

# 2011 CALIFORNIA BEAR TAKE REPORT

September 27, 2012



Prepared by:
Marc Kenyon, Environmental Scientist
Black Bear Program Coordinator
Game Species Conservation Program
Wildlife Branch
1812 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95811

# **Executive Summary**

The 2011 California black bear hunting season resulted in 1,745 bears taken, representing a 13.6% increase from the 2010 season. A total of 21,581 bear tags were issued. Overall hunter success was 8%. The bear hunting season closed December 25, 2011, making it the second consecutive season the bear season did not close early. The top five counties for reported take were: Siskiyou (14%), Shasta (12%), Trinity (9%), Humboldt (8%) and Butte (6%).

Of the returned harvest report tags, 42% (726) indicated a female was taken. Approximately 89% of bear hunters (1,546) indicated they spent less than 7 days in pursuit of bear. According to returned harvest report tags, approximately 47% (825) of all bears taken were taken with the assistance of dogs, whereas 40% (699) were not taken with the assistance of dogs; 13% (221) of returned harvest report tags lacked a response. Lastly, 4% of all bears taken were killed with the assistance of guides.

A premolar tooth was removed from nearly all hunter-killed bears for age determination, which is used to estimate the total population size within the bear hunt zones. At the time of this document, the Department is awaiting results of laboratory tooth analyses from the 2011 bear hunting season. According to the most recent data available, the Department estimates approximately 26,390 (±6,889) bears inhabited the area encompassed by the black bear hunting zones prior to the start of the 2010 bear hunting season (Figure 1).

#### Introduction

The primary goal of the California Department of Fish and Game's black bear management program is to maintain a viable and healthy black bear population. To attain this goal, the Department manages bears in accordance with the Black Bear Management Plan (1998), which provides guidance for balancing the needs of this species with the diverse economic and recreational needs of the people of California. This plan was developed in accordance with the State's policy regarding wildlife resources (Fish and Game Code section 1801), which states the following goals:

- a) to provide for the beneficial use and enjoyment of wildlife by all citizens of the state;
- b) to perpetuate all species for their intrinsic and ecological values;
- c) to provide for aesthetic, educational, and nonappropriative uses;
- d) to maintain diversified recreational uses of wildlife including sport hunting;
- e) to provide for economic contributions to the citizens of the state through the recognition that wildlife is a renewable resource; and
- f) to alleviate economic losses or public health and safety problems caused by wildlife.

In order for the State to meet these goals, the Legislature has delegated the power to regulate the take and possession of bears, among other wildlife, to the California Fish and Game Commission. The Commission, in consultation with Department of Fish and Game staff, reviews the factors which may affect the long-term health and viability of the black bear population. These factors are presented in the Black Bear Management Plan as a monitoring matrix (see Table 1), and the results of such monitoring are presented herein.

In 1957, the Commission initiated a tag reporting system for black bears in order to closely monitor the state's bear population. The black bear harvest tag reporting system enables the Department to collect harvest attributes via a self-administered questionnaire in order to monitor the bear population and the behavior of bear hunters in California. Since 1982, all bear tag holders have been required to return their bear harvest report tags to the Department whether or not they successfully take a black bear. The data obtained from these harvest tag reports comprise a substantial portion of this report.

In addition to the data derived from the returned harvest report tags, the Department relies on the age of bears taken during the season to develop population indices. The age-at-harvest data provide insight to the age structure of bears taken during the season. Furthermore, the Department relies on a population estimation model which uses age-at-harvest and sex ratio data to develop a population index to monitor trends. Age data are obtained from extracting a tooth from hunter-killed bears. The results of these analyses are also presented in this report.

# **Summary of 2011 Bear Hunting Regulations**

The general bear season opened concurrently with the opening day of the general deer season in the A, B, C, D, X-8, X9a, X-9b, X-10 and X-12 deer hunting zones. In the remaining portions of the State where bear hunting was allowed, the general bear season opened on the second Saturday in October. The general bear season was to close when the Department received report of 1,700 bears taken, or on December 25, whichever occurred first. Additionally, persons possessing a valid bear tag were able to hunt during a 23-day archery-only season beginning concurrently with the opening day of deer archery season in the respective deer hunt zone.

There was no limit on bear tag sales. The bag and possession limit was one bear per hunter. Bear cubs (bears weighing less than 50 pounds) and females with cubs were prohibited from harvest. The use of dogs to take bear was unlawful during the bear archery season. The use of more than one dog to take bear was prohibited in areas where the general deer season was open. Dogs used for trailing bears during the general season were allowed to be equipped with VHF collars but not GPS-enabled collars or treeing (aka "tip") switches.

#### Results

# Season Length

The 2011 archery bear hunting season opened statewide on August 20 and ended on September 9. The general bear hunting season opened concurrently with general deer hunting season in the A, B, C, D, X8, X9A, X9B, X10 and X12 deer hunting zones. In the remaining deer hunting X zones, bear season commenced October 8. The bear season closed on December 25 pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 14, section 365, making it the second consecutive year the bear season did not close early.

# Tag Sales

In all, 21,581 bear hunters purchased tags. This total consisted of 21,344 resident bear tags and 237 non-resident bear tags. Total bear tag sales in 2011 was 13.1% less than 2010 bear tag sales and 8.3% less than the previous ten years' average.

# Total Take and Sex Composition

In total, 1,745 bears were taken during the 2011 black bear hunting season. Although the 2011 bear take was 13.9% greater than hunt year 2010 (Figure 2), it does not statistically differ from the previous three years' average take of 1,816 bears (P = 0.8434, df = 2). Of the 1,745 bears taken, 992 (57%) were male, 726 (42%) were female, and 27 (1%) harvest report tags did not report sex (Figure 3).

#### Hunter Effort

Similar to 2010, most bear hunters who were successful in harvesting a bear spent a week or less afield (Figure 4). More than half of all successful hunters reported having spent a day or less in the field; 34% of successful hunters spent from 2 to 7 days in the field and the remaining 11% spent 8 or more days in the field. Overall, hunters spent 3.87 days in the field before harvesting a bear.

#### Methods of Take

California bear hunters use various methods to harvest bear. Overall, the use of rifles accounted for 88% of the bear harvest, followed by archery equipment (7%), pistol (3%), and shotgun (1%). Muzzleloader or crossbow use comprised the remaining 0.5% of the total bear harvest. Individuals using a rifle, archery equipment or a shotgun spent, on average, 3.5 days in the field before taking a bear, whereas individuals using a pistol spent 6.6 days and individuals using muzzleloaders or crossbows spent 7.6 and 30 days in the field, respectively.

In 2011, 47% of returned bear harvest tags indicated bears were harvested with the assistance of dogs (Figure 5), whereas 40% of bears were reportedly taken without using dogs; 13% did not report. On average, hound hunters (individuals who reported taking a bear with the assistance of dogs) spent 4.2 days in the field before taking a bear, compared to 3.7 days for non-hound hunters. This disparity in effort likely reflects hound-hunters' self-reported propensity to tree multiple bears before taking one; however the Department does not currently collect data to fully address this. Lastly, 89% of hound hunters took a bear with a rifle, 6% used a pistol, and 3% used archery equipment. This is in contrast to non-hound hunters, 86% of whom took a bear with a rifle, 12% used archery equipment, and the remaining 2% used a pistol, shotgun or muzzleloader.

Similarly to previous years, hunters who took a bear while deer hunting accounted for approximately one-third of the total harvest (Table 2); only 3% of these hunters reportedly used archery equipment. Over 55% of hunters took their bear while only bear hunting and 3% of these hunters used archery equipment.

Of all bears taken, 72 (4%) were taken with the use of a guide. Of these, 6 (8.3%) were nonresident hunters. The remaining bears taken with the assistance of a guide (66) were done so by California resident hunters.

#### Timing of Take

Bears were predominantly harvested in October (Figure 6), most likely due to hound use restrictions. Similar to previous years, the number of bears harvested in November and December were less than

the month previous, reflecting decreasing bear availability due to inclement weather and denning chronology.

#### Location

Northern California counties provide some of the better bear hunting in the State (Table 3). Siskiyou, Shasta, and Trinity counties accounted for 14%, 12% and 9% of the total bear harvest, respectively. Humboldt, Butte and Mendocino counties accounted for 8%, 6% and 6% of the total statewide 2011 bear harvest, respectively. These northern counties provide some of the better bear habitat in the state – areas of mixed aged conifers interspersed with open meadows and mixed hardwood forests that provide ample amounts of calorie-dense berries and nuts.

# Estimated Population Size

A premolar tooth was removed from nearly all hunter-killed bears for age determination, which is used to estimate the total population size within the bear hunt zones. At the time of this document, the Department is awaiting results of laboratory tooth analyses from the 2011 bear hunting season. According to the most recent data available, the Department estimates approximately 26,390 (±6,889) bears inhabited the area encompassed by the black bear hunting zones prior to the start of the 2010 bear hunting season (Figure 1). It is important to note that this method only estimates bears within the current hunt zone, prior to the commencement of the previous year's hunting season. As bears occupy habitats outside the 2010 hunt zone, the Statewide population is likely greater than this number.

#### Monitoring Matrix

The Department monitors the black bear population in accordance with the 1998 Black Bear Management Plan. Contained within this plan is a matrix of thresholds of concern for the statewide black bear population. The plan states that if two or more of these thresholds are exceeded, the Department will recommend to the Fish and Game Commission that the bear harvest be reduced. One of these thresholds has been exceeded (more than 40% of the harvest was comprised of females, Table 1). Total bear harvest did not exceed the threshold as total harvest was greater than 1,000, nor was there a statistically significant reduction in harvest when compared to the previous three years' average. The effort and population index threshold requires a significant decline in both take per hunter effort and the population index; this threshold was not exceeded because there was an increase in take per hunter effort when compared to the previous three years' average. The Department will evaluate the remaining threshold once the ages of hunter-killed bears have been ascertained.

Figure 1. Bear Population Index




Figure 2. Annual Bear Harvest




Table 1. Resulting Matrix for Monitoring California's 2011 Black Bear Take.

Monitoring Technique	Threshold of Concern	2011 Data <sup>a</sup>	Threshold Exceeded?
Median Ages of Hunter Killed Bears	Female ages <4.0 years old; -or- statistically significant reduction in median age for combined sexes.	TBD	TBD
Percent Females in Harvest	>40 percent.	41.6 percent	YES
Total Harvest	<1,000 <b>or</b> statistically significant reduction; only if reduction is independent of administrative action.	1,745  No statistically significant reduction in harvest	NO
Kill per Hunter Effort and Population Index	Statistically significant decline in <b>both</b> kill per hunter effort and in population index.	No significant decline in kill per hunter effort  Population Index TBD	NO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The results of tooth analysis age data for bears taken during the 2011 hunting season are unavailable at the time of this report. Hence, the median age of bears taken during the 2011 season and the 2011 bear population index are yet to be determined (TBD).

Figure 3. Bear Take Sex Composition





Figure 4. Hunter Effort.



**Table 2. Method of Take Summary** 

	Not Archery Hunting	Archery Hunting	Unknown	Grand Total
Bear Hunting	52.3%	3.3%	0.2%	55.8%
Took a Bear while Deer Hunting	24.9%	2.9%	0.1%	27.9%
Unknown	6.8%	0.5%	9.1%	16.3%
Grand Total	84.0%	6.7%	9.3%	100.0%

Figure 5. Use of Dogs.





Figure 6. Monthly Bear Take



**Table 3. Bear Take by County** 

County	# of Bears Harvested	Percent of Total Harvest
Alpine	7	0.4%
Amador	3	0.2%
Butte	107	6.1%
Calaveras	28	1.6%
Colusa	2	0.1%
Del Norte	18	1.0%
El Dorado	53	3.0%
Fresno	61	3.5%
Glenn	35	2.0%
Humboldt	133	7.6%
Inyo	4	0.2%
Kern	55	3.2%
Kings	1	0.1%
Lake	10	0.6%
Lassen	25	1.4%
Los Angeles	5	0.3%
Madera	31	1.8%
Mariposa	16	0.9%
Mendocino	101	5.8%
Modoc	8	0.5%
Mono	12	0.7%
Napa	1	0.1%
Nevada	22	1.3%
Placer	38	2.2%
Plumas	65	3.7%
San Bernardino	19	1.1%
Santa Barbara	5	0.3%
Shasta	200	11.5%
Sierra	30	1.7%
Siskiyou	251	14.4%
Tehama	58	3.3%
Trinity	158	9.1%
Tulare	84	4.8%
Tuolumne	55	3.2%
Ventura	14	0.8%
Yolo	1	0.1%
Yuba	20	1.1%
Unknown	9	0.5%
Total	1745	100.0%