

## Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 2001-H204-3

Short Proposal Title: Sierra Forest Communities Institute

**1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes – the proposal seeks to create a vocational work force training program.

**1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

The conceptual model shows the links between Ecology/Economy/Community and various scientific/regulatory objectives.

**1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

The proposed project seeks to transfer the methods and techniques used by NorCET to replicate the process in the central Sierra area.

**1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes – they have seen the NorCET program in action.

**1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Perhaps – they already know the process works. So the information generated may be of limited utility

**2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Perhaps. There is a statement on page 13, under Administrative Products, that states “project monitoring as per CALFED requirements.”

**2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Unknown

**3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes

**4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?**

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

The proposed project team appears to be qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project.

**Miscellaneous comments**

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

<p><b>Overall Evaluation Summary Rating</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Good</p>	<p><b>Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating</b></p> <p>Overall appears to be a strong project. Some questions about the monitoring component.</p>
--	--