2009 Vegetation Map Update For Suisun Marsh, Solano County, California

A Report to the California Department of Water Resources

June 2012

Prepared by: Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program Biogeographic Data Branch California Department of Fish & Game



List of Tables
List of Figures
List of Appendices
Summary7
Introduction7
Background7
Changing the re-map protocol
Past protocol (2000-2006)
2009 protocol
2009 Methods 11
2009 Field Data Collection 11
2009 Aerial Photograph Interpretation 11
Improvement of spatial accuracy in the 2009 aerial images 11
Methods for Analysis 13
Figure 1 - Suisun Marsh in Solano County, California showing the five management zones 15
Results
Salicornia virginica Vegetation (Refer to Table 1, 3 and 4) 16
Non-Native Species of Concern (Refer to Table 2, 5,and 6) 16
Table 1 - The change (acres) in the vegetation dominated by Salicornia virginica from 2006 to 2009 and 1999 to 2009 within the Suisun Marsh marsh-wide and within the tidal and leveed areas.18
Table 2: The change (acres) in the vegetation dominated by the nine species of concern (SOC)from 2006 to 2009 and 1999 to 2009 within the Suisun Marsh marsh-wide and within thetidal and leveed areas.18

Table of Contents

Table 3: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the Salicornia virginica (SAVI) vegetation has changed into [loss of SAVI indicated with the negative sign (-)] and has changed from (acreage gain of SAVI) from 2006 to 2009 within the five management zones of Suisun Marsh. The yellow highlighted cells indicate the vegetation types that displaced the most acreage of SAVI or have been replaced by the most SAVI since 2006. Table 4: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the Salicornia virginica (SAVI) vegetation has changed into [loss of SAVI indicated with the negative sign (-)] and has changed from (acreage gain of SAVI) from 2006 to 2009 within the tidal and leveed areas of Suisun Marsh. The yellow highlighted cell indicates the vegetation types that Table 5: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the tidal and leveed areas of the Suisun Marsh management. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = - SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = +SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type Table 6: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the five Suisun Marsh management zones. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = - SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = +SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type in 2009.) Table 6 cont.: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the five Suisun Marsh management zones. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = - SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = +SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type in 2009.) Appendix 1 - Crosswalk of the mapping attributes used for the 2006 and 2009 Suisun Marsh Appendix 2 - The vegetation types and mapping units used to map Suisun Marsh. Old vegetation types and map units (left) are cross-walked to most recent vegetation names on the right. "Mu" is mapping unit (i.e., not a true vegetation classification unit).

List of Tables

- Table 1 The change (acres) in the vegetation dominated by Salicornia virginica from 2006 to

 2009 and 1999 to 2009 within the Suisun Marsh marsh-wide and within the tidal and leveed

 areas.

 18
- Table 2: The change (acres) in the vegetation dominated by the nine species of concern (SOC)from 2006 to 2009 and 1999 to 2009 within the Suisun Marsh marsh-wide and within thetidal and leveed areas.18
- Table 4: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the *Salicornia virginica* (SAVI) vegetation has changed into [loss of SAVI indicated with the negative sign (-)] and has changed from (acreage gain of SAVI) from 2006 to 2009 within the tidal and leveed areas of Suisun Marsh. The yellow highlighted cell indicates the vegetation types that converted the most acerage to SAVI since 2006. 20
- Table 5: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the tidal and leveed areas of the Suisun Marsh management. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = +SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type in 2009.) 21
- Table 6: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the five Suisun Marsh management zones. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = +SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type in 2009.

List of Figures

Figure 1 - Suisun Marsh in Solano County, California showing the five management zones...... 15

List of Appendices

Appendix 1 - Crosswalk of the mapping attributes used for the 2006 and 2009 Suisun Marsh	
vegetation maps	29

Appendix 3 - A cross-walk between the vegetation types that were mapped within the Suisun Marsh (Mapped Type) versus the types that were used for the analysis for changes in the

Executive Summary

This report summarizes the methods and results of the 2009 Suisun Marsh vegetation map update. This is part of an ongoing monitoring project that the Biogeographic Data Branch (BDB) of the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), in collaboration with the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the CDFG Bay Delta Region (BDR), started in 1999 to track changes in the Suisun Marsh vegetation over time. This is the fourth update since the original map was made in 1999. The first update, conducted in 2000, is summarized in *Vegetation Mapping of Suisun Marsh, Solano County: A Report to the California Department of Water Resources* (Keeler-Wolf et al. 2000). The second update, done three years later in 2003, is summarized in *Suisun Marsh Vegetation Mapping Change Detection 2003* (Vaghti and Keeler-Wolf 2004). The 2006 update is not yet available to the public.

The final 2009 vegetation map contains 16,199 polygons covering 70,277 acres. They polygons range from 0.01 acres to 2,862 acres and average 4.33 acres. 2,524 vegetation polygons, or 8,322 acres, are tidally influenced and 13,625 polygons, or 57,980 acres, are not naturally affected by tide. This map update shows that potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat (*Salicornia virginica* dominated vegetation) has increased since 1999 and that two non-native species of concern, *Phragmites australis* and *Lepidium latifolium*, are still increasing within the marsh. Interestingly, in the leveed areas of the marsh, both *Phragmites australis* and *Salicornia virginica* vegetation seem to be increasing the most where, in 2006, there was open water.

Introduction

Background

The Suisun Marsh is one of the largest contiguous brackish marshes remaining in the United States, covering over 69,000 acres of tidal and managed seasonal wetlands. This marsh is a key wintering area for waterfowl and supports a number of sensitive plant and animal species. In 1977 the Suisun Marsh Preservation Act was enacted and required that the marsh be managed for its wildlife resources. Consequently, the Plan of Protection for the Suisun Marsh (Plan of Protection) was developed. In 1981 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service produced a Section 7 Biological Opinion for the Plan of Protection. Their Biological Opinion accepted the monitoring program in the Plan of Protection and added specific conservation measures to protect the federally listed salt marsh harvest mouse (SMHM) habitat.

As part of the monitoring program in the Plan of Protection, a Triennial Vegetation Survey was developed to document the overall vegetation composition of the marsh and to monitor SMHM habitat by the use of aerial photography in combination with ground verification. Prior to the final Plan of Protection, a baseline vegetation survey was conducted in 1981. However, since completion of the Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates as described in the Plan of Protection was delayed until 1988, the 1988 vegetation survey was the closest to the start of facility operations. The Triennial Vegetation Survey was conducted in Suisun Marsh in 1981, 1988, 1991, and 1994 to document any changes in vegetation composition over time.

There were concerns about the methodology used and the lack of useful maps from the 1988, 1991, and 1994 surveys. In 1996 an interagency technical committee was convened to review the current survey methodology and recommend a more detailed monitoring system for vegetation changes in the marsh. Consequently, in July 1997 the committee agreed to implement a new survey methodology for the 1998 vegetation survey.

The new methodology and results for the 1999 survey are described in detail in *Vegetation Mapping of Suisun Marsh, Solano County: A Report to the California Department of Water*

Resources (Keeler-Wolf *et al.* 2000). The survey methodology is designed to meet the goal of documenting changes in preferred habitat for the SMHM as well as to gather vegetation information to be used for a variety of other purposes. These may include correlating management activities with vegetation changes, gathering data to support the use of a GIS format that will allow queries and overlaying of additional information, and creation of a base map for future studies. This methodology is based on work by the Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife and Habitat Data Analysis Branch (now Biogeographic Data Branch) Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program (VegCAMP) and has been widely used throughout the state.

In 2000 an exploratory change detection study was implemented (Vaghti & Keeler-Wolf 2001). The goals of the change analysis were to define significant change for vegetation in the Suisun Marsh ecosystem, quantify and spatially identify such changes, improve map accuracy, and make recommendations for future revisions of the map to best support management efforts for endangered species habitat, waterfowl and other wildlife. Given that the 2000 map update was conducted only one year after the 1999 baseline map was created, the changes detected were relatively minor. Less than 1% of the polygons were shown to have changed between June 16, 1999 and July 2 2000. These minor changes include a net loss of 65 acres for *Salicornia virginica* vegetation types, an 18 acre increase in vegetation dominated by *Lepidium latifolium*, and a 143 acre decrease in Annual Grasses. From this exploratory change detection it was determined that the map update process would occur every three years.

The 2003 remap effort showed a 16.8% change across the entire study area since the 1999 product. "Medium Wetland Graminoids, *Scirpus maritimus*, Short Wetland Herbs, Medium Wetland Herbs and *S. maritimus/Salicornia virginica* were the five types with the greatest increase in acreage. *Distichlis spicata*, *Salicornia*, *Distichlis/*Annual Grasses, *Distichlis/Salicornia*, and Open Water were the five types with the greatest decrease in acreage over the study period." Also determined was a 16.7% change in leveed wetland vegetation.

The 2006 remap and change detection used the 1999 vegetation map as the baseline and followed the 2000 and 2003 change detection methodology. Several vegetation changes found in this 2006 update are of note: 1) The 174% increase in flooded wetlands (due to sever storms resulting levee breaches), 2) the net loss of 945 acres of *Salicornia virginica* vegetation types since 1999, 3) the net gain of 780 acres of the invasive form of *Phragmites australis* since 1999, 580 acres of which have established since 2003, and 4) the acreage decrease or stabilization of several of the non-native species of concern. These are discussed in more detail in the draft 2006 update report (Boul and Keeler-Wolf 2009). Aside from the changes in vegetation that were detected in the 2006 Suisun marsh remap, several issues with the remapping process and change detection protocol were brought to light. Due to these findings VegCAMP suggested that changes to the protocol be made and implemented for the 2009 vegetation remap. These changes and reasoning behind them are discussed below.

Changing the re-map protocol

There were several reasons for changing the map update and vegetation change detection protocol: 1) inconsistencies in imagery orthorectification, 2) unforeseen flaws in the original protocol, and 3) advances in available technology. In order to explain the changes to the protocol, the old method must be explained first.

Past protocol (2000-2006)

To create the 2006 vegetation map a copy of the 2003 vegetation polygon shapefile was made and then modified for the 2003-2006 change detection. The new shapefile was linked to a new 2006 Access table for data entry. For consistency the attributes and vegetation types for the 2006 change detection remained the same as in 2003. When a change in size or shape of a polygon was detected, it was cut using the "Cut Polygon Features" task and merged using the "Merge" option in ArcMap.

The following changes were considered significant and consistently interpretable, and were updated:

- A greater than 20% change in acreage of an exiting small polygon (< 0.5-1 acre)
- A greater than 10% change in acreage of a mid-sized polygon (1-5 acres)
- A greater than 5% change in a large polygon (>5 acres)
- A type conversion of a vegetation polygon dominated by perennial species. Type conversion as defined here, occurs when a previously mapped vegetation type dominated by perennial species has changed based on the decision rules set forth in the vegetation mapping unit key defined in the Suisun Marsh Vegetation Mapping Report (Table 5), or when an annual species dominated vegetation type is converted to a perennial vegetation type.
- A persistent physical change has altered any vegetation polygon and partially or entirely replaced it with a non-vegetated area (non-vegetated areas include buildings, dredged ditches, new levees, roads, or other human engineered structures).
- A change in management style, which includes a conversion or restoration from an actively managed situation (annual burning, disking, plowing, flooding, or other management practice which annually disturbs the vegetation) to a passively or non-managed situation.

The following changes were considered non-significant and/or unreliably interpretable and were not assessed:

- Conversions of one annual vegetation type to another annual type were not considered because of the vagaries of climate on annual vegetation.
- Polygons that are regularly heavily managed by annual burning, disking, flooding, or other means were not considered. These changes, unless they show some direction (e.g., from passive management to active, or vice versa), are considered regular management perturbations and maintain the same general vegetation pattern through regular disturbance.

2009 protocol

To create the 2009 map the vegetation was interpreted from the 2009 aerial imagery and polygons were digitizing using heads-up digitizing (I.e. a photo interpreter manually drew polygons around each stand of vegetation) in ArcMAP 9.3 and recorded within an empty Microsoft Access Persoanl Geodatabase. Because this new protocol starts with an empty GIS data file and is not based on the manipulation of an already existing shapefile several of the mapping rules and attributes used in the old protocol that referred to the manipulation of a shapefile no longer apply (see section above and Appendix 1).

Justification for the change in protocol

Inconsistencies in imagery orthorectification. In preparation for the 2009 updating process the imagery flown specifically for the Suisun Marsh mapping from 1999 through 2009 was compared with the intention of summarizing a consistent time series of change over the 4 intervals (1999-2000, 2000-2003, 2003-2006, 2006-2009). However, VegCAMP staff noticed that none of the datasets matched up spatially. The 2006 and 2009 imagery were very close to each other but were about 7 meters off from the 2009 orthomosaics provided by the National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP), which was considered the most regionally accurate standard. The 1999

imagery was very close to the 2009 NAIP and the 2003 Suisun imagery was approximately 15 meters shifted from all the other imagery sets. Because the past protocol included making a copy of the previously updated shapefile (which matched the imagery for the time) and updating it according to the new imagery (which was shifted 7 to 15m from the previous imagery), errors were compounded. The non-linear shift in imagery would confound a number of useful analyses such as description of individual shifts in size and shape of stands of different marsh vegetation, or trends in number and acreage of different types of marsh habitat within and between different marsh management zones.

Unforeseen flaws in the original protocol. Following is the protocol used for the original 1999 vegetation polygon delineation: "Using light tables, delineations were drawn with a .2 mm water-soluble pen (Uniball Microroller) directly on mylar sheets taped to the diapositives." These were then scanned and rubber sheeted to create a digital version. At the time (1999), this was the standard method used for delineating and digitizing vegetation polygons. This process created some discrepancies between the shapefile and the imagery. The "rubber sheeting" process resulted in inconsistent shifts in the line work that have been perpetuated throughout the life of the project and further complicated by the imagery orthorectification shifts.

Advances in available technology. Today there is much more spatially accurate georeferenced imagery that can be used directly in a GIS. Now, instead of the extra time-consuming and error-compounding step of first delineating manually and then scanning and correcting the drawn polygons, we can digitize polygons directly over the imagery in a computer. By adopting the new protocol of remapping from scratch every time, we eliminate the types of errors that compound with each update.

Implementation of an adaptive protocol that allows for future advances in technology: When envisioning a protocol for a project that will potentially last for many, many years we must consider the inevitable change in technology and our understanding of vegetation. A few of the major effects that changing technology has had on this project has already been discussed above and there will be more that arise in the future. There have also been shifts in our understanding of vegetation classification and how that translates to a mapping classification (Appendix 2). For this reason it is important to have an adaptable protocol. It needs to be a protocol that does not build on the previous version so as not to get caught using old technology or ideas just because "that's what we did before". By creating a separate and distinct vegetation map for each update we are still able to compare the shapefiles from year to year while freeing us up to use the most current information and technology available.

Testing efficiency: In exploring the changes to the protocol, VegCAMP performed a time efficiency test. Using ArcMap 9.3, a portion of the marsh (~230 acres) was mapped the old way (cutting and merging pieces of old polygons until the new polygons look fairly close to the current vegetation boundaries) and the same portion was mapped from scratch. The thinking was that it may take longer to map from scratch, but it would be more spatially accurate, it would look better, and we can stop compounding errors.

What was learned: It was quicker, easier, more concise and more accurate to draw polygons from scratch. It is much slower and more difficult to cut many portions of a polygon and merge them with other various polygons than to just draw the polygon the way the photo interpreter sees it. In addition, just as you set a minimum map unit size based on time and money available, the photo interpreter must also limit the number of cuts and merges for the same reason. So, not only did the old protocol prove to be more time consuming, but the resulting vegetation map had inconsistent "sloppy" looking line-work.

Summary of effects of this decision: In order to plan for more accurate comparisons that are more independent of improving technologies we made the decision to discontinue the further modification of polygons that were created with a spatially inaccurate base map. The benefit is that there will no longer be an ever-compounding issue of using incorrectly referenced original

information and partially modified polygons to make all future comparisons. However, the short term negative effect will be that the 2009 map cannot be directly compared to the previous editions on a polygon-by-polygon basis. Starting with a "clean slate" for the 2009 remap, with the most accurate delineations, we would have to work backwards and modify all 3 previous iterations of the map, essentially de-coupling the original map produced in 2000 from the following individual updates. This will take time and money, but should ultimately provide a more valuable long-term time sequence. However, it will be possible to immediately compare changes in acreage and numbers of polygons of different vegetation classes over the 4 editions of the map (see results and discussion sections, to follow).

2009 Methods

2009 Field Data Collection

At least 4 days of pre- or post-map reconnaissance to assist in photo signature interpretation was conducted by VegCAMP staff once the 2009 imagery was received. This field data gave us the vegetation type, cover, and height for 308 polygons.

2009 Aerial Photograph Interpretation

The vegetation photo interpretation for the 2009 Suisun Marsh re-map was based on true color imagery that was flown at 1:7200 on June 15, 2009. VegCAMP first received this imagery on March 22, 2010 as 73 individual flight line photos. As described in the "Justification for the change in protocol" section (page 9), it was discovered that the orthorectification process resulted in a spatial shift by as much as 10 meters when compared to the 2009 NAIP imagery. This discovery resulted in a reprocessing of the imagery to create a more spatially accurate data set. The reprocessed imagery was received by VegCAMP on October 14, 2010.

Improvement of spatial accuracy in the 2009 aerial images

The aerial photography captured in June 2009 covering the Suisun Marsh area was postprocessed using ground control points that were used for previously captured aerial photography of this area. The horizontal position for a ground control point was determined by matching a permanent residual feature identified on a photograph, such as a bridge abutment or cross-roads, with that same feature shown on USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle topographic map (quad map); the vertical position was interpolated using the contours on the quad maps. The USGS quad map's horizontal accuracy standard requires that the positions of 90 percent of all points tested must be accurate within 1/50th of an inch (0.05 centimeters) on the map. At 1:24,000 scale, 1/50th of an inch is 40 feet (12.2 meters). Likewise, the vertical accuracy standard requires that the elevation of 90 percent of all points tested must be correct within half of the contour interval. On a map with a contour interval of 10 feet, the map must correctly show 90 percent of all points tested within 5 feet (1.5 meters) of the actual elevation. This method was sufficient for doing comparative studies among the several collections of aerial photography captured on a three-year cycle.

However, when horizontal positions for features shown in the georeferenced orthomosaic created from the 2009 aerial photography were compared to positions of the same features identified in NAIP, differences as large as 10 meters were discovered. The absolute accuracy specification for NAIP requires 95 percent of well-defined points to be within 6 meters of true ground and is therefore more rigorous than the original standards. Although these differences fell within the accuracy specifications for NAIP.

Although topography is subtle in the largely flat marsh, it is extremely important to obtain both horizontal and vertical accuracy to properly depict correct position of narrow channels, ditches, and subtle elevational distinctions that influence tides, ponding, and vegetation. For the purposes of their vegetation studies, the staff of the CDFG and DWR- Division of Environmental Services (DES) requested that a method be devised to raise the level of absolute positional accuracy for the 2009 Suisun Marsh aerial photography.

The method that was employed was for staff from the DWR's Mapping & Photogrammetry Section to measure the positions of permanent residual features identified both in photographs and on the ground using Garmin 76CSx handheld GPS units. The published accuracy for these units is typically less than 5 meters. A total of 51 control points were measured and used to reprocess the imagery, which resulted in an orthomosaic that closely agrees with NAIP digital orthorectified quarter quadrangle (DOQQ) aerial photography.

The reprocessed 2009 imagery was received by VegCAMP on October 14, 2010, at which point the photo interpretation began. Several other imagery sources were used as ancillary data including the 2009 NAIP, 2009 NAIP CIR, all the imagery available through the ImmageConnect data Library, and the 1999, 2003, and 2006 Suisun Marsh imageries. The 2006 Suisun Marsh vegetation map was also referred to often. All of the polygons were drawn using heads-up digitizing in ArcMAP 9.3 starting with an empty Microsoft Access Persoanl Geodatabase. For each polygon the vegetation type, disturbance level, and overall vegetation cover and vegetation height was estimated (Appendix 1). The area in acres for each polygon was calculated using the ArcGIS 9.3 "Calculate Geometry" tool.

Methods for Analysis

As requested by DWR and with Bay-Delta Region agreement, the vegetation within Suisun Marsh was broken into two different categories; leveed wetlands and tidal wetlands. Tidal wetlands (including muted tidal) are those areas naturally affected regularly by tidal fluctuation. The area may or may not be vegetated with vascular or non-vascular plants and may or may not have any evidence of human modification such as ditches, excavations, interrupted levees or berms etc. The leveed wetlands are those areas that are completely enclosed and are totally restricted to any natural tidal influence.

To determine changes in vegetation within the Marsh in tidally influenced areas versus leveed (or managed) areas a habitat shapefile (Suisun_Regions_habitats_ver2) that was created by CDFG in 2008 was used as a reference. This file was created using the 2003 San Francisco Estuary Institutes (SFEI) EcoAtlas as a base layer with input from local experts to refine it. However, due to its coarse scale and poor spatial accuracy when compared to the 2009 Suisun imagery and vegetation map, a simple "clip" in ArcMap 9.3 could not be preformed. To determine the tidal areas in 2009 the polygons that were contained completely within the "tidal" habitat polygons from the 2008 CDFG shapefile were given a habitat attribute of "1" (meaning they were definitely tidal). Those polygons that intersected the outline of the "tidal" habitat polygons from the 2008 CDFG Suisun_Regions_habitats_ver2 shapefile were examined by the photo interpreter to determine if they were tidally influenced or leveed and attributed accordingly. Sloughs were given a habitat attribute of "3". All other polygons were considered leveed and therefore given a habitat attribute of "2".

To determine the tidal areas in 2006, the polygons that were contained completely within the "tidal" habitat polygons from the 2009 vegetation shapefile were given a habitat attribute of "1" (meaning they were definitely tidal). Those polygons that intersected the outline of the "tidal" habitat polygons from the 2009 vegetation shapefile were examined by the photo the interpreter to determine if they were tidally influenced or leveed and attributed accordingly. Sloughs were given a habitat attribute of "3". All other polygons were considered leveed and therefore given a habitat attribute of "2". The Blacklock parcel was excluded from the 2006 tidal areas because the levees had not yet been breached at the time the imagery was flown. Everything that was not tidal was considered leveed (unless it was a slough).

The percent change (acreage) for each vegetation type within the entire marsh, within the tidally influenced areas and the leveed areas, was calculated for 2006 to 2009 using the following formula:

(2009 Acreage – 2006 Acreage) *100 2006 Acreage

The polygons were also analyzed for changes from 2006 to 2009 in nine non-native standforming species of concern (e.g., species that when dominant can be mapped as semi-natural vegetation as per Sawyer et al 2009) marsh-wide, within the tidal and leveed areas of the marsh as well as the changes within the 5 management zones within the marsh (Figure 1). The nine non-native species of concern are as follows: *Arundo donax, Carpobrotus edulis, Centaurea solstitialis, Conium maculatum, Cortaderia selloana, Eucalyptus* species, *Foeniculum vulgare, Lepidium latifolium,* and *Phragmites australis* (presumably the non-native strain). These species are represented by 18 vegetation mapping units where at least one of these species occurs as a dominant or co-dominant. These mapping units are: (1) *Arundo donax;* (2) *Carpobrotus edulis;* (3) *Centaurea solstitialis;* (4) *Conium maculatum* (generic); (5) *Juncus balticus – Conium maculatum;* (6) *Cortaderia selloana;* (7) *Eucalyptus* (generic); (8) *Eucalyptus globulus;* (9) *Foeniculum vulgare;* (10) *Lepidium latifolium* (generic); (11) *Lepidium - Distichlis spicata;* (12) *Scirpus americanus - Lepidium latifolium;* (13) *Juncus balticus - Lepidium;* (14) *Lolium multiflorum* - Lepidium latifolium; (15) Phragmites australis; (16) Phragmites australis - Scirpus (acutus or californicus); (17) Phragmites australis - Xanthium strumarium; and (18) Typha spp. - Phragmites australis.

At the time of the 2000 and 2003 Suisun Marsh vegetation change detection, less specific information was known about the habitat requirements for the protected SMHM in Suisun Marsh. The ten Salicornia virginica (SAVI, or "pickleweed") vegetation types or mapping units were collectively considered important habitat for the SMHM in 2003. These include: (1) Scirpus maritimus/Salicornia; (2) Distichlis spicata/Salicornia; (3) Salicornia; (4) Salicornia/Annual Grasses; (5) Salicornia/Atriplex triangularis; (6) Salicornia/ Crypsis schoenoides; (7) Salicornia/Sesuvium verrucosum; (8) Salicornia; (9) Salicornia/Echinochloa crus-galli Polygonum lapathifolium-Xanthium strumarium; and (10) Salicornia/Cotula coronopifolia. Since then, the CDFG-BDR and DWR conducted a 2 year study (2002-2004) to gain a better understanding of "demographic performance and habitat use of salt marsh harvest mice (Reithrodontomys raviventris halicoetes) in the Suisun Marsh" (Sustaita et al. 2011). It was determined that, in addition to vegetation dominated by Salicornia virginica, microhabitats dominated by mixed wetland species also support SMHM. However, mixed-vegetation-dominated habitat as defined by Sustaita et al. (2009) (various native and non-native species, other than pickleweed and upland grasses, such as fat hen, saltgrass, Baltic rush, and Olney's three-square bulrush) has not been directly translated to one or more of the vegetation associations or alliances defined in Keeler-Wolf and Vaghti (2000). This is due to different methods for classification. In general, the mixed wetland species category of Sustaita is defined generally as vegetation not strongly dominated by Salicornia virginica and not strongly dominated by upland (non wetland) herbaceous species. Therefore, until more specific SMHM data is collected, we will be unable to analyze for changes in any vegetation other than Salicornia virginica vegetation in regard to changes SMHM habitat.

For this report *Salicornia virginica* vegetation was examined to determine changes marsh-wide, within the tidal and leveed areas of the marsh as well as the changes within the 5 management zones within the marsh (Figure 1).

See Appendix 3 for a cross-walk between the vegetation types that were mapped versus the types that were used for the analysis for changes in the vegetation from 2006 to 2009. There are three main circumstances for using different types for analysis than what was mapped: 1) several mapped types were lumped into a "Weedy" type for analysis due to being annual types that are considered non-significant and/or unreliably interpretable; 2) several of the non-native species of concern dominated in more than one mixed vegetation type and were analyzed as one type (the same was done for the *Salicornia virginica* types; and 3) a few generic mapping units were mapped in 2006 that were not mapped in 2009. For analysis these last types were put into a "best guess" type (e.g., "Tall Wetland Graminoids" mapped in 2006 were analyzed as "*Scirpus (californicus* or *acutus)-Typha* sp.").



Figure 1 - Suisun Marsh in Solano County, California showing the five management zones.

<u>Results</u>

The final 2009 vegetation map contains 16,197 polygons, which is 40% fewer polygons than the 2006 vegetation map (26,950 polygons). After clipping the mapped areas to the project boundary, in 2009 the total acreage mapped was 68,957 and in 2006 the total acreage mapped was 68,846. The minimal discrepancy (111 acres) between the mapping areas has nothing to do with a change in the actual acreage of the marsh, rather there are several fringe upland polygons that were not mapped in the 2006 (and earlier) vegetation update that were mapped in 2009. So for analysis, there was no comparison made where there was not overlap between the two maps.

Salicornia virginica¹ Vegetation (Refer to Table 1, 3 and 4)

Marsh wide there was an overall increase of 2853 acres, or 23.8%, in vegetation dominated by *Salicornia virginica* from 2006 to 2009 (Table 1). Since 1999 the *Salicornia virginica* vegetation has increase by 1904.9 acres or almost 15% (Table 1). The majority of the increase from 2006 to 2009 occurred within the leveed areas of the marsh (2694 acres), however the *Salicornia virginica* vegetation also increased in the tidal areas of the marsh by almost 23% (Table 1), with a net increase of 159 acres (Table 4).

Within the five management zones (Figure 1) *Salicornia virginica* vegetation increased in all but Zone 1 (Table 3), where it decreased 7.5%. Most of this loss was due to the conversion of *Salicornia virginica* to bare ground (Table 3). Zone 3 had the greatest increase in *Salicornia virginica* vegetation, up by 159% since 2006 (Table 3). It should be noted that since 2000, the general trend for *Salicornia virginica* in the marsh has been to disappear in the areas that have been inundated and then to reemerge after the water is removed, so there does not seem to be an overall reduction in the type.

Non-Native Species of Concern (Refer to Table 2, 5, and 6)

From 2006 to 2009 there was a 37%, or 1045.6 acres, increase in the nine non-native species of concern over the entire marsh. In the tidal areas of the marsh there was a 30% increase from 2006 (853 acres) to 2009 (1114 acres) and in the leveed areas there was a 17% increase from 2006 (2389 acres) to 2009 (2786 acres). Several types show an overall decrease in acreage: *Arundo donax, Carpobrotus edulis, Centaurea* sp, *Cortaderia selloana*, and *Foeniculum vulgare*. Only three types show an increase: *Eucalyptus* sp., *Lepidium latifolium*, and *Phragmites australis*.

Since 1999 *Phragmites australis* has continued to increase by 24% to 57% every three years, increasing by almost 1500 acres or 173% (Table 2). *Phragmites australis* increased by 47.7%, or 761.6 acres, over the entire marsh (Table 2) from 2006 to 2009. Most of this increase occurred within the leveed areas of the marsh (643.9 acres) where 164 acres of *Phragmites australis* is now growing where there was open water in 2006 (Table 5). From 2006 to 2009 *Lepidium latifolium* increased by 339 acres or 57.6% marsh-wide (Table 2). However, since 1999 *L. latifolium* has decreased by 3.5% marsh-wide (Table 2). From 2006 to 2009 it continued to decrease in the leveed areas by 9.3%, but doubled in acreage in the tidal areas of the marsh. The area covered by *Eucalyptus* species increased by 22.9% marsh-wide, up by 49.5 acres since

¹ According to The Jepson Manual: Higher Plants of California, First^t Edition, .Salicornia virginica is the scientific name for pickle weed Note that all species nomenclature within the report is taken from The Jepson Manual: Higher Plants of California, First Edition. Appendix 2 is a crosswalk to the updated scientific names for Salicornia virginica (Salicornia pacifica) and the other species relevant to this project according to The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, Second Edition.

2006 (Table2). Most of this increase occurred within the leveed areas (26.8 acres) while there was only a 5 acre increase of *Eucalyptus* species in the tidal areas.

Within the five management zones *Phragmites australis* increased in all of them, however in zone 1 there was only a 2.2 acre (>1%) increase from 2006 to 2009 (Table 6). At an increase of 434.1 acres, zone 5 received the greatest increase in *Phragmites australis* from 2006 to 2009 (Table 6 cont.). *Lepidium latifolium* showed a decrease of 133.5 acres in zone 4, but an increase in all the other zones (Table 6). Zones 2 and 4 had the greatest *Eucalyptus* sp. acreage expansion from 2006 to 2009, increasing by 17.7 and 14.5 acres respectively. In zones 1, 3, and 5 *Eucalyptus* sp. either decreased or increased by less than 5 acres. All other species of concern decreased in acreage (or increased by <1 acre) within all management zone (Table 6).

Table 1 - The change (acres) in the vegetation dominated by Salicornia virginica from 2006 to 2009 and 1999 to 2009 within the Suisun Marsh marsh-wide and within the tidal and leveed areas.

		Ma	arsh-Wide)			Tidal (acres)			Leve	ed	
Vegetation Name	1999	2006	2009	% ∆ 2006 to 2009	% 1999 to 2009	2006	2009	∆ 2006 to 2009	% ∆ 2006 to 2009	2006	2009	ے 2006 to 2009	% ∆ 2006 to 2009
Scirpus maritimus - S. virginica	534.1	805.8	674.5	-16.3	26.3	6.1	3.0	-3.1	-50.6	799.8	671.6	-128.2	-16.0
Distichlis spicata - S. virginica	2383.7	2253.4	3558.6	57.9	49.3	37.0	132.9	96.0	259.7	2216.4	3425.7	1209.2	54.6
Salicornia virginica	6052.9	4524.5	7548.3	66.8	24.7	10.2	63.1	52.8	516.4	4514.2	7485.3	2971.0	65.8
S. virginica - Annual Grasses	2282.4	2748.4	1916.2	-30.3	-16.0	18.5	27.1	8.6	46.1	2729.8	1889.1	-840.7	-30.8
S. virginica - Atriplex triangularis	623.0	530.0	40.3	-92.4	-93.5	1.8	0.0	-1.8	-100.0	528.2	40.3	-487.9	-92.4
S. virginica - Crypsis	2.1	2.1	4.8	124.2	124.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	4.8	2.6	124.2
S. virginica - Sesuvium	120.6	95.5	236.6	147.7	96.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	95.5	236.6	141.1	147.7
Salicornia (generic)	551.5	667.4	0.0	-100.0	-100.0	2.4	0.0	-2.4	-100.0	665.1	0.0	-665.1	-100.0
S. virginica - Polygonum- Xanthium-Echinochloa	106.4	109.2	688.6	530.4	547.0	0.0	1.2	1.2	100	109.2	687.5	578.2	529.3
S. virginica - Cotula	262.6	234.8	156.3	-33.4	-40.5	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	234.8	148.6	-86.1	-36.7
Total	12919.4	11971.1	14824.3	23.8	14.7	76.0	234.9	159.0	209.2	11895.2	14589.4	2694.2	22.6

Table 2: The change (acres) in the vegetation dominated by the nine species of concern (SOC) from 2006 to 2009 and 1999 to 2009 within the Suisun Marsh marsh-wide and within the tidal and leveed areas.

		N	larsh-wid				Tidal					Leveed			
Vegetation Name	Acres 1999	Acres 2006	Acres 2009	%∆ 2006 to 2009	% ∆ 1999 to 2009	Acres 1999	Acres 2006	Acres 2009	% ∆ 2006 to 2009	% ∆ 1999 to 2009	Acres 1999	Acres 2006	Acres 2009	% ∆ 2006 to 2009	% ∆ 1999 to 2009
Arundo donax	4.7	23.0	0.8	-96.4	-82.4	0.9	18.5	0.0	-100.0	-100.0	3.9	5.2	0.8	-84.11	-78.56
Carpobrotus edulis	7.0	7.3	0.0	-100.0	-100.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	-100.0	-100.0	6.8	7.0	0.6	-90.9	-90.7
Centaurea (generic)	76.9	29.6	0.6	-97.9	-99.2	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0	72.8	55.1	0.0	-100	-100
Conium maculatum	310.5	265.4	237.1	-10.7	-23.6	10.7	0.9	0.0	-100.0	-100.0	299.8	347.3	238.0	-31.47	-20.61
Cortaderia selloana	9.8	6.7	6.1	-9.7	-37.6	0.9	2.2	2.3	3.2	155.5	8.9	7.8	3.8	-50.81	-56.95
Eucalyptus globulus	209.9	216.7	266.2	22.9	26.8	19.4	5.4	10.5	95.1	-46.0	189.6	230.2	257.0	11.644	35.55
Foeniculum vulgare	140.9	92.8	76.0	-18.1	-46.1	12.3	11.5	4.3	-62.6	-65.2	128.6	114.7	75.4	-34.32	-41.4
Lepidium latifolium	960.8	588.3	926.9	57.6	-3.5	166.3	210.4	421.4	100.3	153.5	797.3	583.5	528.9	-9.346	-33.66
Phragmites australis	863.7	1596.0	2357.6	47.7	173.0	327.0	604.0	675.8	11.9	106.6	536.6	1038.8	1682.3	61.95	213.5

Table 3: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the *Salicornia virginica* (SAVI) vegetation has changed into [loss of SAVI indicated with the negative sign (-)] and has changed from (acreage gain of SAVI) from 2006 to 2009 within the five management zones of Suisun Marsh. The yellow highlighted cells indicate the vegetation types that displaced the most acreage of SAVI or have been replaced by the most SAVI since 2006.

	SAVI Net Change 2006 to 2009												
	Zor	ne 1	Zor	ne 2	Zor	ne 3	Zor	ne 4	Zor	ne 5			
VegName	Net Change	Total % Change	Net Change	Total % Change	Net Change	Total % Change	Net Change	Total % Change	Net Change	Total % Change			
Bare Ground	-446.1		-275.9		-37.4		-369.3		140.9				
Conium maculatum	0.5		-3.6		0.1		9.7		-0.2				
Distichlis (generic)	8.2		1.5		3.1		85.5		40.8				
Distichlis spicata	41.3		43.6		-16.9		28.0		39.7				
Distichlis/Juncus	5.3		-5.8		-0.8		8.7		-1.3				
Distichlis/Lotus	1.8		0.4		0.4		6.5		0.1				
Distichlis/S. americanus	0.6		27.5		28.9		1.8		0.0				
Distichlis/S. maritimus	1.5		15.5		7.8		33.6		22.3				
Distichlis-Juncus- Triglochin-Glaux	-0.5		-4.0		17.1		-3.0		-4.0				
Ditch	-29.1		-27.0		7.7		-31.8		17.6				
Elytrigia pontica	0.0		3.1		0.0		-0.1		4.0				
Fallow Disced Field	0.0		-20.8		0.0		3.1		1.5				
Frankenia (generic)	0.0		-6.0		-1.0		3.0		4.2				
Juncus balticus	0.7		1.5		0.7		14.4		9.6				
Lepidium (generic)	-18.0		-2.8		2.7		10.4		16.5				
Lotus corniculatus	1.5		4.0		1.6		14.0		1.2				
Medium Wetland Graminoids	0.5		132.3		127.5		103.1		51.1				
Medium Wetland Herbs	18.6		75.3		25.2		27.4		25.5				
Open Water	22.2		163.0		475.8		122.5		716.3				
Phragmites australis	-3.7		-16.9		2.1		10.1		-13.5				
Salicornia (generic)	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa	-2.9		-16.1		0.1		1.2		-0.2				
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.	-0.3		4.1		9.4		17.0		29.4				
<i>Scirpus americanus</i> (generic)	-2.5		7.0		5.7		-1.4		0.0				
Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	0.0		0.9		9.7		9.2		22.2				
Scirpus maritimus	26.1		115.5		109.6		212.1		128.9				
Scirpus maritimus/Sesuvium	0.8		0.0		9.4		31.3		0.7				
Short Wetland Herbs	97.3		-13.7		-1.5		43.6		12.5				
Tidal Mudflat	-7.1		-7.8		0.0		-3.3		-2.2				
Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	1.8		-33.7		12.9		-35.9		13.7				
Typha angustifolia/Polygonum- Xanthium-Echinochloa	1.0		-11.9		8.4		1.8		3.9				
Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	1.0		-29.6		15.4		6.1		0.5				
Typha species (generic)	33.5		-9.4		9.1		38.7		31.9				
Weedy	40.4		209.3		50.1		62.6		16.5				
Wetland Herbs	18.5		0.0		0.0		-0.1		0.0				
Total	-191.1	-7.5	316.4	10.1	891.2	159.6	468.2	11.3	1341.4	88.0			

Table 4: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the *Salicornia virginica* (SAVI) vegetation has changed into [loss of SAVI indicated with the negative sign (-)] and has changed from (acreage gain of SAVI) from 2006 to 2009 within the tidal and leveed areas of Suisun Marsh. The yellow highlighted cell indicates the vegetation types that converted the most acerage to SAVI since 2006.

	SAVI Net Chang	ge 2006 to 2009
VegName	Tidal	Leveed
Agrostis avenacea	0	7.4
Baccharis/Annual Grasses	0	0.2
Bare Ground	-0.1	-983.3
Conium maculatum	0	6.5
Distichlis (generic)	0	139.2
Distichlis spicata	1.5	131.1
Distichlis/Juncus	2.7	3.3
Distichlis/Lotus	1.5	7.7
Distichlis/S. americanus	32.4	26.4
Distichlis/S. maritimus	0	83.7
Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux	27.6	-22.1
Ditch	0.2	-61.7
Elytrigia pontica	0	7.0
Fallow Disced Field	0.8	-17.0
Open Water	-0.8	1515.2
Foeniculum vulgare	0	2.0
Frankenia (generic)	0	0.2
Juncus balticus	0	26.9
Lepidium (generic)	-1.1	9.9
Lotus corniculatus	0	22.4
Medium Upland Herbs	0	8.4
Medium Wetland Graminoids	6.2	408.3
Medium Wetland Herbs	0	171.9
Phragmites australis	21.6	-43.5
Salicornia virginica	0.9	-0.9
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa	-3.4	-14.6
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herb	0.8	1.9
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.	0.1	59.6
Scirpus americanus (generic)	6.8	2.0
Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	0.1	41.8
Scirpus maritimus	1.2	593.7
Scirpus maritimus/Sesuvium	0	42.1
Short Wetland Herbs	0	138.2
Tidal Mudflat	0	-20.5
Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	4.0	-49.2
Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium- Echinochloa	1.1	2.2
Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	11.9	-18.5
Typha species (generic)	1.3	98.5
Weedy	39.7	350.3
Total	159.0	2689.4

Table 5: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the tidal and leveed areas of the Suisun Marsh management. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = - SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = +SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type in 2009.)

			Net Cl	hange (acres)	- Tidal					Ne	t Change	e (acr	es) - Le	eveed		
VegName	Arundo donax	Carpobrotus edulis	Conium maculatum	Cortaderia selloana	Eucalyptus sp	Foeniculum vulgare	Lepidium (generic)	Phragmites australis	Arundo donax	Carpobrotus edulis	Centaurea (generic)	Conium maculatum	Cortaderia selloana	Eucalyptus sp	Foeniculum vulgare	Lepidium (generic)	Phragmites australis
Arundo donax	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baccharis/Annual Grasses	0	0	0	0	0	-1.9	-2.2	-0.6	-0.3	0	0	-14.5	0	-2.6	-10.9	-5.6	-1.9
Bare Ground	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0.2	0.8	-0.1	-0.5	-3.0	-7.0	0	-1.3	-0.1	-9.0	-18.2
Centaurea (generic)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.4	0.1
Conium maculatum	0	0	0	-0.1	0	0	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	- 0.1	0.7	0.8	7.5	3.9
Distichlis (generic)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	0.1	0	0	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	3.2	11.5
Distichlis spicata	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.4	0	0	0	-0.1	0	0.7	-1.9	12.2	25.1
Distichlis/Juncus	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	-1.9	0	0	0	-4.2	0	0.2	-1.1	-19.0	1.4
Distichlis/S. americanus	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.1	3.6	0	0	0	5.3	0	-0.2	0	4.3	5.7
Distichlis/S. maritimus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	-1.5	0	0	0	-1.6	14.7
Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.9	0.4	0	0	0	-7.9	0	0	0	-3.3	-11.5
Eucalyptus sp.	0	0	0	0	3.7	0	0	0.3	0	0.3	0	-0.7	0	0	-0.3	-1.0	-0.2
Foeniculum vulgare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	1.1	0	0	0	-0.8	0	0.3	0	5.5	-0.1
Juncus balticus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0.0	0	0	0	27.2	0	0.2	0	2.1	13.0
Lepidium (generic)	-0.1	0	0	0	0	-0.5	1.4	-3.5	0	0	-5.4	-7.5	0	1.0	-5.5	0	-2.2
Medium Wetland Graminoids	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.0	2.1	0	0.2	2.4	0.4	25.9
Medium Wetland Herbs	0	0	0	0	0.2	0	1.2	0.3	0	0	0.0	0.3	0	0.7	0	1.4	22.2
Open Water	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	3.2	-0.2	0	-0.1	10.7	0	36.8	0.2	49.9	164.7
Phragmites australis	0	0	-0.6	0	0	-0.2	3.6	5.7	0	0	-0.1	-3.9	0	0.2	0.1	2.2	0.0
Salicornia (generic)	0	0	0	-0.3	0	-0.1	1.1	-22.3	0	0	-3.1	-6.5	0.3	-2.7	-2.0	-10.0	41.6
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa	-0.1	0	0	0	-0.1	-1.0	4.3	9.8	0	0	0	-0.2	0	0.3	-0.4	3.4	0
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herb	-14.2	0	0	0	0.4	0	5.6	0.9	0	0	0	0.4	0	0.3	0	0.1	-2.1
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)- Typha sp.	-1.3	-0.1	0	0.4	-1.0	-0.2	15.3	6.6	-0.4	-0.1	0	-0.7	0	1.0	2.4	3.9	51.7
Scirpus americanus (generic)	0	0	0	0	0	0	17.5	4.5	0	0	0	0.8	0	0.1	0	4.3	2.5
Scirpus americanus/Potentilla	0	0	0	0	0	-0.1	24.4	-4.6	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.4	0.0
Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	-0.3	0	0	0.4	0.1	-1.3	7.0	28.3	0.1	0	0	0.7	0	2.9	0	2.1	38.2
Scirpus maritimus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.1	4.0	0.3	42.6
Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	0	0	0	-0.7	0	0	6.7	-29.2	0	0	0	-4.9	- 0.7	0.3	-0.7	13.8	3.0
Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.0	2.4	0	0	0	2.3	0	0.6	-0.4	-4.8	17.1

Xanthium-Echinochloa																	
Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	0	0	0	0.2	0	0	47.8	6.5	0	0	0	0.3	0	-0.8	1.2	7.0	7.8
Typha species (generic)	-0.2	0	0	0	1.1	0	30.8	37.2	-0.4	0	0	6.3	0	2.2	-1.6	5.0	64.0
Weedy	0	0	-0.2	-3.2	0	3.5	-0.4	0.2	-0.7	0	-40.0	-57.8	- 3.2	6.6	-1.0	-102.1	112.5
Total	-18.5	-0.4	-0.9	-5.5	5.2	-7.2	211.8	80.3	-3.4	-6.3	-54.9	-100.8	- 7.7	49.5	-37.6	-34.2	649.4

Table 6: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the five Suisun Marsh management zones. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = - SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = + SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type in 2009.)

	Net Change in acres																					
			Zor	ne 1						Zoi	ne 2	-				-	-	Zon	e 3			
VegName	Centaurea (generic)	Conium maculatum	Eucalyptus sp	Foeniculum vulgare	Lepidium (generic)	Phragmites australis	Arundo donax	Centaurea (generic)	Conium maculatum	Cortaderia selloana	Eucalyptus sp	Foeniculum vulgare	Lepidium (generic)	Phragmites australis	Arundo donax	Centaurea (generic)	Conium maculatum	Cortaderia selloana	Eucalyptus sp	Foeniculum vulgare	Lepidium (generic)	Phragmites australis
Bare Ground	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	2.8
Carpobrotus edulis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Centaurea (generic)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.4	0
Conium maculatum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	5.2	-1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0.7
Cortaderia selloana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distichlis (generic)	0	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distichlis spicata	0	0	0	0	9.2	10.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	20.8	4.7	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	1.1	1.8
Distichlis/Juncus	0	0	0	0	-1.5	-2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.0	2.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2.0	-1.0
Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-5.3	-1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1.9	-0.6
Distichlis/S. americanus	0	0	0	0	7.2	4.5	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	8.7	5.2	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	8	0
Distichlis/S. maritimus	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux	0	0	0	0	0	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.3	0.8
Ditch	0	-1.4	-0.6	0	-0.7	-0.7	0	0	-2.1	0	-1.1	-4.3	-4.3	-1.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	-2.5
Elytrigia pontica	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0.8	0	0
Eucalyptus sp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Foeniculum vulgare	0	0	0	0	0	-0.9	0	0	-0.6	0	0	0	4.1	0	0	0	-0.5	0	0	0	0	0
Juncus balticus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9
Lepidium (generic)	0	0	0	0	0	-7.6	0	0	-5.2	0	0.6	-4.1	0	1.6	0	-5.4	0	0	0	0	0	-0.9
Medium Wetland Graminoids	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	2.4	0	4.6
Medium Wetland Herbs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2
Open Water	0	0	0	0	0	-15.8	0	0	2.2	0	34.3	1.0	10.0	12.5	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	5.1	17.8
Phragmites australis	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	1.0	0	0	0	-1.6	0	0	0	-0.7	0	0	0	0.9	0
Road	0	0	1.1	0	2.7	3.4	0	0	0	0	0	6.4	15.5	1.6	0	0	0	0	0	1.2	0	1.1
Rosa californica	0	0	0	0	0.6	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	2.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rosa/Baccharis	0	0	0	0	-0.5	0	0	0	-6.3	0	0	-9.9	-1.5	-0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Salicornia (generic)	0	-0.5	0	-0.7	18.0	3.7	0	0	3.6	0	-1.8	1	2.8	16.9	0	-3.0	0	0	0	0	-2.7	-2.1
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa	0	0	0	0	2.0	8.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.4	0.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herb	0	0	0	0	0	2.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0.9	-14.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-3.3

Scirpus (californicus or acutus)- Typha sp.	0	0	0	1.6	5.4	-3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0.9	4.6	10.6	-1.7	0	-1.4	0	0	0	0	9.9
Scirpus americanus (generic)	0	0	0	0	5.3	6.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.6	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.5	0.8
Scirpus americanus/Potentilla	0	0	0	0	-1.1	-2.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	23.2	-0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.7	-1.4
Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1.6	2.6	4.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.1	7.4
Scirpus maritimus	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.6	0	0	2.4	0	6.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.1
Slough	0	0	0.9	0	1.5	8.7	0	0	0	0	1.9	0	9.1	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.3	0.8
Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	0	0	0	0	-1.7	-20.2	0	0	-0.8	-0.7	0	0	11.9	6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.0	7.3
Typha angustifolia/Polygonum- Xanthium-Echinochloa	0	0	0	0	4.3	3.1	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	-2.5	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.8
Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	0	0	0	0	7.6	7.3	0	0	0	0	-0.9	0	24.6	19.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	12.8	-15.1
Typha species (generic)	0	0	0	1.6	10.4	3.6	0	0	-1.2	0	0	-2.1	6.6	8.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.0	8.2
Weedy	-4.2	-2.5	0.6	-1.0	8.0	12.0	0	-22.7	-10.3	-3.2	-7.0	4.0	-7.7	3.1	0	-13.3	-5.4	0	0	13.5	13.9	-4.2
Total	-6.1	-5.7	-3.0	0.1	79.6	2.2	-1.1	-24.7	-31.6	-5.4	17.7	-22.6	140.1	96.1	-18.7	-24.3	-9.1	0.6	0.5	14.7	66.3	39.5

Table 6 cont.: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the species of concern (SOC) vegetation has changed into [loss of SOC indicated with the negative sign (-) and changed from (acreage gain of SOC) from 2006 to 2009 within the five Suisun Marsh management zones. (2006 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2009 = - SOC acreage in 2009. 2009 SOC was mapped to X vegetation type in 2006 = +SOC acreage in 2009. Add them together to get the net change of SOC by X vegetation type in 2009.)

Net Change in acres	Net Change in acres Zone 4 Zone 5													
Zone 4 Zon	ne 5													
aumundo donax Arundo donax Carpobrotus edulis Carpobrotus edulis Conium maculatum Contaderia selloana Contaderia selloana Eucalyptus sp Foeniculum vulgare Fucalyptus sp Phragmites australis Phragmites australis Conium maculatum Eucalyptus sp	Foeniculum vulgare	ceptuluir (generic) Phragmites australis												
Bare Ground 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 11.5 0 1.1 0	0	0 8												
Carpobrotus edulis 0	0	0 0												
Centaurea (generic) 0	0	0 0												
Conium maculatum 0 0 0 0 0.5 0 5.0 4.5 0 0 0	0 -2.	5 0												
Cortaderia selloana 0	0	0 0												
Distichlis (generic) 0 0 1.0 0 1.2 0 0 4.9 0 0.9 0.8	0	0 4.9												
Distichlis spicata 0 0 3.3 0 1.3 0 0 5.5 0 0 0	0 1.	1 11.7												
Distichlis/Juncus 0 0 2.5 0 0 0 -7.4 -2.7 0 -6.7 0	0 -7.	4 2.5												
Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux 0 0 -6.3 0 0 0 -1.7 0	0 -1.	-8.9												
Distichlis/S. americanus 0 0 3.9 0 </td <td>0</td> <td>0 0</td>	0	0 0												
Distichlis/S. maritimus 0	0	0 7.5												
Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux 0	0 0.	6 0												
Ditch	-0.8 6.	3 18.2												
Elytrigia pontica 0 0 -1.1 0 0 -1.1 -5.6 -2.2 0 0 0	0	0 0												
Eucalyptus sp 0 0 -0.5 0	0	0 0												
Foeniculum vulgare 0 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 0 0 0	0	0 0												
Juncus balticus 0 0 16.8 0 0 0.8 6.1 0 11.6 0	0 1.	9 6.7												
Lepidium (generic) 0 0 -5.0 0 0.5 -1.0 0 1.8 0 2.5 0	0	0 0												
Medium Wetland Graminoids 0 0 1.5 0 0 0 9.3 0 0.6 0	0	0 9.4												
Medium Wetland Herbs 0 0 0.8 0 0.7 0 1.3 4.4 0 0 0	0 3.	2 12.9												
Open Water 0 0 -0.9 0 0.8 -0.9 -1.0 10.9 0 8.8 0	0 35.	2 131.8												
Phragmites australis 0 0 -4.5 0 0 -1.8 0 0 0 0	0	0 0												
Road 0 0 1.0 0 4.5 0 0 2.0 0 0.6 0.5	0 1.	0 4.3												
Rosa californica 0 0 0 0 1.0 0 0 1.7 0 0 0	0 0.	.8 1.2												
Rosa/Baccharis 0 0 0 0.7 0 0 0 -1.8 0 -	-1.2 -1.	4 0												
Salicornia (generic) 0 0 -9.7 0 -1.0 -1.2 -10.1 0 0 0 0 -1.2	-0.7 <u>16.</u>	5 13.5												
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa 0 0 0 0 0 0 1.1 1.3 0 0 0	0 1.	.5 1.7												
Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland -	0 -0.	6 -5.6												
Scirnus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp. 0 0 20 0 15 0 46 46 0 21 0	0 2	0 49.9												
Scirpus americanus (generic)	0 3.	0 0												
Scirpus americanus/Potentilla	0	0 0												

Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	0	0	0.7	0	1.3	0	0	9.2	0	0	0	0	4.1	34.3
Scirpus maritimus	0	0	0	0	0	1.6	0	20.7	0	0.8	0	0	0	20.7
Slough	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8
Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	0	0	-3.3	0	0	0	0	-25.2	0	-1.1	0	0	3.2	5.4
Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium- Echinochloa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.2	0	2.9	0	0	-2.9	3.5
Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	9.1	2.5
Typha species (generic)	0	0	2.9	0	1.0	-1.1	2.0	27.6	0	3.9	1.6	0	10.0	51.7
Weedy	0	0	- 27.8	0	12.6	-7.6	-93.3	52.1	0	- 11.7	1.4	-2.8	- 20.4	51.5
Total	- 0.9	- 6.8	- 54.5	- 0.4	14.5	- 22.2	- 133.5	142.6	- 1.7	-8.0	4.7	- 12.8	12.3	434.1

Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations

Due to the levee breeches and heavy rainfall in 2006, it isn't surprising that a majority of the increase in *Salicornia virginica* vegetation from 2006 to 2009 occurred mostly in the leveed areas of the marsh where there was "Open Water" in 2006. This accounts for an increase of 1515 acres of *Salicornia virginica* vegetation from 2006 to 2009 (Table 4). The same pattern can be seen for the increase of *Phragmites australis* in the leveed areas of the marsh. Here, *Phragmites australis* has encroached onto 164 acres where there was open water in 2006 (Table 5). The decrease of 983 acres of *Salicornia virginica* vegetation within the leveed areas due to an increase in bare ground tended to occur where the polygon had a disturbance level of medium or high. Of the 744 polygons that were *Salicornia virginica* vegetation in 2006 and shifted to bare ground in 2009, 505 of them had a disturbance level of medium or high. See Appendices 4 and 5 for tables from the 2006 report showing changes in *Salicornia virginica* and *Phragmites australis* vegetation from 2003 to 2006 and 1999 to 2006.

Conclusions

The change in protocol used for the 2009 vegetation map update proved to be well worth it as far as map accuracy, quality, and efficiency of production. However, because the 2006 vegetation shapefile is not as spatially accurate as the 2009 vegetation map it is recommended that future analyses involving raster data of a vegetation map from 2006 or earlier wait until the layers are reprocessed using the same field control points that the DWR's Mapping & Photogrammetry Section used for the 2009 Suisun Marsh imagery. It is also recommended that these same points be used when processing all future imagery flown specifically for this project.

Literature Cited

Boul, R., D. Hickson, and T. Keeler-wolf. 2007. The Vegetation of Suisun Marsh, Solano County, California: First Permanent Plot Resample Study 1999 cvs. 2006. Unpublished administrative report on file at Biogeographic Data Branch, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.

Boul, R. and T. Keeler-wolf. 2008. The 2006 Vegetation Map Update For Suisun Marsh, Solano County, California. Unpublished administrative report on file at Biogeographic Data Branch, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.

Keeler-Wolf, T., M. Vaghti and A. Kilgore. 2000. Vegetation Mapping of Suisun Marsh, Solano County – A Report to the California Department of Water Resources. Unpublished administrative report on file at Biogeographic Data Branch, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.

Sustaita, D., P. Finfrock Quickert, L. Patterson, L. Barthman-Thompson, and S. Estrella. 2011. Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Demography and Habitat Use in the Suisun Marsh. Journal of Wildlife Management,75(6):1498-1507.

Vaghti, M., T. Keeler-Wolf. 2001. Suisun Marsh Vegetation Mapping: Change Detection 2000. Unpublished administrative report on file at Wildlife and Habitat Data Analysis Branch, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento.

Vaghti, M. and T. Keeler-Wolf. 2004. Suisun Marsh Vegetation Mapping Change Detection 2003. Unpublished administrative report on file at Biogeographic Data Branch, California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento

Monroe, M, Olofson PR, Collins JN, Grossinger RM, Haltiner J, Wilcox C. 1999. Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals. Goals Project. A report of habitat recommendations prepared by the San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Ecosystem Goals Project. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, San Francisco, Calif./S.F. Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, Oakland, California Appendix 1 - Crosswalk of the mapping attributes used for the 2006 and 2009 Suisun Marsh vegetation maps.

Map attributes		
2006 2009		Definition
ChngeCls06	N/A	Change is size class of polygon since 2003; 0=none, 1= (5-10%), 2=(10-20%), 3=(20-50%), 4=(>50%), 999=new or eliminated
ChngeVeg06	N/A PolyID 2009	0=no change in vegetation type from 2003 to 2006; 1=change
Cover_06	Cov_2009	Assessed total vegetation cover class in 2009; 0=N/A, 1=(<2%), 2=(2-10%), 3=(10-25%), 4=(25-50%), 5=(50-75%), 6=(>75%)
Dist_06	Dist_2009	Assessed disturbance class in 2009; 0=N/A, 1=Not evident, 2=Low, 3=Medium, 4=High
Ht_06	Ht_2009	Assessed vegetation height class in 2009; 0=N/A, 1=(<0.5m), 2=(0.5-1m), 3=(1-2m), 4=(2-5m), 5=(5-10m), 6=(>10m)
PolySize06	Poly_size_2009	Size class of polygon in 2009; 1=(<1ac), 2=(1-5ac), 3=(>5ac)
Notes_06	Notes_2009	2009 Notes
ID_06	ID_2009	How assessment was determined in 2009: P=photo interpretation, R=reconnaissance, S06=sampled in 2006 (Relevé), U=updated, FC=Field checked (post map)
Veg_06	Veg_2009	Assessed vegetation code in 2009
PolyArea06	Area_Acres	Area (in acres) of each polygon in 2009

Appendix 2 - The vegetation types and mapping units used to map Suisun Marsh. Old vegetation types and map units (left) are cross-walked to more recent vegetation names on the right. "Mu" is mapping unit (i.e., not a true vegetation classification unit). The Jepson Manual Higher Plants of California, First Edition has been the sources for the species nomenclature for this project until The Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, Second Edition is officially released in January 2012.

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name 1999-2006	Vegetation Name as of 2009
1	Bare Ground	Bare Ground mu
2	Fallow Disced Field	Fallow Disced Field mu
3	Parking Lot	Parking Lot mu
4	Road	Road mu
5	Structure	Structure mu
6	Slough	Slough mu
7	Tidal Mudflat	Tidal Mudflat mu
8	Railroad Track	Railroad Track mu
9	Ditch	Ditch mu
10	Trail	Trail mu
11	Open Water	Open Water mu
12	Freshwater Drainage	Freshwater Drainage mu
13	Water Treatment Pond	Water Treatment Pond mu
14	Urban Area	Urban Area mu
101	Tall Wetland Graminoids	Tall Wetland Graminoids mu
102	Arundo donax	Arundo donax
103	Phragmites australis	Phragmites australis
104	Phragmites/Scirpus	Phragmites australis-Schoenoplectus spp.
105	Phragmites/Xanthium	Phragmites australis-Xanthium strumarium
112	Scirpus americanus/Potentilla	Schoenoplectus americanus-Potentilla anserina
113	Scirpus americanus/S. Californicus-S. acutus	Schoenoplectus americanus-Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)
114	Scirpus americanus (generic)	Schoenoplectus americanus
116	Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)
120	Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium- Echinochloa	Typha angustifolia-Polygonum spXanthium strumarium- Echinochloa crus-galli
121	Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	Typha angustifolia-Schoenoplectus americanus
123	Typha species (generic)	Typha spp.
125	Typha angustifolia (dead stalks)	Typha angustifolia (dead stalks)
126	Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	Typha angustifolia-Distichlis spicata
127	Scirpus americanus/Lepidium	Schoenoplectus americanus-Lepidium latifolium
129	Typha angustifolia/Phragmites	Typha angustifolia/Phragmites australis
130	Medium Wetland Graminoids	Medium Wetland Graminoids mu
132	Juncus balticus	Juncus balticus
133	Juncus balticus/Conium	Juncus balticus-Conium maculatum
134	Juncus balticus/Lepidium	Juncus balticus-Lepidium latifolium
135	Juncus balticus/Potentilla	Juncus balticus-Potentilla anserina
137	Scirpus maritimus	Bolboschoenus maritimus
138	Scirpus maritimus/Salicornia	Bolboschoenus maritimus-Salicornia virginica
139	Scirpus maritimus/Sesuvium	Bolboschoenus maritimus-Sesuvium verrucosum
140	Short Wetland Graminoids	Short Wetland Graminoids mu
141	Distichlis spicata	Distichlis spicata

142	Distichlis/Annual Grasses	Distichlis spicata-Annual grasses
145	Distichlis/Juncus	Distichlis spicata-Juncus balticus
147	Distichlis/Lotus	Distichlis spicata-Lotus corniculatus
148	Distichlis/Salicornia	Distichlis spicata-Salicornia virginica
149	Distichlis/S. americanus	Distichlis spicata-Schoenoplectus americanus
153	Distichlis/Cotula	Distichlis spicata-Cotula coronopifolia
154	Distichlis/S. maritimus	Distichlis spicata-Bolboschoenus maritimus
155	Crypsis schoenoides	Crypsis schoenoides
156	Distichlis (generic)	Distichlis spicata (generic)
157	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.	Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)-Typha sp.
158	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herb	Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)-Wetland herbs
160	Distichlis- luncus-Trialochin-Glaux	Distichlis spicata-Juncus balticus-Triglochin spGlaux maritima
161	Cynodon daetylon	Cynodon dactylon
101		
162	Scirnus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa	Schoenonlectus (acutus, californicus)-Roca californica
202	Cortaderia selloana	Cortaderia selloana
210	Medium Upland Graminoids	Medium Upland Graminoids mu
210		
215		
218	Lolium (generic)	
220	Lolium/Lepidium	Lolium multiflorum-l epidium letifolium
220		Lolium multiflorum-Rumey sp
222	Phalaris aquatica	Phalaris aquatica
223	Cultivated Appual Graminoid	Cultivated Appual Graminoid mu
220	Perennial Cross	Perennial Cross
220		
227	Arrostia avenasas	Arreatia avanagaa
220	Agrostis avenacea	Agrosus avenacea
230		
231	Annual Grasses generic	Annual Grasses generic
232	Bromus spp/Hordeum	Bromus spHordeum sp.
234		Hordeum spLolium multinoum
235	Vuipia/Eutriamia	Vulpia/Euthamia
230	Vietland Harba	Vistand Larka mu
300		Toll Wetland Horks mu
301		
210		
210		Aurprex Iriangularis
31Z	Attiplex/Distictilis	
315		
316	Attipiex/Sesuvium	Atripiex triangularis-Sesuvium Verrucosum
317	Frankenia/Agrostis	Frankenia salina-Agrostis
318		
320	Frankenia (generic)	Frankenila salina (generic)
321	Grindella Stricta Var Stricta	Grindella stricta var. stricta
323		Lepidium latitolium-Disticniis spicata
324	Lepidium (generic)	Lepidium latifolium (generic)
329	Polvgonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	Polvaonum sppXanthium strumarium-Echinochloa crus-aalli

330	Calystegia/Euthamia	Calystegia sepium-Euthamia occidentalis
336	Rumex (generic)	Rumex spp. (generic)
337	Atriplex/Annual Grasses	Atriplex triangularis-Annual Grasses
338	Potentilla anserina (generic)	Potentilla anserina (generic)
339	Atriplex triangularis(generic)	Atriplex triangularis (generic)
340	Short Wetland Herbs	Short Wetland Herbs mu
342	Cotula coronopifolia	Cotula coronopifolia
344	Lotus corniculatus	Lotus corniculatus
346	Salicornia virginica	Salicornia pacifica
347	Salicornia/Annual Grasses	Salicornia pacifica-Annual Grasses
348	Salicornia/Atriplex	Salicornia pacifica-Atriplex triangularis
350	Salicornia/Crypsis	Salicornia pacifica-Crypsis
356	Salicornia/Sesuvium	Salicornia pacifica-Sesuvium verrucosum
357	Sesuvium verrucosum	Sesuvium verrucosum
358	Sesuvium/Distichlis	Sesuvium verrucosum-Distichlis spicata
359	Sesuvium/Lolium	Sesuvium verrucosum-Lolium multiflorum
360	Spergularia/Cotula	Spergularia-Cotula coronopifolia
361	Salicornia (generic)	Salicornia (generic)
364	Salicornia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	Salicornia virginca-Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa
365	Salicornia/Cotula	Salicornia pacifica-Cotula coronopifolia
371	Potamogeton pectinatus	Potamogeton pectinatus
401	Upland Herbs	Upland Herbs mu
402	Conium maculatum	Conium maculatum
403	Foeniculum vulgare	Foeniculum vulgare
405	Raphanus sativus (generic)	Raphanus sativus (generic)
406	Brassica nigra (generic)	Brassica nigra (generic)
410	Medium Upland Herbs	Medium Upland Herbs mu
413	Centaurea (generic)	Centaurea (generic)
421	Carpobrotus edulis	Carpobrotus edulis
502	Salix exiqua	Salix exigua
514	Atriplex lentiformis (generic)	Atriplex lentiformis (generic)
601	Medium Upland Shrubs	Medium Upland Shrubs mu
603	Baccharis/Annual Grasses	Baccharis pilularis /Annual Grasses
604	Rosa californica	Rosa californica
605	Rosa/Baccharis	Rosa californica-Baccharis pilularis
606	Rubus discolor	Rubus discolor
700	Willow Trees	Willow Trees
702	Salix laevigata/S. lasiolepis	Salix laevigata/Salix lasiolepis
705	Salix lasiolepis/Quercus agrifolia	Salix lasiolepis/Quercus agrifolia
800	Eucalyptus	<i>Eucalyptus</i> mu
801	Eucalyptus globulus	Eucalyptus globulus
900	Oaks	Oaks mu
901	Quercus agrifolia	Quercus agrifolia
903	Quercus lobata	Quercus lobata
910	Landscape Trees	Landscape Trees mu
911	Ailanthus altissima	Ailanthus altissima
912	Fraxinus latifolia	Fraxinus latifolia

Appendix 3 - A cross-walk between the vegetation types that were mapped within the Suisun Marsh (Mapped Type) versus the types that were used for the analysis for changes in the vegetation from 2006 to 2009. Those mapped types that were lumped into the "Weedy" type for analysis are annual types that are considered non-significant and/or unreliably interpretable. Several of the non-native species of concern dominated in more than one mixed vegetation type and were analyzed as one type. The same was done for the Salicrnia virginica types. Also, there are a few generic mapping units that were mapped in 2006 and were not mapped in 2009; For analysis these types were put into a "best guess" type (example: "Tall Wetland Graminoides" mapped in 2006 were analyzed as "Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp." for the 2006/2009 change detection).

Manned		Code	
Code	Mapped Type	analysis	Type Used For Analysis
1	Bare Ground	1	Bare Ground
2	Fallow Disced Field	2	Fallow Disced Field
3	Parking Lot	3	Parking Lot
4	Road	4	Road
5	Structure	5	Structure
6	Slough	6	Slough
+7	Tidal Mudflat	7	Tidal Mudflat
8	Railroad Track	8	Railroad Track
9	Ditch	9	Ditch
10	Trail	4	road
11	Open Water	11	Open Water
12	Freshwater Drainage	12	Freshwater Drainage
13	Water Treatment Pond	13	Water Treatment Pond
14	Urban Area	14	Urban Area
101	Tall Wetland Graminoids	157	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.
102	Arundo donax	102	Arundo donax
103	Phragmites australis	103	Phragmites australis
104	Phragmites/Scirpus	103	Phragmites australis
105	Phragmites/Xanthium	103	Phragmites australis
112	Scirpus americanus/Potentilla	112	Scirpus americanus/Potentilla
113	Scirpus americanus/S. Californicus-S. acutus	113	Scirpus americanus/S. Californicus-S. acutus
114	Scirpus americanus (generic)	114	Scirpus americanus (generic)
116	Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	116	Scirpus californicus/S. acutus
120	Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echino	120	Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echino
121	Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	121	Typha angustifolia/S. americanus
123	Typha species (generic)	123	Typha species (generic)
125	Typha angustifolia (dead stalks)	123	Typha species (generic)
126	Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	126	Typha angustifolia/Distichlis
127	Scirpus americanus/Lepidium	324	Lepidium (generic)
129	Typha angustifolia/Phragmites	103	Phragmites australis
130	Medium Wetland Graminoids	130	Medium Wetland Graminoids
132	Juncus balticus	132	Juncus balticus
133	Juncus balticus/Conium	402	Conium maculatum
134	Juncus balticus/Lepidium	324	Lepidium (generic)
135	Juncus balticus/Potentilla	135	Juncus balticus/Potentilla
137	Scirpus maritimus	137	Scirpus maritimus
138	Scirpus maritimus/Salicornia	361	Salicornia (generic)
139	Scirpus maritimus/Sesuvium	139	Scirpus maritimus/Sesuvium
140	Short Wetland Graminoids	140	Short Wetland Graminoids
141	Distichlis spicata	141	Distichlis spicata
142	Distichlis/Annual Grasses		Weedy

145	Distichlis/Juncus	145	Distichlis/Juncus
147	Distichlis/Lotus	147	Distichlis/Lotus
148	Distichlis/Salicornia	361	Salicornia (generic)
149	Distichlis/S. americanus	149	Distichlis/S. americanus
153	Distichlis/Cotula		Weedy
154	Distichlis/S. maritimus	154	Distichlis/S. maritimus
155	Crypsis schoenoides		Weedy
156	Distichlis (generic)	156	Distichlis (generic)
157	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.	157	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.
158	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herb	158	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herb
160	Distichlis-Juncus-Trialochin-Glaux	160	Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux
161	Cynodon dactylon	227	Annual Grasses/Weeds
162	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa	162	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa
202	Cortaderia selloana	203	Cortaderia selloana
210	Medium Upland Graminoids	227	Annual Grasses/Weeds
211	Elvtrigia pontica	211	Elvtrigia pontica
215	Levmus (generic)	226	Perennial Grass
218	Lolium (generic)		Weedy
220			Weedy
220			Weedy
223	Phalaris aquatica	223	Phalaris aquatica
225	Cultivated Appual Graminoid	220	Weedy
226	Perennial Grass	226	Perennial Grass
220	Annual Grasses/Weeds	220	Weedy
228	Agrostis avenacea	228	Agrostis avenacea
220	Short Unland Graminoids	220	Weedy
231	Annual Grasses generic		Weedy
237	Bromus spp/Hordeum		Weedy
23/	Hordeum/Lolium		Weedy
235	Vulpia/Euthamia		Weedy
230			Weedy
300	Wetland Herbs	300	Wetland Herbs
301	Tall Wetland Herbs	301	Tall Wetland Herbs
210	Modium Wotland Horbs	301	Modium Wotland Horbs
311		510	Weedy
312			Weedy
215	Atriplex/Disticilits		Weedy
316	Atriplex/S. manunus		Weedy
317	Frankenia/Agrostis	320	Frankenia (generic)
219	Frankenia/Agiosus	320	Frankenia (generic)
220	Frankenia (generic)	320	Frankenia (generic)
320	Grindelia stricta var. stricta	320	Grindelia stricta var. stricta
221		224	
323	Lepidium/Distictilis	324	Lepidium (generic)
224	Polygonum-Yanthium Echinochico	324	Weedy
329		220	Calvetonia/Euthamia
330	Caryslegia/Euthannia	330	Carystegia/Euthannia
330	Atripley/Appual Grasses		Weedy
33/		220	Potentille energine (constitut)
338		338	Moody
339	Auprex Inaliguians (generic)	240	Short Watland Harba
340		340	
342		1	vveedy

344	Lotus corniculatus	344	Lotus corniculatus
346	Salicornia virginica	361	Salicornia (generic)
347	Salicornia/Annual Grasses	361	Salicornia (generic)
348	Salicornia/Atriplex	361	Salicornia (generic)
350	Salicornia/Crypsis	361	Salicornia (generic)
356	Salicornia/Sesuvium	361	Salicornia (generic)
357	Sesuvium verrucosum		Weedy
358	Sesuvium/Distichlis		Weedy
359	Sesuvium/Lolium		Weedy
360	Spergularia/Cotula		Weedy
361	Salicornia (generic)	361	Salicornia (generic)
364	Salicornia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	361	Salicornia (generic)
365	Salicornia/Cotula	361	Salicornia (generic)
371	Potamogeton pectinatus	371	Potamogeton pectinatus
401	Upland Herbs		Weedy
402	Conium maculatum	402	Conium maculatum
403	Foeniculum vulgare	403	Foeniculum vulgare
405	Raphanus sativus (generic)		Weedy
406	Brassica nigra (generic)		Weedy
410	Medium Upland Herbs	410	Medium Upland Herbs
413	Centaurea (generic)	413	Centaurea (generic)
421	Carpobrotus edulis	421	Carpobrotus edulis
502	Salix exigua	502	Salix exigua
514	Atriplex lentiformis (generic)	514	Atriplex lentiformis (generic)
601	Medium Upland Shrubs	601	Medium Upland Shrubs
603	Baccharis/Annual Grasses	603	Baccharis/Annual Grasses
604	Rosa californica	604	Rosa californica
605	Rosa/Baccharis	605	Rosa/Baccharis
606	Rubus discolor	606	Rubus discolor
700	Willow Trees	700	Willow Trees
702	Salix laevigata/S. lasiolepis	702	Salix laevigata/S. lasiolepis
705	Salix lasiolepis/Quercus agrifolia	705	Salix lasiolepis/Quercus agrifolia
800	Eucalyptus	800	Eucalyptus sp
801	Eucalyptus globulus	800	Eucalyptus sp
900	Oaks	900	Oaks
901	Quercus agrifolia	901	Quercus agrifolia
903	Quercus lobata	903	Quercus lobata
910	Landscape Trees	910	Landscape Trees
911	Ailanthus altissima	911	Ailanthus altissima
912	Fraxinus latifolia	912	Fraxinus latifolia

Appendix 4: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the *Salicornia viginica* (SAVI) vegetation types changed into [loss of SAVI indicated with the negative sign (-)] and changed from (acreage gain of SAVI) in 2003 to 2006 and 1999 to 2006

Veg Code	Vegetation Name	SAVI Net Change 2003 to 2006	SAVI Net Change 1999 to 2006
1	Bare Ground	28.43	-14.15
2	Fallow Disced Field	43.73	-24.74
3	Parking Lot	0	-0.19
4	Road	0	5.58
6	Slough	0	0.92
9	Ditch	-4.27	-3.33
11	Open Water	-1029.42	-1259.48
101	Tall Wetland Graminoids	5	-9.95
103	Phragmites australis	-21.31	-40.64
104	Phragmites/Scirpus	-5.65	-15.88
114	Scirpus americanus (generic)	5.49	5.99
116	Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	0	-1.11
120	Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	6.51	7.05
121	Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	2.66	5.19
123	Typha species (generic)	63.48	32.86
125	Typha angustifolia (dead stalks)	-5.55	-16.47
126	Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	-7.65	-9.9
129	Typha angustifolia/Phragmites	0.98	-11.3
130	Medium Wetland Graminoids	70.56	-199.06
132	Juncus balticus	0	3.45
133	Juncus balticus/Conium	0	-3.8
137	Scirpus maritimus	89.56	-48.79
139	Scirpus maritimus/Sesuvium	2.2	2.56
140	Short Wetland Graminoids	0	-3.69
141	Distichlis spicata	103.71	284.64
142	Distichlis/Annual Grasses	-23.65	73.68
145	Distichlis/Juncus	15.51	20.02
147	Distichlis/Lotus	0	6.71
149	Distichlis/S. americanus	-8.6	1.66
153	Distichlis/Cotula	6.73	13.82
154	Distichlis/S. maritimus	5.34	-1.79
155	Crypsis schoenoides	0	-4.34
156	Distichlis (generic)	22.43	9.39
157	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.	-5.64	6.36
160	Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux	-0.82	8.46
161	Cynodon dactylon	0	-0.54
210	Medium Upland Graminoids	0	3.21
211	Elytrigia pontica	0	-0.93
218	Lolium (generic)	1.39	1.39
220	Lolium/Lepidium	0	2.84
223	Phalaris aquatica	0	3.61
226	Perennial Grass	0	-0.92
227	Annual Grasses/Weeds	22.23	5.92

230	Short Upland Graminoids	0	1.16
231	Annual Grasses generic	-52.65	-15.06
238	Polypogon monspeliensis (generic)	4.41	5.6
310	Medium Wetland Herbs	25.06	-111.23
311	Atriplex triangularis	59.21	66.21
312	Atriplex/Distichlis	6.34	21.64
315	Atriplex/S. maritimus	0	0.63
316	Atriplex/Sesuvium	-2.53	-2.53
318	Frankenia/Distichlis	5.27	5.27
320	Frankenia (generic)	1.91	5.21
323	Lepidium/Distichlis	4.66	0
324	Lepidium (generic)	31.42	13.8
329	Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	12.72	22.82
337	Atriplex/Annual Grasses	1.52	4.88
339	Atriplex triangularis(generic)	0	-1.16
340	Short Wetland Herbs	20.14	-171.38
342	Cotula coronopifolia	16.15	36.15
344	Lotus corniculatus	2.86	4.92
357	Sesuvium verrucosum	9.82	22.63
358	Sesuvium/Distichlis	0	0.71
371	Potamogeton pectinatus	0	8.66
401	Upland Herbs	1.49	-1.95
402	Conium maculatum	2.08	-1.23
403	Foeniculum vulgare	-2.82	0
410	Medium Upland Herbs	0	-1
603	Baccharis/Annual Grasses	0	4.22
801	Eucalyptus globulus	-2.1	-4.21

Appendix 5: The net change (in acres) of the vegetation types that the Phragmites australis (PHAU) vegetation types changed into [loss of PHAU indicated with the negative sign (-)] and changed from (acreage gain of PHAU) in 2003 to 2006 and 1999 to 2006.

Veg	Vegetation Name	PHAU Net Change	PHAU Net Change
1	Bare Ground	2.65	-5.45
3	Parking Lot	0	0.88
6	Slough	0	2.96
9	Ditch	-12.07	0
11	Open Water	-20.64	3.73
101	Tall Wetland Graminoids	6.65	-4.64
113	Scirpus americanus/S. Californicus-S. acutus	0	0.97
114	Scirpus americanus (generic)	8.34	14.01
116	Scirpus californicus/S. acutus	11.32	25.93
120	Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	3.03	19.17
121	Typha angustifolia/S. americanus	3.60	17.04
123	Typha species (generic)	90.99	95.19
125	Typha angustifolia (dead stalks)	0.52	0.52
126	Typha angustifolia/Distichlis	1.05	1.26
130	Medium Wetland Graminoids	33.82	-17.85
132	Juncus balticus	7.06	6.66
134	Juncus balticus/Lepidium	3.09	0
135	Juncus balticus/Potentilla	0	1.74
137	Scirpus maritimus	46.58	38.60
138	Scirpus maritimus/Salicornia	1.35	1.00
141	Distichlis spicata	39.83	64.16
142	Distichlis/Annual Grasses	-0.58	7.83
145	Distichlis/Juncus	1.14	1.14
147	Distichlis/Lotus	0.88	5.59
148	Distichlis/Salicornia	6.59	14.99
149	Distichlis/S. americanus	-1.77	-0.17
153	Distichlis/Cotula	0	1.98
154	Distichlis/S. maritimus	12.76	7.61
156	Distichlis (generic)	3.89	4.35
157	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha sp.	18.31	51.31
158	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herbs	1.54	-6.38
162	Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa	0	2.91
227	Annual Grasses/Weeds	3.88	6.15
228	Agrostis avenacea	0	1.75
231	Annual Grasses generic	-3.26	0
238	Polypogon monspeliensis (generic)	0	0.73
310	Medium Wetland Herbs	15.80	-24.34
311	Atriplex triangularis	2.50	3.35
312	Atriplex/Distichlis	0	4.10
315	Atriplex/S. maritimus	0	3.43
323	Lepidium/Distichlis	0	1.37
324	Lepidium (generic)	3.46	0.32

329	Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	51.32	75.81
330	Calystegia/Euthamia	0	-3.93
337	Atriplex/Annual Grasses	0	1.17
339	Atriplex triangularis(generic)	2.11	2.09
340	Short Wetland Herbs	2.35	-9.07
342	Cotula coronopifolia	0.74	4.19
344	Lotus corniculatus	0.76	0.76
346	Salicornia virginica	7.89	19.68
347	Salicornia/Annual Grasses	-12.06	-8.82
348	Salicornia/Atriplex	-0.62	2.40
357	Sesuvium verrucosum	0	2.25
361	Salicornia (generic)	3.40	18.14
364	Salicornia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa	2.38	0
365	Salicornia/Cotula	0	3.39
401	Upland Herbs	6.75	6.75
403	Foeniculum vulgare	7.66	6.81
405	Raphanus sativus (generic)	3.79	3.79
604	Rosa californica	0	0.52
606	Rubus discolor	0	1.13