

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 2001-H200-1 **Short Proposal Title:** Lassen NF-Butte, Deer, Mill Creeks WS

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

Objectives clear-sediment reduction for aquatic species protection, Public Outreach and education, watershed stewardship.

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

Very extensive use of appropriate models.

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

Approach seems well defined; tailored for site-specific areas.

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Use of literature and past work justify the use of proposed actions.

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

Results of extensive monitoring and analysis could be used on other similar watersheds.

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?

Monitoring information shows adequate detail; proposed use of established methodology.

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

Good detail on data collection type and protocols.

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

Methodology proposed is proven, should be technically feasible.

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Lots of USFWS folks- no real mention of others. Some folks currently working on previous CALFED grant, so the continuity should prove to be beneficial and improve chances of successfully implementing this proposal.

Miscellaneous comments

SUMMARY—Not clear where the cost share \$ are being spent. I'd like to see USFS put more \$ into the road decommissioning efforts. Campground hosts should not be doing law enforcement—potential liability issues there.

Overall Evaluation Summary Rating	Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating
<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Very Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Poor	See summary comments above in Misc.
