



39

May 2, 2002

Mr. Dan Ray,
CALFED Bay-Delta Program
1416 Ninth Street, Ste. 630
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: **M&T Chico Ranch Proposal #89**

Dear Mr. Ray,

This letter is in response to forwarded comments made by the Review Panel on the M&T / Llano Seco Ranch Proposal. It is noted that our proposal has received a "Directed Action" rating by the Selection Panel subject to amendment as suggested in the review comments.

In order to adequately make amendments to the proposal that would ensure that the project outcomes would meet CALFED expectations, it is important that we have clarification on CALFED's position regarding river sedimentation meander and hard points for pumping plant locations on the river.


As expressed in the review comments, we agree that this proposal has significant importance to future restoration activities within the Central Valley riverine system. Since the M&T/Llano Seco Ranch proposal is the first to address this type of concern, we would like to request a contact person within CALFED who would have appropriate authority to assist us in amending this proposal. In addition, we would like CALFED to work with us to provide this project with a well-defined framework that will guide us through the proposal amendment process and the Directed Action process.

It is clear to us that CALFED desires to make a thorough broad investigation of developing "innovation solutions, informed by experts on innovative diversion techniques, fish protection facilities, and natural riverine processes from outside the Central Valley." This process will be involved and will require assurances to meet the ongoing "water needs of the beneficiaries" by supporting the protection of the pumping facility while determining the long-term solutions. Based on hydrologic conditions present in the river at the project site, it is urgent that appropriate protection measures and assurances be tendered in order to ensure essential water deliveries for associated farming and wetland habitat.





FARM DOLLARS AT WORK


We would like to thank the review panels for addressing our critical project and providing great support for finding a way to bring together ecological health and improved water management for the beneficial uses of the Central Valley. If you have any questions, please call us as soon as possible.



Les Heringer, Manager
M&T Chico Ranch (530) 342-2954

Richard Thieriot, Managing Partner
Llano Seco Ranch (415) 788-7220



Kevin Foerster, Project Leader
Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge (530) 934-2801

LH/RT/KF:cl



*To promote the economic, social and environmental viability of Northern California
by enhancing and preserving the water rights and supplies of our members.*

May 10, 2002

Mr. Patrick Wright
Executive Director
CALFED Bay-Delta Program
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1155
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: CALFED ERP 2002 PSP Selection Panel Recommendations

Dear Patrick:

The Northern California Water Association (NCWA) is very concerned with the CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program 2002 PSP Selection Panel Recommendations. We are particularly concerned with the apparent disregard for local input from the Sacramento Valley.

As you know, NCWA represents 68 water suppliers and individual farmers who collectively irrigate 860,000 acres of fertile Northern California farmland. Several of our members also deliver water to state and federal wildlife refuges and a large portion of this land serves as important seasonal wetlands for migrating waterfowl, shorebirds and other wildlife.

We were generally pleased with your utilization of regional panels as part of the Ecosystem Restoration Program (ERP) project selection process, although we believe the earlier CALFED process, including the ecosystem roundtable, was a more meaningful process to assure local and regional input. For regional strategies to succeed in the CALFED process, CALFED must be diligent to assure that projects, including projects to benefit the ecosystem, are locally generated from within the region and have broad local support.

To start, we strongly endorse the selection panel's determination to fund the Meridian Farms Water Company's Positive Barrier Fish Screen Project and the Yuba County Water Agency (YCWA) Narrows 2 Powerplant Flow Bypass System, and partially fund the Sutter Mutual Water Company Tisdale Positive Barrier Fish Screen and Pumping Plant and YCWA's Yuba Goldfields Fish Barrier Replacement Project. These are examples of CALFED support for regional priorities. The regional panel identified each of these projects as "high" priority.

Patrick Wright
May 10, 2002
Page 2 of 3

On the other hand, our concerns arise from the full or partial funding totaling \$2,216,447 for four projects ranked as "low" priorities by the Sacramento regional panel. Local interests determined that the projects would provide limited or no local value, did not reflect regional priorities, or were poorly written. But, this evaluation was overridden and the projects were nonetheless funded. The funding of these projects does not reflect the role local support should play in the CALFED process as directed in the Record of Decision (ROD).

Our frustration with the selection of these projects is compounded by the fact that there were 19 projects the regional panel determined to be "high" priorities that were not recommended for funding by the CALFED Selection Panel. There are six projects that were not recommended for funding that are of special concern to NCWA. These projects provide considerable regional benefits and, as a result, the Sacramento regional panel considered most of them "high" priorities. The projects include: Ducks Unlimited White Mallard Dam and Associated Diversions Phase III Construction, Orland Unit Water Users' Association Northside Diversion Dam Fish Passage Feasibility Study, Pleasant Grove-Verona Mutual Water Company Positive Barrier Fish Screen Design and Environmental Review, Reclamation District No. 108 Wilkins Slough Positive Barrier Fish Screen Sediment Removal Project, Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Phase III, and YCWA Narrows 2 Powerplant Intake Extension.

89
The next step in the selection process—distributing the remaining ERP funding to "Considered as Directed Action" projects—provides CALFED with an opportunity to better incorporate regional panel recommendations in the decision-making process. NCWA is particularly interested in three projects that are "Considered as Directed Action": the M&T Chico Ranch/Llano Seco Fish Screen Facility Short-term/Long-term Protection Project, the Natomas Mutual Water Company American Basin Fish Screen and Habitat Improvement Project, and Reclamation District No. 108 Consolidated Pumping Facility and Fish Screen. Each of these projects received a "high" priority ranking by the Sacramento regional panel, and each is specifically designated as a priority in the Ecosystem Restoration Program Draft Stage 1 Implementation Plan (August 2001).


The "Consider as a Directed Action" category also includes three projects that received a "low" rating from the Sacramento regional panel. They are S.P. Cramer & Associated, Inc. Assessment of Life-History Characteristics and Genetic Composition of *Oncorhynchus mikiss* Throughout California, The Nature Conservancy's Implementing a Collaborative Approach to Quantifying Ecosystem Flow Regime Needs for the Sacramento River, and U.S. Geological Survey Assessing the hazards of mercury and selenium to the reproductive success of birds. As was the case with funded projects receiving a "low" priority rating from the Sacramento Regional Panel, these projects were determined to provide limited or no local value, did not

Patrick Wright
May 10, 2002
Page 3 of 3

reflect regional priorities, were poorly written, or were already being performed through another CALFED program.

As CALFED moves forward with the remaining funding selections for the 2002 PSP and into future funding cycles, we hope that it will reexamine the regional panels and other local input from the Sacramento Valley and, as a result, regional priorities in the CALFED EPR will receive the appropriate consideration as part of the selection process.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David J. Guy". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "D" and "G".

David J. Guy
Executive Director

cc: Dan Ray