

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 2001-C209-1

Short Proposal Title: Tuolumne River Restoration

CDFG is a participant in Tuolumne River restoration in general.

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. Restoring river processes in well articulated and limiting factors are explained.

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. Eight separate and quantifiable parameters of restoration of the river are listed and are well-grounded in restoration biology.

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. This is a phased project with well-defined tasks, budgets and time lines.

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. This is a full scale implementation project.

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. Applications in other parts of the Tuolumne and in other river basins will be provided.

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. Physical measurements and biological monitoring will provide excellent information to measure success.

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. The tabular material found in Table 3 is adequate to meet the objectives.

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. Although river restoration is difficult, other similar projects, notably in the Merced River, have been completed satisfactorily.

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. TID, with support of engineering consultants and the TRTAC will be highly qualified to accomplish the work.

Miscellaneous comments

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

<p>Overall Evaluation Summary Rating</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Very Good <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p>	<p>Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating</p> <p>[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]</p>
---	---
