Protecting the Pika under the Federal and California Endangered Species Act

Shaye Wolf, Center for Biological Diversity

Listing Criteria

- endangered: in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of the range
- threatened: likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of the range
- current population decline is not a requirement for listing
- species, subspecies, or distinct population segments can be listed

Listing process

(1) Evaluate petition to determine if listing "may be warranted"

ESA: 90 days after petition received

CESA: 3 to 4 months after petition received

(2) Status review: comprehensive look at the best available science

(3) Determine whether species should be listed

ESA: 12 months after petition received

CESA: after 12 month status review

Status of petitions

California Petition

- petition filed August 21, 2007
- FGC rejected petition at "may be warranted" stage in April 2008
- CBD challenged decision and prevailed; court ruled that FGC used wrong legal standard in evaluating petition and ordered FGC to reconsider petition in May 2009
- FGC rejected petition again in July 2009 and finalized that decision in October 2009
- CBD challenged decision in October 2009

Federal Petition

- petition filed October 1, 2007
- FWS 8 months overdue on 90-day finding; CBD then filed suit over ESA timeline violations, resulting in settlement requiring decisions by May 2009 and February 2010
- FWS announced in May 2009 that listing may be warranted based on threats from climate change
- full status review underway
- listing decision due February 1, 2010

Strategies to protect vulnerable species from climate change threats

- Research/monitoring understand the problem
- Outreach education
- Mitigation reduce emissions
 - > 2 degrees Celsius
 - > 350 ppm CO₂ consider climate commitment and irreversible impacts
- Adaptation increase resilience

protect future habitat increase habitat connectivity reduce non-climate threats minimize climate stress preserve genetic diversity





(c) William Gladish

Endangered Species Act listing leads to concrete actions to protect climate-vulnerable species

Increased research/monitoring

Listed species often get more research funding and monitoring

Outreach

Educates public by illustrating effects of climate change locally

Mitigation

Consultation process can reduce carbon emissions from agency actions

Adaptation

- 1. Recovery plan
- 2. Critical habitat protection
- 3. Consultation can reduce non-climate stressors

