 | 18.4\% | 65,016 | 29,454 | 9,217 | 38,671 | 18,166 | 2,583 | 20,749 | 5,442 | 154 b/ | 5,596 |
| 1986 | 27,506 | 18.6\% | 120,382 | 81.4\% | 147,888 | 20,459 | 92,548 | 113,007 | 3,609 | 15,795 | 19,404 | 3,438 | 12,039 | 15,477 |
| 1987 | 9,325 | 8.9\% | 95,287 | 91.1\% | 104,612 | 5,949 | 71,920 | 77,869 | 2,453 | 13,934 | 16,387 | 923 | 9,433 | 10,356 |
| 1988 | 18,113 | 20.3\% | 71,309 | 79.7\% | 89,422 | 10,626 | 44,616 | 55,242 | 4,752 | 17,352 | 22,104 | 2,735 | 9,341 | 12,076 |
| 1989 | 2,991 | 6.4\% | 43,631 | 93.6\% | 46,622 | 2,543 | 29,445 | 31,988 | 239 | 11,132 | 11,371 | 209 | 3,054 | 3,263 |
| 1990 | 634 | 6.3\% | 9,358 | 93.7\% | 9,992 | 241 | 7,682 | 7,923 | 371 | 1,348 | 1,719 | 22 | 328 | 350 |
| 1991 | 681 | 7.4\% | 8,526 | 92.6\% | 9,207 | 382 | 4,867 | 5,249 | 205 | 2,482 | 2,687 | 94 | 1,177 | 1,271 |
| 1992 | 2,932 | 20.7\% | 11,232 | 79.3\% | 14,164 | 2,563 | 7,139 | 9,702 | 211 | 3,779 | 3,990 | 158 | 314 b/ | 472 |
| 1993 | 3,381 | 32.2\% | 7,104 | 67.8\% | 10,485 | 2,473 | 5,898 | 8,371 | 736 | 815 | 1,551 | 172 | 391 b/ | 563 |
| 1994 | 7,494 | 34.2\% | 14,430 | 65.8\% | 21,924 | 2,505 | 10,906 | 13,411 | 4,442 | 3,264 | 7,706 | 547 | 260 b/ | 807 |
| 1995 | 9,892 | 9.4\% | 95,833 | 90.6\% | 105,725 | 9,262 | 77,876 | 87,138 | 76 | 15,178 | 15,254 | 554 | 2,779 b/ | 3,333 |
| 1996 | 5,072 | 9.1\% | 50,574 | 90.9\% | 55,646 | 4,478 | 42,646 | 47,124 | 249 | 6,411 | 6,660 | 345 | 1,517 b/ | 1,862 |
| 1997 | 3,767 | 17.6\% | 17,580 | 82.4\% | 21,347 | 2,845 | 11,507 | 14,352 | 820 | 5,387 | 6,207 | 102 | 686 b/ | 788 |
| 1998 | 2,307 | 5.3\% | 40,882 | 94.7\% | 43,189 | 1,974 | 24,460 | 26,434 | 192 | 14,296 | 14,488 | 141 | 2,126 b/ | 2,267 |
| 1999 | 6,583 | 35.6\% | 11,933 | 64.4\% | 18,516 | 4,154 | 6,753 | 10,907 | 2,027 | 5,037 | 7,064 | 402 | $143 \mathrm{c} /$ | 545 |
| 2000 | 3,163 | 5.7\% | 52,310 | 94.3\% | 55,473 | 1,964 | 24,880 | 26,844 | 1,028 | 26,018 | 27,046 | 171 | 1,412 d/ | 1,583 |
| 2001 | 1,214 | 2.1\% | 55,895 | 97.9\% | 57,109 | 914 | 36,152 | 37,066 | 204 | 17,971 | 18,175 | 96 | 1,772 d/ | 1,868 |
| 2002 | 3,812 | 21.0\% | 14,344 | 79.0\% | 18,156 | 2,566 | 10,310 | 12,876 | 1,078 | 3,475 | 4,553 | 168 | 559 d/ | 727 |
| 2003 | 1,547 | 2.4\% | 62,815 | 97.6\% | 64,362 | 758 | 31,195 | 31,953 | 634 | 29,752 | 30,386 | 155 | 1,867 d/ | 2,022 |


a/ The 1978 sport harvest of fall-run chinook was essentially eliminated by a salmon fishing closure beginning 25 August 1978
The sport harvest of adult fall-run chinook was limited by fishing closures to the taking chinook salmon greater than or equal to 56 cm total length during these years. The closures took effect 22 September 1985, 5 November 1992, 9 October 1993, and 3 October 1994. The Trinity River was subject to seasonal closures during the 1995 The closures took effect 22 September 1985, 5 November 1992, 9 October 1993, and 3 October 1994. The Trinity River was subject to seasonal closures during ther ${ }^{\prime} 98$ seasons, commencing 9 September in the lower river. Various periods of openings and closures (seasons) were instituted along the river through November 30 .
c/ The 1999 sport harvest of Trinity River fall-run chinook was managed with a quota system. In 1999, the quota was 957 adult fall-run chinook.
d/ The 2001 through 2003 sport harvest of Trinity River fall-run chinook was managed with a quota system. The quota for adult fall-run chinook salmon was 9,834 in 2001 ; 6,926 in 2002 and 3,564 in 2003

Appendix 17. Coho salmon run-size, spawner escapement and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek Weir from 1977 through 2003.

| Year | Run-size estimate |  |  |  |  | Spawner escapements |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse |  | Adults |  |  | Natural |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  | Grilse | Adults | Total |
|  |  |  | Total | Grilse | Adults | Total | Grilse | Adults | Total |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 3,106 | 80.5\% | 752 | 19.5\% | 3,858 | 1,756 | 25 | 1,781 | 1,230 | 698 | 1,928 | 120 | 29 | 149 |
| 1978 | 6,685 | 73.2\% | 2,447 | 26.8\% | 9,132 | 4,309 | 1,168 | 5,477 | 2,376 | 1,279 | 3,655 | Fishin | sure a/ | 0 |
| 1979 | 9,067 | 78.0\% | 2,557 | 22.0\% | 11,624 | 5,567 | 1,695 | 7,262 | 2,793 | 742 | 3,535 | 707 | 120 | 827 |
| 1980 | 2,499 | 41.0\% | 3,595 | 59.0\% | 6,094 | 954 | 1,817 | 2,771 | 1,545 | 1,778 | 3,323 |  |  | 0 |
| 1981 | 6,144 | 56.0\% | 4,826 | 44.0\% | 10,970 | 3,486 | 1,995 | 5,481 | 1,994 | 2,529 | 4,523 | 664 | 302 | 966 |
| 1982 | 2,021 | 17.5\% | 9,508 | 82.5\% | 11,529 | 1,158 | 5,097 | 6,255 | 823 | 3,975 | 4,798 | 40 | 436 | 476 |
| 1983 | 536 | 27.2\% | 1,435 | 72.8\% | 1,971 | 295 | 788 | 1,083 | 192 | 514 | 706 | 49 | 133 | 182 |
| 1984 | 15,208 | 77.2\% | 4,486 | 22.8\% | 19,694 | 6,188 | 2,971 | 9,159 | 7,727 | 1,134 | 8,861 | 1,293 | 381 | 1,674 |
| 1985 | 9,216 | 23.7\% | 29,717 | 76.3\% | 38,933 | 4,798 | 21,586 | 26,384 | 4,237 | 7,549 | 11,786 | 181 | 582 b/ | 763 |
| 1986 | 18,909 | 67.6\% | 9,063 | 32.4\% | 27,972 | 13,034 | 6,247 | 19,281 | 5,402 | 2,589 | 7,991 | 473 | 227 | 700 |
| 1987 | 7,253 | 12.3\% | 51,826 | 87.7\% | 59,079 | 3,975 | 28,398 | 32,373 | 2,865 | 20,473 | 23,338 | 413 | 2,955 | 3,368 |
| 1988 | 2,731 | 7.0\% | 36,173 | 93.0\% | 38,904 | 1,850 | 22,277 | 24,127 | 743 | 12,073 | 12,816 | 138 | 1,823 | 1,961 |
| 1989 | 290 | 1.5\% | 18,462 | 98.5\% | 18,752 | 208 | 13,274 | 13,482 | 77 | 4,893 | 4,970 | 5 | 295 | 300 |
| 1990 | 412 | 10.6\% | 3,485 | 89.4\% | 3,897 | 234 | 1,981 | 2,215 | 173 | 1,462 | 1,635 | 5 | 42 | 47 |
| 1991 | 265 | 2.9\% | 8,859 | 97.1\% | 9,124 | 164 | 6,163 | 6,327 | 98 | 2,590 | 2,688 | 3 | 106 | 109 |
| 1992 | 2,378 | 23.0\% | 7,961 | 77.0\% | 10,339 | 1,168 | 5,565 | 6,733 | 1,210 | 2,372 | 3,582 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| 1993 | 573 | 10.2\% | 5,048 | 89.8\% | 5,621 | 416 | 3,024 | 3,440 | 93 | 2,024 | 2,117 | 64 | 0 | 64 |
| 1994 | 613 | 71.9\% | 239 | 28.1\% | 852 | 453 | 105 | 558 | 160 | 134 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 634 | 3.9\% | 15,477 | 96.1\% | 16,111 | 370 | 10,680 | 11,050 | 264 | 4,503 | 4,767 | 0 | 294 | 294 |
| 1996 | 1,269 | 3.5\% | 35,391 | 96.5\% | 36,660 | 1,149 | 25,308 | 26,457 | 120 | 9,835 | 9,955 | 0 | 248 | 248 c |
| 1997 | 5,951 | 75.0\% | 1,984 | 25.0\% | 7,935 | 5,038 | 1,097 | 6,135 | 871 | 887 | 1,758 | 42 | 0 | 42 c |
| 1998 | 2,471 | 19.8\% | 10,009 | 80.2\% | 12,480 | 1,494 | 5,995 | 7,489 | 977 | 4,014 | 4,991 | 0 | 0 | 0 c |
| 1999 | 623 | 11.3\% | 4,912 | 88.7\% | 5,535 | 234 | 1,696 | 1,930 | 389 | 3,118 | 3,507 | 0 | 98 | 98 c |
| 2000 | 5,486 | 35.3\% | 10,046 | 64.7\% | 15,532 | 4,560 | 6,585 | 11,145 | 926 | 3,461 | 4,387 | 0 | 0 | 0 c |
| 2001 | 3,670 | 11.4\% | 28,470 | 88.6\% | 32,140 | 2,644 | 18,715 | 21,359 | 1,026 | 9,755 | 10,781 | 0 | 0 | 0 c |
| 2002 | 1,709 | 10.7\% | 14,307 | 89.3\% | 16,016 | 1,006 | 7,812 | 8,818 | 703 | 6,495 | 7,198 | 0 | 0 | 0 c |
| 2003 | 3,501 | 12.4\% | 24,651 | 87.6\% | 28,152 | 2,038 | 14,255 | 16,293 | 1,463 | 10,396 | 11,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 c |

Trinity River Coho Salmon Run-size Estimates Upstream of Willow Creek Weir

a/ The 1978 sport harvest of coho was essentially eliminated by a salmon fishing closure beginning 25 August 1978
The 1985 sport harvest of adult coho was limited by a closure for the taking salmon greater than or equal to 56 cm total length beginning 22 September 1985 .
c/ The 1996-'O3 sport fishery was closed to the take of coho salmon.

Appendix 18. Fall-run adult steelhead run-size, spawner escapement and angler harvest estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek Weir from 1977 through 2003.


Trinity River Adult Fall-run Steelhead Run-size Estimates Upstream of Willow Creek Weir

a/ Adult steelhead are greater than 41 centimeters, fork length.
b/ Trinity River Hatchery-produced steelhead.
c/ Naturally produced steelhead.
d/ The natural spawner escapement reflects an overestimate due to the unknown number of fish harvested by anglers upstream of Willow Creek Weir.
e/ Harvest was limited to hatchery produced fish only. Hatchery fish are those with an adipose fin-clip.

Appendix 19. Run-size simulation analysis for spring Chinook above Junction City (JC) weir for a full trapping season vs. an abbreviated trapping season.

| Year | JC weir data |  |  | TRH data |  |  | Run size estimates a/ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inclusive trapping Jweeks | Total number of spring Spring tagged | Number of spring Chinook tagged during jweeks 29-39 | TRH recov Jweek<42 | JC tag recov. <tjweek 40 | JC tag recov tjweeks $29-39$ | Run size estimate (Jweeks $<40$ ) | Run size estimate (jweeks 29-39) | Full trap/ abbr. trap |
| 1990 | 21-37 | 1,109 | 287 | 2,537 | 440 | 98 | 6,388 | 7,383 | 0.87 |
| 1991 | 23-36 | 301 | 109 | 685 | 86 | 30 | 2,381 | 2,434 | 0.98 |
| 1992 | 21-37 | 610 | 302 | 1,846 | 279 | 121 | 4,030 | 4,587 | 0.88 |
| 1994 | 21-38 | 824 | 217 | 2,887 | 350 | 89 | 6,788 | 6,995 | 0.97 |
| 1996 | 24-39 | 2,026 | 893 | 5,250 | 453 | 172 | 23,444 | 27,135 | 0.86 |
| 2001 | 24-38 | 717 | 424 | 6,995 | 255 | 155 | 19,622 | 19,060 | 1.03 |
|  | Means: | 931 | 372 | 3,367 | 311 | 111 | 10,442 | 11,266 | 0.93 |

a/ This analysis uses standard cutoff jweeks for input data (ie number trapped at JC weir). Actual reported run-size estimates differ slightly from simulated estimates presented here.
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TASK 2
SURVIVAL AND CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE FISHERIES AND SPAWNER ESCAPEMENTS MADE BY CHINOOK SALMON PRODUCED AT TRINITY RIVER HATCHERY
by
Wade Sinnen


#### Abstract

Recovery of marked spring-run (spring) and fall-run (fall) Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) was conducted between 8 September, 2003 and 15 March, 2004. Of the 45,028 Chinook salmon that entered TRH, we recovered 11,057 adipose fin-clipped (AD) Chinook salmon, $24.6 \%$ of the total. Of these, coded-wire tags (CWT) were recovered from 3,320 spring Chinook and 7,314 fall Chinook salmon.

We estimated that 8,438 marked (AD+CWT) spring Chinook returned to the Trinity River upstream of the Junction City Weir (JCW) and 13,337 marked fall Chinook returned to the Trinity River upstream of the Willow Creek Weir (WCW) during the 2003-04 season.

Run-size, in-river angler harvest, and spawner escapements of marked TRH spring and fall Chinook salmon for the 1998 through 2001 brood years (BY's) are presented. Complete returns are only available for both runs of fish from the 1998 brood year. These fish have reached age five and are considered to have completed their life cycle. Chinook return rates for the completed 1998 BY ranged from $0.44 \%$ to $0.52 \%$ for spring Chinook fingerling CWT groups and $0.10 \%$ to $0.24 \%$ for fall Chinook fingerlings. Returns of spring Chinook released as yearlings was estimated at $1.6 \%$, while fall Chinook yearling returns from two groups ranged between $1.6 \%$ and $2.1 \%$.

Based on estimated total spring Chinook run-size above Junction City Weir and fall Chinook run-size above Willow Creek Weir, we estimate that the hatchery produced portion of these two runs was $70.2 \%$ and $82.3 \%$ respectively.


Returns of BY 1998-2000 Trinity River Hatchery-produced fall Chinook were negatively impacted by a fish kill in the lower Klamath River that occurred in fall, 2002.

## TASK OBJECTIVES

To determine relative return rates and the contribution to spawning escapement and the fisheries made by Chinook salmon produced at Trinity River Hatchery, and to evaluate experimental hatchery management practices aimed at increasing adult returns, while reducing competition among wild fish.

## INTRODUCTION

During the period of 8 September, 2003 through 15 March, 2004, the California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG) Trinity River Project recaptured Chinook salmon returning to Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) from previously marked brood years (BY's). Marked Chinook (AD+CWT) were identified by an adipose fin-clip (AD). These fish were implanted with a binary coded-wire tag (CWT) prior to their release from TRH as either smolts or yearlings. Both spring-run (spring) and fall-run (fall) Chinook were representatively marked at a rate of $25 \%$. Prior to 1995, the CDFG was responsible for the coded-wire tagging program at TRH. Beginning in 1995, the coded-wire tagging program at TRH has been conducted by the Hoopa Valley Tribal Fisheries Department. Due to the change in responsibilities, the Department will no longer report on the juvenile tagging effort at TRH. Our efforts are directed at the recovery of these coded-wire tagged fish and analyzing the information derived from their recovery. This study is a continuation of previous studies conducted by the CDFG and is reliant on data presented in Sinnen 2000, 2002, 2004.

## METHODS

We examined all salmon entering TRH for fin-clips and Project tags (also part of TASK 1). The heads from AD-clipped salmon were retained for later coded-wire tag removal and decoding.

The information needed to estimate the numbers of salmon of a specific CWT group that returned to the Trinity River basin, and contributed to the fisheries and spawner escapement are; 1) total run-size, 2) angler harvest rate, 3) proportion of the run comprised of marked fish, and 4) proportion of CWT groups recovered at TRH. Independent estimates of spring and fall Chinook run-size and angler harvest rates for each race of Chinook are required. Methods to determine total run-size and angler harvest rate estimates were presented in "TASK 1" of this report.

To estimate the numbers of the salmon above a specific weir site with a CWT, we used the equation:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT}}=\frac{\mathrm{NW}_{\text {ADclip }}}{\mathrm{NW}} \mathrm{X} \underset{\mathrm{NH}_{\text {ADdip }}}{\mathrm{NH}_{\text {ADCwT }}} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\text {run-size estimate }}
$$

where, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT}}=$ estimated number of the specific species of salmon above the weir with a CWT; $\mathrm{NW}_{\text {ADclip }}=$ number of salmon observed at the weir with an AD clip; $\mathrm{NW}=$ total number of salmon observed at the respective weir; $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{ADCWT}}=$ number of salmon observed at TRH with an AD clip and a CWT; $\mathrm{NH}_{\text {ADclip }}=$ total number of AD-clipped salmon observed at TRH; and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {run-size }}$ estimate $=$ run-size estimate.

Using the various CWT groups recovered at TRH, we estimated the fraction of the population upstream of the weir with a specific CWT with the equation:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}=\frac{\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{CWT} \mathrm{group}}}{\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{ADCWT}}}
$$

where, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}=$ fraction of the salmon population with a specific CWT code; and $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}=$ number of salmon observed at TRH with a specific CWT code.

We estimated the total number of Chinook salmon upstream of the weir with a specific CWT code with the equation:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT}} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}
$$

where, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}=$ estimated total number of salmon of a specific CWT group.
The estimated number of fish from each CWT group caught in the Trinity River sport fishery upstream of the weir was then estimated by the equation:

$$
\mathrm{SF}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\text {harvest rate estimate }}
$$

where, $\mathrm{SF}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}=$ number of salmon of a specific CWT group caught in the Trinity River sport fishery; and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {harvest rate estimate }}=$ harvest rate estimate.

We estimated the total number of fish of a specific CWT code group available to the spawner escapement by the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { escapement }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}-\mathrm{SF}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }} \\
& \text { where, } \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { ssapaement }}=\text { the total number of salmon of a specific CWT group available to the }
\end{aligned}
$$

spawner escapement.
The estimated number of salmon of specific CWT code group available to natural spawner escapement was:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { natural escapement }}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { escapement }}-\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { group }}
$$

where, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CWT} \text { natural escapement }}=$ the estimated number of a specific CWT group contributing to natural spawning escapement.

As stated above, estimating the total return of individual CWT groups depends on a basin runsize estimate. In evaluating the return of CWT hatchery Chinook, we normally report on the individual year's return along with a summary of each CWT group throughout their five-year life cycle.

Run size estimates for spring and fall Chinook are calculated for the Trinity River upstream of the JCW (river km [RKM] 137.1) and the WCW (RKM 36.4), respectively.

In this report, we present estimated contribution rates of TRH-produced Chinook salmon to total spring and fall Chinook run-sizes. This is accomplished by expanding each of the individual CWT estimated run-sizes, by its corresponding hatchery expansion factor (total releases represented by each CWT release group/CWT'ed fish released). In doing this, we assume that marked fish are representative of their unmarked counterparts.

## RESULTS

## Coded-wire tag recovery

We recovered 11,057 AD-clipped Chinook at TRH this season, of which we recovered CWT's from 3,320 spring Chinook and 7,314 fall Chinook. The remaining 423 AD-clipped fish had either shed their CWT or the CWT was lost or unreadable. Chinook without CWT's were classified as either spring- or fall-run based on their date of entry into TRH. Fish which entered the hatchery prior to 11 October were considered spring Chinook, while Chinook entering after 23 October were considered fall fish. Recovered spring Chinook CWT's were composed of 20 release groups from the 1998 through 2001 BY's. Recovered fall Chinook with CWT's were from 26 groups representing the 1999 through 2001 BY's (Table 1). We did not recover any five-year-old fall Chinook (BY 1998) with CWT's this season.

| Release data |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recovery data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT a/ | Egg |  | Date | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Size } \\ \text { Number } & \text { (No./lb) }\end{array}$ |  | Site | Males |  | Females |  | Total No. |
| code | source | year |  |  |  | No. | FL b/ | No. | FL b/ |  |
| Spring-run chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065247 | TRH | 1998 | 06/1-7/99 | 54,378 | 55 |  | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | -- | 0 |
| 065248 | TRH | 1998 | 06/1-7/99 | 61,516 | 64 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065249 | TRH | 1998 | 06/1-7/99 | 61,074 | 67 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065250 | TRH | 1998 | 10/4-13/99 | 137,602 | 11.25 | TRH | 3 | 91.3 | 3 | 81.3 | 6 |
| 065251 | TRH | 1999 | 06/1-07/00 | 49,421 | 40.8 | TRH | 65 | 88.7 | 72 | 80.0 | 137 |
| 065252 | TRH | 1999 | 06/1-07/00 | 51,993 | 40.8 | TRH | 39 | 90.3 | 48 | 79.7 | 87 |
| 065253 | TRH | 1999 | 06/1-07/00 | 46,966 | 50.6 | TRH | 40 | 89.5 | 48 | 79.9 | 88 |
| 065258 | TRH | 1999 | 10/03-06/00 | 129,919 | 10.3 | TRH | 496 | 83.0 | 615 | 76.1 | 1,111 |
| 065260 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-13/01 | 33,049 | 33.3 | TRH | 71 | 71.1 | 108 | 66.7 | 179 |
| 065261 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-13/01 | 32,621 | 33.3 | TRH | 84 | 72.3 | 102 | 66.2 | 186 |
| 065262 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-13/01 | 24,480 | 33.3 | TRH | 57 | 73.0 | 76 | 66.4 | 133 |
| 065263 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-13/01 | 34,385 | 33.3 | TRH | 108 | 73.9 | 110 | 67.6 | 218 |
| 065264 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-13/01 | 31,587 | 42.0 | TRH | 68 | 72.9 | 84 | 67.6 | 152 |
| 065269 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-13/01 | 52,491 | 33.3 | TRH | 164 | 72.4 | 164 | 66.9 | 328 |
| 065270 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-13/01 | 52,580 | 42.0 | TRH | 106 | 74.8 | 117 | 67.8 | 223 |
| 065279 | TRH | 2000 | 10/1-10/01 | 99,304 | 7.9 | TRH | 250 | 65.4 | 193 | 61.4 | 443 |
| 065281 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 89,482 | 39.0 | TRH | 2 | 51.0 | 0 | ----- | 2 |
| 065282 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 89,978 | 39.0 | TRH | 7 | 48.9 | 1 | 52.0 | 8 |
| 065283 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 73,788 | 45.0 | TRH | 2 | 47.5 | 0 | ----- | 2 |
| 065288 | TRH | 2001 | 10/10-16/02 | 104,627 | 8.3 | TRH | 17 | 46.0 | 0 | ----- | 17 |
| No CWT c/d $/$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 76.3 | 74 | 71.5 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | Spring-run chinook salmon totals: |  |  | 1,644 |  | 1,815 |  | 3,459 |
| Fall-run chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 062641 | TRH | 1998 | 10/4-13/99 | 334,726 | 19.05 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065242 | TRH | 1998 | 06/1-7/99 | 46,399 | 106 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065642 | TRH | 1998 | 10/4-13/99 | 16,673 | 19.05 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065243 | TRH | 1998 | 06/1-7/99 | 42,659 | 118 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065244 | TRH | 1998 | 06/1-7/99 | 49,332 | 135 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065245 | TRH | 1998 | 06/1-7/99 | 46,391 | 141 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| 065254 | TRH | 1999 | 06/1-07/00 | 44,654 | 79.4 | TRH | 29 | 89.6 | 38 | 83.1 | 67 |
| 065255 | TRH | 1999 | 06/1-07/00 | 42,549 | 79.4 | TRH | 19 | 84.5 | 21 | 79.2 | 40 |
| 065256 | TRH | 1999 | 06/1-07/00 | 43,565 | 90.5 | TRH | 25 | 87.6 | 30 | 81.0 | 55 |
| 065257 | TRH | 1999 | 06/1-07/00 | 50,533 | 90.5 | TRH | 27 | 83.1 | 28 | 80.4 | 55 |
| 065259 | TRH | 1999 | 10/03-06/00 | 296,892 | 14.7 | TRH | 1,339 | 84.0 | 1,427 | 78.6 | 2,766 |
| 065265 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 32,795 | 56.5 | TRH | 48 | 75.0 | 83 | 70.5 | 131 |
| 065266 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 33,806 | 56.5 | TRH | 56 | 75.4 | 59 | 70.2 | 115 |
| 065267 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 34,852 | 56.5 | TRH | 58 | 74.0 | 77 | 70.3 | 135 |
| 065268 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 33,240 | 86.0 | TRH | 27 | 69.2 | 33 | 67.6 | 60 |
| 065271 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 54,867 | 56.5 | TRH | 104 | 74.8 | 155 | 71.3 | 259 |
| 065272 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 36,035 | 56.5 | TRH | 70 | 74.1 | 95 | 70.5 | 165 |
| 065273 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 57,444 | 56.5 | TRH | 102 | 74.0 | 125 | 70.6 | 227 |
| 065274 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 32,096 | 56.5 | TRH | 62 | 74.5 | 55 | 70.0 | 117 |
| 065275 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 64,250 | 56.5 | TRH | 97 | 73.5 | 114 | 70.8 | 211 |
| 065276 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 27,159 | 56.5 | TRH | 58 | 73.6 | 67 | 71.3 | 125 |
| 065277 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 56,582 | 86.0 | TRH | 50 | 71.6 | 39 | 68.9 | 89 |
| 065278 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 34,183 | 86.0 | TRH | 32 | 72.5 | 29 | 69.0 | 61 |
| 065643 | TRH | 2000 | 06/6-06/13/01 | 25,007 | 86.0 | TRH | 42 | 73.2 | 40 | 69.6 | 82 |
| 065280 | TRH | 2000 | 10/1-10/10/01 | 216,593 | 12.3 | TRH | 1,415 | 67.7 | 1,009 | 65.6 | 2,424 |
| 065284 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 119,555 | 71.0 | TRH | 13 | 53.5 | 0 | ----- | 13 |
| 065285 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 114,119 | 71.0 | TRH | 13 | 53.7 | 0 | ----- | 13 |
| 065286 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 126,135 | 86.0 | TRH | 12 | 51.6 | 1 | 63.0 | 13 |
| 065287 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 121,607 | 86.0 | TRH | 15 | 53.4 | 0 | ----- | 15 |
| 065289 | TRH | 2001 | 10/10-16/02 | 230,055 | 13.5 | TRH | 75 | 47.5 | 0 | ----- | 75 |
| 065290 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 10,234 | 126.0 | TRH | 1 | 49.0 | 0 | ----- | 1 |
| 065291 | TRH | 2001 | 06/3-10/02 | 8,269 | 126.0 | TRH | 0 | ----- | 0 | ----- | 0 |
| No CWT c/el |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 | 73.7 | 148 | 72.8 | 284 |
|  |  |  |  | Fall-run chinook salmon totals: |  |  | 3,925 |  | 3,673 |  | 7,598 |

a/ CWT = Coded-wire tag.
b/ FL = Mean fork length in cm
c/ No CWT found or it was lost during recovery
d/ Assigned as spring-run chinook based on their entry dates into Trinity River Hatchery.
e/ Assigned as fall-run chinook based on their entry dates into Trinity River Hatchery.

Run-size, angler harvest, and escapement of coded-wire tagged salmon

## Spring-run Chinook salmon

Based on estimated total Chinook run-size above JCW, the AD-clip rate of spring Chinook at JCW, the estimated angler harvest rate, and recovery of spring-run CWT fish at TRH, we estimated that 8,440 CWT'ed spring Chinook salmon returned to the Trinity River above JCW during the 2003-04 season. An estimated 364 of these fish were harvested by anglers during the season. Escapement of CWT'ed spring Chinook was divided between 3,320 fish recovered at TRH and 4,754 estimated to have spawned in natural areas (Table 2).

The year's run of CWT'd spring Chinook was composed of 73 ( $0.9 \%$ ) age 2, 4,733 (56.1\%) age 3, 3,617 (42.9\%) age 4 and 15 ( $0.2 \%$ ) age 5 fish (Table2).

## 1998 brood year

Four spring Chinook CWT groups from the 1998 BY completed their life cycle this season, having reached the age of five. Estimated in-river age five returns only occurred for the yearling release group, CWT group 065250 . We estimated fifteen fish returned from this group (Table 3). Spring Chinook released as fingerlings, CWT groups 065247, 065248, and 065249, were not recovered at TRH this season, thus we estimated no age five returns from these groups. Cumulative return rates, expressed as a percentage of release numbers, ranged from $0.44 \%$ to $0.52 \%$ for the groups released as fingerlings and $1.59 \%$ for the group released as yearlings (Table 3). Thus, yearlings returned at a rate approximately three times that of their fingerling released counterparts. The fingerling groups experienced their best returns as age three fish, while the yearling group returned at its highest rate as age four fish.

## 1999 brood year

Spring Chinook from the 1999 brood year will complete their life cycle next year. To date, fish from this brood have returned through age four. Chinook from this brood have experienced good return rates thus far. The fingerling release groups, 065251,065252 , and 065253 have all surpassed return rates of $1.4 \%$ through age four (Table 3). The yearling group, 065258, has also performed well, returning at a rate over 3\%. Similar to BY 1998 releases, fingerlings have experienced their highest returns at age three and yearlings at age four.

2000 brood year
Seven fingerling release groups, 065260, 065261, 065262, 065263, 065264, 065269 and 065270 and one yearling release group, 065279 , have returned as age two and three-year-old fish thus far. Both the fingerling and yearling groups are performing well, surpassing $1 \%$ returns through age three (Table 3). Spring Chinook from these groups will be returning as four- and five-yearolds during 2004 and 2005 respectively.

Table 2. Run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery produced, coded-wire tagged, spring and fall Chinook salmon returning to the Trinity River during the 2003-04 season.

| Run-size estimates a/ |  | Harvest rates b/ |  | TRH <br> Ads <br> With | \% <br> Weir | Ad+CWT <br> Run-size estimates e/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grilse | Adults | CWTs c/ | Ads d/ |  |
| Spring Chinook (JCW) | 47,795 | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 0.9713 | 18.18\% | 8,440 |
| Fall Chinook (WCW) | 64,362 | 10.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.9761 | 21.23\% | 13,337 |


| CWT code | BY | Age | TRH <br> Total No. | \% of Total | Run-size | Angler harvest | Spawning escapement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | Natural | Total |
| Spring-run chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065250 | 98 | 5 | 6 | 0.2\% | 15 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 14 |
| 065251 | 99 | 4 | 137 | 4.1\% | 348 | 15 | 137 | 196 | 333 |
| 065252 | 99 | 4 | 87 | 2.6\% | 221 | 10 | 87 | 124 | 211 |
| 065253 | 99 | 4 | 88 | 2.7\% | 224 | 10 | 88 | 126 | 214 |
| 065258 | 99 | 4 | 1,111 | 33.5\% | 2,824 | 123 | 1,111 | 1,590 | 2,701 |
| 065260 | 00 | 3 | 179 | 5.4\% | 455 | 20 | 179 | 256 | 435 |
| 065261 | 00 | 3 | 186 | 5.6\% | 473 | 21 | 186 | 266 | 452 |
| 065262 | 00 | 3 | 133 | 4.0\% | 338 | 15 | 133 | 190 | 323 |
| 065263 | 00 | 3 | 218 | 6.6\% | 554 | 24 | 218 | 312 | 530 |
| 065264 | 00 | 3 | 152 | 4.6\% | 386 | 17 | 152 | 217 | 369 |
| 065269 | 00 | 3 | 328 | 9.9\% | 834 | 36 | 328 | 470 | 798 |
| 065270 | 00 | 3 | 223 | 6.7\% | 567 | 25 | 223 | 319 | 542 |
| 065279 | OO | 3 | 443 | 13.3\% | 1126 | 49 | 443 | 634 | 1,077 |
| 065281 | 01 | 2 | 2 | 0.1\% | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 065282 | 01 | 2 | 8 | 0.2\% | 20 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 20 |
| 065283 | 01 | 2 | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 065288 | O1 | 2 | 17 | 0.5\% | 43 | O | 17 | 26 | 43 |
|  |  |  | 3,320 | 1 | 8,438 | 364 | 3,320 | 4,754 | 8,074 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 065254 | 99 | 4 | 67 | 0.9\% | 122 | 4 | 67 | 51 | 118 |
| 065255 | 99 | 4 | 40 | 0.5\% | 73 | 2 | 40 | 31 | 71 |
| 065256 | 99 | 4 | 55 | 0.8\% | 100 | 3 | 55 | 42 | 97 |
| 065257 | 99 | 4 | 55 | 0.8\% | 100 | 3 | 55 | 42 | 97 |
| 065259 | 99 | 4 | 2,766 | 37.8\% | 5,044 | 150 | 2,766 | 2,128 | 4,894 |
| 065265 | 00 | 3 | 131 | 1.8\% | 239 | 7 | 131 | 101 | 232 |
| 065266 | 00 | 3 | 115 | 1.6\% | 210 | 6 | 115 | 89 | 204 |
| 065267 | OO | 3 | 135 | 1.8\% | 246 | 7 | 135 | 104 | 239 |
| 065268 | 00 | 3 | 60 | 0.8\% | 109 | 3 | 60 | 46 | 106 |
| 065271 | 00 | 3 | 259 | 3.5\% | 472 | 14 | 259 | 199 | 458 |
| 065272 | OO | 3 | 165 | 2.3\% | 301 | 9 | 165 | 127 | 292 |
| 065273 | 00 | 3 | 227 | 3.1\% | 414 | 12 | 227 | 175 | 402 |
| 065274 | 00 | 3 | 117 | 1.6\% | 213 | 6 | 117 | 90 | 207 |
| 065275 | 00 | 3 | 211 | 2.9\% | 385 | 11 | 211 | 163 | 374 |
| 065276 | 00 | 3 | 125 | 1.7\% | 228 | 7 | 125 | 96 | 221 |
| 065277 | 00 | 3 | 89 | 1.2\% | 162 | 5 | 89 | 68 | 157 |
| 065278 | 00 | 3 | 61 | 0.8\% | 111 | 3 | 61 | 47 | 108 |
| 065280 | 00 | 3 | 2,424 | 33.1\% | 4420 | 131 | 2,424 | 1,865 | 4,289 |
| 065643 | 00 | 3 | 82 | 1.1\% | 150 | 4 | 82 | 64 | 146 |
| 065284 | 01 | 2 | 13 | 0.2\% | 24 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 065285 | 01 | 2 | 13 | 0.2\% | 24 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 065286 | 01 | 2 | 13 | 0.2\% | 24 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 065287 | 01 | 2 | 15 | 0.2\% | 27 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 24 |
| 065289 | 01 | 2 | 75 | 1.0\% | 137 | 14 | 75 | 48 | 123 |
| 065290 | 01 | 2 | 1 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 7,314 | 1 | 13,337 | 413 | 7,314 | 5,610 | 12,924 |

a/ Run-size estimates are upstream of either Willow Creek weir (WCW) or Junction City weir (JCW) and are inclusive of the entire run (hatchery produced and naturally produced).
b/ In-river angler harvest rates are based on the return of reward tags.
c/ A portion of all chinook released from Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) are coded-wire tagged and identified with an adipose (Ad) fin-clip. The fraction shown are those fish with an adipose fin-clip that also contained a coded-wire tag (CWT).
d/ The observed percentage of Ad-clipped Chinook at respective weir sites. e/ The estimated run of chinook that were coded-wire tagged.

Table 3. Run-size, percent return, in-river sport catch and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery-produced, coded-wire-tagged spring-run Chinook salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Junction City Weir during the period 2000 through 2003.

a/ CWT = coded-wire tag.
b/ Chinook salmon released during June were smolts, those released in October were yearlings
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 1998. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle.
e/ The term "adults" includes chinook aged three through five.

## 2001 brood year

Four release groups (3 fingerling and 1 yearling) from the 2001 BY returned as two-year-olds this season. The one yearling release group, 065279 , has returned at approximately twice the rate as the best fingerling group (Table 3). Spring Chinook from this BY are expected to return as three through five-year-olds during the next three years.

## Fall-run Chinook salmon

Based on estimated total Chinook run-size above WCW, the ad-clip rate of fall Chinook at WCW, the estimated angler harvest rate, and recovery of fall-run CWT fish at TRH, we estimated that 13,337 CWT'ed fall Chinook salmon returned to the Trinity River above WCW during the 2003-04 season. We estimated that anglers harvested 413 CWT'ed fall Chinook. Escapement of CWT'ed fall Chinook was divided between 7,314 fish recovered at TRH and 5,610 estimated to have spawned naturally this season (Table 2).

The fall Chinook CWT run was composed of 238 (1.8\%) age 2, 7,660 (57.4\%) age 3, and 5,439 (40.8\%) age 4 fish. No age 5 fall Chinook CWT's were recovered (Table 2).

## 1998 brood year

The BY 1998 releases were composed of four fingerling and two yearling groups and have completed their life cycle this season, having reached the age of five. However, we did not recover any five-year-old fall Chinook at TRH based on CWT reading this year, therefore we estimated no five-year-olds returned from BY 1998 fall Chinook hatchery releases. Return rates for fingerling releases has to be considered poor at less than $0.25 \%$ for any of the CWT groups (Table 4). The two yearling groups, 062641 and 065642 , experienced estimated return rates of close to 8 times that of the fingerling groups ( $2.1 \%$ and $1.7 \%$ respectively). All Chinook from the 1998 BY experienced their highest returns as three-year-old fish (Table 4). It must be noted that a large adult fish kill in the lower Klamath River in 2002 may have severely limited the returning number of our age four fall Chinook from 1998 BY groups (CDFG, 2003).

## 1999 brood year

The 1999 BY is represented by five CWT groups, of which four are fingerling groups and one a yearling group. Through age four returns, the yearling group, 065259 has returned at rate of $1.95 \%$, approximately 4 times that of the best fingerling return group. The fingerling groups have returned at a rate ranging between 0.31 and $0.54 \%$. Returns of both release types were greatest this year as age four fish. As with BY 1998 returns, the fish kill also affected this BY, except that age three fish were lost, which may explain the high returns as age four as opposed to age three fish. Fish released from this BY are expected to return as five-year-olds during the 2004 season.

Table 4. Run-size, percent return, in-river sport catch, and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatcheryproduced, coded-wire-tagged fall-run chinook salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek Weir during the period 2000 through 2003.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{CWT a/ Brood Release data}} \& \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Estimated returns} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Age} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Run- } \\
\& \text { size }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { \% of } \\
\text { release } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { River } \\
\text { harvest }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Spawning escapement} \\
\hline code \& year \& Date b/ \& Number \& Site \& \& \& \& \& TRH c/ \& Natural \& Total \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{062641} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 1998 \& 10/4-13/99 \& 334,726 \& TRH \& 2 \& 366 \& 0.109 \& 20 \& 234 \& 112 \& 346 \\
\hline \multirow{11}{*}{065242} \& \multirow[t]{11}{*}{1998} \& \multirow{11}{*}{06/1-7/99} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Totals: d/ \\
Total adults: e/
\end{tabular}}} \& 3 \& 6,057 \& 1.810 \& 194 \& 2,906 \& 2,957 \& 5,863 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 510 \& 0.152 \& 20 \& 215 \& 274 \& 489 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 5 \& O \& 0.000 \& O \& 0 \& O \& O \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 6,933 \& 2.071 \& 234 \& 3,355 \& 3,343 \& 6,698 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 6,567 \& 1.962 \& 214 \& 3,121 \& 3,231 \& 6,352 \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{46,399} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{TRH} \& 2 \& 22 \& 0.047 \& 1 \& 14 \& 7 \& 21 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 3 \& 73 \& 0.157 \& 2 \& 35 \& 36 \& 71 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 17 \& 0.037 \& 1 \& 7 \& 9 \& 16 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 5 \& O \& \[
0.000
\] \& O \& O \& 0 \& 0 \\
\hline \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Totals: d/ Total adults: e/}} \& \& 112 \& 0.241 \& 4 \& 56 \& 52 \& 108 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 90 \& 0.194 \& 3 \& 42 \& 45 \& 87 \\
\hline 065243 \& 1998 \& 06/1-7/99 \& 42,659 \& TRH \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 5
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 11 \& 0.026 \& 1 \& 7 \& 3 \& 10 \\
\hline \multirow{11}{*}{065244} \& \multirow[t]{11}{*}{1998} \& \multirow{11}{*}{06/1-7/99} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{5}{*}{Totals: d/ Total adults: e/}} \& \& 50 \& 0.117 \& 2 \& 24 \& 24 \& 48 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 7 \& 0.016 \& 0 \& 3 \& 4 \& 7 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& O \& 0.000 \& O \& O \& O \& 0 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 68 \& 0.159 \& 3 \& 34 \& 31 \& 65 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 57 \& 0.134 \& 2 \& 27 \& 28 \& 55 \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{49,332} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{TRH} \& 2 \& O \& 0.000 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 3 \& 42 \& 0.085 \& 1 \& 20 \& 21 \& 41 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 9 \& 0.018 \& 0 \& 4 \& 5 \& 9 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 5 \& O \& 0.000 \& O \& O \& 0 \& 0 \\
\hline \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Totals: d/ Total adults: e/}} \& \& 51 \& \[
0.103
\] \& 1 \& 24 \& 26 \& 50 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 51 \& \[
0.103
\] \& 1 \& 24 \& 26 \& 50 \\
\hline 065245 \& 1998 \& 06/1-7/99 \& 46,391 \& TRH \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 5
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 5 \& 0.011 \& 0 \& 3 \& 2 \& 5 \\
\hline \multirow{11}{*}{065642} \& \multirow{11}{*}{1998} \& \multirow{11}{*}{10/4-13/99} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{5}{*}{Totals: d/ Total adults: e/}} \& \& 50 \& 0.108 \& 2 \& 24 \& 24 \& 48 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 7 \& 0.015 \& O \& 3 \& 4 \& 7 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 0 \& 0.000 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 62 \& 0.134 \& 2 \& 30 \& 30 \& 60 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 57 \& 0.123 \& 2 \& 27 \& 28 \& 55 \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{16,673} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{TRH} \& 2 \& 5 \& 0.030 \& 0 \& 3 \& 2 \& 5 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 3 \& 248 \& 1.487 \& 8 \& 119 \& 121 \& 240 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 24 \& 0.144 \& 1 \& 10 \& 13 \& 23 \\
\hline \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{3}{*}{Totals: d/ Total adults: e/}} \& 5 \& 0 \& 0.000 \& O \& O \& O \& 0 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 277 \& 1.661 \& 9 \& 132 \& 136 \& 268 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 272 \& 1.631 \& 9 \& 129 \& 134 \& 263 \\
\hline 065254 \& 1999 \& 06/1-7/00 \& 44,654 \& TRH \& 2 \& 17 \& 0.038 \& 1 \& 8 \& 8 \& 16 \\
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{065255} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{1999} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{06/1-7/00} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{42,549} \& \multirow{5}{*}{TRH} \& 3 \& 104 \& 0.233 \& 4 \& 44 \& 56 \& 100 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 122 \& 0.273 \& 4 \& 67 \& 51 \& 118 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 2 \& 4 \& 0.009 \& 0 \& 2 \& 2 \& 4 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 3 \& 64 \& 0.150 \& 2 \& 27 \& 35 \& 62 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 73 \& 0.172 \& 2 \& 40 \& 31 \& 71 \\
\hline 065256 \& 1999 \& 06/1-7/00 \& 43,565 \& \multirow[t]{6}{*}{TRH

TRH} \& 2 \& 2 \& 0.005 \& 0 \& 1 \& 1 \& 2 <br>
\hline \multirow{5}{*}{065257} \& \multirow{5}{*}{1999} \& \multirow{5}{*}{06/1-7/00} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{50,533} \& \& 3 \& 40 \& 0.092 \& 2 \& 17 \& 21 \& 38 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 100 \& 0.230 \& 3 \& 55 \& 42 \& 97 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1 \& 5 \& 4 \& 9 <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& 3 \& 47 \& $$
0.093
$$ \& \[

2
\] \& 20 \& 25 \& 45 <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& 4 \& 100 \& 0.198 \& 3 \& 55 \& 42 \& 97 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{065259} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1999} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{10/3-6/00} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{296,892} \& TRH \& 2 \& 27 \& 0.009 \& 2 \& 13 \& 12 \& 25 <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& 3 \& 721 \& 0.243 \& 28 \& 304 \& 388 \& $$
692
$$ <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 5,044 \& 1.699 \& 150 \& 2,766 \& 2,128 \& 4,894 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

a/ CWT = coded-wire tag.
b/ Chinook salmon released during June were smolts, those released in October were yearlings.
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 1998. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle.
e/ The term "adults" includes chinook aged three through five.

Table 4. (continued) Run-size, percent return, in-river sport catch, and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery-produced, coded-wire-tagged fall-run Chinook salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek Weir during the period 2000 through 2003.

| Release data |  |  |  |  | Estimated returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWT a/ code | Brood year | Date b/ | Number | Site | Age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run- } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | \% of release | River harvest | Spawning escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH c/ | Natural | Total |
| 065265 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 32,795 | TRH | 2 | 43 | 0.131 | 2 | 18 | 23 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 239 | 0.729 | 7 | 131 | 101 | 232 |
| 065266 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 33,806 | TRH | 2 | 43 | 0.127 | 2 | 18 | 23 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 210 | 0.621 | 6 | 115 | 89 | 204 |
| 065267 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 34,852 | TRH | 2 | 19 | 0.055 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 246 | 0.706 | 7 | 135 | 104 | 239 |
| 065268 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 33,240 | TRH | 2 | 14 | 0.042 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 109 | 0.328 | 3 | 60 | 46 | 106 |
| 065271 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 54,867 | TRH | 2 | 111 | 0.202 | 5 | 47 | 59 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 472 | 0.860 | 14 | 259 | 199 | 458 |
| 065272 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 36,035 | TRH | 2 | 43 | 0.119 | 2 | 18 | 23 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 301 | 0.835 | 9 | 165 | 127 | 292 |
| 065273 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 57,444 | TRH | 2 | 50 | 0.087 | 2 | 21 | 27 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 414 | 0.721 | 12 | 227 | 175 | 402 |
| 065274 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 32,096 | TRH | 2 | 33 | 0.103 | 1 | 14 | 18 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 213 | 0.664 | 6 | 117 | 90 | 207 |
| 065275 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 64,250 | TRH | 2 | 55 | 0.086 | 2 | 23 | 29 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 385 | 0.599 | 11 | 211 | 163 | 374 |
| 065276 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 27,159 | TRH | 2 | 26 | 0.096 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 228 | 0.840 | 7 | 125 | 96 | 221 |
| 065277 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 56,582 | TRH | 2 | 12 | 0.021 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 162 | 0.286 | 5 | 89 | 68 | 157 |
| 065278 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 34,183 | TRH | 2 | 24 | 0.070 | 1 | 13 | 13 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 111 | 0.325 | 3 | 61 | 47 | 108 |
| 065643 | 2000 | 06/06-13/01 | 25,007 | TRH | 2 | 7 | 0.028 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 149 | 0.596 | 4 | 82 | 63 | 145 |
| 065280 | 2000 | 10/01-10/01 | 216,593 | TRH | 2 | 130 | 0.060 | 6 | 55 | 69 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4,420 | 2.041 | 131 | 2,424 | 1,865 | 4,289 |
| 065284 | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 119,555 | TRH | 2 | 24 | 0.020 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 065285 | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 114,119 | TRH | 2 | 24 | 0.020 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 065286 | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 126,135 | TRH | 2 | 24 | 0.019 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 22 |
| 065287 | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 121,607 | TRH | 2 | 27 | 0.022 | 3 | 15 | 9 | 24 |
| 065289 | 2001 | 10/10-16/02 | 230,055 | TRH | 2 | 137 | 0.060 | 14 | 75 | 48 | 123 |
| 065290 | 2001 | 06/03-10/02 | 10,234 | TRH | 2 | 2 | 0.020 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

a/ CWT = coded-wire tag.
b/ Chinook salmon released during June were smolts, those released in October were yearlings.
c/ TRH = Trinity River Hatchery.
d/ Totals are presented only for brood year 1998. These fish have reached five years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle.
e/ The term "adults" includes chinook aged three through five.

## 2000 brood year

Returns of 2000 BY fall Chinook are complete through age 3 only. Fourteen release groups (13 fingerling and 1 yearling) have returned to date as two and three-year-old fish (Table 4). The yearling group, 065280, has experienced the best returns to date. The fingerling groups are also returning at a good rate so far, most exceeding $0.5 \%$. Fish from both release groups should return as four and five-year-old fish in 2004 and 2005, respectively.

## 2001 brood year

Six CWT groups ( 5 fingerling and 1 yearling) from the 2001 BY returned as two-year-olds during the 2003 season (Table 4). Age 2 return rates were highest for the yearling group ( $0.06 \%$ ), while the fingerling groups returned at a rate of approximately $0.02 \%$. Chinook from this Brood will be returning as adults the next three years.

The contribution of hatchery produced Chinook to total estimated run-size
The contribution of hatchery-produced spring and fall Chinook to the overall Trinity River basin run-size estimates for the two races of Chinook are presented in Table 5. We estimate that the 2003-04 run of spring Chinook was composed of the 33,546 Chinook of TRH origin. This represents $70.2 \%(33,546 / 47,795)$ of the total estimated run upstream of JCW. The fall run, upstream of WCW, was estimated to be composed of 52,944 TRH-produced Chinook, which represents $82.3 \%(52,944 / 64,362)$ of the total estimated run.

## DISCUSSION

Since CWT estimates are based, in part, on the overall run-size estimates for each race of Chinook, CWT estimates are subject to the precision and potential biases associated with the mark-recapture estimates performed under Task 1 of this report. The potential impact of this would be most relevant in regard to the number of fish estimated to have spawned in "natural" areas. This is due to the fact that hatchery recoveries are actual counts, while CWT'd fish estimated to have spawned naturally are the remaining estimated number of fish after hatchery CWT's and angler harvest are subtracted from the overall CWT estimate.

Two other potential biases that could distort our CWT run-size estimates are vulnerability of capture and run-timing. Assumptions of our CWT estimates include equal probability of capture for hatchery and wild fish and capture of Chinook throughout the entire run. The second assumption, due to trapping constraints at JCW which preclude operating our weir there until June, may affect our spring-run Chinook CWT estimates.

Return rates for TRH-produced yearling spring Chinook from the completed 1998 BY were approximately 3 times greater than their fingerling counterparts. For fall Chinook this disparity was even greater, yearlings retuned at a rate approximately 7 to 8 times that of fingerlings. This is typical for the Trinity River, however, the 1996 cohort, which completed returns two years

Table 5. Estimated run-size, angler harvest, and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery-produced, spring and fall Chinook salmon expanded for unmarked releases (hatchery multiplier) returning to the Trinity River during the 2003-04 season. a/

| CWT code b/ | BY cl | Age | TRH expansion factor d/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Expanded <br> run-size e/ | Angler harvest | Expanded angler harvest | Spawning escapement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Expanded |  | Expanded |  | Expanded |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH f/ | TRH | Natural | natural | Total | total |
| Spring-run chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065250 | 98 | 5 | 2.9 | 15 | 44 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 24 | 14 | 42 |
| 065251 | 99 | 4 | 6.7 | 348 | 2,321 | 15 | 101 | 137 | 914 | 196 | 1,306 | 333 | 2,220 |
| 065252 | 99 | 4 | 6.4 | 221 | 1,414 | 10 | 61 | 87 | 557 | 124 | 796 | 211 | 1,353 |
| 065253 | 99 | 4 | 6.2 | 224 | 1,384 | 10 | 60 | 88 | 544 | 126 | 780 | 214 | 1,324 |
| 065258 | 99 | 4 | 2.9 | 2,824 | 8,274 | 123 | 360 | 1,111 | 3,255 | 1,590 | 4,659 | 2,701 | 7,915 |
| 065260 | 00 | 3 | 4.2 | 455 | 1,916 | 20 | 83 | 179 | 754 | 256 | 1,079 | 435 | 1,832 |
| 065261 | 00 | 3 | 4.2 | 473 | 1,991 | 21 | 87 | 186 | 783 | 266 | 1,122 | 452 | 1,905 |
| 065262 | 00 | 3 | 4.2 | 338 | 1,423 | 15 | 62 | 133 | 560 | 190 | 801 | 323 | 1,361 |
| 065263 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 554 | 2,244 | 24 | 98 | 218 | 883 | 312 | 1,263 | 530 | 2,146 |
| 065264 | 00 | 3 | 4.5 | 386 | 1,741 | 17 | 76 | 152 | 686 | 217 | 980 | 369 | 1,665 |
| 065269 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 834 | 3,378 | 36 | 147 | 328 | 1,328 | 470 | 1,902 | 798 | 3,231 |
| 065270 | 00 | 3 | 4.5 | 567 | 2,557 | 25 | 111 | 223 | 1,006 | 319 | 1,440 | 542 | 2,446 |
| 065279 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 1126 | 4,560 | 49 | 198 | 443 | 1,794 | 634 | 2,568 | 1,077 | 4,362 |
| 065281 | 01 | 2 | 4.1 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 20 |
| 065282 | 01 | 2 | 4.2 | 20 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 12 | 50 | 20 | 84 |
| 065283 | 01 | 2 | 4.1 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 20 |
| 065288 | 01 | 2 | 4.1 | 43 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 69 | 26 | 106 | 43 | 175 |
|  |  |  |  | 8,438 | 33,546 | 364 | 1,446 | 3,320 | 13,199 | 4,754 | 18,902 | 8,074 | 32,101 |


| Fall-run chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 065254 | 99 | 4 | 10.8 | 122 | 1,320 | 4 | 39 | 67 | 725 | 51 | 556 | 118 | 1,281 |
| 065255 | 99 | 4 | 10.8 | 73 | 788 | 2 | 23 | 40 | 432 | 31 | 333 | 71 | 765 |
| 065256 | 99 | 4 | 10.8 | 100 | 1,082 | 3 | 32 | 55 | 595 | 42 | 455 | 97 | 1,050 |
| 065257 | 99 | 4 | 11.0 | 100 | 1,096 | 3 | 33 | 55 | 603 | 42 | 461 | 97 | 1,063 |
| 065259 | 99 | 4 | 2.9 | 5,044 | 14,577 | 150 | 433 | 2,766 | 7,994 | 2,128 | 6,150 | 4,894 | 14,144 |
| 065265 | 00 | 3 | 4.2 | 239 | 997 | 7 | 30 | 131 | 546 | 101 | 421 | 232 | 967 |
| 065266 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 210 | 853 | 6 | 25 | 115 | 467 | 89 | 360 | 204 | 827 |
| 065267 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 246 | 996 | 7 | 30 | 135 | 547 | 104 | 420 | 239 | 967 |
| 065268 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 109 | 449 | 3 | 13 | 60 | 247 | 46 | 189 | 106 | 436 |
| 065271 | 00 | 3 | 4.2 | 472 | 1,964 | 14 | 58 | 259 | 1,077 | 199 | 828 | 458 | 1,905 |
| 065272 | 00 | 3 | 4.2 | 301 | 1,255 | 9 | 37 | 165 | 688 | 127 | 530 | 292 | 1,218 |
| 065273 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 414 | 1,677 | 12 | 50 | 227 | 919 | 175 | 708 | 402 | 1,627 |
| 065274 | 00 | 3 | 4.0 | 213 | 861 | 6 | 26 | 117 | 473 | 90 | 362 | 207 | 835 |
| 065275 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 385 | 1,563 | 11 | 46 | 211 | 857 | 163 | 660 | 374 | 1,517 |
| 065276 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 228 | 926 | 7 | 28 | 125 | 508 | 96 | 391 | 221 | 898 |
| 065277 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 162 | 666 | 5 | 20 | 89 | 366 | 68 | 280 | 157 | 646 |
| 065278 | 00 | 3 | 4.1 | 111 | 457 | 3 | 14 | 61 | 251 | 47 | 192 | 108 | 444 |
| 065643 | 00 | 3 | 4.5 | 4,420 | 19,846 | 131 | 590 | 2,424 | 10,884 | 1,865 | 8,372 | 4,289 | 19,256 |
| 065280 | 00 | 3 | 4.0 | 150 | 605 | 4 | 18 | 82 | 330 | 64 | 256 | 146 | 587 |
| 065284 | 01 | 2 | 4.1 | 24 | 98 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 53 | 9 | 35 | 22 | 88 |
| 065285 | 01 | 2 | 4.3 | 24 | 104 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 56 | 9 | 37 | 22 | 93 |
| 065286 | 01 | 2 | 4.0 | 24 | 97 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 53 | 9 | 35 | 22 | 87 |
| 065287 | 01 | 2 | 4.1 | 27 | 111 | 3 | 11 | 15 | 62 | 9 | 38 | 24 | 100 |
| 065289 | 01 | 2 | 4.0 | 137 | 551 | 14 | 55 | 75 | 302 | 48 | 194 | 123 | 496 |
| 065290 | 01 | 2 | 4.1 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 13,337 | 52,944 | 389 | 590 | 7,184 | 10,895 | 2,395 | 8,375 | 5,509 | 19,270 |

a/ Estimates are upstream of Junction City and Willow Creek weirs for spring and fall estimates respectively.
b/ CWT=coded-wire tag code. Fish are of the same race and release type (smolt or yearling).
c/ BY=brood year.
d/ Expansion factor used to account for untagged releases of the same BY and release type for each CWT group.
e/ Run-size times TRH expansion factor.
f/ TRH=Trinity River Hatchery.
ago, experienced relatively equal return rates for both fingerling and yearling release types. The estimated hatchery contribution rates to overall spring and fall Chinook run-sizes are relatively high. As mentioned previously, run-size estimates may have potential bias (see TASK I), which under most scenarios would tend to be positive. However, this bias should not affect hatchery contribution rates since total CWT run-size is based on AD clip rates observed at either JCW or WCW, times total estimated runs above these sites. Thus, even if total run-size was adjusted lower, the AD clip rate would remain the same, resulting in the same hatchery contribution rates. If, however, hatchery produced fish are more vulnerable to capture than their wild counterparts at the weirs, the estimated contribution of hatchery fish would be positively biased.

Another rough method to validate hatchery-produced Chinook contribution rates is to examine AD clip rates at TRH and the weirs. If it is assumed that the AD clip rate at TRH is representative of the hatchery population, then the AD clip rates observed at the weirs would theoretically represent a fraction of the TRH population. Therefore, simple division of the AD clip rates observed at both weir sites by the AD rate at TRH would produce a hatchery contribution rate. The AD clip rates observed at the weirs and TRH during the 2003-04 season are the following: spring Chinook (JCW) . $18.2 \%$; fall Chinook (WCW) $21.2 \%$; TRH springs $24.3 \%$; TRH falls $24.7 \%$. Performing the calculations results in a contribution rate of $74.8 \%$ for spring Chinook and $86.0 \%$ for fall Chinook. These are slightly higher than our reported rates, but within 7\%.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Coded-wire tagging and release of smolt and yearling Chinook salmon, and the monitoring of adult salmon returns at Trinity River Hatchery should be continued in 2004-05.
2. Monitor the annual TRH-produced Chinook salmon contribution rates to the overall runs to determine the relative status of naturally produced Chinook salmon in the Trinity basin.
3. Continue spawner carcass surveys (Task IV) in the upper Trinity River to evaluate straying of TRH produced fish.
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Wade Sinnen


#### Abstract

Project personnel marked 516,906 coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) from the 2002 brood year with a right maxillary (RM) clip prior to their release from Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) in March of 2004. These fish are expected to return as two and three-year-old fish during the 200405 and 2005-06 seasons respectively.

An estimated 28,152 coho returned to the Trinity River, upstream of the Willow Creek Weir (WCW), during the 2003-04 season. We estimated the TRH-produced component of this run to be $24,059(85.5 \%)$ coho. There was no angler harvest reported this season. Spawning escapement of TRH-produced coho was divided between 11,179 fish which entered TRH and 12,880 fish estimated to have spawned outside of the hatchery facility.

TRH-produced coho from the 2000 brood year are considered to have completed their life cycle this year. An estimated 22,292 coho from the 2000 brood year returned to the Trinity River basin, upstream of Willow Creek weir, the past two seasons. This represents $4.25 \%$ of the 524,238 marked coho yearlings released from TRH in March of 2002. Estimated TRH-produced coho returns from the 2001 brood year are complete for age two returns only. An estimated 3,338 coho have returned thus far, representing $0.80 \%$ of the number released.


## TASK OBJECTIVES

To determine the relative return rates and contributions to spawning escapement and the fisheries made by naturally and hatchery-produced coho in the Trinity River basin.

## INTRODUCTION

Coho salmon are propagated at Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) by the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) as mitigation for lost habitat/coho production upstream of Lewiston Dam. The Trinity River Project, an element of CDFG, is responsible for marking coho prior to their release from the hatchery facility and estimation of the naturally- and hatchery-produced components of coho salmon returning to the Trinity River basin, upstream of Willow Creek Weir (WCW). Beginning with the 1994 brood year, all coho salmon reared at TRH have received a right maxillary (RM) clip prior to release. Prior to the 1994 brood year, a portion of the coho production was coded-wire tagged similar to the Chinook marking program at TRH. With the advent of coho becoming listed as a threatened species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in 1994, the CDFG began a program to mark $100 \%$ of the hatchery production so that a more thorough analysis of hatchery and natural stocks could be accomplished.

## METHODS

There are two phases involved in this task; marking all coho produced at TRH and estimating coho run-size, harvest, and escapement of TRH- and naturally-produced coho salmon returning to the Trinity basin. The latter phase is partially accomplished under TASK 1 of this report. In this section we present release and recovery data that is used to estimate the hatchery- and naturally-produced component of the 2003-04 coho run above WCW. Data compilation and analysis is reliant upon previously reported data in Sinnen and Null, 2002, Sinnen and Moore, 2000, and Sinnen, 2004a, 2004b.

## Marking at Trinity River Hatchery

Marking of coho is performed by CDFG personnel in marking sheds which are placed on top of the raceways at TRH. The sheds are moved along raceways with a fork lift, utilizing slots in each shed for this purpose. Raceways are segregated with removable barriers to isolate clipped coho from un-marked fish.

Coho are anaesthetized with carbon dioxide and their have their right maxillary (RM) bone removed with a pair of sharp surgical scissors. Marked fish are tallied with a manual counter and returned to hatchery ponds. Observed mortalities of marked coho are counted and subtracted from the daily effectively tagged total.

To determine overall marking accuracy, we examine a sample of the marked coho just prior to their release into the river. These fish are anaesthetized with carbon dioxide, measured to the nearest millimeter ( mm ) fork length ( FL ), and checked for quality of the maxillary clip. If more than $3 / 4$ of the bone was excised it is considered a good clip; less than that is considered a poor clip. We estimate the total number of coho effectively marked by multiplying the percent of fish with good clips by the total number we tallied as marked.

TRH-produced coho run-size, escapement, and in-river harvest
To estimate the contribution of TRH-produced coho to run-size, escapement and in-river angler harvest above Willow Creek Weir (WCW), the following information is required:

1. Marking of coho production released from TRH.
2. Recovery totals of marked and unmarked coho returning to TRH.
3. Total coho run-size above WCW.
4. The percentage of marked coho salmon observed at WCW.
5. In-river angler harvest rates on coho above WCW.
6. Specific age class determinations.

The assumptions underlying the validity of run-size estimates are discussed under TASK 1 of this report. Additionally, we assume that coho right-maxillary-marks do not regenerate and that the mark is recognizable.

To estimate the TRH-produced component of the run above WCW, we used the equation:
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {RM }}=\frac{\mathrm{NW}_{\text {RM }}}{\mathrm{NW}} \times \mathrm{N}_{\text {Cohorun }}$
where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{RM}}=$ The estimated number of coho salmon above Willow Creek weir with a right maxillary clip; $\mathrm{NW}_{\mathrm{RM}}=$ The number of coho salmon observed at Willow creek weir that were right-maxillary clipped; NW = The total number of coho salmon observed at Willow creek weir; $\mathrm{N}_{\text {Cohorun }}=$ Total estimated run of coho salmon above Willow Creek weir. To estimate the number of un-marked coho salmon above the weir we used the equation:
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {Cohorun }}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{RM}}$
where, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}=$ The estimated number of naturally produced coho above Willow Creek weir.
The size separating grilse and adult coho is determined using length frequency analysis. The number of grilse and adults in the coho run was determined by multiplying the proportion of each observed at WCW and TRH combined times the total run-size estimate. We combined

TRH and WCW data since we trapped less than 500 coho at WCW and the majority of the run was TRH produced. The number of right maxillary-clipped coho for each age strata is estimated by multiplying the ratio of marked to unmarked coho observed at Willow Creek weir times the total age stratified run-size estimate. The remaining coho are considered naturally produced. Coho harvest rate estimates are developed using angler tag return data presented in Task 1. Harvest rates are multiplied times the age stratified coho run to produce a harvest estimate. The estimate is apportioned to both RM clipped and naturally produced fish. Coho escapement is determined by the following equation:
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {escapement }}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {Cohorun }}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {coho }}$
where, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {coho }}=$ The estimated number of coho salmon harvested by anglers upstream of Willow Creek weir. Escapement is divided into Trinity River Hatchery escapement and natural escapement. Hatchery escapement is a direct count of RM clipped and unmarked coho that entered TRH, while natural escapement is estimated by the following equation:
$\mathrm{N}_{\text {Naturalescapement }}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {escapement }}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {TRHescapement }}$
where $\mathrm{N}_{\text {Naturalescapement }}=$ The estimated number of coho salmon above Willow Creek weir estimated to have spawned in natural areas; $\mathrm{N}_{\text {TRHescapement }}=$ the number of coho salmon that entered TRH. All estimates are stratified by grilse and adults and by RM marked and unmarked coho salmon.

## RESULTS

## Marking

Staff personnel marked (RM clips) approximately 517,797 BY 2002 coho, representing the entire production at TRH. We began marking coho in late December, 2003 and finished in early March, 2004.

We performed a quality control check to determine our clipping effectiveness for coho in each raceway on March 4-5, 2004. We measured and examined approximately $1 \%$ of the coho in each raceway. The percentage of coho with proper clips ranged from $99.6 \%$ to $100 \%$ and averaged $99.9 \%$ for the 5,408 fish examined. We also recorded 462 post clip mortalities. Therefore, we estimate that 516,906 coho were effectively clipped and released (Table 1). These fish ranged in size from 102 to 278 mm , fork length (FL), with a range of mean lengths from 148 to 176 mm , FL. All BY 2002 coho were volitionally released from TRH March 15-18, 2004.

Table 1. Quality control data for 2002 brood year coho salmon reared at Trinity River Hatchery and released March 15-21, 2004.

| Pond <br> number | Number <br> counted/ <br> clipped | Post- <br> Clipping <br> mortalitites | Number <br> examined <br> post clip | Number <br> without <br> clip | QC <br> $\%$ unclip | effectively <br> clipped | Number <br> not clipped <br> at release | Total <br> number <br> released | FL (mm) <br> range | $\mathrm{FL}(\mathrm{mm})$ <br> Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11 \& 12$ | 62,494 | 167 | 741 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 62,327 | 0 | 62,327 | $102-278 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 155.1 |
| \|3\&14 | 68,668 | 1 | 720 | 1 | $0.14 \%$ | 68,572 | 95 | 68,667 | $110-245 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 149.9 |
| H1\&H2 | 67,377 | 1 | 708 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 67,376 | 0 | 67,376 | $111-240 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 153.1 |
| H3\&H4 | 66,769 | 98 | 688 | 1 | $0.15 \%$ | 66,574 | 97 | 66,671 | $118-229 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 152.3 |
| G1\&G2 | 51,226 | 0 | 537 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 51,226 | 0 | 51,226 | $115-252 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 154.8 |
| G3\&G4 | 69,137 | 75 | 728 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 69,062 | 0 | 69,062 | $118-241 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 154.9 |
| J1\&J2 | 67,313 | 0 | 737 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 67,313 | 0 | 67,313 | $115-255 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 154.9 |
| J3\&J4 | 64,813 | 120 | 549 | 2 | $0.36 \%$ | 64,457 | 236 | 64,693 | $116-261 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 150.8 |
| Totals: | 517,797 | 462 | 5,408 | 4 | $0.07 \%$ | 516,006 | 429 | 517,335 |  | 153.2 |

## Contribution of TRH-produced coho salmon to escapement and in-river sport fisheries

Total (natural and TRH-produced) coho run-size for the 2003-04 season, above WCW, was estimated at 28,152 fish (TASK 1), of which 3,501 were grilse (age 2) and 24,651 were adults (age 3). Age classes were determined using length frequency analysis. The size separating grilse and adults was 53 cm , FL (TASK 1). Therefore all coho $\leq 53 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL were considered grilse and larger fish adults.

The percentage of right maxillary-clipped (RM) coho observed at WCW was $95.3 \%(41 / 43)$ for grilse salmon and $84.1 \%(174 / 207)$ for adults. The overall marked coho total observed at WCW for the 2003-04 season was $86.0 \%(215 / 250)$. Therefore, we estimate that the 2003-04 coho run was composed of 4,093 naturally-produced fish and 24,059 TRH-produced fish (Table 2.).

Since none of the project tags applied to coho salmon at WCW were returned by anglers, we estimated that anglers did not harvest any coho during the 2003-04 season. The sport take of coho, a federally threatened species, has been prohibited since 1995; however, some fish are occasionally harvested by unknowledgeable anglers due to mistaken identity or a lack of knowledge concerning the closure.

Table 2. Run-size, in-river sport catch, and spawner escapement estimates for naturally- and TRH-produced coho salmon, upstream of Willow Creek Weir for the 2002-03 return year.

|  |  |  |  |  | Spawning escapement |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Strata | BY a/ | Age b/ | Run-size | Angler harvest | TRH c/ | Natural |
| Naturally | 01 | 2 | 163 | 0 | 14 | 149 |
| Produced | 00 | 3 | 3,930 | 0 | 666 | 3,264 |
|  |  | Totals: | 4,093 | 0 | 680 | 3,413 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRH | 01 | 2 | 3,338 | 0 | 1,449 | 1,889 |
| Produced | 00 | 3 | 20,721 | 0 | 9,730 | 10,991 |
|  |  | Totals: | 24,059 | 0 | 11,179 | 12,880 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand totals: |  |  | 28,152 | 0 | 11,859 |

a/ BY=Brood year
b/ Age classes are determined using fork length frequency analysis.
c/ TRH=Trinity River Hatchery
Based on age three coho run-size estimates presented above (Table 2) and age two estimates provided last year, the percent return for BY 2000, TRH-produced coho was $4.25 \%$. Coho from the 2000 BY have reached three years of age and are considered to have completed their life cycle. The estimated return of two- year-old 2001 BY coho was $0.80 \%$. These fish will return during the 2004-05 season as three-year-olds.

Spawning escapement of 2000 BY, TRH-produced coho consisted of 10,418 (46.7\%) fish that entered TRH and 11,874 (53.3\%) fish estimated to have spawned in natural areas (Table 3).

Estimated escapement of TRH-produced, two-year-old coho from the 2001 brood year was 1,449 ( $43.4 \%$ ) hatchery spawners and $1,889(56.6 \%)$ fish estimated to have spawned in natural areas (Table 3).

Table 3. Run-size, percent return, in-river angler harvest and spawner escapement estimates for Trinity River Hatchery-produced coho salmon returning to the Trinity River upstream of the Willow Creek Weir during the period 2002 through 2003.

| Release Data |  |  |  |  | Estimated Returns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clip a/ | Brood <br> Year | Date | Number b/ | Site | Age c/ | Run-size | \% of release | River harvest | Spawning Escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH d/ | Natural | Total |
| RM | 00 | 3/15-22/02 | 524,238 | TRH | 2 | 1,571 | 0.30 | 0 | 688 | 883 | 1,571 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 20,721 | 3.95 | 0 | 9,730 | 10,991 | 20,721 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Totals: | 22,292 | 4.25 | 0 | 10,418 | 11,874 | 22,292 |
|  | 01 | 3/17-19/03 | 416,201 | TRH | 2 | 3,338 | 0.80 | 0 | 1,449 | 1,889 | 3,338 |

[^4]
## DISCUSSION

Since estimation of TRH-produced contribution rates to overall coho run-size, escapement and harvest are directly related to the total coho run-size estimates produced under Task 1 of this report, it must be noted that the information presented under Task 3 is only moderately rigorous, statistically speaking. The total coho run-size estimate of 28,152 fish, produced under task 1 of this report, was based on only 234 effectively tagged fish. Confidence intervals ( $1-\mathrm{p}=0.95$ ) for this estimate are in the 17-23\% range. Additionally, the WCW was only fished until November $14^{\text {th }}$ this season. If coho salmon run timing past WCW occurs after this date or the ratio of naturally to hatchery-produced coho changes temporally our estimates may contain some unknown bias. Coho trapping data at WCW for the season indicated that the peak of the coho run occurred during Julian week 41 (Oct. 8-14) and that coho migration past WCW was waning at the time of removal. Since our efforts represent the majority of work to quantify the hatchery vs. wild runs and survival and contribution rates of returning adult coho, we feel it is important to present the available information.

The percent return of 2000 BY coho, estimated at $4.25 \%$, is the second highest return rate over the last seven years (Appendix 1). Return rates have ranged from 1.30\% for BY 1996 coho to
$6.22 \%$ for BY 1998 coho. Since coho are raised to slightly larger than yearling size (spawned in late November through early January and released in March of the following year) it would seem reasonable that survival rates could potentially be high. Return rates of coho to the Trinity basin, unlike chinook salmon, are in theory minimally affected by ocean and in-river commercial and sport harvest, since the take of coho has been prohibited in these fisheries since 1994. The Native American gill-net fisheries may harvest substantial numbers of coho, but it is doubtful that this harvest rate approaches historical harvest rates for all combined fisheries (ocean, commercial, in-river and gill-net).

In all but two years the estimated the number of hatchery-produced coho that have spawned in natural areas has surpassed those that entered TRH (Appendix 1). This indicates that TRHproduced coho stray at substantial rates. Our mainstem carcass surveys (Task 4) have demonstrated that, similar to TRH-produced Chinook, TRH-produced coho do spawn outside of the facility and that coho carcass recoveries are greatest in areas near TRH. This season we recovered 468 coho in the mainstem Trinity River. Of these, 375 ( $80.1 \%$ ) were RM clipped and 304 of the total were recovered in section 1, nearest the hatchery. It must be noted that any bias in coho run-size estimates would be reflected in natural areas since the number entering the hatchery are actual counts.

Despite the potential bias, coho trends, based on trapping data at Willow Creek weir, indicate that coho runs returning to the upper Trinity basin are heavily supported by TRH production. The past seven seasons of trapping data (years in which all TRH-produced coho have been $100 \%$ marked) have consistently shown that the marked percentage of coho observed at the weir has been substantial, 84 to $94 \%$ of the total observed (appendix 2). This season we estimated that approximately $84 \%$ of the run was composed of TRH-produced coho.
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Appendix 1. Run-size, harvest and spawner escapement estimates for right maxillary clipped, Trinity River Hatchery-produced coho salmon returning to the Trinity River, upstream of Willow Creek weir, brood years 1994-2000.

| Release data |  |  |  | Return data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood <br> year | Date | Effective <br> Number | Site | Age | Run-size | \% of release | In-river harvest | Spawner Escapement |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TRH | Natural | Total |
| 1994 | 3/17-21/96 | 72,311 | TRH | 2 | 970 | 1.34\% | 0 | 105 | 865 | 970 |
|  |  |  | TRH | 3 | 1,732 | 2.40\% | 0 | 867 | 865 | 1,732 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 2,702 | 3.74\% | 0 | 972 | 1,730 | 2,702 |
| 1995 | 3/17-21/97 | 580,880 | TRH | 2 | 5,552 | 0.96\% | 39 | 858 | 4,655 | 5,513 |
|  |  |  | TRH | 3 | 9,008 | 1.55\% | 0 | 3,899 | 5,109 | 9,008 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 14,560 | 2.51\% | 39 | 4,757 | 9,764 | 14,521 |
| 1996 | 3/16-20/98 | 513,663 | TRH | 2 | 2,340 | 0.46\% | 0 | 969 | 1,371 | 2,340 |
|  |  |  | TRH | 3 | 4,357 | 0.85\% | 86 | 3,015 | 1,256 | 4,271 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 6,697 | 1.30\% | 86 | 3,984 | 2,627 | 6,611 |
| 1997 | 3/15-22/99 | 517,196 | TRH | 2 | 592 | 0.11\% | 0 | 381 | 211 | 592 |
|  |  |  | TRH | 3 | 9,704 | 1.88\% | 0 | 3,407 | 6,297 | 9,704 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 10,296 | 1.99\% | 0 | 3,788 | 6,508 | 10,296 |
| 1998 | 3/15-20/00 | 493,233 | TRH | 2 | 5,289 | 1.07\% | 0 | 916 | 4,373 | 5,289 |
|  |  |  | TRH | 3 | 25,395 | 5.15\% | 0 | 9,625 | 15,770 | 25,395 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 30,684 | 6.22\% | 0 | 10,541 | 20,143 | 30,684 |
| 1999 | 3/15-22/01 | 512,986 | TRH | 2 | 3,373 | 0.66\% | 0 | 1,024 | 2,349 | 3,373 |
|  |  |  | TRH | 3 | 13,849 | 2.70\% | 0 | 6,409 | 7,440 | 13,849 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 17,222 | 3.36\% | 0 | 7,433 | 9,789 | 17,222 |
| 2000 | 3/17-19/02 | 524,238 | TRH | 2 | 1,571 | 0.30\% | 0 | 688 | 883 | 1,571 |
|  |  |  | TRH | 3 | 20,721 | 3.95\% | 0 | 9,730 | 10,991 | 20,721 |
|  |  |  |  | Totals: | 22,292 | 4.25\% | 0 | 10,418 | 11,874 | 22,292 |

Appendix 2. Naturally and Trinity River Hatchery-produced coho salmon run-size, in-river angler harvest and spawner escapment estimates for the Trinity River upstream of Willow Creek Weir for 1997-2003.

| Year | Strata | Run-size Estimate |  |  | Spawner Escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Angler harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Natural |  |  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grilse | Adults | Total | Grilse | Adults | Total | Grilse | Adults | Total | Grilse | Adults | Total |
| 1997 | Natural | 399 | 252 | 651 | 383 | 232 | 615 | 13 | 20 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  | TRH | 5,552 | 1,732 | 7,284 | 4655 | 865 | 5520 | 858 | 867 | 1725 | 39 | 0 | 39 |
| 1998 | Natural | 131 | 1,001 | 1,132 | 123 | 886 | 1,009 | 8 | 115 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 2,340 | 9,008 | 11,348 | 1,371 | 5,109 | 6,480 | 969 | 3,899 | 4,868 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | Natural | 31 | 555 | 586 | 23 | 440 | 463 | 8 | 103 | 111 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
|  | TRH | 592 | 4,357 | 4,949 | 211 | 1,266 | 1,477 | 381 | 3,015 | 3,396 | 0 | 86 | 86 |
| 2000 | Natural | 197 | 342 | 539 | 187 | 288 | 475 | 10 | 54 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 5,289 | 9,704 | 14,993 | 4,373 | 6,297 | 10,670 | 916 | 3,407 | 4,323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | Natural | 298 | 3,075 | 3,373 | 296 | 2,945 | 3,241 | 2 | 130 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,373 | 25,395 | 28,768 | 2,349 | 15,770 | 18,119 | 1,024 | 9,625 | 10,649 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | Natural | 138 | 458 | 596 | 123 | 372 | 495 | 15 | 86 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 1,571 | 13,849 | 15,420 | 883 | 7,440 | 8,323 | 688 | 6,409 | 7,097 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | Natural | 163 | 3,930 | 4,093 | 149 | 3,264 | 3,413 | 14 | 666 | 680 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRH | 3,338 | 20,721 | 24,059 | 1,889 | 10,991 | 12,880 | 1,449 | 9,730 | 11,179 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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#### Abstract

Employees of the California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG) Trinity River Project (TRP), in cooperation with the Yurok Tribe, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and U.S. Forest Service (USFS) conducted a salmon spawner survey of the Upper Trinity River from September 9th through December 23rd, 2003. The survey focused on the mainstem Trinity River from the upper most available anadromous spawning area at Lewiston Dam (river section \#1) and continued down stream to the Cedar Flat recreation area (river section \#10), a total of 101.6 rkms . The survey did not include any tributaries that may have been used for spawning by anadromous fish species. We examined 15,572 chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and 468 coho salmon (O. kisutch) carcasses during our survey. Carcass numbers and density were the most numerous in the uppermost reach. Carcass numbers and density generally decreased in a downstream progression.

Spring- and fall-run Chinook salmon carcasses were both recovered during the spawning season. Recovery of spring-run Chinook carcasses out numbered fall-run Chinook salmon until the week of October 22nd. After this time, fall-run Chinook became the dominate run recovered during the survey. Coho salmon were recovered starting on October 22nd and peaked the week of December 10th.

Chinook fork lengths averaged 75.5 cm (range: $35-112 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) for spring-run and 74.6 cm (range: $38-112 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) for fall-run. Adult Chinook made up $98.6 \%$ of the spring and $97.6 \%$ of the fall chinook. Coho salmon fork lengths averaged 65.0 cm (range: $37-83 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Coho adults composed $91.5 \%$ of all coho recovered during our surveys.


Recovered spring chinook salmon had a male: female sex ratio of approximately 0.48 : 1 , while fall Chinook salmon had a sex ratio relationship of male: female; 0.55: 1 respectively. Coho salmon had a male: female sex ratio of approximately $0.76: 1$

We estimated female pre-spawn mortality of spring and fall Chinook at $6.4 \%$ and $13.2 \%$, respectively. Coho female pre-spawn mortality was estimated to be $13.6 \%$.
Based on the recovery of adipose-fin-clipped Chinook salmon carcasses, we estimated that $25.2 \%$ of the spring-run and $28.2 \%$ of the fall-run salmon spawners observed in the mainstem
survey were of hatchery origin. Based on the recovery of right-maxillary clipped coho, we estimate that $80.1 \%$ of the mainstem spawners were of hatchery origin.

## OBJECTIVES

1. To determine the size, sex composition, and hatchery component among the naturally spawning populations in the mainstem Trinity River.
2. To determine the incidence of pre-spawning mortality among naturally spawning chinook and coho salmon in the mainstem Trinity River.
3. To determine the distribution of the naturally spawning populations of chinook and coho salmon within the mainstem Trinity River.

## INTRODUCTION

The California Department of Fish \& Game's (CDFG) Trinity River Project (TRP) in cooperation with the Yurok Tribe (YT) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USWFS) conducted a carcass and redd survey in the mainstem Trinity River. The survey was funded through the Trinity River Restoration Program (TRRP). The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) also participated in the survey using internal funding. Their participation was limited to enumerating redds in the uppermost reach (Lewiston Dam area).

Reporting responsibilities for the project were divided into two parts; CDFG was responsible for reporting on the carcass survey portion of the study and the USFWS and YT for the redd enumeration part of the study. Redd survey information included in this report was summarized by the Yurok Tribe and USFWS.

Spawner surveys have been conducted intermittently on the Trinity River since 1955. Spawning surveys prior to 1964 included river sections located above river mile (RKM 180.1), the site of present day Lewiston dam.

The redd/carcass surveys are intended to improve our understanding of the pre- and posttreatment effectiveness of flow and habitat manipulations being implemented by the TRRP. We aim to document any changes in spawner density, spawner distribution, and prespawn mortality rates that may occur. Additionally, our surveys aid in the evaluation of hatchery effects within the basin.

## METHODS

The study area included the main stem Trinity River from its upstream limit to anadromous fish
migration at Lewiston Dam to Cedar Flat Recreational Area. The study area was divided into 10 sections (Table 1, Figure 1). Sections were surveyed between September 9 and December 23, 2003. CDFG and YT crews attempted to survey sections $1-5$ on a consecutive basis with each section surveyed at least once a week, however manpower and logistical constraints caused some sections to be excluded on occasion. USFWS surveyed sections $6-10$. Sections 6 and 7 were surveyed once a week, while sections 8-10 were only surveyed on a bi-weekly basis. The reason for this was a result of limited staff available and limited spawning activities in these sections.

Table 1. Description and Lengths of river zones used in the 2003 mainstem Trinity River carcass and redd survey.

| River Zone | Length <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ | Zone Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3.2 | Lewiston Dam - Old Lewiston Bridge |
| 2 | 7.9 | Old Lewiston Bridge - Browns Mountain Bridge |
| 3 | 10.2 | Browns Mountain Bridge - Steel Bridge |
| 4 | 10.4 | Steel Bridge - Douglas City Camp |
| 5 | 7.2 | Douglas City Camp - Sky Ranch Road |
| 6 | 9.7 | Sky Ranch Road - Junction City Campground |
| 7 | 14.8 | Big Flat Public Boat Launch - Del Loma |
| 8 | 13.7 | Del Loma - Cedar Flat Recreation Area |
| 9 | 10 |  |



Figure1. Survey sections for 2003 Trinity River main stem spawner survey.
Surveys were conducted using $12-\mathrm{ft}$ Avon ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and Otter ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ inflatable rafts equipped with rowing frames. Each raft was staffed by two crew members, one rower-recorder and one person responsible for recovering carcasses. Two rafts in tandem cover either side of the river as the crews proceeded down stream. Carcasses were recovered from all accessible areas in the river and on the bank. Fish in deeper areas were recovered using telescoping poles with attached gigs.

In the Trinity River, there is a temporal and spatial overlap in the spring and fall Chinook runs. Since there is variation in the run timing each year, a date separating the two races was determined based on two factors. First, some of the Chinook carcasses recovered during the survey contained Coded Wire Tags (CWT's), which are implanted in their snouts prior to release from Trinity River Hatchery (TRH). CWT's are currently implanted in approximately $25 \%$ of all TRH Chinook salmon as juveniles. The code on each tag indicated whether each fish was of spring or fall-run salmon origin. Second, a portion of the carcasses recovered were marked with spaghetti tags placed on fish at CDFG fish trapping weirs located near the towns of Junction City and Willow Creek. Race was assigned to each fish tagged based on the times they were captured and tagged at the weirs. We separate the two runs of Chinook when the percent recovery of fall Chinook became greater than that of the spring Chinook during the survey week.

Carcasses were given a condition rating in order to classify the stage or degree of decomposition at any given period of time. During the survey, carcasses were separated into three categories as
follows: one clear eye (condition 1), both eyes cloudy (condition 2), and skeletal remains (condition 3).

Carcasses that were recovered during the survey were identified to species, gender, and examined for hatchery clips and/or program tags (spaghetti tags). We measured each fish to the nearest cm fork length (FL). Hatchery clips included adipose-fin clips (Ad) on salmon and steelhead and right maxillary clips (RM) on coho salmon. Ad-clips on Chinook salmon indicated the presence of a coded-wire tag (CWT). All Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) produced steelhead are clipped prior to release, however no CWT's are implanted. Similar to steelhead, all TRH coho are marked, except that coho are marked with a right maxillary (RM) clip.. Heads of all recovered Ad-clipped Chinook were removed and retained for later CWT tag recovery. The CWT's are extracted and decoded by TRP staff. Spaghetti tags, applied at the two main stem weirs to complete Task 1 of this report, were removed and the unique number associated with each was recorded.

Spawning condition in all female salmon was determined by direct observation of the ovaries. Fish were classified as either spawned or un-spawned based on their egg retention. Females retaining over 50 percent of their eggs were classified as un-spawned. We made the assumption that all females were adult fish. Male spawning condition was not assessed, as its determination was considered to be too subjective. All carcasses were cut in half, using a machete, to prevent processing of the same carcass in the future.

## RESULTS

## Spring / fall chinook separation

Overlap of spring and fall chinook occurred primarily during Julian weeks 42-46. Spring Chinook carcass recoveries were predominant through Julian week 43 (Oct. 22-Oct 28), after which, fall Chinook recoveries were most numerous. For the purpose of analysis, all Chinook recoveries prior to Julian week 44 were classified as spring Chinook and all subsequent carcass recoveries were classified as fall Chinook (Figure 2).

Carcass distribution

We recovered 15,572 Chinook carcasses during a 16 week period in our 10 survey sections (Table 2). The majority of the Chinook carcasses (12,488) were recovered in sections 1-2. Recovery of Chinook was greatest during Julian week 44 (Oct 29th.-Nov.4th) when crews recovered 1,923 Chinook.

Similar to carcass recovery results, Chinook redds were encountered most frequently in section 1 (Table 3). A total of 3,318 redds were enumerated in section 1, approximately half of the total
$(3,318 / 6,868)$ for all sections combined. The fewest redds (78) were observed in section 8. The peak period for redd eneumeration was Julian weeks $40-43$ when 3,908 redds were counted, representing $57 \%$ of the total for the season.


Figure 2. Weekly proportions of coded-wire tagged and Program-marked spring and fall-run chinook Observed in the 2003 main stem Trinity River spawner survey. The arrow expresses the designated seperation between the spring and fall runs.

Table 2. Recovery of all Chinook salmon by Julian week and section in the main stem Trinity River spawner survey during the 2003-2004 season.

a/ NS indicates that a survey was not performed that week.

Table 3. Summary of weekly redd enumeration, mainstem Trinity River carcasstredd survey, 2003-04 season. al

a Data provided by U.S. Fish and Wildifie Service.
b/ NS-No surrey was conducted.

## Spring chinook salmon

There were 5,251 chinook classified as spring-run examined during the survey (Table 4), of those, $2,271(43.2 \%)$ Chinook were classified as condition-one. The largest number $(2,643)$ and greatest density ( $825.9 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{km}$ ) of spring Chinook carcasses were recovered in section 1 , followed by section 2 with a density of 144.2 fish $/ \mathrm{km}$. Sections 3 and 6 had a density greater then 30 , ( 54.0 and 33.2 fish $/ \mathrm{km}$ ). In contrast, sections $8-10$ had densities less than 2 fish $/ \mathrm{km}$. Section 10 had the lowest density ( $0.3 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{km}$ ) of spring-run carcasses observed during the survey. Section 10 is located between Del Loma and the Cedar Flat Recreation Area.

Table 4. Number, density, incidence of Ad clips, project tags, and condition of spring Chinook salmon recovered during the 2003-2004 main stem Trinity River spawner survey.

| Section | length <br> (km) | Number observed a/ | Density (fish/km) | Cond $1 \mathrm{~b} /$ | Cond $2 \mathrm{c} /$ | Ad-clips d/ |  | Project tags e/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | C-1 | Total | C-1 |
| 1 | 3.2 | 2,643 | 825.9 | 1,096 | 1,483 | 262 | 132 | 40 | 15 |
| 2 | 7.9 | 1,139 | 144.2 | 432 | 683 | 77 | 36 | 20 | 12 |
| 3 | 10.2 | 551 | 54.0 | 262 | 269 | 17 | 11 | 18 | 7 |
| 4 | 10.4 | 285 | 27.4 | 146 | 124 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 15.7 | 267 | 17.0 | 154 | 102 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | 7.2 | 239 | 33.2 | 122 | 106 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 7 | 8.8 | 93 | 10.6 | 43 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 8 | 9.7 | 9 | 0.9 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 14.8 | 21 | 1.4 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 10 | 13.7 | 4 | 0.3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals: | 101.6 | 5,251 | 51.7 | 2,271 | 2,823 | 370 | 188 | 89 | 39 |

a/ For the purpose of analysis we considered all Chinook recovered prior to Julian week 44 (Oct 29) as spring Chinook.
b/ Condition-1 fish are those with at least one clear eye and considered to have died within one week. c/ Condition-2 fish are those with both eyes cloudy and considered to have died more than a week previous to recovery.
d/ Adipose fin clipped chinook salmon. Total and condition-1(C-1) recoveries shown.
e/ Spaghetti tags applied at Willow Creek and Junction City weirs. Total and condition-1 (C-1) recoveries sho

## Fall Chinook

There were 10,321 chinook classified as fall-run examined during the survey (Table 5), of which, 3,691 ( $35.8 \%$ ) were classified as condition-one. The largest number $(6,050)$ and the greatest density ( 1890.6 fish/ km ) of all fall carcasses were recovered in section 1 , followed by section 2 , ( 336.2 fish $/ \mathrm{km}$ ). Sections 3-4 had considerable lower densities of (86.9 and 37.0 fish $/ \mathrm{km}$ ).
The remaining sections (5-9) had much lower carcass concentrations, less than 13.0 fish $/ \mathrm{km}$. Resembling the spring chinook, the lowest density of fall chinook carcasses occurred in section 10 at a density of ( $1.8 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{km}$ ).

## Coho Salmon

Coho salmon carcasses were recovered starting in late October and peaked in mid-December. A total of 468 coho were recovered during the survey, of which 281 were classified as condition-1 and 187 as condition-2 (Table 6). The highest density of coho salmon were recovered in section 1 ( $95.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{km}$ ). Carcass recovery of coho salmon remained relatively low in the remaining sections.

Table 5. Number, density, incidence of Ad clips, project tags, and condition of fall Chinook salmon recovered during 2003-04 mainstem Trinity River spawner survey.

| Section | length <br> (km) | Number observed a/ | Density (fish/km) | Cond $1 \mathrm{~b} /$ | Cond $2 \mathrm{c} /$ | Ad-clips d/ |  | Project tags e/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | C-1 | Total | C-1 |
| 1 | 3.2 | 6,050 | 1890.6 | 2,309 | 3,600 | 578 | 304 | 72 | 23 |
| 2 | 7.9 | 2,656 | 336.2 | 794 | 1,728 | 161 | 77 | 30 | 8 |
| 3 | 10.2 | 886 | 86.9 | 295 | 542 | 37 | 15 | 9 | 4 |
| 4 | 10.4 | 385 | 37.0 | 144 | 201 | 10 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 5 | 15.7 | 84 | 5.4 | 30 | 47 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 6 | 7.2 | 91 | 12.6 | 35 | 47 |  | 3 | 1 |  |
| 7 | 8.8 | 50 | 5.7 | 25 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 9.7 | 23 | 2.4 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 9 | 14.8 | 72 | 4.9 | 34 | 21 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 10 | 13.7 | 24 | 1.8 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Totals: | 101.6 | 10,321 | 101.6 | 3,691 | 6,225 | 804 | 411 | 116 | 36 |

a/ For the purpose of analysis we considered all Chinook observed after Julian week 43 (Oct. 28) as fall Chinook. $\mathrm{b} /$ Condition-1 fish are those with at least one clear eye and considered to have died within one week.
c/ Condition-2 fish are those with both eyes cloudy and considered to have died more than a week previous to recoves d/ Adipose fin clipped chinook salmon. Total and condition-1(C-1) recoveries shown.
e/ Spaghetti tags applied at Willow Creek and Junction City weirs. Total and condition-1 (C-1) recoveries shown.

## Size Composition

Only condition-1 and condition-2 fish that were measured are included in our analysis. Condition-3 fish were not included due to potential inaccuracies in measuring fish in various decomposed states. The size separating grilse and adults was determined using length frequency analysis of fish trapped at two mainstem weirs and TRH (Task 1 of this report).

## Spring chinook

Fork lengths of 5,094 of spring Chinook averaged 75.5 cm and ranged between $35-112 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Figure 3). Grilse accounted for $1.4 \%(69 / 5,094)$ of the measured spring chinook. Grilse were considered fish $<52 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL.

## Fall chinook

Fork lengths obtained from 9,907 fall chinook averaged 74.6 cm and ranged between $38-112 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Figure 3). Grilse accounted for $2.4 \%(240 / 9,907)$ of measured fall chinook. Grilse were considered fish $<55 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL.

Table 6. Number, density, incidence of right maxillary (RM) clips, project tags, and condition of Coho salmon recr during the 2003-04 mainstem Trinity River spawner survey.

| Section | Length <br> (km) | Number observed | Density (fish/km) | Cond $1 \mathrm{c} /$ | Cond $2 \mathrm{~d} /$ | RM-clips a/ |  | Project tags $\mathrm{b} /$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | C-1 | Total | C-1 |
| 1 | 3.2 | 304 | 95.0 | 174 | 130 | 255 | 142 | 6 | 2 |
| 2 | 7.9 | 106 | 13.4 | 64 | 42 | 78 | 47 | 1 |  |
| 3 | 10.2 | 37 | 3.6 | 27 | 10 | 28 | 19 |  |  |
| 4 | 10.4 | 8 | 0.8 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| 5 | 15.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 7.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8.8 | 1 | 0.1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 9.7 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 14.8 | 4 | 0.3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 13.7 | 6 | 0.4 | 6 |  | 5 | 5 |  |  |
| Totals: | 101.6 | 468 | 4.6 | 281 | 187 | 375 | 218 | 8 | 3 |

a/ Right-maxillary clipped coho salmon. Total and condition-1(C-1) recoveries shown.
b/ Spaghetti tags applied at Willow Creek and Junction City weirs. Total and condition-1 (C-1) recoveries shown. c/ Condition-1 fish are those with at least one clear eye and considered to have died within one week.
d/ Condition- 2 fish are those with both eyes cloudy and considered to have died more than a week previos to recovi

## Coho

Fork lengths of 468 coho salmon were examined (Figure 3). The average size of coho examined was 65.0 cm and the range was from $37-83 \mathrm{~cm}$. Grilse accounted for $7.9 \%(37 / 468)$ of measured coho. Grilse were considered fish $<54 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL.




Figure 3. Length frequency histograms for Chinook and coho salmon measured during the 2003-04 mainstem Trinity River spawner survey.

## Adult sex composition and female pre-spawn mortality

All identifiable, measured chinook and coho salmon carcasses recovered during this survey were examined for sexual identity. Adult female ovaries were examined for spawning completeness to determine a pre-spawn mortality rate. Fish were considered pre-spawn mortalities if they retained over 50 percent of their eggs.

## Spring chinook

Of the adult spring chinook recovered that were sexed, 1,646 were sexed as males and 3,394 as females, a male to female ratio of 0.3:1. Of all "springers" measured, 6 adult fish could not be reliably sexed.

During the survey we examined 3,394 adult female spring-chinook salmon, of which 18 were unclassified and 216 were classified as pre-spawn mortalities, a rate of $6.5 \%$.

## Fall chinook

Of the 9,685 adult fall chinook that were sexed, 3,412 were sexed as males and 6,269 were as females, a male: female ratio of $0.5: 1$. The gender of 4 adult chinook could not be reliably determined.

Of the 6,269 adult female fall chinook carcasses examined, thirteen were unclassified and 730 were classified as un-spawned, a rate of $11.7 \%$.

## Coho salmon

We measured 428 adult coho during the survey, of which 185 were males and 243 were females. All coho were able to be classified by gender. The male: female ratio was approximately 0.8:1.

A total of 243 female coho carcasses were examined for spawning success and 33 were classified as un-spawned, a rate of $13.6 \%$.

## Incidence of Program marked salmon

## Spring Chinook

A total of 89 project spaghetti tags were recovered from spring Chinook, of which, 39 were recovered from condition-1 fish (Table 4). One tag was applied at the Willow Creek weir and the remaining 88 at Junction City weir. Slightly under half ( $44.9 \%$ ) of all project tags were recovered in section 1. Project tags were observed on $1.7 \%(89 / 5,251)$ of the spring Chinook we examined.

## Fall Chinook

A total 116 of project spaghetti tags were recovered from fall Chinook, of which, 36 were recovered from condition-1 fish (Table 5). Forty-four of the tags recovered were applied at the Junction City weir and the remaining 72 tags originated from the Willow Creek weir. Of the
project tags recovered, $62.0 \%$ were recovered in section 1. Project tags were observed on $1.1 \%$ $(116 / 10,321)$ of the fall Chinook we examined.

## Coho salmon

Eight project spaghetti tags were recovered in a coho salmon during our surveys this season (Table 6). Three of these project tagged coho were classified as a condition-1 fish. The incidence of project tagged fish was $1.7 \%(8 / 468)$.

> Incidence of hatchery produced Chinook and coho salmon

## Spring Chinook

One hundred and Eighty-eight (8.3\%) of the condition-one and 370 ( $7.0 \%$ ) of all spring Chinook bore Ad-clips. The majority (339/370) of the Ad-clipped Chinook were recovered in sections 1 and 2 (Table 4). Coded-wire tags (CWT's) were recovered from 287 of the total Chinook recovered during the spring Chinook recovery period (Table 7), of which eleven CWT's were from fall Chinook and 276 were of spring Chinook origin. We did not recover CWT's from eighty three Ad-clipped Chinook recovered during the period associated with spring Chinook. Two release groups, 065258 and 065270 comprised $28.2 \%$ of the total.

Based on expansion of all CWT codes recovered during the spring period (Table 7), we estimated that $1,258(24.0 \%)$ of the total 5,251 fish recovered during the spring period were of TRH origin. Based on expansions of all spring CWT groups, we estimate that the age structure of TRH spring Chinook recovered in the mainstem Trinity carcass survey was $0.7 \%$ age 2, $54.0 \%$ age 3 , and $45.4 \%$ age 4 .

## Fall Chinook

Four hundred and eleven ( $11.1 \%$ ) of the condition-one and 804 ( $7.8 \%$ ) of all fall Chinook bore Ad-clips. The majority (578/804) of the Ad-clipped fish were found in section-1 (Table 5). CWT's were extracted from 719 the 804 Ad-clipped fish (Table 7), of which 27 were spring Chinook and 692 were fall Chinook. CWT's were not extracted from 85 Chinook. Based on expansion of all CWT codes recovered during the fall period (Table 7), we estimate that 2,904 ( $28.1 \%$ ) of the total 10,321 Chinook recovered during the fall period were of TRH origin. The yearling release group, 065259 , comprised $33.6 \%$ of the expanded total.

Based on the expansion of all fall Chinook CWT codes, we estimate that the age structure of TRH fall Chinook recovered in the mainstem Trinity carcass survey was $0.7 \%$ age $2,54.0 \%$ age 3 , and $45.3 \%$ age 4.

## Coho Salmon

The occurrence of right maxillary clips (RM) was found on 375 of the 468 (80.1\%) coho examined (Table 6). We combined condition-1 and -2 fish in this analysis because RM clips, unlike adipose fin-clips, remain recognizable long after the fish has died and are therefore
subject to less observer error. Based on the fact that all TRH-produced coho salmon are marked prior to release, we estimate that $80.1 \%$ of coho recovered during the survey were of TRH origin.

Table 7. Release and recovery data for coded-wire tagged, Trinity River Hatchery-produced Chinook salmon, recovered during the mainstem Trinity River carcass survey, 2003-04 season. a/

| Release data |  |  |  |  | Recovery data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CWT } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Brood year | Age | Release type b/ | Production multiplier c/ | Recovery period d/ |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { sub-total } \end{gathered}$ | Expanded total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Spring | Fall |  |  |  |
| Spring Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065251 | 1999 | 4 | Sf | 6.67 | 16 |  | 16 | 4.1\% | 106.72 |
| 065252 | 1999 | 4 | Sf | 6.4 | 19 |  | 19 | 4.9\% | 121.6 |
| 065253 | 1999 | 4 | Sf | 6.19 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 6.0\% | 142.37 |
| 065258 | 1999 | 4 | Sy | 2.93 | 62 | 3 | 65 | 16.8\% | 190.45 |
| 065260 | 2000 | 3 | Sf | 4.51 | 11 |  | 11 | 2.8\% | 49.61 |
| 065261 | 2000 | 3 | Sf | 4.21 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 3.1\% | 50.52 |
| 065262 | 2000 | 3 | Sf | 4.21 | 8 |  | 8 | 2.1\% | 33.68 |
| 065263 | 2000 | 3 | Sf | 4.05 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 6.0\% | 93.15 |
| 065264 | 2000 | 3 | Sf | 4.05 | 21 | 6 | 27 | 7.0\% | 109.35 |
| 065269 | 2000 | 3 | Sf | 4.05 | 36 | 1 | 37 | 9.6\% | 149.85 |
| 065270 | 2000 | 3 | Sf | 4.51 | 31 | 13 | 44 | 11.4\% | 198.44 |
| 065279 | 2000 | 3 | Sy | 4.05 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 4.1\% | 64.8 |
| 065281 | 2001 | 2 | Sf | 4.03 | 1 |  | 1 | 0.3\% | 4.03 |
| 065282 | 2001 | 2 | Sf | 4.09 | 1 |  | 1 | 0.3\% | 4.09 |
| No CWT recovered e/ |  |  |  |  | 83 |  | 83 | 21.5\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-totals: | 359 | 27 | 386 |  | 1318.66 |
| Fall Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 065254 | 1999 | 4 | Ff | 10.83 |  | 15 | 15 | 1.9\% | 162.45 |
| 065255 | 1999 | 4 | Ff | 10.8 |  | 6 | 6 | 0.8\% | 64.8 |
| 065256 | 1999 | 4 | Ff | 10.95 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 1.8\% | 153.3 |
| 065257 | 1999 | 4 | Ff | 10.96 |  | 13 | 13 | 1.6\% | 142.48 |
| 065259 | 1999 | 4 | Fy | 2.89 | 3 | 262 | 265 | 33.6\% | 765.85 |
| 065265 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.17 |  | 12 | 12 | 1.5\% | 50.04 |
| 065266 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.06 |  | 24 | 24 | 3.0\% | 97.44 |
| 065267 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.05 | 1 | 21 | 22 | 2.8\% | 89.1 |
| 065268 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.49 |  | 7 | 7 | 0.9\% | 31.43 |
| 065271 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 2.93 | 1 | 29 | 30 | 3.8\% | 87.9 |
| 065272 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.17 |  | 19 | 19 | 2.4\% | 79.23 |
| 065273 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.05 | 1 | 36 | 37 | 4.7\% | 149.85 |
| 065274 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.04 |  | 22 | 22 | 2.8\% | 88.88 |
| 065275 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.06 |  | 31 | 31 | 3.9\% | 125.86 |
| 065276 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.06 | 1 | 13 | 14 | 1.8\% | 56.84 |
| 065277 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.11 |  | 9 | 9 | 1.1\% | 36.99 |
| 065278 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.12 |  | 7 | 7 | 0.9\% | 28.84 |
| 065280 | 2000 | 3 | Fy | 4.05 | 2 | 141 | 143 | 18.1\% | 579.15 |
| 065643 | 2000 | 3 | Ff | 4.04 |  | 8 | 8 | 1.0\% | 32.32 |
| 065284 | 2001 | 2 | Ff | 4.09 |  | 1 | 1 | 0.1\% | 4.09 |
| 065285 | 2001 | 2 | Ff | 4.08 |  | 2 | 2 | 0.3\% | 8.16 |
| 065286 | 2001 | 2 | Ff | 4.33 |  | 1 | 1 | 0.1\% | 4.33 |
| 065287 | 2001 | 2 | Ff | 4.05 |  | 1 | 1 | 0.1\% | 4.05 |
| No CWT recovered e/ |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | 85 | 10.8\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sub-totals: | 11 | 777 | 788 |  | 2,843.38 |
|  |  |  |  | Grand totals: | 370 | 804 | 1,174 |  | $\overline{\overline{4,162.04}}$ |
|  |  |  | Expanded grand totals: |  | 1,258 | 2,904 |  |  |  |

a/ Survey was conducted from Lewiston Dam downstream to Cedar Flat between September 9 through December 23, 2003.
b/ Release types: Sf-Spring Chinook fingerling, Sy-Spring Chinook yearling; Ff-Fall Chinook fingerling, Fy-Fall Chinook yearling.
c/ Hatchery production multiplier used to account for untagged releases of the same brood year, race, and release type.
d/ Spring Chinook recovery period was September 9 through October 28. Later recoveries were considered fall Chinook.
e/ CWT was not present or was lost during recovery.

## Discussion

Chinook carcass recoveries this season surpassed last year's total by a margin of 11,357 fish (Appendix 1). This in part can be attributed to last year's fish kill in the lower Klamath River. The fish kill significantly reduced the number of fall Chinook spawners returning to the Trinity River in 2002. We also recovered 291 more Coho this season than last (Appendix 2).

It is important to note that with the increased number of retuning spawners this season, the task of recovering carcasses became increasingly difficult. During this year's survey, crews on two occasions did not completely collect all AD-clipped heads they encountered. The reason for not collecting heads that possibly contained coded-wire tags was they did not carry a sufficient number of "head bags". As a result, our hatchery component estimate based on expanding CWT's, is not complete. The increase in returning salmon runs may be contributed to several factors. Factors that aided the large runs include favorable ocean conditions while the fish were maturing.

The spawner survey conducted this year included both carcass recovery and redd enumeration and mapping. Prior to 1996 , CDFG conducted carcass recovery operations which entailed flagging carcasses for subsequent recapture to estimate recovery efficiency. This allowed us to estimate the total number of spawners in each surveyed section. With the addition of the redd mapping, crews did not have enough time to perform mark-recapture efficiency estimates. Therefore, sectional carcass density estimates prior to 1996 for the main stem Trinity River may not be directly comparable to current findings.

Carcass distribution

As in past years, Chinook carcasses were most frequently recovered in the upper two sections this season (Appendix 1). Eighty percent $(12,488 / 15,572)$ of all Chinook were recovered from Lewiston Dam downstream to Browns Mountain Bridge, a length of approximately 11 kms . The remaining sections (3-10), a length of approximately 90 kms , yielded a combined 3,084 (20.0\%) of all Chinook carcasses. The high density of Chinook carcasses in the upper two sections appears primarily to be due to "short distance straying" of hatchery- produced Chinook at TRH. Other potential reasons that Chinook heavily utilize the upper 11 kms are the availability of quality spawning habitat, suitable water temperatures, suitable holding and spawning flow regimes or natal homing (i.e. the large number of Chinook spawned in these sections perpetuate returns to the same areas. Coho recoveries, similar to Chinook, were also greatest in the upper two sections (Appendix 2). Sectional recovery trends for both Chinook and coho appear relatively consistent for the four years presented. We hypothesize that both Chinook and coho in the upper Trinity are partially or fully integrated with the TRH population, i.e. hatchery and natural fish are interbreeding in the wild and hatchery environment.

Size composition

The proportion of grilse in this year's run of Chinook and coho observed in the carcass survey and at three fixed locations (either Willow Creek or Junction City weir and Trinity River Hatchery) in the main stem Trinity River are presented in appendix 3. The proportion of Chinook grilse found during the surveys was intermediate compared to TRH and the weirs. This suggests that the wild grilse component was agreater percentage of the run compared to the hatchery componet. The proportion of grilse coho ( $9.4 \%$ ) observed in the spawner survey was significantly different than the other two fixed sites (appendix 2 ), however, this may be a product of the recovery extended period for coho salmon this season. Had surveys continued into January when a majority of coho would have died, the grilse proportion may have changed. Additionally, increased river flows and poor water visibility conditions may have affected recovery of grilse. Of concern is the very high grilse rate observed at Willow Creek weir $(17.2 \%)$, which suggests that trapping of grilse and adults there was not in proportion to the population, trapping did not extend long enough into the season or differential capture efficiency existed. Willow Creek weir trapped only through November the 14th (Julian week 46), due to high water flows.

Adult sex composition and female pre-spawn mortality
For both races of Chinook salmon, female adults out numbered male adults. Previous studies on the Trinity presented in Aguilar (1996), suggest this is common for Chinook salmon. It is generally assumed that adult females would compose a higher percentage of adults than their male counterparts due to the fact that a percentage of males return as grilse.

Reported Trinity River Chinook salmon pre-spawn mortalities have ranged from 0 to $71 \%$ for spring Chinook and 0.7 to $43.7 \%$ for fall Chinook for the 1987-2002 period (Appendix 4). This year's pre-spawn mortality rates of $6.5 \%$ and $11.7 \%$ for spring and fall Chinook respectively are typical of average run years. It has been noted, most recently by Zuspan (1998), that prespawn mortality may be density dependent in the Trinity system. In years of high Chinook abundance, pre-spawn mortality increases.

## Incidence of Program marked salmon

One important aspect of our surveys is to recover Program marks (spaghetti tags) from Chinook and coho salmon. These fish, tagged at Willow Creek and Junction City weirs, are used to validate population estimates reported under Task 1 of this report. It is assumed that fish tagged at the weirs are representative of both the hatchery and naturally spawning populations within the Trinity. Therefore, we expect that salmon found during carcass surveys would have approximately the same percentage of tags as fish which enter Trinity River Hatchery.

During the 2003 season, the percentage of tags found on both spring and fall Chinook and coho salmon during carcass surveys was lower than observed at the hatchery (appendix 5). Factors
that may account for differences in program marked percentages between the hatchery and natural areas include: 1) Sample size. Our sample sizes are much greater at TRH versus natural areas. 2) Weir trapping schedules. If a portion of the run is not trapped as efficiently as other segments of the run we would expect that the recovery percentages of marked fish may vary. This may explain the difference between spring Chinook marked recovery percentages at TRH versus spawner surveys. Since the JCW was not operational until July 21st, we may have missed a portion of the run. If this portion of the run had a higher percentage of wild fish than latter segments, we would expect lower tag recovery percentages in natural areas, which was observed this year. 3) Tag loss. It can be reasonably expected that tags may be lost during decomposition or that anglers or other people on the river may be removing tags. It is well known that some of our tags offer $\$ 10.00$ rewards.

## Incidence of hatchery produced Chinook

Another important aspect of our surveys is document the magnitude and distribution of hatchery strays. Ad-clip and RM-clip rates observed at 3 fixed sites and in the carcass survey are presented in appendix 6 . Only condition-1 and 2 Chinook carcass recoveries were used for this analysis, while all coho found during carcass surveys were used. This was done because of the subjectivity of determining the presence or absence of Ad-clips on deteriorating Chinook and the more easily identified RM-clip on coho.

A rough estimate of the incidence of hatchery produced Chinook found in the main stem Trinity can be obtained by comparing the ratios of Ad-clipped salmon observed at various locations within the river. If the assumption is made that fish which enter TRH are very close to $100 \%$ hatchery origin, division of river recovery clip rates divided by TRH clip rates will produce a percentage of fish observed at off-site areas composed of hatchery produced fish

The Ad-clip rate (7.1\%) of spring Chinook found in the main stem Trinity River was lower than at either JCW ( $18.2 \%$ ) and TRH ( $24.3 \%$ ). Using the above estimation method and assumption, we estimate that hatchery-produced spring Chinook, upstream of Junction City weir composed $74.9 \%(18.2 / 24.3)$ of the total run and $29.2 \%(7.1 / 24.3)$ of the spring Chinook observed in the main stem carcass survey. We estimated that 1,258 of 5,251 (24.0\%) spring Chinook recovered during carcass surveys were of hatchery origin based on expansion of coded-wire tags (Table 7). Due to some heads not being collected and CWT's which could not be read, approximately $22 \%$ of CWT's collected during the spring period did not yield valid codes. Shed rates at TRH for CWT's are generally less than $10 \%$, therefore we would expect a slightly higher percentage of hatchery fish to be estimated from our CWT recovery sample in the carcass survey had all heads been recovered.

The Ad-clip rate ( $7.8 \%$ ) of fall Chinook found in the mainstem spawner survey (appendix 6) was also lower than that observed at WCW (21.2\%) and TRH (24.7\%). Using the previous estimation methods, we estimate that $85.8 \%(21.2 / 24.7)$ of the fall Chinook, upstream of Willow Creek weir, were of hatchery origin and that $31.6 \%(7.8 / 24.7)$ of main stem spawners were of
hatchery origin. We estimated that 2,904 of 10,321 (28.1\%) fall Chinook recovered during carcass surveys were of hatchery origin based on expansion of coded-wire tags (Table 7). In addition, $10.6 \%$ of our CWT sample were shed tags, lost heads or unreadable. This rate is slightly higher than we typically observe at TRH.

The incidence of coho RM-clips varied from a rate of $80.1 \%$ in the main stem spawner survey to $94.2 \%$ at TRH. The observed rate at WCW was intermediate at $86.0 \%$. These high rates of hatchery produced coho in all sample sites indicate that the Trinity River coho population, upstream of Willow Creek weir, is heavily supported by hatchery produced fish. However, sampling at the weir (through mid November) and in the main stem Trinity spawner survey (through mid December) did not include the complete temporal scale of coho migration and their ultimate death. The potential exists that naturally produced coho have a later run-timing, spawn and die later, or spawn primarily in tributary streams which were not surveyed.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1.) Annual spawner survey activities should be continued, with current or modified objectives, in future years.
2.) In future years, the entire survey area, sections one through ten, should be surveyed on a consistent temporal basis (e.g. once each week) if possible.
3.) We should attempt to measure all identifiable fish and make all efforts to collect CWT's when possible.
4.) If recovery of coho salmon becomes a high priority, the length of the surveys will need to be extended into January.
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Appendix 1. Chinook carcass recovery in the mainstem Trinity River, 2000-2003. a/
Spring Chinook

| Year | Recovery totals by section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |
| 2000 | 695 | 368 | 101 | 52 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1,241 |
| 2001 | 383 | 331 | 137 | 113 | 8 | 12 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1,010 |
| 2002 | 951 | 641 | 311 | 214 | 169 | 245 | 124 | 20 | 46 | 8 | 2,729 |
| 2003 | 2,643 | 1,139 | 551 | 285 | 267 | 239 | 93 | 9 | 21 | 4 | 5,251 |

Fall Chinook

|  | Recovery totals by section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| 2000 | 3,644 | 979 | 174 | 50 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 4,909 |
| 2001 | 3,217 | 872 | 136 | 118 | 23 | 14 | 75 | 12 | 32 | 6 | 4,505 |
| 2002 | 569 | 462 | 89 | 100 | 46 | 66 | 84 | 25 | 32 | 13 | 1,486 |
| 2003 | 6,050 | 2,656 | 886 | 385 | 84 | 91 | 50 | 23 | 72 | 24 | 10,321 |



a/ Surveys conducted on the upper mainstem Trinity River from Cedar Flat to Lewiston Dam.

Appendix 2. Coho carcass recovery in the mainstem Trinity River, 2000-2003. a/

|  | Recovery totals by section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| 2000 | 291 | 112 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 417 |
| 2001 | 465 | 211 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 692 |
| 2002 | 125 | 29 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 177 |
| 2003 | 304 | 106 | 37 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 468 |

Mainstem Trinity River coho carcass recovery


Appendix 3. Size composition of chinook and coho salmon observed in the mainstem spawner survey and at the three fixed locations in the Trinity River basin during the 2003-2004 season.

## Spring Chinook

|  | Recovery site |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size strata | Junction City Weir | Trinity River Hatchery | Spawner survey b/ | Total |
| Grilse a/ | 22 | 130 | 69 | 221 |
| Adults | 990 | 14,512 | 5,025 | 20,527 |
| \% Grilse | $2.17 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | $1.07 \%$ |

a/ Spring Chinook grilse were $<52 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL; larger fish were adults. b/ Measured condition 1 and 2 fish only.

Fall Chinook
Recovery site

|  | Recovery site |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size strata | Willow Creek Weir | Trinity River Hatchery | Spawner survey b/ |  |
| Grilse a/ | 30 | 634 | 240 | 904 |
| Adults | 1,218 | 29,752 | 9,667 | 40,637 |
| \% Grilse | $2.40 \%$ | $2.09 \%$ | $2.42 \%$ | $2.18 \%$ |

a/ Fall Chinook grilse were $<55 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL; larger fish were adults.
b/ Measured condition 1 and 2 fish only.

## Coho

|  | Recovery site |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size strata | Willow Creek Weir | Trinity River Hatchery | Spawner survey b/ | Total |
| Grilse a/ | 43 | 1,463 | 37 | 1543 |
| Adults | 207 | 10,396 | 431 | 11,034 |
| \% Grilse | $17.20 \%$ | $12.34 \%$ | $7.91 \%$ | $12.27 \%$ |

a/ Coho grilse were $<54 \mathrm{~cm}$, FL; larger fish were adults.
b/ Measured condition 1 and 2 fish only.

Appendix 4. Female chinook salmon pre-spawning mortality rates observed during the mainstem Trinity River spawner surveys from 1955 through 2003.

| Study year | Literature source | Spring-run chinook |  |  | Fall-run chinook |  |  | Total chinook |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spawned | Unspawned | Percent unspawned | Spawned | Unspawned | Percent unspawned | Spawned | Unspawned | Percent unspawned |
| 1955 a/ | Gibbs (1956) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,076 | 32 | 1.5 |
| 1956 a/ | Weber (1965) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,438 | 219 | 6.0 |
| 1963 a/ | LaFaunce (1965) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,953 | 328 | 6.2 |
| 1968 a/ | Rogers (1970) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,494 | 124 | 7.7 |
| 1969 a/ | Smith (1975) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,889 | 23 | 1.2 |
| 1970 a/ | Rogers (1973) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 632 | 34 | 5.1 |
| 1971 b/ | " (1982) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 a/ | Miller (1972) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 791 | 110 | 12.2 |
| 1973 a/c/ | " (1973) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0 |
| 1974 a/c/ | " (1974) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.1 |
| 1976 a/c/ | " (1976) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.4 |
| 1978 a/c/ | " (1978) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 |
| 1979 a/c/ | " (1979) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0 |
| 1980 a/c/ | " (1980) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.5 |
| 1981 a/ c/ | " (1981) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.6 |
| 1982 a/c/ | " (1982) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |
| 1984 b/ | " (1984) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 b/ | " (1985) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 c/ | Stempel (1988) |  |  | 49.9 |  |  | 18.8 |  |  | 30.8 |
| 1988 | Zuspan (1991) | 11 | 27 | 71.1 | 479 | 372 | 43.7 | 490 | 399 | 44.9 |
| 1989 | Zuspan (1992a) | 194 | 327 | 62.8 | 1,546 | 464 | 23.1 | 1,740 | 791 | 31.3 |
| 1990 | Zuspan (1992b) | 76 | 21 | 21.6 | 104 | 6 | 5.5 | 180 | 27 | 13.0 |
| 1991 | Zuspan (1994) | 22 | 0 | 0.0 | 162 | 2 | 1.2 | 184 | 2 | 1.1 |
| 1992 | Aguilar / Zuspan (1995) | 48 | 3 | 5.9 | 133 | 1 | 0.7 | 181 | 4 | 2.2 |
| 1993 | Aguilar (1995) | 115 | 5 | 4.2 | 180 | 12 | 6.3 | 295 | 17 | 5.4 |
| 1994 | Aguilar/Davis (1995) | 202 | 2 | 1.0 | 380 | 12 | 3.1 | 582 | 14 | 2.3 |
| 1995 | Zuspan (1997) | 2,711 | 517 | 19.0 | 8,502 | 3,188 | 27.3 | 11,213 | 3,705 | 24.8 |
| 1996 | Zuspan (1997) | 1,243 | 42 | 3.3 | 1,058 | 90 | 7.8 | 2,301 | 132 | 5.4 |
| 1997 | Zuspan (1998) | 1,263 | 34 | 2.6 | 491 | 28 | 5.4 | 1,754 | 62 | 3.4 |
| 2000 | Sinnen / Null (2002) | 559 | 17 | 2.9 | 1,940 | 146 | 7.0 | 2,499 | 163 | 6.1 |
| 2001 | Sinnen (2004) | 327 | 22 | 6.3 | 963 | 98 | 9.2 | 1,290 | 120 | 8.5 |
| 2002 | Sinnen/ Currier (2004) | 1,117 | 67 | 5.7 | 625 | 11 | 1.7 | 1,742 | 77 | 4.2 |
| 2003 | Current Study | 3,173 | 220 | 6.5 | 5,526 | 730 | 11.7 | 8,699 | 950 | 9.8 |

a/ Spring-run and fall-run chinook salmon were not separated during these years.
b/ Pre-spawning mortality rate was not reported during these years.
c/ Overall pre-spawning mortality rates were reported but not numbers of carcasses observed.

Appendix 5. Proportions of recovered Program-marked (spaghetti tagged) salmon carcasses in the mainstem Trinity River spawner survey, 2003-2004 season.

|  |  Mainstem spawner survey b/ <br> Fall chinook Coho |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag site a/ | Program marks | Total observed |  | Program marks | Total observed |  | Program marks | Total observed |  |
| JCW | 85 | 5,251 | 1.62\% | 44 | 10,321 | 0.43\% | ----- | ----- |  |
| WCW | 1 | 5,251 | 0.02\% | 72 | 10,321 | 0.70\% | 8 | 468 | 1.71\% |
| Totals: | 86 | 5,251 | 1.64\% | 116 | 10,321 | 1.12\% | 8 | 468 | 1.71\% |

## Trinity River Hatchery

|  | Trinity River Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JCW | 214 | 14,643 | $1.46 \%$ | 40 | 30,385 | $0.13 \%$ | ---- | ---- |  |
| WCW | 1 | 14,643 | $0.01 \%$ | 366 | 30,385 | $1.20 \%$ | 97 | 11,859 | $0.82 \%$ |
| Totals: | 215 | 14,643 | $1.47 \%$ | 406 | 30,385 | $1.34 \%$ | 97 | 11,859 | $0.82 \%$ |

a/ JCW=Junction City weir; WCW=Willow Creek weir.

Appendix 6. Comparison of the proportion of adipose fin-clipped (Ad-clip) chinook salmon and right maxillary-clipped (RM-clip) coho slamon in the mainstem Trinity River spawner survey to proportions observed at the three fixed locations in the Trinity River basin during the 2003-2004 season.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc|cccccc}\hline & \text { Spring chinook } & & & \text { Fall chinook } & & \text { Coho }\end{array}\right]$
/ JCW=Junction City weir; WCW=Willow Creek weir; TRH=Trinity River Hatchery; TR=Trinity River mainstem spawner survey.

# ANGLER CREEL SURVEYS IN THE LOWER KLAMATH RIVER 

By<br>Sara Borok


#### Abstract

During August 6th through November 4th, 2003, a creel census was conducted in the lower (Mouth to Coon Creek Falls) Klamath River to determine numbers of upstream migrating Chinook salmon, coho salmon and steelhead trout harvested by sport anglers. The adult fall-run Chinook lower river quota of 5,400 (or 10,800 for the basin) was not met this season. A total of 5,548 (4,812 adults and 736 grilse) Chinook salmon and 189 ( 162 adults and 27 half-pounders) steelhead were harvested during our sampling period. We did not start counting fish toward the quota until August 13th. During the first week of the creel 70 ( 57 adult and 13 grisle) spring-run Chinook salmon were harvested. The total of fall-run Chinook harvested was 5,478 (4,755 adults and 723 grilse) fish. Seasonal summaries and comparisons of angler effort and catch, catch timing, length frequencies, species composition, hatchery fin clips and tag recoveries are presented.


## INTRODUCTION

The Klamath River system is the second largest river system in California (the Sacramento system is the largest). It drains over $40,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{km}$ in northern California and southern Oregon. The Trinity River is its largest tributary and empties into the Klamath River at Weitchpec (river mile (rm) 43). Other major tributaries of the Klamath River are the Salmon River (rm 66), Scott (rm 143) and the Shasta River (rm 177).

The upper limit of anadromous fish migration in the main Klamath River is at Iron Gate Dam (rm 98). Iron Gate Hatchery, at the base of the dam, mitigates for fish loss above the dam. The upper limit of fish migration in the Trinity River is at Lewiston Dam (rm 111). Trinity River Hatchery is located at the base of Lewiston Dam and mitigates for fish loss above the dam. Both Hatcheries are operated by California Department of Fish and Game.

The Klamath River system is one of the state's primary producers of Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). These two species support popular sport fisheries throughout the Klamath River system with most of the concentrated effort and catch occurring in the lower 30 miles of the mainstem Klamath River.
although sport angling has been popular throughout the Klamath River for many decades, angler
harvest data of anadromous salmonids within the Klamath River system prior to 1978 is limited. The earliest report found that mentions angling in the Klamath River is by Snyder (1931) where he briefly describes methods, mean length and sex of a two day creel sample at the mouth of the Klamath River in August 1921. Coots (1952) reports on angler harvest of anadromous salmonids during a year long creel census from the mouth of Salmon River to Copco Dam during 1949 and 1950. Gibbs and Kimsey (1955) provide angler effort and harvest estimates for the boat fishery in the Klamath River estuary during 1951. Bailey (1952) reported on a creel census of the riffle fishery in the lower Klamath River above the Highway 101 Bridge conducted during the fall 1951 adult steelhead and Chinook salmon immigration.

Other earlier creel census reports on the mainstem Klamath River conducted upstream of the Salmon River deal with angler catches during the summer trout season. Some adult steelhead and juvenile coho salmon are reported in the catches (Coots 1950, 1951, 1953, 1954; Wales 1948; Wales and Coots 1949). More harvest data was reported by Lanse (1970) in an area of the upper Klamath River and by Miller (1971) working in an area of the middle Klamath River. Steelhead comprised the majority of the sampled catches.

Creel census studies prior to 1978 consisted primarily of angler effort, species composition and catch per hour information. Some provided harvest and effort estimates within the sampled area. However, not until 1978 was and attempt made to estimated chinook harvest by anglers throughout the Klamath River basin (Boydstun, 1979).

The Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 declared a fishery conservation zone in ocean waters surrounding the United States from 3 to 200 miles. As a result the Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) was established in 1976. The PFMC soon recognized the need for salmon resource management and implemented the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) in 1977 for commercial and recreational salmon fisheries off the coasts of Washington, Oregon and California. The Klamath River is regarded as one of the more important producers of fall Chinook to California commercial and sport fisheries; PFMC management objectives included measures to rebuild and protect depressed Klamath River fall Chinook stocks (PFMC 1983). PFMC management practices focused on harvest restrictions for ocean troll and sport fisheries that were impacting Klamath River Chinook stocks. The State of California, with management jurisdiction of fisheries in coastal waters from shore out 3 miles and of in-river sport fisheries, implemented Chinook salmon management practices and regulations supporting PFMC objectives. Thus, Klamath River adult fall Chinook run-size data has been a critical component, since 1978, for management of fall Chinook resource and its fisheries in northern California and southern Oregon.

The numbers of fall Chinook salmon entering the Klamath Basin (run-size) is determined by accumulating the numbers harvested in-river, the numbers returning to the two hatcheries and the numbers spawning naturally. Since 1978 the angler harvest of Klamath River fall Chinook has been monitored by CDFG to provide data for fall Chinook run-size estimates. Annual reports summarizing these activities have been written through the 2002 season (Boydstun 1979, 1980; Lee 1984a, 1984b, 1985, Lau 1992-1997; Pisano 1998; Borok 1999-2002).

This report covers the period July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004. It provides data and a description of the CDFG fall Chinook angler harvest monitoring program in the Mainstem Klamath River from the mouth to the falls at Coon Creek excluding the Trinity River. Trinity River fall Chinook angler harvest data during the corresponding time is contained in a separate CDFG report.

The Klamath River Project (KRP) divides the Klamath River in to three Areas to determine angling effort and catch for the entire river. California Department of Fish and Game (DFG) needs this information to determine when sport anglers have reached the in-river sport harvest quota of fall-run adult Chinook salmon for the entire river (excluding the Trinity River).

The Klamath River Chinook quota works in the following manner; One half the total in-river quota is dedicated to the lower river (Area 1 and Area 2). The other half is dedicated to the upper river (Area 3) and Trinity River. We monitor each of the areas for the fall-run Chinook harvest and determine when the quota of each portion has been met. Once met, an adult Chinook closure goes into effect in the river. Anglers are still permitted to fish, but must release any adult Chinook salmon caught. Meanwhile, anglers in the other portions of the river are still permitted to harvest adult Chinook until their individual quotas are met. Afterwards, fishing is allowed, but the entire river is closed to the harvest of any adult Chinook. However, once Iron Gate Dam has received enough adult salmon for an egg take, a special fishery for adult Chinook was permitted from Iron Gate Dam to where Interstate 5 crosses the Klamath River. Once the river is closed to adult Chinook harvest in any area, fishing for grilse Chinook and other legal species is still permitted

The Fish and Game Commission establishes all angling regulations and quotas for the Klamath River. These regulations are enforced by the CDFG. The Commission adopts the quota recommendations made by the Pacific Fishery Management Council. Typically, the in-river sport Chinook quota was $7.5 \%$ of the overall allowable harvest, or $15 \%$ of the non- tribal fisheries harvest. This year was different, a large run was forecast and it was believed the ocean commercial and recreational fishermen would not be able to catch their portion of the allocation due to fishing constraints on ESA stocks listed as threatened. The portion that the commercial and recreational fishermen would not be able to catch was given to the in-river sport anglers. Instead of the in-river sport being allocated $15 \%$ of the non-tribal, they received $26 \%$ of the nontribal harvest allocation or 10,800 fish.

Starting in 1999 an "impact quota" was implemented for the Klamath and Trinity Rivers. From this impact quota a ten percent hooking mortality factor was accounted for within the quota and this number was used as the trigger quota. This trigger closure was to account for increased hook and release mortalities when the quota was met early in the season. The impact quota was further divided among the areas in the following manner: 50\% Lower Klamath River and 50\% Upper Klamath and Trinity River. The Upper Klamath River and Trinity River further divided the quota with $17 \%$ going to the Upper Klamath and $16.5 \%$ to each of the two sections on the Trinity River (upper Trinity; above Cedar Flat to Trinity Hatchery and lower Trinity; confluence
with Klamath to below Cedar Flat). For the 2003 season these percentages worked out to 5,400 fish for the Lower Klamath River, 1,836 for the upper Klamath River and 1,782 for each section on the Trinity River ( 3,564 total for Trinity River).

During the 2003 season, fishing regulations allowed anglers to harvest three Chinook salmon per day (up to one adult Chinook) and one hatchery trout or one hatchery steelhead per day in the Klamath and Trinity Rivers. A total length (tip to tip) of 22 inches was used in the regulations to determine the adult/grilse cutoff. No harvest of Coho salmon was permitted. Regulations stated: one "hatchery" trout or one "hatchery" steelhead could be harvested. This eliminated cutthroat fishery in the lower river as there are no facilities raising cutthroat trout in the Klamath Trinity Basin.

## METHODS

## Description of Fishery and Creel Sample Area

The mainstem Klamath River from the mouth to Iron Gate Dam was divided into three areas for estimating angler catch and effort. Areas 1 and 2 are included in this report. Area 3 was not surveyed this season. Methods and results for the estimated number of fish harvested are included in another report.

AREA 1: This area consisted of $4.5 \mathrm{rkm}(2.8 \mathrm{mi})$ of river from the mouth of the Klamath to the Highway 101 bridge and is referred to as the estuary. Virtually all shore angling effort took place at the mouth of the river. River mouth configuration which changed between years, determined which side (north or south) afforded better angling. A creel sample of shore anglers was conducted at the mouth location. During the 2003 season fishing at the mouth was not closed. The $15 \%$ ( 1,620 adult Chinook salmon) of the basin quota was not met below the Hwy 101 bridge. This area was not the busy fishing area this season.

All boat angling effort in the estuary originated from ten resort boat docks in the estuary area. Two resort docks (Chinook RV Park and Riverside RV Park) and the public launch ramp (Old Townsite Boat Ramp) were sampled this season for angler effort and catch.

AREA 2: This area extended from the Highway 101 bridge upstream to the falls at Coon Creek ( $54.4 \mathrm{rkm}, 34 \mathrm{rm}$ ) near the community of Johnsons (Pecwan Creek) and consisted primarily of riffle type fisheries. Shore angling effort was generally confined to two popular riffles (Lower Klamath Glen and Blakes) located in the lower 5 km of this area and were easily accessible to the shore angler. One resort boat dock (Klamath Glen) and a public boat launch (Roy Rook), also located in the lower 5 km , were the principal boat facilities in the area. Creel sampling occurred at these locations.

Shore angling access above Blakes Riffle to Johnsons was limited to about three areas: the
mouth of Blue Creek ( rkm 26.3, 16.4 rm ), Ah Pah Creek ( rkm 27.5, 17.2 rm ) and Bear Riffle ( rkm 29.8, 18.6 rm ) were accessible by vehicle but accounted for an estimated less than one percent of angling effort in the entire sample area.

Virtually all boat angling effort that took place within Area 2 originated from the one boat dock or public launching ramp, therefore, all boat angling effort was accounted for in the daily creel samples.

Angler access routes at Lower Klamath Glen and Blakes riffles were limited to specific routes in and out enabling a complete accounting of angler effort and catch during a sample day at these locations. Boat anglers were also confined to access at the launching ramp or resort boat dock enabling a complete sample of angler effort and catch for each sample day.

Waukel Riffle, located one-quarter mile upstream of the Highway 101 Bridge, has two principal access points each on opposite sides of the river. This sight has not been used by anglers in the last five years. It is checked sporadically, but no effort was recorded.

## Creel Census Methods

Study methods and procedures used in the Lower Klamath Creel (Area 1 and 2) during the 2003 season was essentially the same as those described for the 1983-1987 seasons (Hopelain 2001). Data is presented in Standard Julian Week (JW) format throughout this report (Appendix 1).

The weekly sampling schedule in the Lower Klamath River was sampling each site three days per Julian week and in the Upper Klamath creel each site was sampled twice per Julian week. For weeks that were sampled other than above, the data is expanded accordingly. Each angling acess site is sampled throughout the day to account for total catch and effort for that particular site. Scientific aids interviewed anglers as they departed the fishing site and recorded the following information:

1) Was the angler finished fishing at this time?
2) Total hours spent fishing (to the nearest half hour).
3) The first three numbers of their Zip Code (to find their general area of residence).
4) The scientific aid processed any fish harvested (species, fork length, fin clips, tags, and unusual conditions were recorded, and a scale sample collected).
5) If the chinook (or coho) salmon had a missing adipose fin, (possessed a CWT) the scientific aid collected the head.
6) The number and kind of fish caught and released (actually released not lost) by the angler (recorded as juveniles, grilse or adults).
7) In Area 1 only, where was the angler fishing (mouth or from boat)?

Harvest and Effort Estimating Procedures

Data was stratified for each creel census location by Julian week (Appendix 1). Angler catch and effort estimates are then calculated for each week. The catch-effort estimate formula used was:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Estimate total } & =\sum_{\mathrm{I}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \text { Daily total (N/n) } \\
\text { where: Estimate total } & =\text { estimates of catch or effort } \\
\text { Daily total } & =\text { Daily counts of catch or effort } \\
\mathrm{N} & =\text { Number of fishing days in week } \\
\mathrm{n} & =\text { number of sample days }
\end{aligned}
$$

Area 2: Harvest estimates for the area above Highway 101 to Coon Creek Falls is calculated by multiplying the observed catch and effort by a sampling ratio. This ratio is the weekly expansion value. This value is a simple ratio of the number of days sampled during that Julian week for the site over the number of legal fishing days within the week ( 7 days week / 3 days sampled $=$ 2.33). All sites are totaled for the week to obtain the weekly harvest estimate for Area 2. This procedure applies to both boat and shore harvest. No additional expansion for the boat harvest in Area 2 is needed since total boat catch and effort were accounted for in the creel sampling.

Area 1: The procedure for the area below 101 is identical with Area 2 except for the addition of a boat expansion factor. The boat expansion factor accounts for the harvest by boat anglers we missed in sampling. Since we sample only some boat anglers, we need to account for the unsampled portion. The boat expansion formula is:

## (Boats at the non-sampled docks + Boats at sampled docks) <br> Boats at Sampled docks

This formula expands the catch and effort from sampled sites by a percentage determined from the number of sampled and non-sampled boats. A scientific aid obtains this percentage by counting the number of boats at all the docks (both sampled and non-sampled) below Hwy 101. This count occurs during a slow time of the day, usually, between 1100 to 1500 . At his time, anglers often return to the docks. It is true that not all the boats will be at their docks at this time. However, the assumption that the percentage of boats that do not return to their docks is the same between both the unsampled and sampled docks. I also assume that the effort and catch are equal between the non-sampled boats and sampled boats.

The fish technician obtains the boat count every day we sample in Area 1. This aid does not include any boats used in the Indian gill-net fishery and any un-rented boats in their counts. An average of these daily values is used to arrive at the average boat expansion value for the week. The closer the expansion value is to one, the greater the total coverage we have in the estuary.

Daily Real Time Harvest Estimates and Projections

As in previous seasons, the Klamath River Project thought it necessary to compute harvest and effort estimates daily (real time) as we neared the quota to help prevent any over harvesting. In addition, we estimated one, two, and three day harvest projections to allow lead time to close the adult chinook fishery and to assist with management.

## CREEL RESULTS

Rounding numbers to whole numbers may cause some slight addition discrepancies in these results. Spring run Chinook numbers are included in totals.

The creel census for the lower Klamath River began on August 6 and ran through November 4 (JW 32 through 44) of 2003. Chinook salmon harvested in the creel fishery ranged in size from 29 to 108 cm in fork length (FL) and averaged 73.0 cm FL (Figure 1A). From the fork length frequency in the creel survey sample, I found the true grilse-adult separation in length to be at 56 cm (22 inches) FL (Figure 1), the same separation used during the creel season.

This adult-grilse separation was smaller than the 60 cm FL break off observed in the 2002 season. The grilse component of the angler harvest ranged in size from 29 to 55 cm FL and averaged 47.9 cm FL. The adult chinook salmon component of the harvest ranged in size from 56 to 108 cm FL and averaged 75.8 cm FL (Figure1). This separation is the same that was used by Trinity River Hatchery and the Willow Creek Weir. They made the separation at 60 cm FL (personnel communication Wade Sinnen). This separation in the sport fishery is the same as Iron Gate Hatchery (Figure 2).

Steelhead ranged in size from 25 to 70 cm FL and averaged 54.3 cm FL (Figure 3). Any fish less than 42 cm FL is considered to be a half-pounder, and any steelhead larger to be an adult. Any steelhead less than 23 cm FL is considered a resident trout and not anadromous. The half-pounder steelhead ranged in size from 25 to 41 cm FL and averaged 34.2 cm FL. The adult steelhead ranged in size from 42 to 70 cm FL and averaged 58.9 cm FL. This is slightly smaller than the 2002 season.


Figure 1. Fork Length Frequency of Chinook Salmon Harvested in the Lower Klamath River Creel During the 2003 Season.


Figure 2. Fork Length Frequency of Chinook Salmon Sampled at Iron Gate Hatchery During the 2003 Season.


Figure 3. Length Frequency of Steelhead Caught in the Lower Klamath River Creel during the 2003 Season.

## Estimated Angler Effort and Harvest

During the 2003 season, I estimate that anglers made a total of 16,514 trips in both Areas combined. Of the 16,514 trips; 8,099 were in Area 1, and 8,747 were in Area 2 (Table 1). These trips resulted in a total of 79,228 fishing hours. As in previous seasons, boat anglers outnumbered shore anglers in both Areas (Table 1). A total of 5,548 (4,812 adults and 736 grilse) Chinook salmon and 189 ( 162 adults and 27 half-pounders) steelhead were harvested. We did not start counting fish toward the quota until August 13th. During the first week of the creel 70 ( 57 adult and 13 grisle) spring-run Chinook salmon were harvested. The total of fall-run Chinook harvested was 5,478 (4,755 adults and 723 grilse) fish. Adults composed 86.8 \% $(4,755 / 5,478)$ of the estimated fall-run Chinook harvest. Adult steelhead trout composed $85.7 \%$ (162/189; Table 1) of the steelhead harvest. In addition, eight adult coho salmon were harvested this season.

Table 1. Summary of Estimated Angler Effort and Harvest During the 2003 Lower Klamath River Creel Census.

| Site | Angler |  | Steelhead |  | Chinook Salmon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Trips | Hours | $1 / 2$ lbers | Adults | Grilse | Adults |
| Area 1 -Mouth to Highway 101 Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 1,902 | 7,189 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 237 |
| Boats | 6,197 | 26,511 | 0 | 39 | 180 | 1,413 |
| Total | 8,099 | 33,700 | 11 | 43 | 186 | 1,650 |
| Area 2 - Highway 101 to Coon Creek Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 1,915 | 7,068 | 6 | 23 | 23 | 146 |
| Boats | 6,500 | 38,460 | 10 | 96 | 527 | 3,016 |
| Total | 8,747 | 46,693 | 16 | 119 | 550 | 3,162 |
| Grand Total | 16,514 | 79,228 | 27 | 162 | 736 | 4,812 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2002 \\ & \text { Season } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 18,376 | 85,925 | 5 | 393 | 638 | 7,275 |
| 2001 <br> Season | 20,119 | 88,053 | 63 | 237 | 1,178 | 7,285 |

## 2002 Harvest and Effort Patterns

The average length of each trip (4.6 hours per trip) stayed the same from the 2002 to the 2003 season. It is our hypothesis that the larger quotas brought the anglers back (Table 2). Daily Bag limit has been suggested as a reason for longer trips, but the last two seasons' bag limit (up to 2 adults per day) was larger than 2003 (only one adult per day) and the trip length remains similar.

During the 2003 season, Area 2 anglers harvested more fish than Area 1 (Table 1). Anglers (boat and shore) in Area 2 accounted for $66.9 \%(3,712 / 5,548)$ of the total Chinook salmon and $71.4 \%(135 / 189)$ of the steelhead harvested. Anglers in Area 1 harvested the remainder. Area 1 anglers accounted for $49.0 \%(8,099 / 16,514)$ of angler trips and only $45.6 \%$ of the angler hours $(39,232 / 85,925)$. Of the total Chinook harvest, $4.3 \%$ occurred in Areal at the mouth this season (Table 1). A portion of the fish recorded for Area 1 were actually caught in Area 2 later in the season. People were launching their boats from the Old Townsite Boat Ramp in Area 1 and fishing up river. These fish were not counted against the $15 \%$ of basin quota which would close the mouth/spit fishery.

Table 2. The Number of Angler Trips, Hours, and Average Length of Trip in the Lower Klamath River Sport Fishery for the Last Eleven Seasons, 1992-2003.

| Year | Total Trips | Total Hours | Average Trip <br> Length (Hours) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1992 | 11,190 | 33,080 | 3.0 |
| 1993 | 16,081 | 51,889 | 3.2 |
| 1994 | 15,100 | 54,748 | 3.6 |
| 1995 | 19,881 | 63,369 | 3.2 |
| 1996 | 27,929 | 91,019 | 3.3 |
| 1997 | 17,402 | 67,154 | 3.6 |
| 1998 | 11,852 | 52,145 | 3.0 |
| 1999 | 14,150 | 45,109 | 3.8 |
| 2000 | 18,376 | 57,184 | 4.0 |
| 2001 | 16,514 | 88,053 | 4.3 |
| 2002 |  | 79,228 | 4.6 |
| 2003 |  |  | 4.6 |

The harvest per hour of Chinook salmon was the fifth highest over the last twenty three years. For the last three years the number of grilse harvested per hour has been below the 24 year average (Figure 4).

## Catch and Release

Catch and release numbers were recorded as part of the creel interview. Anglers were specifically asked if these fish were released rather than lost. Numbers should only be used as an estimation for following trends as they can be highly subjective. I estimated anglers released 3,791 half-pounders, 1,553 adult steelhead, 303 grilse, and 3,970 adult Chinook salmon (Tables 3 and 4). The jump in the number of adult Chinook released I believe is related to the regulations allowing anglers to only keep one adult Chinook per day. In addition, 4 grilse and 130 adult coho salmon were released this season. The majority of coho salmon harvested and released occurred in Area 2. Anglers tend to fish later into the season in Area 2, when coho are present.


Figure 4. Harvest Per Hour of Chinook Salmon from the Sport Harvest on the Lower Klamath River Creel 1980-2003.

Table 3 Number of Chinook and Coho Salmon and Steelhead Caught and Released from the Lower Klamath River Creel for the Last Nine Seasons 1994-2003.

| Year | Chinook |  | Steelhead |  | Coho |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grilse | Adults |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 290 | 2,571 | 4,044 | 198 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 175 | 14,408 | 1,049 | 259 | 0 | 33 |
| 1996 | 521 | 1,438 | 1,944 | 256 | 7 | 11 |
| 1997 | 34 | 1,015 | 1,479 | 516 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 330 | 1,317 | 1,738 | 460 | 10 | 19 |
| 1999 | 1,897 | 1,164 | 1,189 | 346 | 2 | 5 |
| 2000 | 757 | 6,253 | 8,103 | 1,129 | 17 | 43 |
| 2001 | 464 | 1,720 | 11,892 | 2,997 | 12 | 242 |
| 2002 | 405 | 2,985 | 4,783 | 6,036 | 12 | 243 |
| 2003 | 303 | 3,970 | 3,791 | 1,553 | 4 | 130 |

Table 4. Summary of Estimated Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Catch and Releases During the 2003 Lower Klamath River Creel Census.

| Site | Angler |  | Steelhead |  | Chinook Salmon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Trips | Hours | $1 / 2 \mathrm{lbers}$ | Adults | Grilse | Adults |
| Area 1 -Mouth to Highway 101 Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 1,902 | 7,189 | 58 | 22 | 2 | 58 |
| Boats | 6,197 | 26,511 | 125 | 111 | 70 | 582 |
| Total | 8,099 | 33,700 | 184 | 133 | 72 | 641 |
| Area 2 - Highway 101 to Coon Creek Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shore | 1,915 | 7,068 | 2,122 | 555 | 34 | 239 |
| Boats | 6,500 | 38,460 | 1,485 | 865 | 197 | 3,091 |
| Total | 8,747 | 46,693 | 3,607 | 1,420 | 231 | 3,330 |
| Grand Total | 16,514 | 79,228 | 3,791 | 1,553 | 303 | 3,970 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2002 \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 18,376 | 85,925 | 4,783 | 6,036 | 405 | 2,985 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2001 \\ \text { Season } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20,119 | 88,053 | 11,892 | 2,997 | 464 | 1,720 |

## Run Timing

Adult fall-run Chinook salmon harvest below the falls at Coon Creek (Areas 1 and 2) peaked during Julian week 37. This was a week later than both the 2002 and 2001 season. Grilse Chinook peak harvest occurred during Julian Week 36 for both Areas (Figure 5). Grilse harvest made up $13.2 \%$ of total Chinook harvest.

The peak week of adult Chinook released in Area 1 was Julian Week 36, while the peak week of adult Chinook released in Area 2 was Julian Week 38 (Figure 6). For grilse Chinook the peak releases occurred during Julian Week 36 for both Areas (Figure 6).

More adult steelhead (162) were harvested than half-pounders (27). The peak of the adult steelhead harvested was Julian week 33 in both Areas and a second slight peak in Julian week 35 for Area 1 (Figure 7). The half-pounders harvested in Area 1 were in Julian week 39 and in Area 2 there was no real peak harvest (Figure 7). Anglers start fishing for steelhead usually in July, and creel counts start (Julian Week 32) at the beginning of August, therefore we miss the early portion of the steelhead run.

Regulations allowed anglers to keep only hatchery origin steelhead. Large numbers of steelhead were caught and released this year. Anglers released 1,553 adult and 3,791 half-pounders this season (Table 4). Area 2 is where the majority of steelhead are harvested and released. Julian


Figure 5. Chinook Salmon Harvest By Julian Week in Lower Klamath River for the 2003 Creel Season.

Table 5. Fish Harvested and Released by Julian Week During the 2003 Lower Klamath River Creel Census.

| Julian Week | Trips | Hours | HARVEST |  |  |  | RELEASED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Steelhead $1 / 2 \mathrm{lb}$ Adult |  | Chinook <br> Grilse Adult |  | Steelhead $1 / 2 \mathrm{lb}$ Adult |  | Chinook <br> Grilse Adult |  |
| 32 | 582 | 2,708 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 57 | 276 | 319 | 7 | 0 |
| 33 | 1,200 | 4,750 | 4 | 51 | 21 | 133 | 360 | 187 | 0 | 12 |
| 34 | 1,969 | 7,888 | 4 | 27 | 14 | 124 | 1,036 | 140 | 4 | 9 |
| 35 | 3,311 | 14,199 | 2 | 24 | 90 | 733 | 470 | 191 | 7 | 260 |
| 36 | 2,392 | 11,406 | 2 | 9 | 108 | 877 | 457 | 75 | 46 | 461 |
| 37 | 2,839 | 14,232 | 0 | 3 | 158 | 1,244 | 195 | 84 | 73 | 1,338 |
| 38 | 1,557 | 9,077 | 2 | 6 | 159 | 673 | 164 | 76 | 28 | 916 |
| 39 | 1,307 | 7,129 | 11 | 13 | 100 | 573 | 183 | 79 | 69 | 608 |
| 40 | 637 | 3,715 | 0 | 5 | 55 | 262 | 66 | 85 | 9 | 219 |
| 41 | 397 | 2,569 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 113 | 252 | 155 | 42 | 105 |
| 42 | 138 | 665 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 151 | 79 | 14 | 23 |
| 43 | 93 | 452 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 25 | 2 | 14 |
| 44 | 93 | 438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 58 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 16,514 | 79,228 | 27 | 162 | 736 | 4,812 | 3,791 | 1,553 | 303 | 3,970 |



Figure 6. Chinook Salmon Released By Julian Week in the Lower Klamath River for the 2003 Creel Season.


Figure 7. Steelhead Harvested During the 2003 Lower Klamath River Creel Season.

Week 34 was the peak week for half-pounders released in Area 2 (Figure 8). For adult steelhead released Julian Week 32 was the largest peak and then another smaller peak occurred during Julian Week 41 in Area 2 (Figure 8).


Figure 8. Steelhead Released By Julian Week During the Lower Klamath River 2003 Creel Season.

## Coded-Wire Tag Recovery

Klamath River Project personnel recovered 227 heads of adipose fin-clipped (Ad+CWT) Chinook salmon during Julian Weeks 29 through 41 of the 2003 season. Thirteen were from non-random recoveries (NRR) wherein anglers and or resort owners saved their fish heads for our personnel. These NRRs were not used to estimate the harvest of marked hatchery origin (Ad+CWT) Chinook salmon (Table 6). However, they were used to calculate run timing (Figure 9). Of these 227 tags, 218 were adult salmon while 9 were grilse salmon.

Fin-clipped fall run grilse ranged in size from 44 to 52 cm and. Fin-clipped fall-run adults ranged in size from 53 to 97 cm . All fin-clipped fish observed in the angler survey were assigned a head tag which allowed tracking of each adipose clipped fish through the extraction and decoding process.

There are standard codes for tags not recovered; 100000-no tag found, 200000- tag lost, 300000-no head recovered; 400000-tag unreadable; and 700000 tag code unresolved. For the heads recovered this season, nine heads had no tags in them (100000), one was lost during extraction (200000), and one tag was unreadable (400000), while the 216 remaining were all decoded.

## Hatchery Contribution

Randomly recovered, marked Chinook composed 10.2 \% $(214 / 2,101)$ of the actual Chinook harvested. With expansions made for sampling and tag code, I estimate 2,509 hatchery fish were harvested (Table 7). Hatchery fish represented an estimated $45.2 \%(2,509 / 5,548)$ of the entire sport harvest in the lower Klamath River. All 214 random recovered tags were from Klamath and Trinity Basin origin Chinook.

In addition to the random recovered tags, we had 13 non-random recovered (NRR) tags. These are heads brought to us from fish with adipose clips that were recovered on days we were not sampling a particular area. These are used for run timing purposes. All 13 of these NRR tags were from adult Chinook based on the estimated size of head at the time of recovery or recorded fork length and 12 were later verified by tag code. One of the 13 NRR did not have a tag in it (100000).

Klamath River Origin Chinook Salmon
We decoded 29 random recovered tags from Klamath River origin Chinook ( 0 five-year-olds, 6 four-year-olds, 23 three-year-olds and 0 two-year-olds). These Chinook represent eleven marked groups from Iron Gate Hatchery (Table 6). When expanded by sampling and by production, Iron Gate Hatchery origin fish account for $14.8 \%(820 / 5,548)$ of the sport harvest (Table 7).

The peak for Klamath River origin Chinook harvest was Julian Week 35. Personnel recovered Klamath River coded-wire-tagged fish between Julian week 34 and Julian week 40 (Figure 9).

## Trinity River Origin Chinook Salmon

We decoded a total of 175 random recovered tags from Trinity River origin Chinook ( 0 five-year-olds, 51 four-year-olds, 116 three-year-olds and 8 two-year-olds). From those tags 11 were spring-run Trinity River Hatchery origin Chinook ( 0 five-year-olds, 0 four-year-olds, 10 three-year-olds and 1 two-year-olds, Table 6). Of these tags, 12 fall-run and 7 spring-run Trinity River Hatchery mark groups were represented. Trinity River origin fish represented 30.4\% $(1,690 / 5,548)(27.4 \%$ fall-run and $3.0 \%$ spring-run) of the marked Chinook in the angler survey (Table 7).

Trinity River spring-run Chinook tag recovery began during Julian Week 30 and extended through Julian Week 39. Fall-run fish began to appear in tag recoveries during Julian week 34 and continued through Julian Week 41. Trinity River fall-run tags peaked during Julian week 37 (Figure 9).

During the 2003 season, sport in-river harvest by stock can be described as follows: Trinity River spring-run Chinook were predominate in the harvest up to Julian week 33. Klamath River fall-run Chinook were present and peaked at Julian week 35. The bulk of the Trinity River fallrun tags were collected during Julian week 37. No more coded-wire tagged Chinook were recovered after Julian week 41 (Figure 9).

Table 6. Coded-Wire-Tag Information from Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH) and Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) for Chinook Salmon Obtained from the Lower Klamath River Creel 2003 Season.

|  | Release Data |  |  |  |  |  | Recovery Data |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CWT Codes | Strain | B <br> Y | Site | Creel | N <br> R <br> R | FL- <br> Range | Date - <br> Range |  |  |  |
| Adult Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $06-52-52$ | SPR | 99 | TRH | 0 | 1 |  | $7 / 29$ |  |  |  |
| $06-52-58$ | SPR | 99 | TRH | 0 | 2 |  | $7 / 29$ |  |  |  |
| $06-52-55$ | Fall | 99 | TRH | 1 | 0 | 73 | $9 / 28$ |  |  |  |
| $06-52-59$ | Fall | 99 | TRH | 50 | 3 | $64-94$ | $9 / 5-10 / 6$ |  |  |  |
| $06-63-51$ | Fall | 99 | IGH | 1 | 0 | 83 | $9 / 11$ |  |  |  |
| $06-63-52$ | Fall | 99 | IGH | 3 | 0 | $79-85$ | $9 / 4-9 / 16$ |  |  |  |
| 0601020309 | Fall | 99 | IGH | 1 | 0 | 85 | $8 / 31$ |  |  |  |
| 0601020310 | Fall | 99 | IGH | 1 | 0 | 97 | $9 / 2$ |  |  |  |


| 06-52-63 | SPR | 00 | TRH | 7 | 1 | 70-80 | 9/6-9/26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06-52-69 | SPR | 00 | TRH | 2 | 0 | 65 | 8/8 |
| 06-52-70 | SPR | 00 | TRH | 1 | 0 | 67 | 8/30 |
| 06-52-79 | SPR | 00 | TRH | 0 | 1 |  | 7/29 |
| 06-52-54 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 1 | 0 | 85 | 9/14 |
| 06-52-65 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 5 | 0 | 66-78 | 9/2-10/12 |
| 06-52-66 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 4 | 0 | 62-79 | 9/4-9/20 |
| 06-50-67 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 4 | 0 | 66-76 | 9/14-10/6 |
| 06-52-71 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 2 | 1 | 76,77 | 8/22-9/19 |
| 06-52-72 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 2 | 0 | 77, 85 | 9/16-9/23 |
| 06-52-73 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 6 | 0 | 62-77 | 9/6-9/23 |
| 06-52-74 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 7 | 0 | 65-81 | 8/30-10/3 |
| 06-52-75 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 2 | 0 | 64,65 | 9/13,9/14 |
| 06-52-76 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 3 | 0 | 66-81 | 8/28-9/16 |
| 06-52-77 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 2 | 0 | 66, 72 | 9/14,9/25 |
| 06-52-80 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 76 | 2 | 53-79 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 / 30- \\ & 10 / 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 06-63-53 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 1 | 0 | 59 | 8/28 |
| 06-63-54 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 11 | 1 | 61-74 | 8/31-9/20 |
| 0601020305 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 1 | 0 | 63 | 9/8 |
| 0601020307 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 1 | 0 | 77 | 9/6 |
| 0601020308 | Fall | 00 | IGH | 1 | 0 | 67 | 9/1 |
| 100000 | no tag found |  |  | 7 | 1 | 70-86 | 7/29-9/20 |
| 200000 | Tag lost |  |  | 1 | 0 | 68 | 9/26 |
| 400000 | Tag un-readable |  |  | 1 | 0 | 83 | 9/18 |
| Total |  |  |  | 205 | 13 |  |  |
| Grilse Chinook |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06-52-88 | SPR | 01 | TRH | 1 | 0 | 45 | 9/1 |
| 06-52-89 | Fall | 00 | TRH | 7 | 0 | 44-52 | 9/13-10/6 |
| 100000 | no tag found |  |  | 1 | 0 | 50 | 10/12 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 9 | 0 |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  | 214 | 13 |  |  |

Table 7. Chinook Salmon Proportioned by Hatchery and Brood Year from Coded-Wire tagged Fish Harvested in the Lower Klamath River Sport Harvest for the 2003 Creel Season. Expanded for sampling and by hatchery production multiplier.

| Hatchery Run | Estimated Total By Brood Year |  |  | Total | \% by Hatchery | \% of total harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |  |  |  |
| TRH SPR | 12 | 143 | 14 | 169 | 6.7 | 3.0 |
| TRH Fall | 402 | 1,047 | 72 | 1,521 | 60.5 | 27.4 |
| IGH Fall | 289 | 531 | 0 | 820 | 32.7 | 14.8 |
| Total | 703 | 1,721 | 86 | 2,510 |  | 45.2 |
| \% by year | 28.0 | 68.6 | 3.4 |  |  |  |



Figure 9. Timing by Julian Week of Coded Wire Tags, Expanded for Sampling and by Individual Tag Code, Recovered from Chinook Salmon in the Lower Klamath River 2003 Creel Season.

## DISCUSSION

The mouth configuration (far north) this season vastly reduced harvest on the mouth/spit of the river. Anglers were not happy with the bag limit of one adult per day. Had this regulation been increased to two adult fish per day, I believe the quota would have been met sometime in September and would have reduced releases of adults in the river system. Further consideration
will be given to future regulations with respect to the size of quota and mouth configuration.
An additional 50,000 acre feet of water was released from August 24 through September 16 from Lewiston Dam to provide water for the Hoopa Boat Dance and for Fishery purposes. The Additional water was thought to help keep fish moving through the estuary thus to avert another massive die off of adult upstream migrating fall fish. Similar flows were not released out of Iron Gate Dam. The additional water kept the flows above 3000 cfs at the Terwer Gauge.

## CONCLUSION

The 2003 season resulted in the $7^{\text {th }}$ largest run-size for fall-run Chinook salmon in the Klamath Basin over the last 26. This season was lowest ratio for grilse to adult Chinook ( $1.86 \%$ grilse) over the last 26 years in the basin. The harvest rate on grilse was higher because the regulations allow anglers to target grilse.
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Appendix 1. List of Julian weeks and their calender equivalents.

| Julian week | Inclusive dates |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Julian week } \\ \hline 27 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Inclusive dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01-Jan | - | 07-Jan |  | 02-Jul | - | 08-Jul |
| 2 | 08-Jan | - | 14-Jan | 28 | 09-Jul | - | 15-Jul |
| 3 | 15-Jan | - | 21-Jan | 29 | 16-Jul | - | 22-Jul |
| 4 | 22-Jan | - | 28-Jan | 30 | 23-Jul | - | 29-Jul |
| 5 | 29-Jan | - | 04-Feb | 31 | 30-Jul | - | 05-Aug |
| 6 | 05-Feb | - | $11-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 32 | 06-Aug | - | 12-Aug |
| 7 | $12-\mathrm{Feb}$ | - | $18-\mathrm{Feb}$ | 33 | 13-Aug | - | 19-Aug |
| 8 | $19-\mathrm{Feb}$ | - | 25-Feb | 34 | 20-Aug | - | 26-Aug |
| $9 \mathrm{a} /$ | 26-Feb | - | 04-Mar | 35 | 27-Aug | - | 02-Sep |
| 10 | 05-Mar | - | 11-Mar | 36 | 03-Sep | - | 09-Sep |
| 11 | 12-Mar | - | 18-Mar | 37 | 10-Sep | - | 16-Sep |
| 12 | 19-Mar | - | 25-Mar | 38 | 17-Sep | - | 23-Sep |
| 13 | 26-Mar | - | 01-Apr | 39 | 24-Sep | - | 30-Sep |
| 14 | 02-Apr | - | 08-Apr | 40 | 01-Oct | - | 07-Oct |
| 15 | 09-Apr | - | 15-Apr | 41 | 08-Oct | - | 14-Oct |
| 16 | 16-Apr | - | $22-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 42 | $15-\mathrm{Oct}$ | - | 21-Oct |
| 17 | 23-Apr | - | 29-Apr | 43 | 22 -Oct | - | 28-Oct |
| 18 | 30-Apr | - | 06-May | 44 | 29-Oct | - | 04-Nov |
| 19 | 07-May | - | 13-May | 45 | 05-Nov | - | 11-Nov |
| 20 | 14-May | - | 20-May | 46 | 12-Nov | - | 18-Nov |
| 21 | 21-May | - | 27-May | 47 | 19-Nov | - | 25-Nov |
| 22 | 28-May | - | 03-Jun | 48 | 26-Nov | - | 02-Dec |
| 23 | 04-Jun | - | 10-Jun | 49 | 03-Dec | - | 09-Dec |
| 24 | 11-Jun | - | 17-Jun | 50 | 10-Dec | - | 16-Dec |
| 25 | 18-Jun | - | 24-Jun | 51 | 17-Dec | - | 23-Dec |
| 26 | 25-Jun | - | 01-Jul | $52 \mathrm{~b} /$ | 24-Dec | - | 31-Dec |

a/ Eight-day week in each leap year (years divisible by 4).
b/ Eight-day week every year.


[^0]:    1/ Adipose fin-clipped and coded-wire-tagged (Ad+CWT), hatchery-produced Chinook and right-maxillary-clipped coho salmon.

    2/ Spaghetti tags applied by CDFG personnel to returning sea-run fish.

[^1]:    3/ The use of brand or trade names is for identification purposes only, and does not imply the endorsement of any product by the CDFG.

[^2]:    4/ Chapman, D. G. 1951. Some properties of the hypergeometric distribution with applications to zoological census. Univ. Calif. Publ. Stat. 1:131-160, As cited in Ricker (1975).

[^3]:    Spawner Surveys

[^4]:    a/ Identifying clip. Beginning with the 1994 brood year, all coho salmon released from Trinity River Hatchery received right maxillary (RM) clips.
    b/ Number of marked (RM) coho estimated released.
    c/ Age classes are determined using length frequency analysis. d/ TRH= Trinity River Hatchery.

