

Table 2-7. Analysis of potential effects of proposed options.

White Seabass & MLMA objectives	<u>Option C</u>					
	Option A	Option B	Sub-option 1	Sub-option 2	Sub-option 3	Option D
Provide for sustainable uses	Does not provide long-term protection	Lessens likelihood of overfishing	Greatly reduces likelihood of overfishing	Greatly reduces likelihood of overfishing	Greatly reduces likelihood of overfishing	Lessens likelihood of overfishing
Use adaptive management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Insure proper uses and minimize bycatch and waste	Yes	Yes	Potential to increase mortality of juvenile fish	Potential to increase mortality of juvenile fish	Potential to increase mortality of juvenile fish	Yes
Promote research for better management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Effective monitoring & enforcement	Yes	Yes	Creates enforcement problems	Creates enforcement problems	Creates enforcement problems	Yes
Restore & protect critical habitats	No effect	No effect	No effect	No effect	No effect	No effect
Economic impacts to local communities	Could have long term negative effect	Little impact	Significant effect on local economies	Significant effect on local economies	Significant effect on local economies	Moderate impact
Base decisions on best available data	No	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Yes
Involve all parties	No effect	yes	No effect	No effect	No effect	No effect