STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME 926 "J" Street Sacramento. 14, California

April 28, 1954

TO:

Chief, Game Management Branch

FROM:

C. M. Ferrel, Game Biologist

SUBJECT: Report of the 1953 Game Take Hunter Questionnaire Survey.

The standard procedure for the Department's hunter questionnaire survey that has been followed since 1948 was again used in 1953. An approximate two percent random sample of the license buyers were mailed a questionnaire. A total of 10,163 questionnaires were mailed shortly after the close of the last regular hunting season. Some 3,888 (38.3%) of the total were returned. Table 1 gives the questionnaire mailing and return for each county.

Previous to 1953, a post card system was used. However, this past season a printed questionnaire form was prepared and sent out by letter. A business reply envelope (return postage guaranteed) was inclosed with the printed form. Advantages of using a printed form were that it allowed for securing more information from the hunters and the forms were easier to fill out. It was thought that the use of this type of form would increase the response, but this failed to materialize. In fact, the last post card questionnaire had a return of 40.1%.

HUNTING LICENSE SALES

The hunting license sales for the 1953-54 license year compared to the 1952-53 series are given below:

License	<u>1953–54</u>	<u>1952–53</u>
Deer tags Pheasant tags Federal duck stamps Hunting licenses	370,934 214,736 193,104 594,051	369,150 205,041 215,383 588,170

The hunting license figures for the 1953-54 series are not yet compiled, so the figure of 594,051 is based on an estimated 1% increase in sales over the 1952-53 license year, as indicated by present returns.

Results

The total hunting license sale figure divided by the number of returned questionnaires provides the factor in calculating the total kill figures for each game species. The factor for this year was 152.79. In other words, the reported bag for each species of game is multiplied by this figure to get the Statewide totals.

Table 2 gives the Statewide game kill figures since 1948. A county break-down of the kill for all game species except deer, bear and jack snipe is given

in Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6. Accurate county figures for the deer kill are available from the deer tag report. Table 7 gives the ten leading counties of kill for quail, pheasants, doves, pigeons, ducks, geese, rabbits, jack rabbits and tree squirrels, the region number and the position compared to 1952. Tables 8 to 15 give information on where hunters from the main population centers travel to do their hunting.

It was found that 5.7% of the hunting license buyers did not hunt and that 20.8% of the hunters that did hunt were unsuccessful. In other words, 26.5% of the license buyers did not figure in the Statewide game kill.

DEER

Deer kill figures are based on the deer tag returns because a more accurate county breakdown is possible than can be obtained from the questionnaires.

The 1953 deer kill was 59,004, 16.2% better than in 1952. This is the second best deer kill on record; the record kill of 64,619 was made in 1951.

A number of questions were asked on deer in order to get information not possible to secure from the tag returns.

It was found that 18.3% of the successful deer hunters took two deer and 81.7% took one deer. 4.9% of the deer tag buyers did not hunt and 71.2% of the deer hunters that did hunt were unsuccessful.

Antlerless Deer Hunting Poll

Table 16 gives a county breakdown of hunter opinion on hunting antherless deer, 68% of the hunters favored some form of antherless deer hunting (see attached hunting questionnaire for type of questions asked) and 32% were opposed to all antherless deer hunting. Generally, hunters from the large population centers were more favorable to antherless deer hunting and the small population or rural counties were less favorable although there were some exceptions to this.

Deer Hunting in Relation to Land Ownership

Table 17 gives the county breakdown on deer hunting on national forest, other government and private lands. 49.8% of the days spent deer hunting was on the national forests, 12.2% on other government property, and 38.0% on private lands. The total amount of deer hunting on government lands was 62%.

Hunters reported spending an average of 7.1 days in the field hunting deer.

QUAIL

A record quail harvest was made in 1953 and showed a 24.1% increase in the kill over 1952. Field reports had indicated a better harvest was expected. The second best quail year was 1948 when 1,714.400 were bagged.

PHEASANTS

1953 proved to be the top pheasant year and the bag increased 25.9% over 1952, which was the previous high year. The figures given in Table 2 includes the take on the licensed game bird clubs. In Table 3, where the county breakdown is given, only those birds killed in the regular season are tallied.

Previous to this year, it had been impossible to segregate the regular season from the Licensed Game Bird Club kill.

Field reports on pheasant hunting had indicated a general increase in the kill was to be anticipated. 55,200 hunters hunted on the State Co-op areas. This represented about 21.0% of the pheasant tag buyers.

DOVES

The 1953 dove harvest was the top year for this species and showed a 12.1% raise over 1952, which was the previous high year.

PIGEONS

The pigeon kill was the second highest on record and showed a 65.8% raise over 1952. The best pigeon harvest was made in 1948 when 314,800 were taken.

Field reports during the season had not indicated that an increased kill was to be expected, so the questionnaire results were surprising. The county kill records showed marked changes with only three counties which were in the first ten in 1952 appearing in that group in 1953. Kern and Monterey counties, which are usually in the first ten in kill, were not in that group in 1953. Pigeons show an erractic distribution pattern from year to year which shows up in the kill records.

DUCKS

The 1953 duck harvest was about the same as in 1952, being less than one percent lower than 1952's record kill. Increased bag limit and a slightly longer season were in effect in 1953. Although the Departments winter inventory showed duck numbers to be on a par with 1952, late migrations and dry unfavorable hunting weather during the first half of the split season acted to keep the kill slightly below 1952.

Additional data on waterfowl hunting are as follows:

Banded ducks bagged	22,300
(a ratio of one banded duck to 206 unbanded taken	.)
Average number of days hunting per hunter	8
Hunters using the Tule Lake-Lower Klamath Federal ar	rea 16,200
Ducks bagged on the area 139.20	0
Geese bagged on the area 79,80	0
Hunters using State waterfowl management areas	30,300
(approximately 12% of the duck stamp buyers)	
Ducks bagged on State waterfowl management areas	236,700

Ducks bagged on private and commercial clubs amounted to a little over 42% of the total ducks taken. This is a maximum figure as the small groups which go in together and lease hunting privileges on small tracts of land are included as well as the large more highly organized clubs.

GEESE

Goose hunting showed a 18.4% improvement over 1952, which had been the previous high year. Field reports had indicated some unusually good goose hunting in 1953.

RABBITS (Brush and Cottontail)

The rabbit bag was the best on record and an 88.1% increase was recorded over 1952. 1948 was the highest previous year with 597,300 taken.

JACK RABBITS

A 20.4% increase in the harvest over 1952, the previous high year, was recorded.

TREE SQUIRRELS

The squirrel bag increased 148.7% over 1952, and was the second highest recorded kill. The best year was 1948 when 74,200 were bagged.

It can be noted in Table 6 that there are tree squirrels reported from counties where there is no open season for the species. This indicates that a number of the squirrels reported taken actually may be ground squirrels.

BEAR

The bear kill increased 38.5% over 1952 and showed the highest kill on record. The second best year was 1950 when 5,700 were bagged. The leading bear hunting counties were Placer, Humboldt, Mendocino, Shasta and Siskiyou.

JACK SNIPE

The first open season on Jack snipe since 1940 was held in 1953, with a reported bag of 44,200.

CHECKS ON THE VALIDITY OF THE HUNTER QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS

There are two Department hunting operations that give accurate kill figures on known areas, the waterfowl management and the pheasant cooperation hunting areas. By comparing the questionnaire results with the known figures for these areas, an index of the accuracy of the questionnaire results was obtained.

Waterfowl Management Areas

Actual duck bag on waterfowl management areas 100,000 Questionnaire results 236,700 Exaggeration = 2.4 times actual kill or 136.7% Correction factor to be applied to questionnaire figure to arrive at estimated duck kill would be 0.42.

Pheasant Cooperative Areas

Actual co-op bag

Questionnaire results

Exaggeration = 2.0 times actual kill or 100%

Correction factor to be applied to questionnaire figure
to get estimated pheasant kill would be 0.5

The number of hunters reported using the pheasant and duck areas showed good correlation with actual numbers.

Other Validity Checks

Kill on licensed game bird clubs by questionnaire	85,100
Actual kill	28,375
Hunters using L. G. B. C. from questionnaire	13,600
Actual number	5,400

The above figures show poor correlation both as to kill and to number of hunters. Because the number of hunters reported using these areas is so far off, it is felt that there must have been some confusion in the minds of the hunters as to just what constitutes a licensed game bird club. Perhaps some hunters thought that some of the community and organization pheasant hunting areas were licensed game bird clubs.

Deer - reported kill from questionnaire	143,900
Deer tag count	59,003
Exaggeration - 2.4 times the tag count	

The exaggeration in this case is probably less than 2.4 because it is known that a considerable number of hunters fail to turn in the deer tags. Unfortunately, no deer check areas were available to derive a correction factor.

Special Tag Sales Check

Deer tag sales by questionnaire	406,900
Actual sales	370,934
Exaggeration	9.7%
Pheasant tag sales by questionnaire	261,100
Actual sales	214,736
Exaggeration	21.6%
Federal duck stamp sales by questionnaire	243,700
Actual sales	193,104
Exaggeration	26.2%

The above figures indicate fair correlation between reported license sales and actual sales, although some exaggeration is apparent. A source of error may be from a family member receiving the questionnaire and reporting a license purchase by some other member. Also, there is a possibility that hunting license buyers that do not purchase special tags or do not hunt, fail to return the questionnaires in a slightly greater proportion than the successful tag buyers.

CONCLUSION

It is apparent that game kill figures derived from hunter questionnaires are unreliable as to actual numbers of game bagged. Their chief value is in establishing trends in the kill from year to year.

By comparing questionnaire results for ducks and pheasants with the known kill on waterfowl management areas and pheasant co-ops, a correction factor was derived which applies to these species. These factors were 0.4 for ducks and 0.5 for pheasants. Similar opportunities to derive factors for other game species were not available.

C. M. Ferrel
Game Biologist
Game Management Branch

TABLE 1
MAILING AND RETURN BY COUNTY OF 1953 HUNTER QUESTIONNAIRES

<u>MA</u>	ILING AND RETURN BY	COUNTY OF 1953 HU	NTER QUESTIONNALRES	75
	Questionnaires	Percent of	Questionnaires	Percent
County	Mailed	Total Mailed	Returned	Returned
Alameda	536	5.3	228	42.5
Alpine	1	trace	0	0.0
Amador	25	0.2	10	40.0
Butte	246	2.4	108	43.9
Calaveras	35	0.3	8	22.9
Colusa	52	0.5	20	38.5
Contra Costa	356	3.5	142	39.9
Del Norte	37	0.4	11	29.7
El Dorado	52	0.5	19	36.5
Fresno	425	4.2	1 <i>5</i> 8	37.2
Glenn	75	0.7	24	32.0
Humboldt	238	2.3	82	34.5
Imperial	76	0.7	25	32.9
Inyo	47	0.5	17	36.2
Kern	381	3.7	132	34.6
Kings	71	0.7	29	40.8
Lake	52	0.5	21	40.4
Lassen	95	0.9	28	29.5
Los Angeles	1514	15.0	627	41.4
Madera	62	0.6	33	53.2
Marin	110	1.1	49	44.5
Mariposa	11	0.1	4	36.4
Mendocino	164	1.6	53	32.3
Merced	181	1.8	64	35.4
Modoc	59	0.6	22	37.3
Mono	6	0.1	0	0.0
Monterey	171	1.7	51	29.8
Napa	84	0.8	37	44.0
Nevada	62	0.6	19	30.6
Urange	120	1.2	50	41.7
Placer	100	1.0	46	46.0
Plumas	57	0.6	24	42.1
Riverside	133	1.3	45	33.8
Sacramento	526	5.3	207	39.4
San Benito	32	0.3	12	37.5
San Bernardino	179	1.8	60	33.5
San Diego	358	3.5	141	39.4
San Francisco	314	3.1	121	38.5
San Joaquin	344	3.4	128	37.2
San Luis Obisp		1.3	51	39.5
San Mateo	227	2.2	103	45.4
Santa Barbara	118	1.2	35	29.7
Santa Clara	309	3.0	123	39.8
Santa Cruz	89	0.9	31	34.8
Shasta	207	2.0	74	35.7
Sierra	8	0.1	2	25.0
Siskiyou	204	2.0	83	40.7
Solano	182	1.8	79	43.4
Sonoma	198	1.9	89	44.9
Stanislaus	268	2.6	96	35.8
Sutter	105	1.0	33	31.4
Tehama	82	0.8	21	25.6
	21	0.2	12	57.1
Trinity	242	2.4	68	28.1
Tulare	242 40	0.4	12	30.0
Tuolumne Ventuma	109	1.1	31	28.4
Ventura Volo	135	1.3	53	39•3
Yolo Yuba	*35 86	0.8	27	31.4
Cut of State	17	0.2	<u>10</u>	<u> 58.8</u>
momato	70 763	700 0	3.888	38.3

TABLE 2
STATEWIDE GAME KILL - 1948 - 1953

Species	Yearly Ave. B 1948-1951	ag Bag 1952	Bag 1953	% Change from 1952
DEER (Figures from deer tag reports)	52,904	50,783	59,004	÷ 16.2
QUAIL	1,352,100	1,644,700	2,041,400	+ 24.1
PHEASANTS	476 ,7 00	581,800	732,400	± 25.9
DOVES	2,146,200	2,595,200	2,909,100	+ 12.1
PIGEONS	167,000	177,400	294,200	÷ 65.8
DUCKS	2,549,000	4,642,800	4,599,600	- 0.9
GEESE	310,200	490,300	580,500	+ 18.4
RABBITS (Brush, Cottontail)	443,900	504,800	949,400	+ 88.1
JACK RABBITS	719,000	986,700	1,188,100	+ 20.4
TREE SQUIRRELS	48,000	26,700	66,400	+ 148.7
BEARS	3,300	5,200	7,200	† 38 . 5
JACK SNIPES (First open season 1940)	since	and map	44,200	

TABLE 3 1953 HUNTER QUESTIONNAIRE - CALCULATED BAG BY COUNTY

		
County	<u>Quail</u>	Pheasants
Alameda	27,700	4,000
Alpine	800	0
Amador	18,500	600
Butte	35,900	57,900
Calaveras	37,300	300
Colusa	4,900	55,800
Contra Costa	6,700	6,100
Del Norte	None reported	None reported
El Dorado	39,600	None reported
Fresno Glenn	135,400	21,800 41,900
Humboldt	10,200	None reported
Imperial	37,400 33,300	6,700
Inyo	34 , 800	4,400
Kern	182,100	8,600
Kings	17,300	2,300
Lake	41,900	None reported
Lassen	17,400	4,100
Los Angeles	57,900	4,300
Madera	55,900	8,400
Marin	16,800	None reported
Mariposa	34,500	1,500
Mendocino	75,600	None reported
Merced	22,900	30,400
Modoc	22,600	13,300
Mono	3,100	300
Monterey	60,000	1,700
Napa	33,300	2,100
Nevada	6,600	None reported 800
Orange	16,800	
Placer Plumas	47,500 4,400	8,300 300
Riverside	122,800	5 , 500
Sacramento	18,300	48,000
San Benito	35,900	1,200
San Bernardin		4,600
San Diego	84,000	1,200
San Francisco	None reported	None reported
San Joaquin	64,600	45,200
San Luis Obis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	None reported
San Mateo	14,800	500
Santa Barbara		500
Santa Clara	52,700	3,100
Santa Cruz	14,400	200
Shasta	34,400	6,000 900
Sierra Siskiyou	1,100 19,600	13,900
Solano	3,400	22,500
Sonoma	47,100	9,600
Stanislaus	28,600	39,300
Sutter	1,500	51,200
Tehama	13,000	8,300
Trinity	2,400	None reported
Tulare	71,000	8,300
Tuolumne	24,600	None reported
Ventura	31,200	300
Yolo	6,600	65,900
Yuba	22,900	17,900
Not given	5,000 2 001 000	5,300 615,300
ጥ/ ጥልተ.ዴ	2 OKT MOO	nun. Kuu

1 - 1 - 1

TABLE 4 1953 HUNTER QUESTIONNAIRE - CALCULATED BAG BY COUNTY

	<u> </u>	
County	<u>Doves</u>	Pigeons
Alameda	15,700	None reported
Alpine	None reported	None reported
Amador	10,100	9,300
Butte	54,200	9,300
Calaveras	6,900	12,800
Colusa	48,100	None reported
Contra Costa	26,000	None reported
Del Norte	None reported	500
El Dorado Fresno	10,500	9,000
Glenn	243,700	9,200
Humboldt	34,800	300
Imperial	None reported 349,300	1,800
Inyo	15,400	None reported
Kern	189,200	None reported 5,300
Kings	53,600	None reported
Lake	36,400	500
Lassen	30,700	900
Los Angeles	105,300	5,800
Madera	49,700	600
Marin	3,200	None reported
Mariposa	12,400	300
Mendocino	12,700	18,300
Merced	107,900	1,100
Modoc	12,100	None reported
Mono	None reported	None reported
Monterey	32,700	8,400
Napa	27,200	500
Nevada	3,100	600
Crange Placer	53,600	1,800
Plumas	50,400	3,200
Riverside	4,000 185,900	None reported
Sacramento	44,000	2,900 800
San Benito	15,700	2,800
San Bernardino		1,700
San Diego	96,900	500
San Francisco	None reported	None reported
San Joaquin	105,300	500
San Luis Obisp		94,400
San Mateo	5,500	7,600
Santa Barbara	23,100	19,100
Santa Clara	36,500	13,100
Santa Cruz	22,500	10,200
Shasta	18,900	3,200
Sierra	None reported	None reported
Siskiyou Solano	35,800 35,400	4,700
Sonoma	35,400 31,900	None reported
Stanislaus	72,300	7,200 900
Sutter	15,300	None reported
Tehama	37,700	600
Trinity	2,400	300
Tulare	221,400	12,400
Tuolumne	5,500	5,800
Ventura	48,600	3,200
Yolo	45,7 00	None reported
Yuba	19,100	2,800
Not given	10,200	None reported
ጥ C ጥ A T.S	OOT OOD C	anti ann

TABLE 5 1953 HUNTER QUESTIONNAIRE - CALCULATED BAG BY COUNTY

		00000
County	Ducks	<u>Geese</u> 500
Alameda	193 ,7 00 900	900
Alpine	2,400	2,000
Amador Butte	169,600	28,900
Calaveras	4,700	1.200
Colusa	399,200	75,900
Contra Costa	82,400	1,700
Del Norte	15,400	600
El Dorado	4,100	None reported
Fresno	81,300	5, 300 -
Glenn	144,400	27,700
Humboldt	68,300	7,600
Imperial	162,300	35 , 300
Inyo	13,000	2,300
Kern	93,800	4,600
Kings	36,800	4,600
Lake	18,200	900
Lassen	56,100	21,400
Los Angeles	12,200	200
Madera	43,500	11,300
Marin	56,400	5,700
Mariposa	1,100	None reported
Mendocino	11,800	600
Merced	638,100	44,500
Modoc	105,300	46,000 1,200
Mono	10,100	900
Monterey	33,300 26,700	200
Napa Nevada	2,400	None reported
Orange	22,600	300
Placer	10,400	200
Plumas	8,300	3,700
Riverside	27,000	1,100
Sacramento	124,500	15,400
San Benito	2,900	None reported
San Bernardino	41,400	600
San Diego	78,500	500
San Francisco	1,500	None reported
San Joaquin	154,800	11,500
San Luis Obispo	25,200	6,900
San Mateo	49,000	500
Santa Barbara	13,100	200
Santa Clara	81,300	200
Santa Cruz	None reported	None reported
Shasta	18,100	7,800
Sierra	4,100	300
Siskiyou	219,700	106,000
Solano	563,200	10,500
Sonoma Stanislaus	95,800	200
Sutter	<i>5</i> 4,500 156,200	7,000 22,200
Tehama	9,900	1,800
Trinity	None reported	None reported
Tulare	9,500	900
Tuolumne	None reported	200
Ventura	24,900	None reported
Yolo	187,500	29,600
Yuba	118,600	8,100
Not given	9,600	12,800
and an area of		

1953 HUNTER QUESTIONNAIRE - CALCULATED BAG BY COUNTY

Rabbits

	<u> Rabbits</u>		
County	(Brush and Cottontail)	Jack Rabbits	Tree Squirrels
Alameda	5,800	20,500	500
Alpine	None reported	200	800
Amador	600	None reported	2,600
Butte	2,600	15,600	6 , 300
Calaveras	2,000	1,400	4,600
Colusa	600	16,500	None reported
Contra Costa	200	6,900	None reported
Del Norte	None reported	None reported	None reported
El Dorado	2,000	3,200	8,600
Fresno	15,000	34,700	None reported
Glenn	1,200	15,300	None reported
Humboldt	3,200	2,300	None reported
Imperial	27,800	12,100	None reported
Inyo	8,700	4,900	None reported
Kern	106,300	156,600	300 -
Kings	3,700	15,700	None reported
Lake	800	15,000	900
Lassen	1,100	4,100	None reported
Los Angeles	127,900	201,700	2,000
Madera	2,300	17,300	500
Marin	600	1,500	1,500
Mariposa	1,100	None reported	800
Mendocino	6,300	10,100	None reported
Merced	11,600	62,000	None reported
Modoc	800	20,000	None reported
Mono	None reported	None reported	600
Monterey	14,200	4,700	4,000
Napa	200	2,600	Nome reported
Nevada	1,100	4,900	1,800
Orange	42,000	28,300	None reported
Placer	6,100	14.700	2,100
Plumas	None reported	800	1,200
Riverside	178,000	59,300	3,800
Sacramento	3,800	21,700	200
San Benito	5,800	9,600	None reported
San Bernardino	70,300	70,300	None reported
San Diego	127,000	25,400	300
San Francisco	300	None reported	None reported
San Joaquin	6,900	66,300	1,500
San Luis Obispo	15,700	8,300	None reported
San Mateo	15,900	3,100	1,700
Santa Barbara	46,000	34,500	800
Santa Clara	3,500	8,700	5,200
Santa Cruz	8,600	500	200
Shasta	9,200	1,800	5,700
Sierra	None reported	None reported	None reported
Siskiyou	3,500	2,300	2,300
Solano	900	18,900	None reported
Sonoma	9,800	22,600	None reported
Stanislaus	3,700	21,200	200
Sutter	1,200	15,600	None reported
Tehama	1,100	28,700	1,200
Trinity	200	None reported	1,700
Tulare	6,700	33,800	200
Tuolumne	1,200	1,100	1,400
Ventura	33,300	8,100	None reported
Yolo	500	18,600	None reported
Yuba	200	6,900	300
Not given	300	7,200	600
TOTAT.S	0/10 //00	700 700	

TABLE 7

GAME BAG ~ 1953

(TEN LEADING COUNTIES)

	% OF STATE	POSITION	REG ION		% OF STATE	POSITION	REGION
	TOTAL	1952	NO.	.	TOTAL	1952	NO.
<u>CUAIL</u>			1.	PHEASANTS	40.0	•	•
1. KERN	8.9	1	j t	1. YOLO	10.2	2	2
2. FRESNO	6.6	5	4	2. BUTTE	9.0	3	2
3. RIVERSIDE	6.0	ļ	5	3. COLUSA	8.6	1	2
4. SAN DIEGO	4.1	3	5	4. SUTTER	7.9	5	2
5. MENDOCINO	3•¶	13	3	5. SACRAMENTO	7.4	6	2
6. SAN LUIS OBISPO	-	10	3	6. SAN JOAQUIN	7.0	7	2
7. TULARE	3-5	2	Ħ	7. GLENN	6.5	4	2
8. SAN JOAQUIN	3.2	21	2	8. STANISLAUS	6 •1	10	Ħ
9. MONTEREY	2.9	12	3	9. MERCED	4-7	g	ų
10. Los Angeles	2.8	7	5	10. SOLANO	3 • 5	11	2
11. ALL OTHERS	54.7			11. ALL OTHERS	29.1		
Doves				PIGEONS			
1. IMPERIAL	12.0	2	5	1. SAN LUES OBISE	0 32.1	3	3
2. FRESNO	8 - 4	3	Ħ	2. SANTA BARBARA	6.5	26	5
3. TULARE	7.6	1	þ	3. MENDOCINO	6.2	15	3
4. KERN	6.5	¥	Ļ	4. SANTA CLARA	4.5	36	3
5. RIVERSIDE	6.4	5	5	5. CALAVERAS	#*#	23	2
6. MERCED	3•7	7	14	6. TULARE	4.2	8	4
7. LOS ANGELES	3.6	8	5	7. SANTA CRUZ	3.5	12	3
8. SAN JOAQUIN	3.6	9	2	8. AMADOR	3.2	34	2
9. SAN LUIS OBISP	-	14	3	9. BUTTE	3.2	9	2
10. SAN DIEGO	3.3	6	5	10. FRESNO	3.1	20	4
11. ALL OTHERS	41.5		•	11. ALL OTHERS	29.1		
Ducks				GEESE			
1. MERCED	13.9	1	14	1. SISKIYOU	18.3	1	1
2. SCLANO	12.2	2	2	2. COLUSA	13.1	2	2
3. COLUSA	8.7	Ų	2	3. MODOC	7.9	3	1
4. SISKIYOU	4.8	3	1	4. MERCED	7-7	6	4
5. ALAMEDA	4.2	11	3	5. IMPERIAL	6.1	15	5
6. YOLO	4.1	8	ź	6. YCLO	5.1	ģ	2
7. BUTTE	3•7	14	2	7. BUTTE	5.0	5	2
8. IMPERIAL	3.5	5	5	8. GLENN	4.8	Ţ	2
9. SUTTER	3. 4	6	5	9. SUTTER	3.8	8	2
•	3.3	12	2	10. LASSEN	3.7	10	1
11. ALL OTHERS	38.2	75	•	11. ALL OTHERS	24.5		•
RABBITS (BRUSH, CO	(31ATMOT3			JACK RABBITS			
1. RIVERSIDE	18.7	1	5	1. LOS ANGELES	17.0	1	5
2. Los Angeles		3	5		13.2	2	4
	13.4	2	5	3. SAN BERNARDING		13	
4. KERN	11.2	4	Įt Š	4. SAN JOAQUIN	5•6	6	5
5. SAN BERNARDINO		6	5	5. MERCED	5•2	ij.	2 1:
6. SANTA BARBARA	1.4	20					Ē. Įt
	4.8 4.4		5	6. RIVERSIDE	5.0 2.0	7	5
7. ORANGE		10	5	7. FRESNO	2.9	10	4
8. VENTURA	3•5	8	5	8. SANTA BARBARA	2.8	37	5
9. IMPERIAL	2.9	18	5	9. TULARE	2.8	5	Ħ
10. SAN MATEO	1.7	16	3	10. TEHAMA	2.4	29	1
11. ALL OTHERS	18.5			11. ALL OTHERS	37.2		

TABLE 8
WHERE BAY AREA (S.F., ALAMEDA, CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES) HUNTERS BAG THEIR
GAME. EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG IN THE COUNTIES HUNTED. 1953 SEASON.

County	<u>Deer</u> 11	Pheasants	Ducks	Doves	Quail
Alameda	11	5	12	8	28
Alpine	5 2				
Amador	2				
Butte		6	2 16		
Colusa		18	16	7	
Contra Costa		5	6	14	7
El Dorado	2				7 2
Fresno			3	7	
Glenn		11	-	7 6	4
Kings					4 2 7
Lake	5			7	$\tilde{7}$
Lassen	5 3 3			•	•
Marin	3				
Mariposa	_				2
Mendocino	19			۲	2 7
Merced	-	3	5	5 9	•
Modoc	4	,	,	,	
Monterey	3				6
Napa	3			6	חנ
Placer	3 3 2 3	2		6 2	10 5
Plumas	3	~		2	J
Sacramento	,	7	5	2	
San Francisco		ŧ	2	4	
San Joaquin		8	5 2 3	3	
San Mateo		C))	2 3 4
Santa Clara	વ) !
Shasta	3			2	4
Sierra	6			۷	
Siskiyou	3 3 6 5			2	
Solano	,	5	00	2 3	
Sonoma	2	J	20)	
Stanislaus	2	J ₁		_	
Sutter		4 5	•	5	
Tehama	4	כ	2		
Tuolumne	4				
Yolo	4	3.6	•	٠.	3
All Others	0	15 6_	2	4	0
TOTALS	100		20	6_	8
工作的证明	100	100	100	100	100

TABLE 9
WHERE LOS ANGELES AREA (L.A., ORANGE COUNTIES) HUNTERS BAG THEIR
GAME. EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG IN THE COUNTIES HUNTED.

1953 SEASON

County	Deer	Pheasants	Ducks	Doves	Quail
Alpine	2				
Colusa		16	2 3		
Fresno	5		3		
Glenn		5 2 6 7			
Imperia1		6	31	26	4
Inyo	8	7	2		4 6 22
Kern	8 6 2 2 12	4	13	6	22
Lake	2				
Lassen	2				
Los Angeles	12	14	4	20	20
Madera	2				
Mendocino	2				
Modoc	2 2 2 12 6 2	3			
Mono	12		2 7		
Orange	6	3	7	11	6
Plumas	2				_
Riverside		7	4	22	28
Sacramento		6			
San Benito	4				
San Bernardino		2	7	4	3 3
San Diego				4	3
San Joaquin		3			
Santa Barbara	6				3
Shasta	2				
Siskiyou			7		
Stanislaus		7 5			
Sutter		5			
Tehama	2				
Tulare	2 7 4				
Tuolumne					
Ventura	4		7	3	
Yolo		4			
All others	8	6_	11	<u> 4</u>	5_
TOTAL	100	100	100	100	100

TABLE 10
WHERE SAN DIEGO AREA (SAN DIEGO COUNTY) HUNTERS BAG THEIR GAME.
EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG OF THE COUNTIES HUNTED.
1953 SEASON

<u>County</u> Imperial Riverside	Deer	Pheasants 33	Ducks 29	Doves 39	Quail 8
San Diego Sutter	90	38 24	70	60	86
Tulare All Others TOTAL	9 1 100	<u>5</u>	100	100	<u>3</u>

TABLE 11
WHERE BAKERSFIELD AREA (KERN COUNTY) HUNTERS BAG THEIR GAME.
EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG IN THE COUNTIES HUNTED, 1953 SEASON

THY THE SOUTH	IN PERCENT	OF BAG IN	THE COUNTIES	HONTED, 1953	SEASON	
County		Deer	Pheasants	Ducks	Doves	Quail
Colusa				4		
Fresno			10	4		
Imperial					5	
Kern		46	74	82	79	7 5
Kings			6			
Monterey		7				
San Bernardino					3	
San Luis Obispo		7			_	5
Santa Barbara		11				5
Santa Clara		7				-
Tulare		14			8	6
All Others		8	10_	10	5	9
TOTALS		100	100	100	100	100

TABLE 12 WHERE FRESNO AREA (FRESNO COUNTY) HUNTERS BAG THEIR GAME. EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG IN THE COUNTIES HUNTED, 1953 SEASON.

Butte		8			
Colusa		4			
Fresno	54	65	40	85	79
Kings				2	
Madera	12	7	16	3	13
Merced		8	40		
Sacramento		3			
San Benito					2
Shasta	12				
Tulare	8			7	2
All Others	14	5	"4	3	$\tilde{4}$
TOTALS	100	100	100	100	100

TABLE 13 WHERE SACRAMENTO AREA HUNTERS (SACRAMENTO COUNTY) BAG THEIR GAME. EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG IN THE COUNTIES HUNTED, 1953 SEASON.

Alpine	11				
Amador	8			3	5
Butte		4			_
Colusa		2	8		
El Dorado	13	_	_	4	20
Glenn	•			5	2
Lake	11			_	
Mendocino	5				
Modoc	•		2		
Nevada	8		~		
Placer	8 5 8			16	5
Plumas	8				,
Sacramento		43	25	46	12
San Joaquin		2	~,	5	52
Sierra	8	_		,	<i>3</i> ~
Siskiyou	,		2		
Solano		4	2 4		
Sutter		19	12		
Yolo		18	43	17	
Yuba		3	.,	-,	2
All Others	23	5	4	4	4
TOTALS	100	100	100	100	100
	·				

TABLE 14
WHERE CHICO AREA (BUTTE COUNTY) HUNTERS BAG THEIR GAME
EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG IN THE COUNTIES HUNTED, 1953 SEASON

County	Deer	<u>Pheasants</u>	Ducks	Doves	<u>Quail</u>
Butte	45	81	67	81	84
Colusa		6			
Glenn		10	21	11	7
Lassen	7				
Mendocino	7				
Plumas	•				8
Shasta	5				_
Tehama	21				
Trinity	5				
All Others	10	3	4	8	1.
TOTALS	100	100	100	100	100

TABLE 15
WHERE STOCKTON AREA (SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY) HUNTERS BAG THEIR GAME.
EXPRESSED IN PERCENT OF BAG IN THE COUNTIES HUNTED, 1953 SEASON

Alpine	2 6				
Amador Calaveras	10		2		5
Colusa .	13		3		33
El Dorado	9		,		6
Fresno				5	Ŭ
Lake	9				
Merced			9		
Sacramento		4	ŝ		
San Joaquin		82	70	82	47
Santa Clara	9		•		8
Stanislaus	•	11	5		
Tulare				9	
All Others	34	3	3	Ĺ	1
TCTALS	100	100	100	100	3.00

TABLE 16

HUNTER OPINION POLL ON ANTLERLESS DEER HUNTING BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE

HUNTER OF	TMION POINT	ON WHIT	10 CT 15	ON DEBUT	1101		. h.l.o	opinion	bres	a kadowan)	
4	Hunter		9	Thomas	d	Option 1	101 0	Option 2	2 12	Option 3	<u> %</u>
County	Opinions C			Favor	78	97	40	89	36	58	24
Alameda	174	39	22	135	70	91	40	07	٥)0	~~
Alpine	0	-		1-	1. 1.	_	-00	J.	zn.	1	14
Amador	9	5	56	4	44	2	29	4	57	28	29
Butte	83	29	35	54	65	33	35	35	36		
Calaveras	6	5	83	1	17	1	50	.1	50	0	0
Colusa	16	14	88	2	12	0	0	0	0	2	100
Contra Costa	118	36	31	82	69	49	39	47	37	30	24
Del Norte	7	2	29	5	71	1	20	1	20	3 5	60
El Dorado	13	4	31	9	69	4	33	3	25	5	42
Fresno	117	28	24	89	76	52	38	61	45	24	17
Glenn	16	8	50	8	50	- 5 - 5	38	4	31	4	31
		27	42	38	58	23	39	21	36	15	25
Humboldt	65	21		10	77	7	44	7	44.	2	12
Imperial _	13	3	23			6		7	41	ũ	24
Inyo	14	3	21	11	79		35	49	42	2 6	23
Kern	103	26	25	77	75	41	35			6	2J 21
Kings	25	11	44	14	56	10	36	12	43		
Lake	19	7	37	12	63	9	43	7	33	5	24
Lassen	25	11	44	14	56	7	33	9	43	5	24
Los Angeles	473	8 9	19	384	81	256	39	244	37	158	24
Madera	28	12	43	16	57	10	42	10	42	4	16
Marin	42	11	26	31	74	18	38	23	49	6	13
Mariposa	3	2	67	ı	33	0	0	1	100	0	0
Mendocino	43	19	44	24	56	1.8	45	14	35	8	20
Merced	48	1 8	38	30	62	18	43	14	33	10	24
	20	7	35	13	65	5	24	6	29	10	47
Modoc		•	ככ	כו	0))	2.4	O	2.7	10	-71
Mono	0	4. 1.			70	00	l.o	0.7	20	10	18
Monterey	44	14	32	30	68	23	43	21	39		
Napa	32	20	63	12	37	9	45	6	30	5	25
Nevada	18	2	11	16	89	10	40	10	40	5	20
Crange	40	14	35	26	65	18	39	18	39	10	22
Placer	3 8	16	42	22	<i>5</i> 8	13	38	12	35	9	27
Plumas	21	9	43	12	57	6	30	9	45	5	25
Riverside	31	4	13	27	87	19	40	20	43	8	17
Sacrament o	154	45	29	109	71	75	42	59	33	44	25
San Benito	9	5	56	4	44	3	60	2	40	0	0
San Bernardino	44	18	41	26	59	12	30	15	37	13	33
San Diego	117	22	19	95	81	62	38	56	34	47	28
San Francisco	95	24	25	71	75	42	37	47	41	26	22
San Joaquin	100	37	37	63	63	37	38	39	40	21	22
	44	21	48		42	18	49	16	43	3	8
San Luis Obispo	82			23	52 71	40	40	38	38	21	22
San Mateo		24	29	58 23)U 12	25	7	19
Santa Barbara	2 8	7	25	21	75	17	46	13	35		
Santa Clara	94	33	35	61	65	42	42	37	37	22	21
Santa Cruz	27	14	52	13	48	7	32	9	41	6	27
Shasta	5 6	25	45	31	55	17	35	16	33	15	32
Sierra	1	0	0	1	100	1	33	1	33	1	34
Siskiyou	70	34	49	36	51	14	30	17	35	17	35
Solano	66	29	44	37	56	22	39	21	37	14	24
Sonoma	74	35	47	39	53	24	41	21	36	14	23
Stanislaus	66	24	36	42	64	32	44	25	35	15	21
Sutter	24	7	29	17	71)2 11	41	72	44	4	15
Tehama	16	6	38	10	62	7	44	12 6	38	3	18
	11	5	45	6		, 14	44	3		2	
Trinity	7 1 17	با لا ت			55 69			<u>ر</u> ۵۵	33	7	23 16
Tulare		14	32	30	68	19	41	20	43		
Tuolumne	10	4	40	6	60	4	50	4	50	0	0
Ventura	25 1.1.	10	40	15	60	11	42	10	38	5	20
Yolo	44	22	50	22	50	20	45	14	32	10	23
Yuba	17	9_	_53_	8	47	6	43	5_	36	3_	<u>21</u> 23
TOTALS	3,022	969	32	2,053	68	1.317	39	1,271	3 8	786	23

TABLE 17

AMOUNT OF TIME SPENT HUNTING DEER ON GOVERNMENT AND PRIVATE LANDS BY COUNTY OF HUNTER RESIDENCE

		ANDS BY CO	On Nat.	HUML	On other		On	-	Total Ave. days
	Hunters	Reported Days	Forest		Govt.		Private		Hunting per
County	Reporting	Hunted_	Lands	%_	Lands	%	Lands	\$	Hunter
Alameda	150	1019	441	43	100	10 -	478	47	6.8
Amador	9	33	21	64	1	3	11	33	3.7
Butte	7Ó	553	324	59	72	13	157	28	7.9
Calaveras	ίž	36	8	22	Ö	Õ	28	78	5.1
Colusa	6	34	9	26	4	12	21	62	5.7
Contra Costa	94	658	339	52	82	12	237	36	7.0
Del Norte	6	48	27	56	12	25	9	19	8.0
El Dorado	14	108	67	62	5	5	36	33	7.7
Fresno	92	550	399	73	47	8	104	19	6.0
Glenn	14	106	69	65	Ó	0	37	35	7.6
Humboldt	<i>5</i> 8	444	152	34	16	4	276	62	7.7
Imperial	3	22	13	59	7	32	2	9	7•3
Inyo	13	121	116	96	Ó	0	5	4	9.3
Kern	71	411	247	60	46	11	118	29	5. 8
Kings	20	164	84	51	29	18	51	31	8.2
Lake	18	184	58	32	11	5	115	63	10.2
Lassen	19	182	100	55	44	24	38	21	9.6
Los Angeles	325	2085	1308	63	314	15	463	22	6.4
Madera	21	133	59	44	31	24	43	32	6.3
Marin	37	268	72	27	17	6	179	67	7.2
Mariposa	2	15	2	13	1	7	12	80	7•5
Mendocino	43	382	73	19	31	8	278	73	8.9
Merced	32	169	57	34	29	17	83	49	5•3
Modoc	19	157	123	78	22	14	12	8	8.3
Monterey	44	372	108	29	18	5	246	66	8.5
Napa	34	225	58	26	31	14	136	60	6.6
Nevada	16	150	65	43	24	16	61	41	9.4
Orange	24	181	103	57	15	8	63	35	7.5
Placer	3 5	257	99	39	18	7	140	54	7.3
Plumas	18	241	148	61	25	11	68	28	13.4
Riverside	23	174	109	63	21	12	44	25	7.6
Sacramento	125	842	514	61	186	22	142	17	6.7
San Benito	10	151	31	21	6	4	114	75	15.1 9.2
San Bernardino	32	293	257	88	27	,	9	3	9.2 6.2
San Diego	89	550	254	46	109	20	187	34	6.9
San Francisco	79	542	235	43	51 29	10 16	256	47 26	6 . 4
San Joaquin	78	498	291	58 26	78 35	4	129 234	60	9.4
San Luis Obispo	66	387	138 191	36 47	15 62	16	150	37	6.1
San Mateo Santa Barbara	27	403 240	26	10	4	2	210	88	8.9
Santa Clara	78	535	245	46	60	11	230	43	6.9
Santa Cruz	20	153	22	14	3	2	128	84	7•7
Shasta	62	469	249	53	91	19	129	28	7.6
Sierra	î	ź	2	100	Ő	ō	0	0	2.0
Siskiyou	67	582	298	51	92	16	192	33	8.7
Solano	54	421	168	40	7 3	17	180	43	7.8
Sonoma	69	563	110	20	46	8	407	72	8.2
Stanislaus	62	313	175	56	30	9	108	35	5.0
Sutter	16	106	74	70	19	18	13	12	6.6
Tehama	14	56	35	63	10	18	11	19	4.0
Trinity	12	72	48	67	10	14	14	19	6.0
Tulare	41	207	146	71	23	11	38	18	5.0
Tuolumne	9	92	39	42	5	6	48	52	10.2
Ventura	24	190	112	59	6	3	72	38	7.9
Yolo	37	298	161	54	65	22	72	24	8.1
Yuba	14	96	60	63	0	0_	<u>36</u>	37	6.9
TOTAL	2,464	17,543	8,739	49.8	2,144	12.2	6 , 660	38.0	7.1