

Geographic Review Panel 2 - Sacramento River/Butte Basin

Proposal number: 2001-F211

Short Proposal Title: Keswick Reservoir Sediments

- 1. Applicability to CALFED ERP Goals and Implementation Plan and CVPIA priorities, and relevance to ERP and CVPIA priorities for your region.** Could meet priorities of CALFED and CVPIA, reduction of hazardous materials. Any aspects of the proposal that support remediation may benefit goals related to stream flow and reductions in contaminants.
- 2. Linkages/coordination with previously funded projects or other restoration activities in your region.** Linked to EPA's Superfund project at Iron Mountain Mine and to operational flexibility for the CVP.(remediation could provide ability to use flows for purposes other than dilution).
- 3. Feasibility, especially the project's ability to move forward in a timely and successful manner.** Ongoing litigation will be a problem for CALFED, CVPIA, or any outside party in becoming involved in this project.
- 4. Qualifications of the applicants and others involved in implementing the proposed project.** Considerable experience within EPA team and other project team members.
- 5. Local involvement (including environmental compliance).** Contains a public involvement component and has local support.
- 6. Cost.** \$2,418,300 seems high since no remediation actions are included.
- 7. Cost sharing.** Partners- EPA \$185,900 and BR \$92,300.
- 8. Additional comments.** Due to the potential for litigation this project may not be able to occur this year. Panel would like to see EPA consider a higher cost share.

Regional Ranking

Panel Ranking: Low

Provide a brief explanation of your ranking: TARP rated the project poor. A proposal to address the issues identified in this proposal should be considered after the litigation is completed. Future proposals should focus on remediation.