Please fill in this table if you haven't filled this out before.										
Name of the person that determined fish passage:	Orgai	nization:		Email:						
Experience with anadromous fish passage (yes/no)?	Background in fish passage and examples of fish passage experience:									
Years of experience:										
Geographic experience (i.e., watersheds, counties):										
Trained in anadromous fish passage (ye	Year of training:	Organizati	on that provided the training:							
Type of Engineer:	Engineer License #:									

## Form for providing updates to the Passage Assessment Database (PAD), April 2014 Send to: Anne Elston, PAD Administrator, <u>Anne.Elston@wildlife.ca.gov</u>

I. Contact Information													
Name:								Date:					
Title:								Phone:					
Agency:							Email:						
II. Type of Information													
□ New barrier □ Update													
III. Location													
Stream name: Tributary to:													
Latitude:     Longitude:     Datum:													
Are the coordinates If the coordinates aren't accurate, please explain where the barrier is located (i.e., distance													
accurate (i.e., taken at (in feet) downstream and side of the bank looking downstream):													
the barrier)? □Yes □No       Road route/name:       Milepost:													
Photo(s)?													
fish species upstream after removal):													
Land owner: Structure owner:													
IV. Structure													
PAD ID (if know	,		Date	Construct	ted:	Structu	ire N						
Structure type:	cture type:  Diversion Screen present?				Dam	□ Ford				Utility			
	□ Brid	-			Log jam	□ Culver				eir	idegate		
	🗆 Natu					□ Gravel	□ Gravel/borrow			ade	_ 0		
	What s feature	ort of na	atural		Fish trap	pits			contro	ol		□ Other	
Passage status <sup>1</sup> :  Total  Partia				□ Temporal			□ Temporal			□ Temporal and			
	🗆 Una	ssessed		🗆 Unkno	own	□ Not a barrier			and partial total			l	
Description:													
Is there a fish way present?  Yes No Status of fish way (e.g., functioning ,													
					ork, etc.):								
Was there a sur	way aandua	tod for t	thia aita		Survey Info Survey dat		Dro	togola	ugod.				
Was there a sur □Yes □No	vey conduc	ted for	uns site	: •	Survey da	le:		otocol used: sessed by:					
Fish observed d	ownstream	? ⊓Yes	No.		Species:			Life stage:					
				Species.									
<b>Fish observed upstream?</b> □Yes □No				Species:			Life stage:						
Species blocked: Lifestage:				Direction:				Passage status:					
Wag normiggion	anontad hr	the lon	dormo	n Dor	uan hara a h	and conv	of lo	ndorm		anniacion	9 (if		
Was permission granted by the landowner for site access? 													
VI. Treatment Status and Recommendation													
											Needs		
								ompleted <sup>2</sup> ? monitoring? Monitoring?					
□ Unknown □Yes □No □Yes □No □Yes □No									□Yes □No				
Passage status a								Estimated:					
Barrier removed by (Organization):Costs (\$)Actual:									al:				
Needs treatment?         □Yes         □No         Treatment recommendation:													
Operations and         □Yes □No         Title of operations and maintenance plan:         Date:         Date:							Date:	Operator:					
maintance 🗆 Unknown													
plan? VII. References and Attachments													
Reference Title:     Date:     Author:													
Attachments:       □Photo(s)       □Survey Note/Report       □Restoration/Treatment Report       □Operations & Maintenance Plan													

## 1 – Description of passage statuses:

*Total*: A complete barrier to fish passage for all anadromous species at all life stages at all times of year. *Partial*: Only a barrier to certain species or life stages.

*Temporal*: Only a barrier at certain times of year.

*Temporal and partial:* Only a barrier to certain species or life stages and only at certain times of year. *Temporal and total*: Total barrier only at certain times of year.

*Not a barrier*: Structure/site has been determined not to be a barrier to any species or life stages, and is passable year-round.

*Unknown*: Structure/site has been visited, however, there is no conclusive information about barrier status. *Unassessed*: The structure/site hasn't been visited and/or surveyed for fish passage.

2 – Proof of fish presence after barrier removal needs to be documented in a field note identifying fish species and fish counts, or as photo documentation identifying the species, life stage and whether the photo was taken above or below the barrier. For screened diversions, screening effectiveness will need to monitored and provided as a field note or report. If no fish presence evidence or monitoring report exists, the barrier status will be listed as 'Remediated, fish response unconfirmed'.