

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: H-211-2

**Short Proposal Title: Willow Slough Watershed
Rangeland Stewardship Program**

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Objectives

Yes – to develop an expanded watershed stewardship program. The program seeks to “enhance and restore riparian/grassland habitats, improve forage quality, improve water quality, and reduce erosion.” Objectives are outlined in Table 1.

Hypotheses

Yes – thirty-six hypotheses are detailed in Tables 2 and 3.

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes – Straight forward. Two Tenets described on pages 2 & 3 of proposal.

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes – follows a structure similar to the “California Rangeland Water Quality Management Plan”, July 1995 – Outreach/Education/Planning, Restoration/Conservation, and Monitoring.

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

There is a discussion of the problems associated within the 131,000 acre watershed – water quality degradation, loss of bio-diversity, etc.

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Table 4 and Task 3 (page six) appear to contain sufficient and varied monitoring to assess the outcomes of the proposed project.

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Task 3 (page six) details monitoring to assess the outcomes of the proposed project.

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

The proposed project team appears to be qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project.

Miscellaneous comments

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

<p>Overall Evaluation Summary Rating</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Very Good</p>	<p>Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating</p> <p>Looks ready to go.</p>
---	--