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#### Abstract

A total of 11,231 fall-run Chinook salmon (Chinook) entered IGH during the fall 2008 spawning season. Chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) began entering Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH) on September 29, 2008, and the last was observed on December 4, 2008. Klamath River Project (KRP) staff systematically sampled 1 in every 10 Chinook as well as all adipose-clipped Chinook during recovery efforts. The sex was determined, scale samples were taken and fork length (FL) was measured for all sampled Chinook. Randomly sampled male Chinook ranged in size from 35 cm to 100 cm fork length, and randomly sampled female Chinook ranged from 42 cm to 90 cm fork length. Analysis of the length-frequency distribution for randomly sampled Chinook males indicates that the cutoff point between grilse and adults occurred at $\leq 59 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length. During the 2008 spawning season, KRP staff estimated that 2,414 ( $21.5 \%$ ) of the run were grilse according to length frequency analysis. Females accounted for $42.3 \%$ $(4,750)$ of the run while males accounted for $57.7 \%(6,481)$. The 2008 run total contributed roughly $12 \%$ to the total (Klamath basin) in-river run and $17 \%$ to the total spawner escapement. Based on coded wire tag expansion, KRP staff estimated that $100 \%$ of the Chinook entering IGH during the 2008 season were of hatchery origin.

A total of 1,296 coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) (coho) entered IGH during the 2008 spawning season. The recorded dates for the coho run were from October 22, 2008 to December 18, 2008. KRP staff collected biological data (sex determination, FL, presence of marks or clips and scale samples) on 713 coho, which represented $100 \%$ of the coho that were spawned upon returning to IGH. Males ranged in size from 32 cm to 80 cm fork length, while female coho ranged in size from 52 cm to 79 cm fork length. Analysis of the fork length distribution for 343 male coho indicates that the cutoff point between grilse and adults occurred at < 58 cm . FL. IGH counts for the 2008 coho spawning season included 770 adult females, 508 adult males, and 18 grilse. IGH staff counted 1,268 coho with left maxillary clips, 2 with a right maxillary clip, and 1 with both a left maxillary and right maxillary clip. No adipose-clipped coho were observed in 2008. A total of 15 unmarked coho salmon were caudal clipped, Floy tagged and released from IGH to the Klamath River. Of these, none returned to IGH, one was recovered as a carcass on nearby Bogus Creek, and one was observed passing through the video weir on Bogus Creek (possibly the same fish). No floy tags were recovered during spawning ground surveys on either the Scott River or Shasta River. Additionally, no fish marked with floy tags were observed passing through the weirs or sampled as washbacks at either the Shasta River or Scott River Fish Counting Facilities. All unmarked coho returning to IGH during the 2008 season were checked for coded wire tags (CWT's) and none were found


## INTRODUCTION

## Iron Gate Hatchery

The Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH) is located adjacent to the Klamath River (river mile 190), in Siskiyou County, CA, approximately 120 miles north of Redding, near the Oregon border (Figure 1). This hatchery was established in 1963 to mitigate for loss of habitat between Iron Gate Dam and Copco Dam. The production goals for the hatchery are listed in Table 1 (CDFG and PP\&L 1996).

Table 1. Production goals for anadromous salmonid releases from Iron Gate Hatchery, Klamath River.

| Species | Number released | Released | Run timing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chinook Salmon | $5,100,000$ smolts | May-June | mid September to early November |
|  | 900,000 yearlings | November |  |
| Coho | 75,000 yearlings | March | late October to early January |
| Steelhead | 200,000 yearlings | March-May | November to March |



Figure 1. Location of Iron Gate Hatchery (California Department of Fish and Game, Siskiyou County).

## Klamath River Project

The California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG), Klamath River Project (KRP) conducts systematic random sampling of Chinook annually during the spawning season. The purpose of the sampling is to characterize the adult Chinook run entering IGH in terms of age and sex composition, and to recover data from all coded wire tags (CWT) recovered from the heads of adipose fin clipped (ad-clipped) Chinook. All Chinook tagged at IGH are marked with an adipose fin clip to identify them as CWT salmon when they return to the hatchery or are recovered in other locations. Data from CWT fish provide a reference of known-age fish which is used, along with scale samples and analysis of length frequency distribution, to determine the age composition of the run.

## Coded Wire Tagging

Since 1978, during April and May of each year, staff of the KRP have inserted CWTs into juvenile Chinook. The number of tags applied has varied over the years but currently 300,000 Chinook fingerlings and 108,000 yearling Chinook are tagged annually. These tags contain a code that allows for the identification of six separate groups of fingerlings, corresponding to different rearing raceways. Yearling groups are also divided among the six raceways, but share the same tag code and release date. One of the goals of the tagging program is to determine the success of the early release strategy (Hampton 2001). Formerly, smolts were released at IGH from June 1 to June 15. At the recommendation of the Joint Hatchery Review Committee (2001), CDFG developed an early release strategy, which allows for the release of smolts in multiple groups, each separated by approximately 1 week, beginning around mid-May. There are several benefits to the early release strategy, including reduced competition with natural salmonids and improved survival of smolts due to cooler water temperatures and higher flows.

Release of Chinook smolts are subject to constraints including size at release (defined as 90/lb) and minimum in-river temperatures. (CDFG, PP\&L 1996) Smolts may be released at a size smaller than 90/lb if minimum Klamath River water surface temperatures exceed 65 degrees $F$.

Until 20094 to 6 percent of IGH produced Chinook have been coded wire tagged annually. In the spring of 2009, the Klamath River Project will begin a constant fractional marking program (CFM). Under that program 25 percent of IGH Chinook production will be marked and tagged. This change has been made possible by CDFG's acquisition of an Auto Fish trailer, an automated fish clipping and tagging system manufactured by Northwest Marine Technology (NMT), Inc. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]
## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Chinook Salmon

In 2008, the fish ladders leading to the lower trap and spawning building remained open throughout the season and all Chinook were allowed to enter IGH. Upon entering the hatchery, Chinook were held until they were ready to spawn. Readiness to spawn was determined by hatchery staff and based on timing, firmness of the ovaries, and ease of stripping eggs when handled. KRP staff conducted a systematic random sample of every $10^{\text {th }}$ Chinook during each sampling day. A KRP employee was designated each day to identify every $10^{\text {th }}$ Chinook on the process line, as well as all adipose-clipped Chinook. These random and non-random fish were set aside for sampling. After the fish were spawned, KRP staff collected data on FL, sex, and presence or absence of clips and/or marks. Heads were taken from all ad-clipped Chinook (random and nonrandom fish) as well as data on FL and sex, and scale samples.

## Coho Salmon

In 2008, staff of the KRP collected biological data (sex, fork length, presence of marks or clips and scale samples) for all coho which were spawned at IGH. Since 1995, all hatchery origin coho salmon within the Klamath River basin have been marked with a maxillary clip prior to release. IGH coho receive a left maxillary clip and Trinity River Hatchery (TRH) coho are marked with a right maxillary clip. Because some Oregon and Washington hatcheries mark their coho with an adipose clip, all adipose-clipped coho are checked for the presence of a coded wire tag by passing them through a tag detector. Some Oregon hatcheries tag but don't mark a portion of their coho release. In an effort to account for these "blind" tagged coho that could enter IGH, all unmarked coho that enter IGH are also checked for the presence of a coded wire tag by passing them through a tag detector.

The protocol developed in 2004 by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries and CDFG to reduce potential take of naturally produced coho was followed at IGH in 2008. The goals of the protocol are to incorporate unmarked coho into the spawning matrix, to release unmarked coho not spawned at the facility, and to monitor unmarked coho releases. The protocol described in detail in the CDFG annual report for Iron Gate Hatchery (Hampton, 2005).

Application of a caudal clip and insertion of an individually numbered Floy tag to unmarked coho provides an opportunity to monitor the movement of these coho after release. Once released, these coho may return to the hatchery, spawn in the Klamath River downstream of IGH, or enter a tributary stream downstream of Iron Gate Dam. Application of the caudal clip serves as a backup mark, in case the Floy tag is shed. The caudal clip also provides CDFG with the ability to identify these coho should they pass through one of the video fish counting facilities located in Bogus Creek, the Shasta River and Scott River (Figure 2). Serially numbered Floy tags provide the ability to track
individual coho as they return to the hatchery or are recovered in one of the spawning ground surveys.


Figure 2. Floy-tagged, caudal-clipped coho salmon swimming through the Bogus Creek Fish Counting Facility's video weir on November 14, 2008.

## RESULTS

## Chinook Salmon

Chinook began entering IGH on September 29, 2008. A total of 11,231 Chinook returned to IGH during the fall 2008 spawning season. Of these, KRP staff collected scale samples, determined sex, and measured FL for 1,149 Chinook. Randomly sampled male Chinook ranged in size from 35 cm to 100 cm in fork length (Figure 3).

Analysis of the length frequency distribution for randomly sampled fall-run Chinook males indicated that the cutoff point between grilse and adults occurred at $\leq 59 \mathrm{~cm}$ in fork length, yielding approximately $21.5 \%$ grilse. Therefore, staff estimates that 2,414 grilse and 8,817 adults entered IGH during the 2008 season. Females accounted for $42.3 \%(4,750)$ of the run and males accounted for $57.7 \%(6,481)$. Randomly sampled female Chinook ranged in size from 42 cm to 90 cm in fork length (Figure 4). The last Chinook to enter IGH for the 2008 spawning season was observed on December 4, 2008.


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution for systematic sample of male Chinook salmon recovered at IGH during the 2008 spawning season.


Figure 4. Length frequency distribution for systematic sample of female Chinook salmon recovered at IGH during the 2008 spawning season.

Heads from 789 ad-clipped Chinook (from random and non-random fish) were collected for CWT recovery. Of these, 51 heads contained no tags, 10 CWTs were lost during dissection and 32 CWTs were unreadable. The contribution of lost or unreadable CWTs was estimated by applying the proportions of known CWTs (696) to the 42 lost or unreadable CWT ad-clipped Chinook (Table 2).

Table 2. Estimated contribution of 42 AD-clipped Chinook salmon with unknown coded wire tage (CWT) codes (lost or unreadable) that were recovered at Iron Gate Hatchery,(IGH), based on the proportional distribution of known CWT recoveries at IGH during the 2008 season.

| CWT | BY | \# CWTs <br> Recovered | Proportion of <br> CWTs recovered | Estimated <br> Number | Production <br> Multiplier | Expanded <br> Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 601020503 | 2003 | 1 | 0.001445087 | 0.06069 | 21.42 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 601020504 | 2004 | 13 | 0.018786127 | 0.78902 | 17.12 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| 601020505 | 2004 | 19 | 0.027456647 | 1.15318 | 16.61 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| 601020506 | 2004 | 31 | 0.044797688 | 1.8815 | 34.04 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| 601020507 | 2004 | 37 | 0.053468208 | 2.24566 | 37.42 | $\mathbf{8 4}$ |
| 601020508 | 2004 | 30 | 0.043352601 | 1.82081 | 9.09 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| 601020509 | 2004 | 47 | 0.067919075 | 2.8526 | 8.00 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |
| 601020602 | 2005 | 56 | 0.080924855 | 3.39884 | 18.01 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
| 601020603 | 2005 | 44 | 0.063583815 | 2.67052 | 18.67 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| 601020604 | 2005 | 25 | 0.036127168 | 1.51734 | 37.01 | $\mathbf{5 6}$ |
| 601020605 | 2005 | 8 | 0.011560694 | 0.48555 | 46.33 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| 601020606 | 2005 | 75 | 0.108381503 | 4.55202 | 9.24 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| 601020607 | 2005 | 187 | 0.270231214 | 11.3497 | 9.22 | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ |
| 601020608 | 2006 | 20 | 0.028901734 | 1.21387 | 20.81 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| 601020609 | 2006 | 35 | 0.050578035 | 2.12428 | 15.93 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| 601020700 | 2006 | 14 | 0.020231214 | 0.84971 | 16.61 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| 601020701 | 2006 | 13 | 0.018786127 | 0.78902 | 16.54 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| 601020702 | 2006 | 11 | 0.015895954 | 0.66763 | 16.65 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| 601020703 | 2006 | 13 | 0.018786127 | 0.78902 | 18.23 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| 601020704 | 2006 | 13 | 0.018786127 | 0.78902 | 9.58 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T o t a l s}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 7 7}$ |

The estimated contribution of unknown CWT Chinook was then added to the contribution of known CWTs to determine the total contribution of hatchery Chinook entering IGH (Table 3). One hundred percent of the CWTs recovered (and successfully read) originated from IGH. Based on expansion of CWTs KRP staff estimated that $100 \%$ of the Chinook entering IGH during the 2008 season were of hatchery origin (Table 3).

Table 3. Estimated contribution of Chinook from Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH) to total run at IGH, based on coded-wire tags recovered from fall-run Chinook salmon recovered at IGH during the 2008 spawning season.

| CWT | Release Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brood } \\ \text { Year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Age | Release Type | Number Recovered | Production Multiplier | Expanded Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated contribution of known CWTs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 601020503 | IGH | 2003 | 5 | Ff | 1 | 21.42 | 21 |
| 601020504 | IGH | 2004 | 4 | Ff | 13 | 17.12 | 223 |
| 601020505 | IGH | 2004 | 4 | Ff | 19 | 16.61 | 316 |
| 601020506 | IGH | 2004 | 4 | Ff | 31 | 34.04 | 1,055 |
| 601020507 | IGH | 2004 | 4 | Ff | 37 | 37.42 | 1,385 |
| 601020508 | IGH | 2004 | 4 | Fy | 30 | 9.09 | 273 |
| 601020509 | IGH | 2004 | 4 | Fy | 47 | 8.00 | 376 |
| 601020602 | IGH | 2005 | 3 | Ff | 56 | 18.01 | 1,009 |
| 601020603 | IGH | 2005 | 3 | Ff | 44 | 18.67 | 821 |
| 601020604 | IGH | 2005 | 3 | Ff | 25 | 37.01 | 925 |
| 601020605 | IGH | 2005 | 3 | Ff | 8 | 46.33 | 371 |
| 601020606 | IGH | 2005 | 3 | Fy | 75 | 9.24 | 693 |
| 601020607 | IGH | 2005 | 3 | Fy | 187 | 9.22 | 1,724 |
| 601020608 | IGH | 2006 | 2 | Ff | 20 | 20.81 | 416 |
| 601020609 | IGH | 2006 | 2 | Ff | 35 | 15.93 | 558 |
| 601020700 | IGH | 2006 | 2 | Ff | 14 | 16.61 | 233 |
| 601020701 | IGH | 2006 | 2 | Ff | 13 | 16.54 | 215 |
| 601020702 | IGH | 2006 | 2 | Ff | 11 | 16.65 | 183 |
| 601020703 | IGH | 2006 | 2 | Ff | 13 | 18.23 | 237 |
| 601020704 | IGH | 2006 | 2 | Fy | 13 | 9.58 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal | 692 |  | 11,157 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated contribution of unknown CWTs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200000 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| 400000 |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal | 42 |  | 677 |
| Total Estimated Hatchery Contribution = |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,834 |

The Klamath River Technical Advisory Team (KRTAT) met in January of 2009 to review the 2008 Chinook run monitoring efforts and estimate the age composition of the 2008 run (KRTAT 2009). The KRTAT used scale age proportions for developing adult structure and length frequency analysis for the grilse cutoff point for the 2008 IGH fall

Chinook returns (Table 4).

Table 4. Age composition of the 2008 Chinook salmon run that entered Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH), as developed by the Klamath River Technical Advisory Team (KRTAT).

Number of Chinook
Percent of Total Run

| Age |  |  |  | Total | Total <br> Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  | R |
| 2130 | 5530 | 3551 | 21 |  |  |
| 18.96 | 49.24 | 31.62 | 0.18 | $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 2 3 1}$ |

## Coho Salmon

The first coho returning to IGH was observed on October 22, 2008 and the last coho was observed on December 18, 2008. A total of 1,296 coho entered IGH during the 2008 season. Of these, KRP staff collected biological data from 713 coho, which represents $100 \%$ of the spawned coho and $55 \%$ of the total coho run. Of the 713 sampled, $96.3 \%$ (687) had left maxillary clips, indicating they were of IGH origin. One coho ( $0.14 \%$ ) had a right maxillary clip, indicating it was of TRH origin. One coho (0.14\%) had both a right and left maxillary clip. The remaining 24 coho (3.4\%) were unmarked. No adipose-clipped coho were recovered at IGH in 2008. Male coho ranged in size from 32 cm to 80 cm in fork length (Figure 6). Female coho ranged in size from 52 cm to 79 cm in fork length (Figure 7). Based on the length frequency distribution of 343 male coho, grilse were estimated to be $\leq 57 \mathrm{~cm}$ fork length (Figure 6). Of the 343 males, 22 (6\%) were grilse.

A total of 15 unmarked coho were Floy tagged and released from IGH to the Klamath River in 2008. Of these, none re-entered IGH, 1 was recovered from as a carcass in nearby Bogus Creek, and 1 was observed passing through the video weir on Bogus Creek (possibly the same fish). No floy tags were recovered during the Shasta River or Scott River spawning ground surveys. Additionally no floy tagged coho were observed passing through the Shasta River or Scott River Fish Counting Facilities or recovered as washbacks on any of the weirs. All unmarked coho were run through a tag detector prior to release, and there were no positive readings in 2008. No coded wire tags were recovered from coho entering Iron Gate Hatchery during the 2008 season.


Figure 6. Length frequency distribution for male coho salmon sampled at Iron Gate Hatchery during the 2008 spawning season.


Figure 7. Length frequency distribution for female coho salmon sampled at Iron Gate Hatchery during the 2008 spawning season

## DISCUSSION

## Chinook Salmon

The Klamath River Project has been monitoring the escapement of fall-run Chinook in the Klamath River basin, excluding the Trinity River, since 1978. The Trinity River Project (TRP) has been monitoring salmon returns in the Trinity River basin during the same period, and the combined run size information generated from these two efforts is summarized in the CDFG "Mega Table" each year. Chinook run size data provided in the Mega Table is reviewed by the KRTAT during their annual age composition meeting in late January or early February. During the age composition meeting, results of the scale analysis are integrated into run size data to estimate the age structure for each of the various stocks within the basin. Age-specific estimates of escapement for 2008 and previous years, coupled with data from CWT recoveries from hatchery stocks, allow for cohort reconstruction of both hatchery and natural components of Klamath River fall-run Chinook. The results of cohort reconstruction allow model-based forecasting of next year's abundance in the ocean, ocean fishery contact rates, and percentage of spawners escaping to natural areas (KRTAT 2008).

These forecasts are used by the KRTAT as essential inputs to the Klamath Ocean Harvest Model to predict abundance of fall run Chinook at sea. This information is then used to determine fishery allocation levels and determine the potential effects of harvest options upon salmon fisheries along the Pacific Coast. Thus, the run size estimates that are compiled each year provide a critical source of data necessary for the effective management of fall Chinook each year.

The 2008 run $(11,231)$ of fall Chinook at IGH was the $11^{\text {th }}$ lowest run in the 31-year period from 1979 to 2008, and 4,842 fish below the average of 16,073. In 2008 IGH Chinook comprised roughly $11.7 \%$ of the total Klamath basin in-river run $(95,619)$ and $17.4 \%$ of the total spawner escapement $(64,487)$ (Table 6).

During the 2008 spawning season $21.5 \%(2,414)$ of the run was composed of grilse, above the 30-year average of $9.7 \%$. The highest percentage (51.1\%) of grilse was observed in 1992 and the lowest percentage (0.3\%) in 2005 (Figure 8). From 1978 to 1990, at least 10\% of the run were grilse in 7 out of 13 years. In contrast, from 1991 to 2008 the proportion of grilse exceeded $10 \%$ for only 4 of the 17 years (Table 7). This proportion is similar to what has been observed in nearby Bogus Creek during those same time periods. From 1978 to 1990, at least $10 \%$ of the Bogus Creek run were grilse in 10 out of 13 years. In contrast, from 1991 to 2008 the proportion of grilse in Bogus Creek exceeded 10\% for only 5 of the 17 years (Table 7). The average percentage of grilse Chinook in Bogus Creek during this 27 year period was 13.0\%.

In 2008, the 3-year old component accounted for approximately $49.2 \%$ of the IGH Chinook run, in contrast to only 19 percent of the total river run (KRTAT, 2009). During December of 2005, it is speculated that high flows in the Shasta and Scott Rivers
possibly destroyed salmon redds by scouring, resulting in the lowest incidence of juvenile Chinook observed in seven years of juvenile sampling near the mouths of the Shasta River and Scott River (W. Chesney, 2006). Since IGH-reared Chinook smolts were not subjected to those same December flow conditions that limited the survival of natural area spawners, this may help to explain the higher rate of return of 3-year olds to IGH.

The 3-year old age class constituted $28 \%$ of the 2008 TRH Chinook run, which was dominated by age 4 fish at $56 \%$. In the previous year, 2007, the 3 -year old component of the run was by far the largest age class: $85 \%$ of the in-river run and $96 \%$ of the IGH run, so the strong basin-wide return of 4year olds ( $52 \%$ of the in-river run) is not surprising.

In April 2008 the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) approved a ban on ocean recreational and commercial harvest of Chinook for the 2008 season, the first such ocean closure in California history. This ban was enacted in order to protect the Sacramento fall run Chinook stocks, which experienced a sharp decline in 2007. The federal ban extended from three to 200 miles off the coasts of California and Oregon, and the California Fish and Game Commission voted to prohibit recreational and commercial Chinook harvest in the state's waters, which extend to three miles offshore. An in-river sport fishery and tribal fishery took place on the Klamath River in 2008.


Figure 8. Historical percentages of Chinook grilse observed at Iron Gate Hatchery, Siskiyou County.


Figure 9. Chinook salmon escapement to Iron Gate Hatchery and in-river runs of Chinook salmon in the Klamath River (California Department of Fish and Game), 1978 to 2008.

The Chinook releases from IGH include both smolt and yearling releases. The current production goals include releases of $5,100,000$ Chinook smolts in May and June and 900,000 yearlings the following November. For the period of 1991 to 2008, IGH Chinook smolt releases have varied from a low of $3,300,312$ in 1993 to a high of $6,171,838$ in 2006. In 2008, $5,290,005$ Chinook smolts were released from IGH. For this same period, Chinook yearling releases have varied from a low of 407,177 in 1996 to a high of 1,155,096 in 1993. In 2008, 1,104,870 yearling Chinook were released. The average smolt and yearling releases for this period are 5,030,797 and 981,475, respectively. The largest run of Chinook to IGH, from 1962 to 2008, occurred in 2000 (72,474), the lowest in 1965 (678) (Figure 9). The largest in-river Chinook run (1995) occurred two years after the largest yearling release (1993).

One of the recommendations of the Joint Hatchery Review Committee is for IGH to produce more yearlings and less smolts: "DFG should consider the desirability of expanding the Chinook yearling program at IGH and reducing the smolt production. Releasing fewer smolts and more yearlings would relieve some of the hatchery-natural interactions that occur during the low-flow and poor water quality conditions present in the Klamath River during June and July. The time of the release from IGH occurs during October 15 - November 15, which coincides with flow release increases from Iron Gate Dam, increased precipitation in the Klamath Basin, and substantially improved water quality conditions in the Klamath River. Interactions between hatchery and
natural Chinook would be minimized as a result of improved water quality and because most natural produced Chinook would have already left the Klamath Basin." (CDFG and NMFS 2001). At this time there are physical and funding constraints that limit the Department's ability to implement an increased rearing program for yearling Chinook.

Analysis of Brood Year (BY) 1979-1984 CWTs recovered from Chinook that were released as yearlings from IGH indicates that yearlings outperform fingerlings roughly 4 to 1 in both ocean fisheries and river returns (Baracco 1990. Analysis of a subset (BY 1990-2004) of Chinook CWT returns to IGH yields similar results (Table 5). Yearlings were not tagged in 1998 or 1999 (BY 1997 and 1998) due to budget constraints, therefore, contribution rates for these two brood year yearling releases is unknown. Brood Year 1990 through 2003 CWT returns contain the most recent data that includes all potential returns (age-classes: 2, 3, 4, and 5).

Table 5. Return rates of IGH smolt and yearling CWT releases for brood years 1990 to 1996 and 1999 through 2004, There were no yearling CWT releases for Brood Years 1997 and 1998 due to budget constraints.

|  | IGH Smolt Releases |  |  | IGH Yearling Releases |  | Ratio of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \# CWT's | \# CWT's | Percent | \# CWT's | \# CWT's | Percent | yearling/smolt |
| Brood Year | Released | Returned | Return | Released | Returned | Return | return rates |  |
| 1990 | 188,595 | 713 | 0.3781 | 95,880 | 740 | 0.7718 | 2.04 |  |
| 1991 | 191,200 | 96 | 0.0502 | 90,982 | 167 | 0.1836 | 3.66 |  |
| 1992 | 185,464 | 1,015 | 0.5473 | 74,024 | 269 | 0.3634 | 0.66 |  |
| 1993 | 188,562 | 40 | 0.0212 | 98,099 | 196 | 0.1998 | 9.42 |  |
| 1994 | 194,644 | 94 | 0.0483 | 86,564 | 453 | 0.5233 | 10.84 |  |
| 1995 | 191,799 | 85 | 0.0443 | 90,172 | 954 | 1.0580 | 23.87 |  |
| 1996 | 196,648 | 162 | 0.0824 | 95,396 | 581 | 0.6090 | 7.39 |  |
| 1999 | 182,131 | 686 | 0.3767 | 91,220 | 514 | 0.5635 | 1.50 |  |
| 2000 | 187,417 | 277 | 0.1478 | 100,702 | 707 | 0.7021 | 4.75 |  |
| 2001 | 198,761 | 13 | 0.0065 | 110,167 | 756 | 0.6862 | 104.92 |  |
| 2002 | 210,113 | 361 | 0.171812 | 109711 | 296 | 0.2698 | 1.57 |  |
| 2003 | 261,888 | 70 | 0.026729 | 48,592 | 60 | 0.123477 | 4.62 |  |
| 2004 * | 205,950 | 686 | 0.333091 | 98,752 | 214 | 0.216704 | 0.65 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average: | 13.53 |  |

[^1]Table 6. Fall-run Chinook salmon totals (includes adults and grilse) for the Klamath Basin, Iron Gate Hatchery, and Bogus Creek from 1978 through 2008.


Table 7. Summary of fall Chinook salmon escapement to Iron Gate Hatchery and Bogus Creek from 1978 to 2008.

| Year | Iron Gate Hatchery |  |  |  | Bogus Creek |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grilse | Adults | Total | \% Grilse | Grilse | Adults | Total | \% Grilse |
| 1978 | 925 | 6945 | 7870 | 11.8\% | 651 | 4928 | 5579 | 11.7\% |
| 1979 | 257 | 2301 | 2558 | 10.0\% | 494 | 5444 | 5938 | 8.3\% |
| 1980 | 451 | 2412 | 2863 | 15.8\% | 1749 | 3321 | 5070 | 34.5\% |
| 1981 | 540 | 2055 | 2595 | 20.8\% | 912 | 2730 | 3642 | 25.0\% |
| 1982 | 1833 | 8353 | 10186 | 18.0\% | 2325 | 4818 | 7143 | 32.5\% |
| 1983 | 541 | 8371 | 8912 | 6.1\% | 335 | 2713 | 3048 | 11.0\% |
| 1984 | 764 | 5330 | 6094 | 12.5\% | 465 | 3039 | 3504 | 13.3\% |
| 1985 | 2159 | 19951 | 22110 | 9.8\% | 1156 | 3491 | 4647 | 24.9\% |
| 1986 | 1461 | 17096 | 18557 | 7.9\% | 1184 | 6124 | 7308 | 16.2\% |
| 1987 | 1825 | 15189 | 17014 | 10.7\% | 1208 | 9748 | 10956 | 11.0\% |
| 1988 | 609 | 16106 | 16715 | 3.6\% | 225 | 16215 | 16440 | 1.4\% |
| 1989 | 831 | 10589 | 11690 | 7.1\% | 444 | 2218 | 2662 | 16.7\% |
| 1990 | 321 | 6719 | 7040 | 4.6\% | 53 | 732 | 785 | 6.8\% |
| 1991 | 65 | 4002 | 4067 | 1.6\% | 20 | 1261 | 1281 | 1.6\% |
| 1992 | 3737 | 3581 | 7318 | 51.1\% | 556 | 598 | 1154 | 48.2\% |
| 1993 | 883 | 20828 | 21711 | 4.1\% | 431 | 3285 | 3716 | 11.6\% |
| 1994 | 758 | 13808 | 14566 | 5.2\% | 443 | 7817 | 8260 | 5.4\% |
| 1995 | 259 | 22681 | 22940 | 1.1\% | 1207 | 45225 | 46432 | 2.6\% |
| 1996 | 543 | 13622 | 14165 | 3.8\% | 377 | 10420 | 10797 | 3.5\% |
| 1997 | 452 | 13275 | 13727 | 3.3\% | 221 | 9809 | 10030 | 2.2\% |
| 1998 | 403 | 14923 | 15326 | 2.6\% | 205 | 6630 | 6835 | 3.0\% |
| 1999 | 4830 | 9290 | 14120 | 34.2\% | 2628 | 3537 | 6165 | 42.6\% |
| 2000 | 839 | 71635 | 72474 | 1.2\% | 373 | 34678 | 35051 | 1.1\% |
| 2001 | 1364 | 37204 | 38568 | 3.5\% | 648 | 11927 | 12575 | 5.2\% |
| 2002 | 1294 | 23667 | 24961 | 5.2\% | 304 | 17530 | 17834 | 1.7\% |
| 2003 | 290 | 31970 | 32260 | 0.9\% | 188 | 15422 | 15610 | 1.2\% |
| 2004 | 937 | 10582 | 11519 | 8.1\% | 295 | 3493 | 3788 | 7.8\% |
| 2005 | 42 | 13955 | 13997 | 0.3\% | 58 | 5339 | 5397 | 1.1\% |
| 2006 | 2386 | 11604 | 13990 | 17.1\% | 764 | 3368 | 4132 | 18\% |
| 2007 | 154 | 16995 | 17145 | 0.9\% | 95 | 4646 | 4741 | 2.1\% |
| 2008 | 2414 | 8817 | 11231 | 21.5\% | 1430 | 3136 | 4566 | 31\% |
| Average | 1,102 | 14,963 | 16,074 | 9.8\% | 692 | 8182 | 8874 | 13.0\% |

## Coho Salmon

A total of 1,296 coho entered IGH during the 2008 spawning season, of which 713 (all of the spawned coho) were sampled by KRP staff. Since 1978 the number of coho entering IGH has ranged from a low of 169 in 1999 to a high of 4,097 in 1996 and has averaged 1,092 (Figure 10). The 2008 coho return was above the average at 1,296. There were poor returns of coho to the Shasta and Scott rivers in 2008. The survival of the 2005 brood year in these natural spawning areas may have been affected by the high flows of December 2005 in these natural spawning areas.

Starting with the 1994 brood year all hatchery reared coho released within the Klamath Basin have been maxillary clipped. All coho released from TRH receive a right maxillary clip (RM) and all coho salmon released from IGH receive a left maxillary clip (LM). Production goals for coho within the Klamath Basin call for the release of 75,000 yearlings from IGH and 500,000 yearlings from TRH. Cole Rivers Hatchery, located at the base of Lost Creek Dam on the Rogue River in Oregon, releases about 200,000 coho annually, which include approximately, 150,000 fish with an ad-clip only, 25,000 fish with an ad-clip and CWT, and 25,000 fish that are tagged with a CWT and are not ad-clipped. CWTs recovered from both ad-clipped and unmarked coho salmon at IGH have typically found that these fish are progeny from Cole Rivers Hatchery on the Rogue River.


Figure 10. Coho salmon runs at Iron Gate Hatchery (California Department of Fish and Game), 1962 to 2008.
Age 3 coho returns in 1997 represent the first adult returns that were marked with a LM clip prior to release from the hatchery. Therefore, survival estimates for coho releases from IGH can be calculated for brood years from 1994 to 2004. A summary of coho releases, adult returns, and survival of LM clipped coho to IGH is provided in Table 9. Survival of coho progeny released from IGH since the 1994 brood year has ranged from $0.3 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ and has averaged $1.50 \%$.

There is some uncertainty regarding the origin of unmarked coho that return to IGH each year. Both naturally produced coho and those of hatchery origin are potentially present within these returns. Returns of unmarked coho of hatchery origin may be related to clipping error within IGH and TRH, or are unmarked coho that are released from hatcheries located outside the basin. Estimates of clipping error are provided by IGH staff and are represented in Table 9 as "proportion marked".

Beginning in 1997 all coho that entered IGH, whose origin was either IGH or TRH, would have been maxillary clipped prior to release. There are a small number of coho that may not have been clipped as a result of clipping error (Table 9). As a result, the number of LM clips observed at IGH during recovery efforts slightly underestimates the actual number of hatchery origin coho present. In 2008, 98\% of coho recovered at IGH had an IGH left maxillary clip, $0.2 \%$ had a TRH right maxillary clip, and $0.2 \%$ had both right and left maxillary clips, which may be due to clipping error at either IGH or TRH. There were no adipose-clipped coho recovered at IGH in 2008, and no unmarked coho had a positive reading when scanned for a coded wire tag.

Table 9. Iron Gate Hatchery (IGH) coho salmon yearling release numbers, LM clip return rates, and expanded return estimates with estimated and observed number of unmarked coho that have returned to IGH.

| Brood | Yearling | Proportion | Marked | Unmarked | Marked | Unmarked | Expanded | Percent | Unmarked returns | Unmarked | Proportion of unmarked returns explained by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Release | Marked | Release | Release | Return | Expansion | Return | Survival | of IGH origin | Returns | unmarked IGH releases |
| 1995 | 81,498 | 0.990 | 80,683 | 815 | 556 | 1.0101 | 562 | 0.689 | 6 | 251 | 0.022 |
| 1996 | 79,607 | 0.985 | 78,413 | 1,194 | 213 | 1.0152 | 216 | 0.272 | 3 | 94 | 0.035 |
| 1997 | 75,156 | 0.954 | 71,699 | 3,457 | 515 | 1.0482 | 540 | 0.718 | 25 | 201 | 0.124 |
| 1998 | 77,147 | 0.984 | 75,913 | 1,234 | 2621 | 1.0163 | 2664 | 3.453 | 43 | 281 | 0.152 |
| 1999 | 46,254 | 0.985 | 45,560 | 694 | 992 | 1.0152 | 1007 | 2.177 | 15 | 245 | 0.062 |
| 2000 | 67,933 | 0.970 | 65,895 | 2,038 | 710 | 1.0309 | 732 | 1.077 | 22 | 584 | 0.038 |
| 2001 | 74,271 | 0.994 | 73,825 | 446 | 1208 | 1.0060 | 1215 | 1.636 | 7 | 415 | 0.018 |
| 2002 | 109,374 | 0.900 | 98,437 | 10,937 | 1467 | 1.1111 | 1630 | 1.490 | 163 | 163 | 1.000 |
| 2003 | 74,716 | 0.998 | 74,567 | 149 | 204 | 1.0020 | 204 | 0.274 | 0 | 74 | 0.006 |
| 2004 | 89,482 | 0.990 | 88,587 | 895 | 514 | 1.0101 | 519 | 0.580 | 5 | 142 | 0.037 |
| 2005 | 118,187 | 0.975 | 115,232 | 2,955 | 190 | 1.0256 | 195 | 0.165 | 5 | 143 | 0.034 |

During the last three years $100 \%$ of the unmarked coho returning to IGH have been passed by a tag detector to determine the presence or absence of a CWT. Of the 139, 67 and 138 unmarked coho that entered IGH during the 2005, 2006, and 2007 seasons respectively, not a single one bore a CWT indicating that it was from the unmarked and tagged group of 25,000 fish released from Cole River Hatchery. Therefore, it is possible that an unknown number of unmarked coho that returned to IGH during years prior to 2005 may have been of hatchery origin but their proportion is most likely very low. The number of unmarked coho that stray from hatchery facilities other than IGH and return to IGH in any given year is likely very small and for most years a comparison of the number of IGH (corrected for the unmarked portion) marked versus unmarked coho can be used to estimate the number of naturally produced coho that enter IGH.

Table 11 contains a summary of the number of marked and unmarked coho that have returned to IGH since 1997. From 1997 to 2008 the percentage of marked coho that have returned to IGH has averaged 81.4\%. The number of unmarked coho observed has ranged from a low of 15 in 1999 to a high of 589 in 2003. As previously discussed, these estimates are conservative as they do not account for clipping error or unmarked coho from outside the basin that are of hatchery origin, mainly from Cole Rivers Hatchery.

Table 11. Summary of marked and unmarked coho salmon that have entered IGH from 1997 to 2008.

| 1997/1998 |  |  |  | 1998/1999 |  |  |  | 1999/2000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total |
| Unmarked | 121 | 44 | 165 | Unmarked | 207 | 82 | 289 | Unmarked | 12 | 3 | 15 |
| LM | 1,717 | 253 | 1,970 | LM | 303 | 75 | 378 | LM | 138 | 15 | 153 |
| RM | 5 |  | 5 | RM |  |  | 0 | RM |  |  | 0 |
| AD | 24 | 4 | 28 | AD | 1 | 1 | 2 | AD | 1 |  | 1 |
| ADLM | 5 | 1 | 6 | ADLM |  |  | 0 | ADLM |  |  | 0 |
| ADRM |  |  | 0 | ADRM |  |  | 0 | ADRM |  |  | 0 |
| Total Clipp | 1,751 | 258 | 2,009 | Total Clippt | 304 | 76 | 380 | Total Clipp | 139 | 15 | 154 |
| Total Returi | 1,872 | 302 | 2,174 | Total Returr | 511 | 158 | 669 | Total Returr | 151 | 18 | 169 |
| 2000/2001 |  |  |  | 2001/2002 |  |  |  | 2002/2003 |  |  |  |
| FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total |
| Unmarked | 198 | 64 | 262 | Unmarked | 217 | 29 | 246 | Unmarked | 216 | 9 | 225 |
| LM | 500 | 567 | 1,067 | LM | 2,054 | 76 | 2,130 | LM | 916 | 90 | 1,006 |
| RM | 4 |  | 4 | RM | 136 | 2 | 138 | RM | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| AD | 13 |  | 13 | AD | 51 |  | 51 | AD | 31 | 7 | 38 |
| ADLM | 8 |  | 8 | ADLM | 7 |  | 7 | ADLM | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| ADRM |  |  | 0 | ADRM | 1 |  | 1 | ADRM |  |  | 0 |
| Total Clippt | 525 | 567 | 1,092 | Total Clippe | 2,249 | 78 | 2,327 | Total Clippe | 977 | 99 | 1,076 |
| Total Returi | 723 | 631 | 1,354 | Total Returr | 2,466 | 107 | 2,573 | Total Returr | 1,193 | 108 | 1,301 |
| 2003/2004 |  |  |  | 2004/2005 |  |  |  | 2005/2006 |  |  |  |
| FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total |
| Unmarked | 575 | 14 | 589 | Unmarked | 399 | 25 | $424{ }^{* 1}$ | Unmarked | 138 | 2 | 140 |
| LM | 620 | 218 | 838 | LM | 990 | 213 | 1,203 | LM | 1,254 | 28 | 1,282 |
| RM | 66 | 3 | 69 | RM | 31 | 1 | 32 | RM | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| AD | 52 | 6 | 58 | AD | 69 | 0 | 69 | AD | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| ADLM | 2 | 0 | 2 | ADLM | 0 | 0 | 0 | ADLM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADRM | 2 | 0 | 2 | ADRM | 1 | 0 | 1 | ADRM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Clipp | 742 | 227 | 969 | Other | 5 | 0 | 5 | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Returi | 1,317 | 241 | 1,558 | Total Clippt | 1,096 | 214 | 1,310 | Total Clippe | 1,257 | 28 | 1,285 |
|  |  |  |  | Total Returr | 1,495 | 239 | 1,734 | Total Returr | 1,395 | 30 | 1,425 |
| 2006/2007 |  |  |  | 2007/2008 |  |  |  | 2008/2009 |  |  |  |
| FIN CLIPS | ADULTS | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS ADULTS |  | GRILSE | Total | FIN CLIPS ADULTS |  | GRILSE | Total |
| Unmarked | 72 | 8 | 80 | Unmarked | 135 | 2 | 137 | Unmarked | 23 | 1 | 24 |
| LM | 176 | 27 | 203 | LM | 480 | 163 | 643 | LM | 1224 | 44 | 1268 |
| RM | 1 | 1 | 2 | RM | 6 | 0 | 6 | RM | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| AD | 16 | 0 | 16 | AD | 2 | 0 | 2 | AD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADLM | 0 | 0 | 0 | ADLM | 1 | 0 | 1 | ADLM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADRM | 0 | 0 | 0 | ADRM | 0 | 0 | 0 | ADRM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LMRM | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Clippt | 193 | 28 | 221 | Total Clippe | 489 | 163 | 652 | Total Clipp | 1226 | 46 | 1272 |
| Total Returi | 265 | 36 | 301 | Total Returr | 624 | 165 | 789 | Total Returr | 1249 | 47 | 1296 |
| Proportion of clipped to unclipped coho |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season | Clipped | Total | \% Clipped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997/1998 | 2,009 | 2,174 | 92.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998/1999 | 380 | 669 | 56.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999/2000 | 154 | 169 | 91.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000/2001 | 1,092 | 1,354 | 80.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001/2002 | 2,327 | 2,573 | 90.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002/2003 | 1,076 | 1,301 | 82.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003/2004 | 969 | 1,558 | 62.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004/2005 | 1,310 | 1,734 | 75.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005/2006 | 1,285 | 1,425 | 90.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006/2007 | 221 | 301 | 73.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007/2008 | 652 | 789 | 82.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008/2009 | 1272 | 1296 | 98.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 1,062 | 1,279 | 81.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LM=Iron Gate Hatchery (left maxillary clip) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RM= Trinity River Hatchery (right maxillary clip) |  |  |  |  |  | ADLM = Origin unknown, possible ODFW release or injury caused |  |  |  |  |  |
| AD = Cole M. Rivers Hatchery (adipose clip) |  |  |  |  |  | ADRM = Origin unknown, possible ODFW release or injury caused |  |  |  |  |  |
| *1:7 of these unmarked coho carried a cwt and were actually from Cole Rivers Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  | Other = Mutliple clips observed, either result of tag error, injury, or unkown origin |  |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Use of trade names in this report does not imply endorsement by the California Department of Fish and Game.

[^1]:    * BY 2004 returns include only ages 2, 3 and 4.

