### American Pika 12-month Status Review

# US Fish and Wildlife Service

Klamath Falls Fish and Wildlife Office

#### Trisha Roninger

November 10, 2009



The ESA Listing Process Can be listed at either: 1) Species Level <u>or</u> 2) Subspecies and/or **Distinct Population Segment Level** 

# **Five Factor Analysis**

- Factor A Present or Threatened Destruction, Modification or Curtailment of Habitat
- Factor B Overutilization for commercial, Recreational, Scientific, or Educational Purposes Factor C – Disease or Predation
- Factor D Inadequacy of Existing Regulatory Mechanisms
- Factor E Other Natural or Manmade Threats

## Data Gaps

- Survey data for each of the 10 states and Canada (most states are lacking)
- Need to work with Canada on combining efforts to collect survey and trend information; Canada has a large portion of the range
- Need more trend information and to be tracking the same populations overtime
  - Long-term datasets will be key for evaluating species that are potentially being impacted by climate change
- Need to better understand the variables that are most useful in predicting extirpation (focus so far has been on climate variables); there may be other variables that are important, but is anyone looking?
  - Determine the utility of bioclimatic envelope models

# **Data Gaps**

- Conversely, need to better understand the variables that allow for pika persistence
  - Common habitat variables across the range of the species
  - More research looking at relationship between subsurface microclimate and persistence/extirpation
- Information on disease in pikas is limited
- Birth rates / survival rates
  - few existing data sets; comparisons between "optimal" habitats and "less optimal" habitats
- When conducting research, should we focus on the indicator species (like the pika) or a community assemblage of multiple species that use the same habitat as pikas?