

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 2001-H207-2 Short Proposal Title: Sacramento Conservation Area Program

Applicant participant, Stacy Cepello, works for the Department I do, and the person to be funded as SCAP coordinator is provided space and administrative support from our office in Red Bluff.

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

SB 1086, Upper Sacramento River Fisheries and Riparian Restoration Program is the model that is used for the SCAP.

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

The Handbook and guidelines produced under the Program are the model to implement the program.

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

Funding requested is for continuation of coordinator to work through the guidelines with stakeholders. The approach has been laid out already and I believe is well designed to meet the objectives.

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Continuation of coordinator position only. No research, pilot program or demo projects proposed.

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

Yes, the results of the SCAP will be used to make decisions for the future and the experiences could also be applied to other systems as a model.

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?

N/A

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

None planned

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

Yes, the process of coordination is currently being applied with good success and there is good reason to believe that it will continue and be even more successful.

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Burt Bundy is well qualified, well known to the participants as an ex-county supervisor and currently doing the work as the coordinator; therefore he is qualified to continue in that role due to his effectiveness. I am concerned about the use of Chico State Foundation as merely a contract administration organization. Is this necessary? Why can't DWR do this? They are already providing the coordinator with office space and admin. services. Those costs amount to \$8,000/yr. (\$16K total).

Miscellaneous comments

SUMMARY—I think money is well spent on coordinator to continue the work that has been done to date on the SCAP. The momentum needs to continue in order for this program to be most effective. I do have a concern about some of the costs in the budget table. What are the \$10K service contract amounts to be used for in Tasks 1 and 3, each year. No mention of these in the proposal. Also, the Federal and State Admin Fees are substantial (about \$30K each year). What are these cost? Who do they go to?

<p>Overall Evaluation Summary Rating</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Very Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p>	<p>Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating</p> <p>See summary comments in Misc. above.</p>
---	--
