

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 2001-H205-1 Short Proposal Title: Battle Creek Watershed Stewardship

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

.Objectives of this proposal are clearly for public outreach to locals, schools, others.

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

No real model identified, other than KRIS for data management and continuing outreach efforts already underway.

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

The public outreach efforts planned should meet the objectives, since they appeared to be working in Phase 1.

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Again, applicant is continuing currently used methods, which appear to be appropriate.

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

As outreach expands, public acceptance should be evident and therefore decisions will be supported by those involved.

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?

Will develop watershed assessment and Treatment Plan with the Deer, Mill and Antelope Creeks Plan used as a model; should prove successful.

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

Reporting of activities through the use of Newsletter, community meetings; storing and making data available on CD-Rom are well described.

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

The actions described in the proposal are well documented methods of public outreach and community education on watershed issues; therefore should be technically feasible.

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Identified players have been involved in the Phase I efforts and appear to have strong technical skills to perform the activities proposed.

Miscellaneous comments

SUMMARY—Recommend funding this proposal. Strong public outreach efforts. Good presentation of Activity/Product/Budget. Some cost share (\$75K) from private land owner indicates some local support.

<p>Overall Evaluation Summary Rating</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very Good <input type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p>	<p>Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating</p> <p>See comments in Misc. above.</p>
---	--
