

## Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 200-H203-1

Short Proposal Title: Sonoma Creek Watershed  
Conservancy

**1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. Project general objectives and task-level objectives are clearly stated at the end of section C1a. The hypothesis being tested is described in section C1c.

**1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

The conceptual model explains the connection between land and water use and fishery conditions in Sonoma Creek's watershed and the Bay-Delta. It shows how information gained through the project can feed back into resource use patterns. In this regard the conceptual model does explain the underlying basis for the proposed work.

**1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

The approach appears well thought out, however, it is not immediately clear how some individual tasks will help meet the objectives of the project. For example, if fish passage improvements are found to be inadequate during the monitoring in task 1 what will be done to correct the problem? If corrections are not made only limited success at meeting project objectives may will result.

**1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Could not find a discussion/justification anywhere in the proposal.

**1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. The underlying premise of the proposal is to provide information which will provide for informed decision making in the future.

**2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?** Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Partially. Several tasks described in the proposal are clearly restoration activities and monitoring and assessment plans are clearly described. However, it is not clear how information obtained as a result of other tasks will assessed relative the success towards accomplishing the stated project objectives and/or goals.

**2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Not sure. These elements are discussed in the proposal but it is not entirely clear how the information will be used to meet the project objectives.

**3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. For the most part standard procedures are being applied.

**4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. Based on information provided in the proposal regarding project teams background and experience.

**Miscellaneous comments**

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

---

**Overall Evaluation  
Summary Rating**

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

**Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating**

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Overall Summary Rating: **Very Good**

Overall the tasks completed through this project will provide valuable information regarding the condition of the watershed, habitats, and local fisheries within the project area. Even though it is not entirely clear how the information gained will be help meet the projects general objectives it should proved very useful in future planning and restoration within the watershed.

---