

## Geographic Review Panel 1 – Bay Delta

**Proposal number:** 2001-E206

**Short Proposal Title:** Peytonia Slough  
Restoration

**1. Applicability to CALFED ERP Goals and Implementation Plan and CVPIA priorities, and relevance to ERP and CVPIA priorities for your region.** This proposed restoration falls within the 2001 Implementation Plan focus area of western and northern Suisun Marsh, but as noted in the staff review, it is extremely small relative to the restoration goals for Suisun Marsh. Importance/applicability of project can be strengthened due to ability to educate public/vicinity to urban area.

**2. Linkages/coordination with previously funded projects or other restoration activities in your region.** None. Would complement previously-(locally) funded 2.5 acre restoration project.

**3. Feasibility, especially the project's ability to move forward in a timely and successful manner.** Share the TARP's concern that the construction costs may be higher than estimated in the proposal. There is no indication that the deposited dredge materials have been tested and could be readily disposed elsewhere.

Project could be more feasible/timely if dredged material remains on the site and is used for educational/interpretive purposes as they relate to nearby projects.

**4. Qualifications of the applicants and others involved in implementing the proposed project.** TARP indicates that applicants are qualified for proposed work.

**5. Local involvement (including environmental compliance).** Good involvement, support indicated.

**6. Cost.** Cost excessive.

**7. Cost sharing.** The possibility of a partial reimbursement to CALFED from a future mitigation bank should not be considered as cost sharing.

**8. Additional comments.** Share the concern of the staff review that the proposal probably does not include transitional habitat. Also, what is the status of the adjoining upland habitat?

---

### Regional Ranking

**Panel Ranking:** Low

**Provide a brief explanation of your ranking:** Appears to be a small, isolated parcel which does not contribute substantially to CALFED goals. Adjacent to highly urbanized area; should have included educational component. Excessive cost for expected benefits.