SALTON SEA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PLAN

Final Report on Selenium at the Salton Sea and Summary of Data Gaps

April 2005

Table of Contents

Items **Page**

List of Figures

Items

List of Tables

Items

List of Appendices

Items

FINAL REPORT ON SELENIUM AT THE SALTON SEA AND SUMMARY OF DATA GAPS

The California Resources Agency is preparing a Salton Sea Ecosystem Restoration Plan and accompanying Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) in compliance with legislation enacted in 2003 and 2004. This report provides a brief summary of available information regarding environmental concentrations of selenium in and around the Salton Sea (Figure 1), describes ongoing studies, and identifies additional data needed to complete the baseline selenium risk assessment for ecological and human receptors at the Salton Sea. While this report focuses on selenium conditions in abiotic media (i.e., water, soils, and sediments), biotic media also are summarized because of their importance to understanding the distribution, cycling, and exposure pathways for selenium in the Salton Sea and surrounding habitats. This report also addresses the adequacy of existing data for evaluating human health risks from dietary exposures to selenium. The data gaps analysis is intended to assess the importance of additional data collection and analysis to development and evaluation of ecosystem management alternatives.

RELATION OF SELENIUM TO ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

A number of restoration options have been put forward and described in various documents (Pacific Institute 2001; US Filter Corporation 2002; Tetra Tech 2004a; U.S. Bureau of Reclamation [Reclamation] 2003). In turn, the ecological implications of these various proposals also have been assessed by a number of stakeholder groups (Selenium Workshop 2003, Tetra Tech 2003). It is likely that many of the conditions created by these options would occur among the range of alternatives to be evaluated in the Management Plan and PEIR.

The potential ecological effects of the various Salton Sea restoration proposals were evaluated in workshops (Salton Sea Science Office [SSSO] 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004; UC-Riverside 2001), by contractors (Tetra Tech 2000a, 2003, 2004), in technical comments from regulatory or resource agencies (Presser 2003, 2004; Reclamation 2000), and by groups of researchers (San Diego State University [SDSU] 2002). In general, it is recognized that altering conditions under various options would have environmental consequences that affect selenium concentrations, transformations, and wildlife exposures. In addition, human health impacts associated with increasing selenium concentrations in sport fish, and potentially with fugitive dust from exposed/dried sediments from the future Salton Sea, were recognized.

The following general consensus was established for selenium in the Salton Sea at a meeting of scientists knowledgeable about the environmental chemistry of selenium (SSSO 2003):

- Current inflows to the Salton Sea contain low to moderate levels of selenium. However, the total selenium load to the Salton Sea annually is equivalent to that of the former Kesterson Reservoir during its operation.
- The existing Salton Sea appears to accommodate selenium as shown by lower water-borne concentrations relative to inflows. This selenium accommodation could occur by dilution, incorporation into sediments, or some other unknown process. Selenium uptake by benthic invertebrates (especially pileworms) from sediments represents a primary step in the selenium food-chain pathway.
- Phytoplankton and algae take up selenium, but the absence of vascular plants in the Salton Sea tends to decrease selenium bioavailability. Alternatives that include a fresh-water component would support

vascular vegetation, and thereby increase the bioavailability of selenium. Current selenium levels in fish (from human health advisories) and some birds are of concern.

- Selenium is currently bioavailable through invertebrate and fish consumption of bacteria and algae in the water column or in shallow sediments. The greatest portion of selenium appears to become incorporated into deep, anoxic sediments as the algae and bacteria die, becoming a detrital 'rain'. These deep selenium sinks have little or no biological activity. Therefore, the selenium essentially remains biologically unavailable as long as deep water and anoxic sediment conditions are maintained.
- If management options that rely on desalination by reverse osmosis are implemented, then increased levels of selenium would be expected in most components of the ecosystem. These would require selenium treatment and removal.
- If alternatives were constructed that included playas, selenium levels in the playas (areas surrounding the brine pool) would be expected to be very high (in some cases in excess of 1000 μg/L [equivalent to parts-per-billion, or ppb]) in pooled puddle water from irrigation or rainfall. Group consensus was that irrigation associated with vegetation for dust control would result in selenium mobilization conditions far exceeding Kesterson Reservoir conditions.
- Tailwater reductions along drains that are tributary to the New and Alamo rivers are expected to increase selenium concentrations in water to roughly 12 μg/L. If desalination is implemented, it would concentrate some of the inflow flowing into the North Sea alternative by a factor of 3 (to 36 μg/L). The US Filter version would increase selenium from 12 to 15 μg/L through evapo-concentration.
- Selenium is of concern in the water column and underlying sediments, but is also of concern in exposed sediments that may become fugitive dust in the exposed sediment playas that would be irrigated to promote vegetation establishment. This problem would be most acute if the northern portion of the Salton Sea were exposed.

While various proposals have undergone evaluation by different groups, it is recognized that the ultimate result of any option will not be fully known until the final ecosystem management decisions are instituted. All restoration alternatives considered for the Salton Sea must adequately recognize the existing selenium transfer pathway from shallow sediments to aquatic invertebrates (Setmire et al. 1993). Modeling the selenium responses of various restoration alternatives will likely require an estimate of the relative amount of oxic and anoxic sediments within the Salton Sea that would be directly affected.

EXISTING SELENIUM INFORMATION FOR SALTON SEA

The following summary was developed through review of available published and unpublished sources, as referenced. Additional information was obtained from meetings at the Department of Water Resources (DWR) on August 6, 2004, and at the SSSO on August 18, 2004. Inputs to the data-gathering process were solicited from the following agencies: DWR, U.S. Geological Survey/SSSO, Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), DFG, and the California EPA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA).

In addition to hard-copy books and reports, relevant published and unpublished data were derived from information provided on various federal and state agency websites as follows (hardcopies of these reports were downloaded for this report and are maintained in a separate file):

- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation [http://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/saltnsea/pub_info_mat.html]
- U.S. Geological Service archived documents [http://www.usgs.gov/pubprod/]
- California Department of Fish and Game [http://www.dfg.ca.gov] search site for 'Salton Sea'

- Toxic Substances Monitoring Program [http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/programs/smw/]
- Salton Sea Authority [http://www.saltonsea.ca.gov]
- California EPA OEHHA Fish Advisory [http://www.oehha.org/fish/special_reports/consumexec.html]
- California State University at San Diego, Center for Inland Waters [http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/salton/SaltonBasinHomePage.html]
- Pacific Institute [http://www.pacinst.org/topics/water_and_sustainability/salton_sea/].

The available data were reviewed for their applicability to the assessment of risks from selenium in the Salton Sea ecosystem. The type and quality of data required depends on the type of evaluations to be made or the decisions to be taken. Typically, the environmental studies that are intended to support this evaluation/decision process are planned with consideration of the Data Quality Objectives (DQOs), as documented by U.S. EPA guidance (2000b). While these DQOs could be applied to any future studies, we are limited by the data quality approaches already applied in the previous studies. Many of the data summarized in this report are taken from peer-reviewed articles, while others have been extracted from consultant reports, published and unpublished agency reports, and laboratory data summaries with no supporting information. The documentation of data quality varies from source to source. The representativeness of the sampled media to different areas of the Salton Sea ecosystem also must be considered (due to limited sample numbers, limited sampling areas, etc.). In addition, it also is necessary to determine whether historic sample results are still representative of current conditions. These factors were considered in the identification of data gaps and will be accounted for in the risk analysis evaluation.

For the purposes of this report, the following three categories (qualified with letters A, B, and C) were used to represent the different quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) levels data obtained from the various reports:

A. Data that were collected under a sampling and analysis plan (SAP), had full data validation, or were included in a published agency report or peer-reviewed publication.

B. Data that have been collected by a public agency or other group (i.e., university or consultant firm) with QA/QC procedures, but where QA/QC results have not been formally assessed in the referenced document. This group also includes drafts of peer reviewed articles or manuscripts.

C. QA/QC methods not ascertainable or non-existent.

It is presumed that data used in fully reviewed documents, such as agency or peer review publications, are of the highest quality. The USFWS's Patuxent Analytical Control Facility is responsible for contracting all sample analyses for USFWS through certified laboratories and also completes data validation for unpublished USFWS reports (pers. com., Carol Roberts, USFWS), including Audet et al. (1999), Roberts (1997), Roberts and Berg (2000), and Skorupa (2003). Lower QA/QC data levels are assigned when specific results of the data quality assessment are not provided in the report or when no QA/QC information is provided. The results of this general QA/QC assessment are provided in the footnotes of the report-specific data tables in Appendices A, B, C, and D. The QA/QC data group designation can be coded as a field within the electronic database that will be created for future risk analyses.

The following summaries are intended to document the importance of each environmental medium and what is currently known about selenium in the Salton Sea area in each of the media under existing conditions. In order to avoid duplication, the specifics about concentration of selenium in these media are provided in the associated, referenced tables and are not repeated in the text. The selenium data have been collected from various studies within the Salton Sea area in order to identify the available data and the potential data gaps for future evaluations of risks from selenium. The data gaps that were identified by this process are summarized at the end of this report. These data gaps relate to descriptions of existing conditions; additional data gaps may be identified once the ecosystem management decisions have been finalized.

Abiotic Environmental Media

Abiotic or ‘non-living’ environmental media of interest for risk assessment include surface water, sediments, and soils. Data from different studies that measured selenium concentrations in water are presented in Appendices A, B, and C and summarized in Table 1 (surface water), Table 2 (sediments), and Table 3 (soils). The summaries of existing data are used to determine where selenium information in different media may be lacking. These data gaps are then grouped according to their relative importance for the assessment of risks associated with various ecosystem management alternatives.

Surface Water

Surface water sources for the Salton Basin represent most of the past and future source of selenium in the Salton Sea. Selenium may be removed from the water column by various biotic and abiotic processes, resulting in secondary sources in other environmental media (e.g., sediments and aquatic invertebrates). Under certain conditions, selenium may also be re-mobilized from sediments into surface water. For these reasons, assessments of selenium conditions in the environment typically begin with an assessment of surface water quality.

Surface water samples have been analyzed in various studies of the Salton Sea and its tributaries (Table 1). Water from the Colorado River is used to irrigate agricultural lands in the Imperial and Coachella valleys, and some of that water enters the Salton Sea through drainage from those agricultural areas. This process alters the chemistry of the agricultural drainage by increasing the concentrations of nutrients, trace elements, and organic compounds. Surface water quality in agricultural drains has been measured directly in a number of the drains and indirectly in samples collected in the tributary rivers (Alamo, New, and Whitewater). In general, the measured selenium concentrations are highest in the agricultural drains where the greatest amount of variability was also observed.

Selenium concentrations in the surface water of the tributary rivers are typically not as high as concentrations seen in the agricultural drains because of dilution. Selenium concentrations in the New and Alamo rivers also have been shown to increase as the water passes through agricultural areas in the Imperial Valley. There are only slight apparent differences in mean selenium concentrations among the three rivers. The Alamo River tends to have the highest mean selenium concentrations (ranging from 3 to 8 μg/L), with the New River having the next-highest mean selenium concentrations (ranging from 2 to 4.3 μg/L). While there are not as many data available for the Whitewater River, the reported concentrations range from 2.4 to 3.15 μg/L.

The selenium concentrations in the Salton Sea surface water are lower than those observed in the tributary rivers. The levels are reported to be between 1 and 2.02 μg/L (Setmire et al. 1990, Holdren and Montano 2002, and UC-Riverside 2003). The lower selenium concentrations in the Salton Sea surface water are probably the result of sequestration in the anoxic deep sediments on the Salton Sea’s floor (SSSO 2003). Selenium in the Salton Sea is also known to occur within shallow, oxidized sediments and this represents an important source for selenium bioaccumulation into aquatic invertebrates and subsequently higher organisms in the food chain (Setmire et al. 1993).

There were more studies in which surface water samples were collected for the tributary rivers and drains than for the Salton Sea. Overall, the sampling of surface water appears to be sufficient to assess risk.

Sediment

Information about current selenium concentrations in Salton Sea sediments is required to understand selenium cycling and to analyze potential ecosystem management alternatives. The distribution of selenium in sediments may also indicate if there are areas where elevated selenium 'hot spots' may affect decisions about ecosystem management alternatives. It is especially critical that the available sediment data be well distributed around the Salton Sea in order to strengthen the ability to predict the selenium effects of various ecosystem management decisions. Therefore, it is important that data sufficiently represent the horizontal and vertical sediment concentrations of selenium in areas under consideration for ecosystem management alternatives.

Sediments in the Salton Sea may be a current sink for selenium entering through agricultural drainage and suspended sediment. These same sediments might also represent a reservoir of selenium that could be remobilized to surface water if the elevation of the Salton Sea is significantly lowered in the future. In a shallower Salton Sea, fine selenium-rich sediments could be mobilized into the water column by the action of wind-driven waves or boat wash. Sediment selenium concentrations also are important to understanding transformations that would occur in near-shore areas where the sediment might be exposed as the water surface elevation is lowered. It is possible that subsequent wetting of the exposed sediments, from rainfall or from irrigation for vegetation establishment, could mobilize significant quantities of selenium. The SSSO (2003) recognized the potential for this condition at the Salton Sea and considered the potential to exceed even the selenium-release conditions observed in ephemeral, rain-fed pools at Kesterson Reservoir (Byron et al. 2003).

A preliminary mass balance for selenium in the Salton Sea, conducted by Schroeder et al. (2002), showed the importance of the anoxic sediments on the Salton Sea's floor as a sink for selenium entering from the aerobic New and Alamo rivers' surface water. Consideration of re-mobilization of deposited selenium and subsequent environmental exposures will be important in the analysis of ecosystem management alternatives.

In contrast to surface water, selenium concentrations in Salton Sea sediments tend to be higher than those observed in the tributary rivers (Table 2). Bottom sediment selenium concentrations in the Lower Colorado River were variable. One study (Radtke et al. 1988) reported sediment concentrations in the Lower Colorado River as follows: 3.3 μg/g dw above Headgate Rock Dam, 3.6 μg/g dw just south of Imperial County border with Riverside County, 2.3 μg/g dw below Cibola Valley, and 7.1 μg/g dw above the Imperial Dam. Other studies (Setmire et al. 1990, Schroeder 2004) have documented lower selenium concentrations in Lower Colorado River sediments with values ranging from <0.1 μg/g to 0.9 μg/g dw. Similarly low selenium concentrations were found in sediments from the rivers and creeks that flow into the Salton Sea. Salton Sea sediment samples showed a wider range of selenium concentrations than those observed within the river systems with reported concentrations from 0.191 μg/g dw (near Obsidian Butte) to 11 μg/g dw (near the Whitewater River delta). Other studies also have considered the variability in the distribution of selenium in Salton Sea sediments (Levine Fricke 1999, Vogl and Henry 2002) and concluded that the highest apparent selenium concentrations are in the northern Salton Sea Basin.

Until recently, the coverage of sediment samples around the Salton Sea was limited. In 2004, approximately 200 near-shore sediment samples were analyzed for total selenium by the SSSO and USGS and are further described in the "Studies in Progress" Section. These new data, when available, will significantly augment the information on near-shore sediments and how they may be affected by different ecosystem management alternatives. It is likely that more information on deeper anoxic sediments may be required. Sediment sampling in the tributary rivers appears to be adequate.

Soils

Selenium concentrations in soils of the Salton Basin are important because they represent a potential selenium source for the Salton Sea. This is especially true in the irrigated farming areas of the Imperial and Coachella valleys, where drainage water could become enriched in selenium before draining to the Salton Sea. Characterization of the selenium ‘reserve’ in these soil systems is important for the evaluation of any upstream, source control alternatives. This selenium reserve may be related to different depositional layers within the soils anywhere from the surface to the depth of tile drainage that could be correlated with soil mapping units across the farmed areas. It is expected that this information would be used to evaluate selenium source-control options in these areas.

The available selenium data for soils include a study for agricultural fields in the Imperial Valley (Schroeder et al. 1993) and a study from a focused, non-agricultural area near the southwest portion of the Salton Sea (Gersberg and Wright, undated).

Aquatic Biota

Data from different studies that measured selenium concentrations in biota are summarized in Table 4. As previously mentioned, biota data are included in this report because of their importance in selenium transformations, cycling, and transfer within the Salton Sea ecosystem, and for evaluation of selenium-associated risks.

Generalized trophic and bioaccumulation relations among organisms of the Salton Sea are presented in Figure 2. Figure 3 shows similar relationships for rivers and drains in the Imperial Valley. These figures present a simplified view of the potential ways by which selenium is transferred in different parts of the Salton Sea ecosystem and how ecological and human receptors may be exposed to selenium through the consumption of waterfowl or fish. Cycling and exposure pathways represented in these figures provide an important first step in developing conceptual site models for different parts of the Salton Sea ecosystem that will be used in the selenium risk assessments.

Concentrations in biota tissues can be expressed either on wet-weight or fresh-weight basis (which are considered to be synonymous), or on dry-weight basis. Conversion from one basis to the other is a function of the moisture content in the sample, as follows:

$$\text{Dry-weight conc.} = \text{Wet-weight conc.} \times \frac{100}{(100 - \text{Moisture percentage})}$$

For example, 10 μg/g on wet-weight (ww) basis in a sample having 80 percent moisture is equal to 50 μg/g on dry-weight (dw) basis. When selenium concentrations in tissue were originally reported in ww, the approximate dw concentration is presented in this summary.

Algae and Emergent Plants

Algae and plants take up selenium from surface water or sediments. These organisms are, in turn, direct food sources for aquatic invertebrates, fish, or birds. As such, they represent a direct pathway by which selenium enters into the food chain.

Samples of algae in the Salton Sea include blue-green, filamentous, and tubular algae with selenium concentrations ranging from non-detect to 1.8 μg/g dw. Emergent plant samples come from freshwater areas and include cattails and sago pondweed and various composites of cattails, bulrush, sorrel, and spikerush whose selenium concentrations range from non-detect to 5.02 μg/g dw.

Overall, the sampling of plants and algae is very limited, and additional sampling is planned for Spring 2005. These new sample results will be integrated with the existing data into a database that will be used to characterize risks.

Benthic and Aquatic Invertebrates

Benthic or aquatic invertebrates may also take up selenium from surface water or sediments. In addition, they are primary consumers of algae and plants and can accumulate significant quantities of selenium. Because they are an important food source for fish and birds, they represent a pathway by which selenium may bioaccumulate in the Salton Sea ecosystem. Some invertebrates such as crayfish and clams may also be eaten by humans, which could contribute to human health risks.

Benthic and aquatic invertebrate samples from the Salton Sea include pileworms and waterboatmen and composites of these species with amphipods, with selenium concentrations ranging from 0.82 to 12.1 μg/g dw. Aquatic invertebrates from freshwater areas are comprised of crayfish (ranging in selenium concentrations from 2.4 to 3.7 μg/g dw) and river clams (ranging in selenium concentrations from 0.71 to 15.8 μg/g dw).

A review of the data described above indicates that the number and diversity of aquatic invertebrate samples is limited for both the Salton Sea and its associated freshwater habitats. Additional sampling of these organisms is planned for Spring 2005. These new sample results will be integrated with existing data into a database that will be used to characterize risks.

Fish

Fish represent higher-level or secondary consumers that accumulate limited amounts of selenium from surface water or sediments but more significant quantities from their food sources. Because fish provide an important food source for larger fish and for fish-eating birds (i.e., forage fish), they represent another pathway by which selenium might bioaccumulate in the Salton Sea ecosystem. Some sport fish also are eaten by humans and can contribute to health risks. Existing fish samples, collected throughout the Salton Sea Basin, have been used for evaluation of human health risks, as noted in a subsequent section (Human Health Risk Assessments).

Fish samples may be analyzed as whole fish or as fillet (or muscle) samples and may be reported on a dry-weight or wet-weight basis (as noted above). Different fish species that have been sampled in the Salton Sea include bairdiella, corvina, mudsucker, sargo, and tilapia. Selenium concentrations among these samples ranged from 1.36 μg/g ww (about 6.5 μg/g dw) to 16.0 μg/g dw. Freshwater and delta fish samples include corvina, carp, catfish, largemouth bass, mudsucker, mosquitofish, sailfin molly, red shiner, tilapia, and yellow bullhead. Selenium concentrations among these fish samples from freshwater areas ranged from non-detect to 17.3 μg/g dw.

Selenium results are available for a wide variety of fish samples from the Salton Sea Basin, but some species are represented by relatively few samples, and most were collected several years ago. Additional analyses of archived and newly collected fish samples are planned for Spring 2005. The adequacy of existing and upcoming data for ecological risk assessment cannot be determined until ecological end receptors are selected for the conceptual site model and food web. Thus, ecological risk assessments are not necessarily compromised by insufficient data on some of the fish species found in the watershed. These assessments rely primarily on the quality and quantity of available data that represent the most significant exposure pathways from selected prey items. Use of fish tissue data for present and future ecological and human health risk assessments also may be constrained by the reported fluctuations in fish populations in response to various factors, such as the changing salinity regime, dissolved oxygen concentrations, and temperature, of the Salton Sea.

Amphibians and Reptiles

Similar to fish, amphibians and reptiles that use aquatic habitats in the Salton Sea ecosystem represent secondary consumers of plants and invertebrates, and serve as potential food sources for larger aquatic or semi-aquatic animals. They also can accumulate selenium from terrestrial or aquatic environments or be consumed in those environments. For these reasons, they are a significant link for understanding selenium transfers in the Salton Sea ecosystem.

Amphibian and reptile samples are comprised of bullfrog and spiny softshell turtles that were collected from the New and Alamo rivers and various creeks and drains. Selenium concentrations among these samples ranged from 0.79 µg/g ww to 14.0 µg/g dw. (Moisture was not found for the value reported here as ww.)

The bullfrog concentrations are based on only two samples while the turtle samples were more numerous. Assuming that the species sampled represent the most abundant and important amphibians and reptiles present in the tributary rivers to the Salton Sea, the sampling for these resources appears adequate to assess selenium risks.

Birds

Birds represent an important link in the Salton Sea ecosystem. In addition to the important role they play in public perception and recreational opportunities at the Salton Sea (e.g., hunting or birdwatching), they are important to the understanding of selenium in the food web. Because of their feeding habits, certain aquatic birds (such as ducks), might be highly exposed to selenium. By feeding at higher trophic levels in the food web, they may consume food sources that already have elevated selenium concentrations. These factors can result in significant accumulations of selenium in birds at levels that can impair reproduction or perhaps increase their susceptibility to disease or predation.

Many birds in the Salton Sea Basin are considered to be semi-aquatic because of their joint use of aquatic and terrestrial habitats. For the purpose of this report, they are considered among the aquatic organisms. Bird samples are analyzed as egg contents, liver, muscle, or whole-body (carcass) tissue depending on the use of the data for assessment of risks to ecological receptors or human consumers.

Selenium levels in birds were measured in eggs, muscle, kidney, liver, or carcass tissues. Bird egg samples include the following species: American coot, black-crown night-heron, black-necked stilt, black skimmer, Caspian tern, common moorhen, great egret, pied-billed grebe, snowy egret, and white-faced ibis. Selenium concentrations among these samples ranged from 0.54 µg/g to 35.0 µg/g dw. Muscle samples have been collected from northern shoveler and ruddy duck and ranged in selenium concentrations from 2.7 to 12.0 µg/g dw. Liver or kidney samples have been collected from American coot, black-necked stilt, brown pelicans and white pelicans, cattle egret, double-crested cormorant, eared grebe, great blue heron, northern shoveler, ruddy duck, and white-faced ibis. Selenium concentrations among these samples ranged from 2.7 µg/g to 56.2 µg/g dw. Carcass samples were collected for black-necked stilt, white-faced ibis, and Yuma clapper rail with selenium concentrations ranging from 3.2 to 11.3 µg/g dw.

Overall, the number and diversity of bird species samples appears to be adequate to assess selenium risks for ecological risk assessments. However, information to evaluate the importance of human consumption of waterfowl as an exposure pathway for selenium in human health risk assessments is unavailable.

Terrestrial Biota

Because terrestrial habitats around the Salton Sea have different selenium exposure conditions than those found in the aquatic habitats, it is important to develop an understanding of the levels of selenium in these media as well. The data will be subjected to the same type of risk-based analyses, including the development of a conceptual site model, to determine if selenium represents significant risks to terrestrial organisms of the Salton Sea ecosystem.

It should be noted that the following data for terrestrial organisms were developed from a single report by Gersberg and Wright (undated). All of these samples were collected in a focused, non-agricultural upland area near the southwestern portion of the Salton Sea. No similar assessment of terrestrial organisms has been conducted within the agriculturally active areas of the Salton Sea Basin. The development of conceptual site models for terrestrial biota may indicate that additional data are required for terrestrial organisms in agricultural areas.

Plants

Similar to the aquatic plants, terrestrial plants also provide an entry for selenium into Salton Sea animals that might consume them. Understanding selenium uptake in plants is especially important for evaluating options for vegetation control on future exposed sediments.

Leaves of the following terrestrial plants were analyzed: iodine bush, four-wing saltbush, alkali goldbush, creosote bush, and tamarisk. The geometric mean selenium concentrations of these samples ranged from 0.29 to 7.91 μg/g dw. Adequacy of this information for ecological risk assessment is unknown until the conceptual model for alternatives can be developed and ecological receptors have been selected.

Invertebrates

Similar to the aquatic invertebrates, terrestrial invertebrates are primary consumers of plant and soil food sources. As such, they also provide entry for selenium into terrestrial animals and link to certain aquatic consumers, such as birds.

Terrestrial invertebrate samples include whole body samples of beetles and ants. The geometric mean selenium concentrations of these samples ranged from 1.15 to16.2 μg/g dw. Adequacy of this information for ecological risk assessment is unknown until the conceptual model for alternatives can be determined.

Reptiles

Similar to aquatic amphibians and reptiles, their terrestrial counterparts also represent secondary consumers of plants and invertebrates that use terrestrial habitats in the Salton Sea ecosystem. They also serve as potential food sources for larger terrestrial and semi-aquatic organisms, such as birds.

Terrestrial reptile samples include iguana liver, muscle, and tails, and lizard muscles. The selenium concentrations for these samples ranged from 0.91 to 5.27 μg/g dw.

The adequacy of these data will be assessed during the development of the conceptual site model and selection of ecological receptors. If required to reduce uncertainty, additional sampling of these organisms may be recommended.

Birds

No selenium data were available for terrestrial birds from the Salton Sea area. If terrestrial birds are identified as an important ecological receptor during the development of the conceptual site model, then additional focused sampling of these organisms could be recommended at a future date.

Mammals

Small and large mammals in the Salton Sea terrestrial habitats represent higher order trophic organisms that could be potentially subject to significant selenium bioaccumulation. As shown in Figure 3, these organisms also might have feeding relations linked to aquatic organisms (such as birds feeding on mice, or raccoon feeding on crayfish) that could represent viable pathways for selenium transfer through the food web.

Terrestrial mammal samples include liver and muscles from two types of kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys merriami* and *D. deserti*). The selenium concentrations for these samples ranged from 0.93 to 5.11 μg/g dw.

The adequacy of these data will be assessed during the development of the conceptual site model and selection of ecological receptors. If required to reduce uncertainty, additional sampling of these organisms may be recommended.

Human Health Risk Assessments

Existing fish samples, collected in 1999 at three locations in the Salton Sea Basin (Red Hill Marina, Bombay Beach, and the State Recreational Area Headquarters), were used by Moreau et al. (in press) to evaluate the human health risks from selenium and other contaminants for tilapia fillets. A similar effort is in progress for three other fish species that could be consumed by local fishermen (Moreau et al. in review). Results from Moreau et al. (in press) were based on an average selenium concentration of 1.67 μg/g ww measured in 24 samples. The study concluded that a 70-kg adult could safely consume up to 1,000 g of tilapia per week (19 8-oz meals per month) and that children weighing 30 kg or more could safely eat up to 430 g per week (16 4-oz meals per month). Study results are consistent with a previous study (Costa-Pierce et al. 2000), indicating that selenium exposure through the consumption of Salton Sea fish should be limited to 130 to 190 g/day for a 70-kg adult. The Moreau et al. results are less conservative than current U.S. EPA (2000a) guidelines for selenium exposure via fish consumption. U.S. EPA allows up to 16 227-g (8-oz) meals per month or 1 meal every other day for an average 70-kg adult consuming fish with an average selenium concentration of <2 μg/g.

Existing fish fillet data may be adequate for characterizing human health risks providing these data are representative of current conditions. However, refinements of existing health risk results to reflect current conditions require information on the present catch and consumption rates of sportfish. The bioavailability from fish consumed by humans was estimated at 100 percent by previous investigators, which may over-estimate actual exposure.

STUDIES IN PROGRESS

Discussions with SSSO and Reclamation staff indicate that a number of studies are currently in progress that may directly or indirectly address significant data gaps for the assessment of selenium risks in the Salton Sea ecosystem. The results of some of these studies may be available in time for use in evaluating ecosystem management alternatives in the PEIR.

One study in progress by the SDSU Biology Department, Center for Inland Waters addressed the human health implications of eating fillets of tilapia caught in the Salton Sea (Moreau et al., in press) using existing fish tissue sample results. A similar study is in progress (Moreau et al. in review) for three other sport species in the Salton Sea (bairdiella, orangemouth corvina, and sargo) and data have been provided for nine other fish species (channel catfish, carp, tilapia, sailfin molly, red shiner, largemouth bass, mosquitofish, mosquitofish/sailfin molly composite, yellow bullhead, and grass carp) in the tributaries (Moreau 2004). The tissue results for the nine fish species were compiled primarily from existing sample results from the Toxic Substances Monitoring Program but also included several tissue samples for tilapia and sailfin molly from U.S. Geological Survey study samples (1986 and 1989). It is uncertain when a publication assessing the associated human health risks for these fish tissue data will be available.

The SSSO and USGS have contracted to analyze sediment samples collected along a large number of depth transects. Approximately 800 sediment samples were collected at 5-foot depth intervals along the shoreline during the 2003 water year as part of a study by Chris Holdren/Reclamation in Denver. These transects extend at regular intervals around the entire perimeter of the Salton Sea. Funding was secured to analyze about 200 of the archived samples for total selenium that were originally collected near the river deltas and other areas with gradual bottom slopes because of their importance to ecosystem management. The additional sediment samples were chosen to give more spatial coverage in other areas of the Salton Sea where lower water elevations will expose sediments. This information will help to better characterize the sediments around the margin of the Salton Sea and to help understand how these areas may respond to ecosystem management. These data, when available, will be included in Appendix B and summarized in Table 2 in the Final Report. If project managers and reviewers consider that additional sediment characterization is required, more of the original archive samples could potentially be analyzed.

Deep core samples also have been collected by URS for geotechnical evaluations associated with proposed dike locations. It is expected that surface portions of these archived core samples will be analyzed for selenium along with the transect samples.

An ongoing study to evaluate contaminant levels in bird eggs (great egret, black-crowned night-heron, and black-necked stilts) is being conducted by Chuck Henny/USGS from Corvallis, OR. The collected egg samples include great egrets and black-crowned night-herons from the north end of the Salton Sea and stilts from both the north and south ends of the Salton Sea. Although planned as part of this study, collection efforts did not yield enough avocet eggs for analysis. The samples have already been sent to a laboratory and the results could be made available in time for incorporation into the risk assessments for the Salton Sea. This study is a follow-up to previous studies by Ohlendorf and Marois (1990) and Bennett (1998).

Studies also are being conducted at UC Riverside to evaluate the use of chemical flocculents (polyacrilimides/alum) to reduce contaminant loading to surface water drainage. These water treatments were primarily intended to address TMDL issues, but the study also will generate additional data on selenium.

The SSSO will be evaluating ecological issues associated with shallow water habitat. They will soon complete a project with USBR funding to revitalize some existing dikes to create shallow water habitats (most about 1 inch deep). The water conditions in these shallow flooded areas will be maintained at levels similar to those that would be representative of effluent from desalination plant by using blended fresh water and Salton Sea water. Water floodup for this project will be done as soon after January 2005 as permitting and compliance issues allow. The system will require approximately 6 months to stabilize before sampling begins (other than the pre-project baseline sampling that will occur beforehand). It is estimated that data from this study will be available in about two years.

DATA GAPS/UNCERTAINTIES

The following discussion of data gaps is based on the review of available data and discussions with personnel from the SSSO, Reclamation, and other regulatory and resource agencies (e.g., DFG, USFWS). During the August 2004 meeting, it was recognized that some data gaps could not be filled within the timeline for the current ecosystem management planning effort, but efforts are underway to fill some data gaps.

The design of ecosystem management alternatives for the Salton Sea will be accomplished using the best available information. However, given inevitable uncertainties about some environmental consequences, any design will require appropriate monitoring and the capability of adaptive management. Data gaps that relate specifically to issues associated with selenium implications of the design aspects of ecosystem management alternatives are given the highest relative importance because they are the most important for evaluations to be completed in the upcoming Ecosystem Restoration Plan. Data gaps with the secondary level of importance are those related to issues concerning adaptive management for selenium. Data gaps that do not directly relate to design or to adaptive management are assigned to a third level of importance. Using this type of grouping, the data gaps listed below are organized according to how they may relate to ecosystem management for the Salton Sea.

Table 5 provides a brief summary of the availability of selenium data for various environmental media in the Salton Sea ecosystem. This table shows that analytical results are available for abiotic aquatic media (surface water and sediment) at many locations. The exception to this is a lack of surface water data for San Felipe Creek and Salt Creek. Soil data are available for a number of agricultural fields.

Identified Data Gaps Related to Selenium Assessments for Ecosystem Restoration Plan

The following data gaps relate directly to design considerations for ecosystem management alternatives. As such, these items should be given the highest priority to address prior to completion of the Ecosystem Restoration Plan. Because of their relative importance, the first group of data gaps is presented in a format that identifies the data gap, explains why the data are needed for the project, describes the proposed action, and the expected duration required to fill the data gap.

Data Gap	**Inadequate spatial characterization of selenium in Salton Sea sediment**
Input to Project/Need	The distribution of selenium concentrations in sediments in different areas of the Salton Sea will be critical for predicting the selenium effects of different ecosystem management alternatives. In particular, adequate characterization of near-shore sediments will be required to predict conditions in exposed sediments when water elevations are lowered. Lack of adequate sediment characterization could lead to more conservative assumptions and greater uncertainties that could increase costs of ecosystem management alternatives.
Proposed Action	Approximately 200-plus surficial sediment samples have recently been analyzed by the USGS and Reclamation. The proposed action is to incorporate these data into the sediment data set for the Salton Sea and to assess the data adequacy. If data gaps are identified by reviewers, then more of the archived sediment samples (or new samples) could be analyzed.
Anticipated Duration	Preliminary analytical results from the first 200 samples were expected in early January. Additional sample analyses of archived samples would require approximately 2 months before the new data were available.

Data Gap	**Selenium release and bioavailability characterization of Salton Sea sediments**
Input to Project/Need	It was recognized that selenium may be re-mobilized in exposed or irrigated sediments but the magnitude of this problem has not been established. In addition, the bioavailability and toxicity of selenium in sediments will be critical for predicting the selenium effects of different ecosystem management alternatives (such as effects due to changing water quality conditions).
Proposed Action	Collect new samples of sediment from representative areas to use for testing. These sediment composites would be used in bench-scale tests to simulate selenium release conditions under wetting and drying scenarios as well as changing water quality conditions, and to establish a relationship between the water soluble selenium fraction and pooled water. Invertebrates typical of those from the Salton Sea (or surrogates) could be used in the bench-scale tests as bioassays to assess selenium toxicity and uptake from the sediments.
Anticipated Duration	Bioassays and bench-sales tests could take 1 to 2 months to plan and set up, between 1 and 3 months to run, and 1 to 2 months to generate the data.

Data Gap	**Further characterization of selenium in Salton Sea biota**
Input to Project/Need	Within the Salton Sea and associated freshwater habitats, selenium concentrations in some critical food items have not been adequately characterized. In particular, there are insufficient data for selenium concentrations in benthic and aquatic invertebrates and smaller fish that may represent important exposure pathways for other ecological receptors.

Data Gap	Further characterization of selenium in Salton Sea biota
Proposed Action	Collect samples of benthic and aquatic invertebrates (e.g., pileworms) that are not adequately represented in the previous sampling. This will require coordinating a plan with people familiar with the Salton Sea resources to determine the best timing and location for sampling. Look for opportunities to collect samples of fish, including those that have been affected by die-offs. Analyze these additional samples for total selenium and incorporate these data into the biota data set for the Salton Sea.
Anticipated Duration	Undetermined for sampling. Depending on sample availability and numbers, it is expected that the analyses could be completed in 1 to 3 months.

Data Gap	Refine the conceptual site models and food webs
Input to Project/Need	The graphical depiction of food webs and feeding relationships provided by Setmire et al. (1993) is not sufficient for modeling the pathways for selenium transfer and bioaccumulation. More refined conceptual site models and food web information are needed for the various components of the Salton Sea ecosystem, including terrestrial environments. This information will be used to confirm the adequacy of biota sampling, to determine the viability of selenium exposure pathways, and to model bioaccumulation of selenium in various trophic or feeding layers.
Proposed Action	Conduct a literature review and interviews with persons knowledgeable about biological resources in the Salton Sea. Integrate the existing and additional information to refine the conceptual models and food webs. Create graphical representations of the food webs and contaminant pathways for each of the Salton Sea ecosystem components.
Anticipated Duration	Estimated 1 month to complete

Data Gap	Identify and develop tools for predicting selenium concentrations and effects
Input to Project/Need	A quantifiable method for estimating changes in selenium conditions will allow managers to give a consistent weighting for this factor under various management practices and ecosystem management alternatives.
Proposed Action	Coordinate with Theresa Presser/USGS to determine the applicability of existing selenium prediction models (Luoma and Presser, 2000) to the Salton Sea project. This determination will assess the required inputs to the model and the availability of these data for the Salton Sea. Dr. Presser has indicated that she believes the San Francisco Bay model could be adapted for the Salton Sea, but further evaluation and development are needed. If it is determined that the model may prove useful for evaluating selenium in the Salton Sea, then the model may require some adaptation and the Salton Sea inputs will need to be established.
Anticipated Duration	Estimated 3 to 5 months to assess and refine model to suit the Salton Sea conditions

Data Gap	Management practices associated with using the Salton Sea sediment as a vegetation growth medium
Input to Project/Need	This information is needed to determine the approaches for using vegetation in newly-exposed sediments for dust control.
Proposed Action	Submit a portion of the composited sediment samples described above to an analytical laboratory that specializes in agricultural soil testing and crop production recommendations. These samples should be chosen so that they adequately represent sediment areas where vegetation could be used for future dust control. The soil samples will be analyzed for a suite of parameters related to soil fertility and crop production. This task does not assume that plant growth studies will be required.
Anticipated Duration	Estimate 1 month to complete analyses, 1 month for data evaluation.

Data Gap	Site-specific fish and waterfowl consumption information
Input to Project/Need	This information is required to support the evaluation of selenium effects via the fish and waterfowl consumption pathway as part of the human health risk assessment.
Proposed Action	Complete a local survey to assess consumption patterns for people eating fish and waterfowl caught in the Salton Sea.
Anticipated Duration	Estimate 2 to 3 months for planning and execution of the survey

Data Gap	Develop an electronic data set to integrate results for abiotic and biotic media in the Salton Sea ecosystem
Input to Project/Need	An electronic data set will be required for modeling associated with ecological and human health risk characterizations. It is critical that this data set be completed with the results from previous sampling, as well as upcoming analyses (such as those for sediments and bioassays). The data should also be coded by location type to avoid mixing data for areas with different selenium levels and exposure pathways.
Proposed Action	Develop the data base format and transcribe data from hard copy reports along with data quality assignments. Perform QA/QC on the data base before use by ecological and human health risk assessors.
Anticipated Duration	Estimated 1 month from time that final analytical results are available

Identified Selenium Data Gaps Related to Long-term Adaptive Management Strategies

The following data gaps relate to adaptive management issues concerning selenium impacts from implementation of an alternative and the associated monitoring. As such, these data gaps can be addressed as part of the ongoing assessment and management of the chosen ecosystem management alternatives. A critical part of the evaluation of alternatives will be to determine how amenable the alternative will be to adaptive management. The flexibility of an implemented alternative to adaptive management may determine if unforeseen problems can be fixed in the future.

- **Contaminant circulation/cycling** – Circulation and cycling patterns have been documented for the current Salton Sea (Cook et al. 2002; Schroeder et al. 2002), but uncertainties exist regarding how selenium cycling will occur in a restructured and smaller Salton Sea. It is also unknown what the assimilative capacity for selenium will be under the new conditions. While predictive modeling may be useful for evaluation of alternatives, these specific studies should be conducted as part of the monitoring program of the chosen alternative.
- **Selenium conditions in the Imperial and Coachella valleys** – It has been documented that selenium concentrations are higher in agricultural drainage water than in the source irrigation water. Setmire et al. (1993) concluded that evaporative concentration, together with the physical conditions of the fields and drains, could account for the selenium levels seen in agricultural drainage water entering the Salton Sea from the Imperial Valley. The Imperial Valley study does demonstrate that selenium concentrations appear to be elevated within certain agricultural drains. Although no similar study has been completed for the Coachella Valley drainage, it is likely that there is also a high degree of variability in selenium concentration among different agricultural drains. Knowledge of the distribution of selenium drainage 'hot-spots' is critical for potential source control restoration options that could be considered for areas draining into the Salton Sea.
- **Telemetry and dietary studies** – Ecosystem management projects will be designed to limit bird and fish exposures to selenium, but it may be important to better understand where and how the exposure is occurring under current conditions. While site-specific selenium data are available for bird and fish species, information regarding locations of uptake for wide-ranging species is lacking because movement

(telemetry) studies have not been conducted and dietary (i.e., gut content) information is limited. Any future studies should be focused on known problem areas and the associated species of concern.

- **Nutrient loading** – Algal blooms that result from nutrient loading also remove selenium from the Salton Sea water column. As such, the efforts to reduce nutrient loading to the Salton Sea may be at odds with reducing selenium loads. The modeling of eutrophication cycles in the Salton Sea is under evaluation by Amrhein and Anderson of UC Riverside. Studies similar to these done for the existing Salton Sea may need to be repeated or refined based on the chosen ecosystem management alternative.

Identified Data Gap Not Directly Related to Management Plan or Adaptive Management

One data gap was identified that did not appear to directly relate to ecosystem design alternatives or adaptive management strategies. This data gap relates to the inadequacy of the fish tissue data set for a revision to the human health fish consumption advisory. While there is interest among stakeholders to revise the fish advisory for selenium in the Salton Sea, it is outside of the scope of the current project, whose focus is to develop and evaluate ecosystem management alternatives. Nevertheless, one of the goals of the ecosystem management actions would be to permit lifting the advisory, if that does not happen in the shorter term. If the fish advisory is to be updated, stakeholders and regulators should agree on the quantity and type of data that will be required to revise the advisory. These data will likely be similar to those needed to monitor selenium in fish to assess the impacts of the chosen ecosystem management alternatives in the future. Realistic fish consumption rates for key fish species, and the long-term viability of these fish populations to sustain current consumption rates, are particularly important.

Summary

The data gaps discussed above were grouped according to their relationship to the ecosystem management design or to the adaptive management requirements of a chosen ecosystem management alternative. The first group contains the list of the most important data gaps that will affect the evaluation and design of ecosystem management alternatives. The second group of data gaps should be considered as part of the adaptive management for the chosen ecosystem management alternatives. The studies to address these data gaps can be developed as part of the monitoring programs that will be required to assess changes in the Salton Sea ecosystem that will follow implementation of the chosen alternative. The last data gap is not directly related to the project, but sampling to fill the gap is similar to what may be done in the future for project monitoring.

REFERENCES

Audet, D.J., W. Radke, L.H. Creekmore, G. Braden, and C.A. Roberts. Eared Grebe Mortality in Imperial County, California, 1991-1993. 1999.

Byron, E.R., H.M. Ohlendorf, G.M. Santolo, S.M. Benson, P.T. Zawislanski, T.K. Tokunaga, and M. Delamore. Ecological Risk Assessment Example: Waterfowl and Shorebirds Feeding in Ephemeral Pools at Kesterson Reservoir, California. Pages 985-1014. In Hoffman, D.J., B.A. Rattner, G.A. Burton Jr., J.C. Cairns Jr. (eds.) *Handbook of Ecotoxicology*, 2nd edition, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida. 2003.

Bennett, J. Biological Effects of Selenium and Other Contaminants Associated with Irrigation Drainage in the Salton Sea Area, California 1992-1994. Department of the Interior, National Irrigation Water Quality Program. Information Report No. 4. December 1998.

California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). Draft Finding of the DFG with Respect to the Implementation of the Quantification Settlement Agreement. On website at [http://www.dfg.ca.gov (search site for 'Salton Sea']. November 27, 2002.

DFG. Current Public Health Advisory for Fish Consumption - Salton Sea (Imperial and Riverside counties). California Department of Fish and Game 2004 Sport Fishing Regulations.

California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA). Site-Specific Advisory Information: Salton Sea (Imperial and Riverside Counties). Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment website at [http://www.oehha.ca.gov/fish/so_cal/saltonsea]. Accessed September 1, 2004.

California State Water Resources Control Board, Toxic Substances Monitoring Program. Selenium concentrations in selected fish and reptiles. Data from 1985 to 2000 on website at [http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/programs/smw/]

CH2M HILL. Final Environmental Impact Report for Modified East Lowline and Trifolium Interceptors, and Completion Projects. Imperial Irrigation District, May 1994.

CH2M HILL. Initial Draft Report for Existing Baseline Conditions. Salton Sea Ecosystem Restoration Plan. August 27, 2004.

Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD). Letter to U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) District Chief, John Klein, concerning USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 89-4102. Dated October 11, 1990.

CVWD. Data provided for Coachella Valley Stormwater Channel (Whitewater River) by Mark Johnson, Director of Engineering. Dated February 8, 2005.

Cook, C.B., G.T. Orlob, and D.W. Huston. Simulation of Wind-driven Circulation in the Salton Sea: Implication for Indigenous Ecosystems. Pages 59-75. In Barnum, D.A., J.F. Elder, D. Stephens, and M. Friend (eds.) *Developments in Hydrobiology* 161, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 2002.

Costa-Pierce, B.A., R. Reidel, and D. Frank. Chemical Analyses of Fish Important to the Wildlife and Recreational Fishing Community of the Salton Sea. State Parks Contract #C994301. Final report prepared for California State Parks. December 20, 2000.

Costa-Pierce, B.A., R. Reidel, D. Schlenk, and D. Frank. Analyses of organic and inorganic contaminants in Salton Sea Fish. Revised final report prepared for California State Parks. March 8, 2001.

Gersberg, R.M. and J. Wright. Results of Selenium Analyses on Soils, Plants, and Biota from Salton Sea Basin. Draft. California State University at San Diego, Graduate School of Public Health State. Undated, In review, provided by the Salton Sea Science Office. Undated.

Holdren, G.C., and A. Montano. Chemical and Physical Characteristics of the Salton Sea, California. Pages 1-21. In Barnum, D.A., J.F. Elder, D. Stephens, and M. Friend (eds.) *Developments in Hydrobiology* 161, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 2002.

Imperial Irrigation District (IID), Water Conservation and Transfer Project Draft EIR/EIS and Draft Habitat Conservation Plan, 2002.

Levine Fricke. Preliminary Draft Summary for Reconnaissance Investigation Results of the Salton Sea Sediment Contaminants, Riverside and Imperial Counties, California. Prepared for U.S. Geological Survey. March 4, 1999.

Luoma, S.N. and T.S. Presser. Forecasting Selenium Discharges to the San Francisco Bay-Delta Estuary: Ecological Effects of a Proposed San Luis Drain Extension. U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 00-416. 2000.

Moreau, M.F., J. Surico-Bennett, M. Vicario-Fisher, D. Crane, R. Gerads, R.M. Gersberg, and S.H. Hurlbert. Contaminants in tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) from the Salton Sea, California, in relation to human health, piscivorous birds, and fish meal production. In press submittal to *Hydrobiologia* for publication in the proceedings for the 8th Salt Lake Conference. Sent from author to Harry Ohlendorf of CH2M HILL on October 20, 2004.

Moreau, M.F., J. Surico-Bennett, M. Vicario-Fisher, R. Gerads, R.M. Gersbergs, and S.H. Hurlbert. Selenium, arsenic and other contaminants in three fish species in the Salton Sea, California, and their potential impact on human consumers and wildlife. Manuscript in review for submittal to *Hydrobiologia*. Copy received from author by Harry Ohlendorf of CH2M HILL on February 14, 2005.

Moreau, M.F., Summary data tables from unpublished study of the Biology Department, Center for Inland Waters, San Diego State University for fish tissue concentrations in Salton Sea. Sent from author to Harry Ohlendorf of CH2M HILL on October 8, 2004.

Ohlendorf, H.M., and K.C. Marois. Organochlorines and selenium in California night-heron and egret eggs. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 15:91-104. 1990.

Pacific Institute. A Proposal to Preserve and Enhance Habitat at the Salton Sea. October 2001. On website at [http://www.pacinst.org/topics/water_and_sustainability/Salton_sea/]

Presser, T. Comments on Scope of Programmatic Environmental Impact Report for the Restoration of the Salton Sea Ecosystem and Preservation of its Fish and Wildlife - Technical Comments on Selenium Component of the US Filter Salton River Desalination Solution Proposal (version November 20, 2002) and Draft Review of US Filter Corporation Salton River Proposal (containing variations #1 and #2, dated January 2003). Letters from U.S. Geological Survey to Charles Keene, California Department of Water Resources (dated April 9, 2004) and to Doug Barnum, Salton Sea Science Office (dated March 4, 2003).

Radtke, D.B., W.G. Kepner, and R.J. Effertz. Reconnaissance Investigation of Water Quality, Bottom Sediment, and Biota Associated with Irrigation Drainage in the Lower Colorado River Valley, Arizona, California, and Nevada, 1986-87. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigation Report 88-4002. Tucson, Arizona. February, 1988.

Roberts, C.A., and K.S. Berg. Environmental Contaminants in Piscivorous Birds at the Salton Sea, 1992-93. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. June 2000.

Roberts, C.A. Contaminants in pelicans collected during the avian botulism event at the Salton Sea in 1996. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. 1997.

Roberts, C.A. Personal communication with Steven Long/CH2M HILL on September 13, 2004.

Roline, R.A., and S.M. Nelson. Selenium in Water, Sediments, Plant Material, and Biota from Wetland Ponds Fed by Irrigation Drainage, Imperial Irrigation District, Imperial County, California. U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Ecological Research and Investigations Group. Technical Memorandum 8220-04-03. February 20, 2004.

Salton Sea Authority (SSA). Description of restoration alternatives on website at [access through Salton Basin-Lower Colorado River Mothersite at http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/salton/SaltonBasinHomePage.html].

Salton Sea Science Office (SSSO). Workshop to evaluate Pacific Institute Proposal. Held in Riverside, San Diego, and Indian Wells in December 2001 and January 2002. Report submitted February 2002.

SSSO. The Salton Sea, papers delivered at the Salton Sea Symposium. Held in Desert Hot Springs, CA, January 13-14, 2000. 306 p. Proceedings published in Barnum, D.A., J.F. Elder, D. Stephens, and M. Friend (eds.) *Developments in Hydrobiology* 161, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 2002.

SSSO. Workshop to assess what is known about selenium in the Salton Sea and predict potential changes if any of the restoration alternatives are implemented. Held in Sacramento, CA, on March 11, 2003.

SSSO. Workshop to evaluate major considerations associated with development of shallow water habitats at the Salton Sea. Held in San Diego, CA on January 28-29, 2004. Summarized in Appendix A of the Final Preferred Project Report (Tetra Tech 2004a).

San Diego State University (SDSU), Salton Sea Ecosystem Research Group, Center for Inland Waters. Evaluation of the Salton River Desalination solution as proposed by US Filter. [access through Salton Basin-Lower Colorado River Mothersite at http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/salton/SaltonBasinHomePage.html]. December 27, 2002.

Schroeder, R.A., M. Rivera, B.J. Redfield, J.N. Densmore, R.L. Michel, D.R. Norton, D.J. Audet, J.G. Setmire, and S.L. Goodbred. Physical, Chemical, and Biological Data for Detailed Study of Irrigation Drainage in the Salton Sea Area, California, 1988-1990. U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 93-83. 1993.

Schroeder, R.A., and W.H. Orem. Nutrient dynamics in the Salton Basin - Implication from Calcium, Uranium, Molybdenum, and Selenium. Poster presented at Spring 2000 Annual Meeting, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C. 2000.

Schroeder, R.A, W.H. Orem, and Y.K. Kharaka. Chemical Evolution of the Salton Sea, California: Nutrient and Selenium Dynamics. Pages 23-45. In Barnum, D.A., J.F. Elder, D. Stephens, and M. Friend (eds.) *Developments in Hydrobiology* 161, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 2002.

Schroeder, R.A. Chemical Data for Detailed Studies of Irrigation Drainage in the Salton Sea Area, California, 1995-2001. U.S. Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Report 2004-5036. In cooperation with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, National Irrigation Water Quality Program, 2004.

Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, and L.A. Peltz. Areas Susceptible to Irrigation-Induced Selenium Contamination of Water and Biota in the Western United States, U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1180, 1999.

Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, D.L. Naftz, and B.T. Nolan. Irrigation-Induced Contamination of Water, Sediment, and Biota in the Western United States-Synthesis of Data from the National Irrigation Water Quality Program, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1655, 2004.

Setmire, J.G., J.C. Wolfe, and R.K. Stroud. Reconnaissance Investigation of Water Quality, Bottom Sediment, and Biota Associated with Irrigation Drainage in the Salton Sea Area, California, 1986-87. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigation 89-4102. 1990.

Setmire, J.G., and R.A. Schroeder. Selenium and Salinity Concerns in the Salton Sea Area. Pages 205-222. In Frankenberger, Jr., W.T. and R.A. Engberg (eds.) *Environmental Chemistry of Selenium*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1998.

Setmire, J.G., R.A. Schroeder, J.N. Densmore, S.L. Goodbred, D.J. Audet, and W. R. Radke. Detailed Study of Water Quality, Bottom Sediment, and Biota Associated with Irrigation Drainage in the

Salton Sea Area, California, 1988-90. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 93-4014. 1993.

Setmire, J., S. Hurlbert, and C. Roberts. Selenium in Water, Sediment, and Transplanted Corbicula in Irrigation Drainage and Wildlife Use of Drains in the Imperial Valley, California, 1994-95. U.S. Department of the Interior, National Irrigation Water Quality Program. February 1999.

Skorupa, J.P. Selenium Poisoning of Fish and Wildlife in Nature: Lessons Learned from Twelve Real-world Examples. Pages 315-354. In Frankenberger, Jr., W.T and R.A. Engberg (eds.) *Environmental Chemistry of Selenium*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1998.

Skorupa, J.P. Summary of Avian Egg Sampling at New River Wetlands. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpublished. May 2003.

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech). Draft Salton Sea Restoration Project Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report. Prepared for the Salton Sea Authority in cooperation with the Salton Sea Science Office and Citizens Advisory Committee. March 2000.

Tetra Tech. Review of US Filter Corporation Salton River Proposal, Final Report. Prepared for the Salton Sea Authority in cooperation with the Salton Sea Science Office and Citizens Advisory Committee. March 2003.

Tetra Tech. Salton Sea Restoration; Final Preferred Project. Prepared for the Salton Sea Authority. July 2004 (a).

Tetra Tech. Ecological Assessment for the Solar Evaporation Ponds at the Salton Sea. May 2004 (b).

University of California, Riverside (UC-Riverside), Unpublished data laboratory analytical results provided by Salton Sea Science office (sample date June 25, 2003)

UC-Riverside. Workshop on effects of salt precipitation on historical and projected salinities of the Salton Sea: Summary Comments. Held at UC-Riverside on January 30-31, 2001.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Imperial-Mexicali 230-kV Transmission Lines Draft EIS, 2004.

U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI), Desert Southwest Transmission Line Draft EIS/EIR, April 2003.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, vol. 2: Risk Assessment and Fish Consumption Limits. 3rd ed. Office of Science and Technology, Office of Water, Washington D.C. 2000 (a).

U.S. EPA. Guidance for the Data Quality Objectives Process, EPA QA/G-4. EPA/600/R-96/055. August 2000 (b).

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). Overview and Summary of Salton Sea Restoration Project Draft EIS/EIR, January 2000. Archived document on website at [http://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/saltnsea/]

Reclamation, Salton Sea Study: Status Report. January 2003.

US Filter (2002) Salton River Desalination Solution Proposal. Previously posted on their website at [http://www.usfilter.com/water/water.asp?wid=593].

Vogl, R.A., and R.N. Henry. Characteristics and Contaminants of the Salton Sea Sediments. Pages 47-54. In Barnum, D.A., J.F. Elder, D. Stephens, and M. Friend (eds.) *Developments in Hydrobiology 161*, Kluwer Academic Publishers. 2002.

Figures



FIGURE 1
SALTON SEA WATERSHED
SALTON SEA DATA GAPS REPORT



SOURCE: After Setmire et al., 1993.

FIGURE 2
TROPHIC RELATIONS AMONG SALTON SEA ORGANISMS
SALTON SEA DATA GAPS REPORT

CH2MHILL

E082004010SAC_Salton_Sea figure_2_organisms.ai 09-02-04



FIGURE 3
TROPHIC RELATIONS AMONG RIVER, DRAIN, AND POND ORGANISMS
SALTON SEA DATA GAPS REPORT

SOURCE: After Setmire et al., 1993.

E082004010SAC_Salton_Sea figure_3_rivers_ponds.ai 09-02-04

CH2MHILL

Text Tables

SURFACE WATER

Table 1
Selenium Concentrations (µg/L) in Surface Water for Salton Sea and Associated Water Sources

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Salton Sea			
Composite	1	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Sampled in Mar and Oct 1999	1.1 to 2.1	Range	Holdren and Montano (2002)
Sampled in June 2003	1.50 to 2.02	Range	UC-Riverside (2003)
NIWQP Salton Sea area			
All rivers	8 <1 to 10	75^{th} percentile Range	Seiler et al. (1999; 2000)
Wetland ponds on Alamo and New Rivers fed by IID drainage	2.04 to 3.46	Range	Roline and Nelson (2004)
Alamo River			
Unspecified	7.6 ± 2.0	Geometric mean ± 1 SD (n=15)	Schroeder and Orem (2004)
At outlet to Sea	8	Median	Setmire and Schroeder (1998)
At outlet to Sea (Aug 1988-Aug 1989)	8.0 ±2.1 2 to 10	Geometric mean ± 1 SD Range	Setmire et al. (1993)
At outlet to Sea (Aug 1986)	9	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Sampled in Mar and Oct 1999	5.9 to 6.6	Range	Holdren and Montano (2002)
Sampled in June 2003	5.28	Result	UC-Riverside (2003)
At international boundary (Aug 1988-Aug 1989)	4.5±2.6 3 to 10	Geometric mean ± 1 SD Range	Setmire et al. (1993)
New River			
Unspecified	4.3 ± 0.5	Geometric mean ± 1 SD (n=15)	Schroeder and Orem (2004)
At outlet to Sea (Aug 1988-Aug 1989)	4.0 ±0.5 4 to 5	Geometric mean ± 1 SD Range	Setmire et al. (1993)
At outlet to Sea (Aug 1986)	4	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Sampled in Mar and Oct 1999	3.4 to 3.5	Range	Holdren and Montano (2002)
Sampled in June 2003	3.87	Result	UC-Riverside (2003)
At international boundary (Aug 1988-Aug 1989)	2.0±0.5 1 to 2	Geometric mean ± 1 SD Range	Setmire et al. (1993)
Whitewater River			
Sampled in Mar and Oct 1999	2.4 to 2.5	Range	Holdren and Montano (2002)
Sampled in June 2003	3.15	Result	UC-Riverside (2003)
Semi-annual samples between Nov 1997 and Nov 2004	7.0 < 5.0 to 26	Arithmetic mean Range (n= 15)	Coachella Valley Water District (2005)
Colorado River			
Unspecified	2	Average	Setmire and Schroeder (1998)
East Highline Canal (Aug 1988-Aug 1989)	2.0±0.3 2 to 3	Geometric mean ± 1 SD Range	Setmire et al. (1993)

Table 1
Selenium Concentrations (μg/L) in Surface Water for Salton Sea and Associated Water Sources

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Various drains			
Imperial Valley subsurface drains (May 1988)	25	Median	Setmire and Schroeder (1998)
Imperial Valley subsurface drains (Aug 1994-Jan 1995)	28	Median	Setmire and Schroeder (1998)
15 Imperial Valley subsurface drains (Aug 1988-Aug 1989)	13.5 ±2 267±75	Geometric mean ± 1 SD (lowest concentration) Geometric mean ± 1 SD (highest concentration)	Setmire et al. (1993)
8 Imperial Valley tile drains (Aug 1986)	7 to 300	Range	Setmire et al. (1990)
Trifolium Drain 1 (Aug 1988-Aug 1989)	6.0±2 5 to 10	Geometric mean ± 1 SD Range	Setmire et al. (1993)
Trifolium Drain 1 (Aug 1986)	6	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)

Table 2
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Sediments for Salton Sea and Associated Water Source Areas

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Salton Sea			
Deep near center of north basin/surficial bottom sediment (May 1996 and Jul 1998)	9.3 and 6.5	Results	Schroeder (2004)
Deep core near center of north basin/ sediment depth profile (Jul 1998)	9.7 to 0.55	Range (decreases with depth)	Schroeder (2004)
Deep near center of south basin/surficial bottom sediment (Jul 1998)	8.8	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Deep core near center of south basin/ sediment depth profile (Jul 1998)	9.9 to 0.34	Result (irregular depth distribution)	Schroeder (2004)
All surficial bottom sediments (May 1996 and Jul 1998)	0.58 to 11	Range	Schroeder (2004)
Composite	0.7 0.1 to 3.3 0.4 1.2	Median Range 25th percentile 75th percentile	Setmire et al. (1990)
Three locations (B1, B2, and B3)	4.21 to 7.04	Range	UC-Riverside (2004)
71 Locations around the Sea	0.44 0.12 to 8.5	Geometric mean Range	Levine Fricke (1999)
Johnson Drain, Salton Sea	1.32 to 3.11	Range	Roberts and Berg (2000)
Morton Bay, Salton Sea	1.13 to 1.36	Range	Roberts and Berg (2000)
Obsidian Butte, Salton Sea	0.191 to 0.953	Range	Roberts and Berg (2000)
Wetland ponds on Alamo and New Rivers fed by IID drainage	0.20 to 0.70	Range	Roline and Nelson (2004)
Alamo River			
Outlet/suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	0.7	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Nearshore /suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	1	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Offshore /suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	8.7	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Near delta/surficial bottom sediment (Jul 1998)	0.58	Result	Schroeder (2004)
At outlet to Sea	0.4	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
At delta	0.8	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
At Imperial Wildlife Management Area	0.6	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
At international boundary	1.6	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Unspecified	0.269	Result	UC-Riverside (2004)
New River			
At Mexicali /bed material (Mar 1995)	1.2	Result	Schroeder (2004)
At Calexico/bed material (Mar 1995)	1.2	Result	Schroeder (2004)
At outlet to Sea (Mar 1995)	0.2	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Outlet/suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	1	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Nearshore /suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	1.4	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Offshore /suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	16	Result	Schroeder (2004)

Table 2
Selenium Concentrations (µg/g dw) in Sediments for Salton Sea and Associated Water Source Areas

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Near delta/surficial bottom sediment (Jul 1998)	1	Result	Schroeder (2004)
At outlet to Sea	0.6	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
At midpoint (Aug 11 and 14, 1986)	0.6 and 1.3	Results	Setmire et al. (1990)
At international boundary	1.0	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Unspecified	0.371	Result	UC-Riverside (2004)
Whitewater River			
Outlet/suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	1	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Nearshore /suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	14	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Offshore /suspended sediments (Oct 2001)	11	Result	Schroeder (2004)
Near delta/surficial bottom sediment (Jul 1998)	2.7 to 11	Range	Schroeder (2004)
At outlet to Sea	0.5	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Upstream from Highway 111	0.1	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Avenue 64 Evacuation Channel at Highway 195	0.4	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
At outlet to Sea	< 5.0	Result	Coachella Valley Water District (1990)
Upstream from Highway 111	< 5.0	Result	Coachella Valley Water District (1990)
Avenue 64 Evacuation Channel at Highway 195	< 5.0	Result	Coachella Valley Water District (1990)
Unspecified	0.313	Result	UC-Riverside (2004)
Colorado River			
Northerly extension of international boundary/bed material (June 1996)	<0.1	Result	Schroeder (2004)
All American Canal/bed material (June 1996)	<0.1	Result	Schroeder (2004)
East Highline Canal	0.9	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Various drains/creeks/areas			
San Filipe Creek discharge/surficial bottom sediment (Jul 1998)	0.9	Result	Schroeder (2004)

Table 3
Selenium Concentrations in Soils (μg/g dw) and in Soil/Water Extracts (μg/L) in the Salton Sea Drainage Basin Areas

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Imperial Valley			
S-226 (Site 6) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.40 0.3 to 0.7	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-226 (Site 6) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	71.65 46 to 130	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-269 (Site 7) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.19 0.1 to 0.9	Geometric Mean Range (n = 12)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-269 (Site 7) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	34.4 11 to 110	Geometric Mean Range (n = 12)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Site 8) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	.25 0.1 to 0.7	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Site 8) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	42.3 20 to 200	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-94 (Site 30) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.27 0.1 to 0.5	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-94 (Site 30) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	11.6 3 to 28	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-142 (Site 33) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.22 0.1 to 0.5	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-142 (Site 33) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	16.4 7 to 70	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-241 (Site 41) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.31 0.2 to 0.5	Geometric Mean Range (n = 17)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-241 (Site 41) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	23.6 11 to 60	Geometric Mean Range (n = 17)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Site 50) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.34 0.2 to 0.6	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Site 50) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	10.8 4 to 35	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-265 (Site 67) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.12 0.1 to 0.2	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-265 (Site 67) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	6.0 <3 to 34	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-4 (Site 75) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.14 0.1 to 0.2	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-4 (Site 75) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	12.3 <3 to 65	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-72 (Site 79) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.13 0.1 to 0.3	Geometric Mean Range (n = 17)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-72 (Site 79) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	6.6 3 to 18	Geometric Mean Range (n = 17)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-352 (Site 87) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.45 0.1 to 1.3	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)

Table 3
Selenium Concentrations in Soils (μg/g dw) and in Soil/Water Extracts (μg/L) in the Salton Sea Drainage Basin Areas

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
S-352 (Site 87) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	50.2 13 to 120	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-423 (Site 93) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.18 0.1 to 0.4	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-423 (Site 93) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	11.9 3 to 41	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Site 98) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.11 <0.1 to 0.3	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Site 98) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	2.4 <3 to 10	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-176 (Site 104) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.16 <0.1 to 0.5	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-176 (Site 104) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	9.5 3 to 43	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-344 (Site 110) - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.14 <0.1 to 0.3	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-344 (Site 110) - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	10.9 3 to 22	Geometric Mean Range (n = 18)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
15 Fields within Imperial Valley - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.32 0.1 to 0.8	Geometric Mean Range (n = 39)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
15 Fields within Imperial Valley - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	0.32 0.1 to 0.8	Geometric Mean Range (n = 39)	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 8) 14.5 to 15 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.4	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 8) 14.5 to 15 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	95	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 8) 20.5 to 21 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.3	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 8) 20.5 to 21 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	55	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 8) 74.5 to 75 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 8) 74.5 to 75 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	25	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 8) 141.5 to 142 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	1.6	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 8) 141.5 to 142 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	200	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-417 (Northern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 8) 196.3 to 197 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)

Table 3
Selenium Concentrations in Soils (µg/g dw) and in Soil/Water Extracts (µg/L) in the Salton Sea Drainage Basin Areas

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
S-417 (Northern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 8) 196.3 to 197 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	14	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 50) 13.5 to 14 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	0.3	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 50) 13.5 to 14 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	55	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 26.5 to 27 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 26.5 to 27 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	5.5	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 56.5 to 57 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	0.3	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 56.5 to 57 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	18	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 71.5 to 72 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	0.3	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 71.5 to 72 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	14	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 101.5 to 102 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	0.3	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 50) 101.5 to 102 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	75	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 98) 12.5 to 13 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 98) 12.5 to 13 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	14	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 98) 18.5 to 19 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Lysimeter Hole (Site 98) 18.5 to 19 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	9.0	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 17.5 to 18 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 17.5 to 18 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (µg/L)	22	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 23.5 to 24ft. depth - Total soil selenium (µg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)

Table 3
Selenium Concentrations in Soils (μg/g dw) and in Soil/Water Extracts (μg/L) in the Salton Sea Drainage Basin Areas

Location/Description	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 23.5 to 24 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	9.0	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 34.5 to 35 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.4	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 34.5 to 35 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	31	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 65.5 to 66 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	0.4	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 65.5 to 66 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	14	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 81.5 to 82 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 81.5 to 82 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	5.5	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 106.5 to 107 ft. depth - Total soil selenium (μg/g)	<0.2	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole (Site 98) 106.5 to 107 ft. depth - Soil/Water extract selenium (μg/L)	<5.0	Result	Schroeder et al. (1993)
Salton Sea Margin (in the southwest portion of the Salton Sea)			
All Sites at Shore of Salton Sea	0.37	Mean (n=25)	Gersberg and Wright (undated - in draft)
All Sites 1 kilometer from Shore of Salton Sea	0.49	Mean (n=27)	Gersberg and Wright (undated - in draft)
All Samples	0.43	Mean (n=52)	Gersberg and Wright (undated - in draft)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Aquatic Plants and Algae			
Blue-green algae/Salton Sea	1.8	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Filamentous green algae/Salton Sea	0.9 <0.58 to 1.7	Geometric mean Range (n=12)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Tubular green algae/Salton Sea	0.7 0.56 to 1.4	Geometric mean Range (n=13)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Sago pondweed/Trifolium-Vail drains (1986)	1.1	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Cattails/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	<0.64	Non-detect (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Cattails/San Felipe and Salt Creeks	<0.62-1.1	Range (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Bulrush/sorrel/New River at Rio Bend	<0.2	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Bulrush/sorrel/Whitewater River delta	0.20 and 0.43	Results	Setmire et al. (1990)
Bulrush/sorrel/Trifolium-Vail drains (1986)	<0.2	Results (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Bulrush/sorrel/New River delta	<0.2 to 0.77	Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Bulrush/sorrel/Alamo River delta	0.74	Result	Setmire et al. (1990)
Spikerush/cattails/pondweed stems/Wetland Ponds fed by IID drainage	<0.12 to 5.02	Range (n=8)	Roline and Nelson (2004)
Spikerush/cattails/pondweed roots/Wetland Ponds fed by IID drainage	<0.12 to 2.44	Range (n=6)	Roline and Nelson (2004)
Terrestrial Plants			
Iodine bush leaves/all sites at Sea	0.29	Geometric mean (n=2)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Iodine bush leaves/all sites 1 km from Sea	0.48	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Four-wing saltbush leaves/all sites at Sea	1.55	Geometric mean (n=14)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Four-wing saltbush leaves/all sites 1 km from Sea	4.52	Geometric mean (n=12)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Alkali goldbush leaves/all sites at Sea	1.68	Geometric mean (n=17)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Alkali goldbush leaves/all sites 1 km from Sea	7.91	Geometric mean (n=12)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Creosote bush leaves/all sites at Sea	0.73	Geometric mean (n=2)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Creosote bush leaves/all sites 1 km from Sea	1.91	Geometric mean (n=4)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Tamarisk leaves/all sites at Sea	0.39	Geometric mean (n=2)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Tamarisk leaves/all sites 1 km from Sea	0.99	Geometric mean (n=2)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Benthic and Aquatic Invertebrates			
Amphipod, pileworm, waterboatmen composite/Salton Sea	2.8 2.6 to 3.1	Geometric mean Range (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1993)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Pileworms/Salton Sea (1988-89)	3.1 0.82 to 12.1	Geometric mean Range (n=8)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Pileworms/Salton Sea (1991-92)	6.6 4.7 to12	Geometric mean Range (n=6)	Audet et al. (undated)
Waterboatmen/Salton Sea (1988-89)	2.1 1.4 to 3.3	Geometric mean Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Waterboatmen/Salton Sea (1991-92)	2.9 1.2 to 11	Geometric mean Range (n=14)	Audet et al. (undated)
Crayfish/ New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	3.1 2.4 to 3.3	Geometric mean Range (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Crayfish/Trifolium-Vail drain	3.7	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Crayfish/New River delta	2.5	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Asiatic river clams/ New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	4.4 2.6 to 6.4	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Asiatic river clams/Imperial Valley drains	9.54 6.0 to 15.8	Geometric mean Range (n=82)	Setmire et al. (1999)
Asiatic river clams/East Highline canal	10.94 8.5 to 15.5	Geometric mean Range (n=4)	Setmire et al. (1999)
Asiatic river clams/Colorado River	9.81 8.1 to 11.9	Geometric mean Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1999)
Asiatic river clams/New River at Rio Bend (1986)	4.8 to 6.2	Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Asiatic river clams/New River at Rio Bend (1987)	0.71	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Asiatic river clams/Whitewater River delta (1986)	5.4	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Terrestrial Invertebrates			
Beetles whole body/all sites at Sea	1.15	Geometric mean (n=11)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Beetles whole body/all sites 1 km from Sea	1.80	Geometric mean (n=24)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Ants whole body/all sites at Sea	7.29	Geometric mean (n=11)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Ants whole body/all sites 1 km from Sea	16.07	Geometric mean (n=18)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Fish			
Fish whole body/Salton Sea area	6.1 to 16	Range	Skorupa (1998)
Fish muscle/Salton Sea area	7.9 to 14	Range	Skorupa (1998)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea	12.9 12.0 to 16.0	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Bairdiella/River mouths	2.10±0.12 ww	Geometric mean ± 1 SD	Costa-Pierce et al. (2000; 2001)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea	2.32±0.56 ww	Geometric mean ± 1 SD	Costa-Pierce et al. (2000; 2001)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Bairdiella/Salton Sea south	3.24 ww 2.16 to 6.20	Geometric mean Range (n=35)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea south	2.85 ww 2.16 to 6.20	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=5)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea south	12.34 9.52 to 15.57	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=5)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea south	6.20 ww	Result (n=1) liver	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea south	25.73	Result (n=1) liver	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Alamo River Delta	3.66 ww 3.50 to 3.90	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Alamo River Delta	13.44 12.87 to 13.88	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Alamo River Delta	2.93 ww 2.70 to 3.00	Geometric mean carcass Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Alamo River Delta	8.80 7.94 to 9.72	Geometric mean carcass Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea National Refuge	3.35 ww 1.79 to 3.82	Geometric mean whole body Range (n=6)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea National Refuge	12.92 7.20 to 16.00	Geometric mean whole body Range (n=6)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea near Alamo and New River Deltas	2.21 ww 2.10 to 2.32	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=2)	Moreau (2004)
Bairdiella/Salton Sea near Alamo and New River Deltas	8.90 8.47 to 9.35	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=2)	Moreau (2004)
Longjaw mudsucker/Salton Sea	6.1	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Mudsucker/ Alamo River delta	7.2	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Longjaw mudsucker/various creeks and drains	1.80 ww	Result for composite (n=5)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Mosquitofish/ New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	3.5 2.6 to 4.7	Geometric mean Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Mosquitofish/San Felipe and Salt Creeks	6.9 6.4 to 7.4	Geometric mean Range (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Mosquitofish/Major drains	10.8 7.3 to 16	Geometric mean Range	Setmire et al. (1993)
Mosquitofish/New River at Rio Bend	5.4	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Mosquitofish/Trifolium-Vail drain	7.3 and 16	Results (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Mosquitofish/Alamo River delta	6.3 and 7.6	Results (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Mosquitofish/Alamo River Calipatria, Holtville and International boundary	1.30 ww	Result for composite (n=25)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Mosquitofish/ various creeks and drains	1.25 ww 0.54 to 2.20	Geometric mean Range (n=608)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Mosquitofish and unidentified sp./ Wetlands fed by IID drainage	4.70 <0.12 to 17.26	Average Range (n=7)	Roline and Nelson (2004)
Sailfin molly/Mosquitofish/Coachella canal and stormwater channel	0.69 ww	Result for composite (n=12)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Sailfin molly/Mosquitofish/ New River Westmorland and International boundary	1.50 ww	Result for composite (n=70)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Sailfin molly/ New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	3.9 2.5 to 5.8	Geometric mean Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Sailfin molly/San Felipe and Salt Creeks	6.4 5.5 to 7.4	Geometric mean Range (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Sailfin molly/New River at Rio Bend	6.7 and 7.7	Results (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Sailfin molly/ Whitewater River delta	3.7	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Sailfin molly/ Alamo River delta	11	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Sailfin molly/New River - Fig Lake	1.40 ww	Result for composite (n=21)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Sailfin molly/various creeks and drains	1.12 ww 0.62 to 2.30	Geometric mean Range (n=255)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Red shiner/Whitewater River delta	4.7	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Red shiner/Coachella canal and stormwater channel	0.68 ww 0.46 to 0.95	Geometric mean Range (n=114)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Red shiner/Alamo River Calipatria, Holtville and International boundary	0.90 ww	Result for composite (n=27)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Tilapia/Salton Sea	2.39 ± 0.11 ww	Geometric mean $\pm$ 1 SD	Costa-Pierce et al. (2000; 2001)
Tilapia/Salton Sea South	2.81 ww 1.31 to 6.65	Geometric mean Range (n=49)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Tilapia/Salton Sea South and South deltas (New and Alamo Rivers)	9.7 8.6 and 10.9	Geometric mean Results (n=2)	Moreau et al., (in press)
Tilapia/Salton Sea South and South deltas (New and Alamo Rivers)	2.12 ww 1.89 and 2.39	Geometric mean Results (n=2)	Moreau et al., (in press)
Tilapia/Salton Sea North	3.75 ww 2.73 to 6.27	Geometric mean Range (n=23)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Tilapia/ Salton Sea Recreation Area Headquarters, Red Hill, and Bombay Beach	7.8 4.8 to 9.86	Geometric mean Range (n=24)	Moreau et al. (in press)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Tilapia/ Salton Sea Recreation Area Headquarters, Red Hill, and Bombay Beach	1.67 ww 1.10 to 2.06	Geometric mean Range (n=24)	Moreau et al. (in press)
Tilapia/ Salton Sea Beach, Salt Creek mouth, Salton Sea Center, White River delta, and Alamo River delta	7.52 6.40 to 8.89	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Moreau et al. (in press)
Tilapia/ Salton Sea Beach, Salt Creek mouth, Salton Sea Center, White River delta, and Alamo River delta	2.17 ww 1.90 to 2.44	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Moreau et al. (in press)
Tilapia/Alamo River delta, Salt Creek mouth, Salton Sea Center, and Salton Sea Beach	7.92 7.05 to 8.83	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Moreau et al. (in press)
Tilapia/Alamo River delta, Salt Creek mouth, Salton Sea Center, and Salton Sea Beach	2.12 ww 1.91 to 2.27	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Moreau et al. (in press)
Tilapia/New River at Rio Bend	8.0 and 10	Results (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Tilapia/ Whitewater River delta	3.5 and 6.3	Results (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Tilapia/ Trifolium-Vail drain	9.3 and 12	Results (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Tilapia/New River delta	4.3	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Tilapia/New River delta	8.8 6.7 to 13.9	Geometric mean Range (n=3)	Moreau et al. (in press)
Tilapia/New River delta	2.80 ww 2.10 to 4.50	Geometric mean Range (n=3)	Moreau et al. (in press)
Tilapia/Alamo River delta	12 to 17	Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Tilapia/River mouths	1.89±0.61 ww	Geometric mean ± 1 SD	Costa-Pierce et al. (2000; 2001)
Tilapia/Coachella canal and stormwater channel	0.93 ww 0.86 to 1.02	Geometric mean Range (n=31)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Tilapia/New River Westmorland and International boundary	1.60 ww	Result for composite (n=3)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Tilapia/Alamo River Calipatria, Holtville and International boundary	5.06 ww	Result for composite (n=8)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Tilapia/various creeks and drains	1.98 ww 1.90 to 2.07	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Redbelly tilapia/Salton Sea south	3.05 ww 2.90 to 3.20	Geometric mean Range (n=11)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Redbelly tilapia/Coachella canal and stormwater channel	0.56 ww	Result for composite (n=17)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Redbelly tilapia/various creeks and drains	2.15 ww 0.20 to 17.0	Geometric mean Range (n=32)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Mozambique tilapia/various creeks and drains	3.57 ww 3.00 to 4.60	Geometric mean Range (n=21)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Corvina/Alamo River delta	20	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1990)
Corvina/River mouths	2.73±0.07 ww	Geometric mean ± 1 SD	Costa-Pierce et al. (2000; 2001)
Corvina/Salton Sea	2.30±0.00 ww	Geometric mean ± 1 SD	Costa-Pierce et al. (2000; 2001)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Corvina/Salton Sea south	2.59 ww 1.82 to 3.60	Geometric mean Range (n=27)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Corvina/Salton Sea west shore	3.76 ww 3.10 to 4.30	Geometric mean Range (n=16)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Corvina/Salton Sea north	2.27 ww 1.36 to 3.00	Geometric mean Range (n=20)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Corvina/Salton Sea south	3.06 ww 1.36 to 4.30	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=16)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Salton Sea south	13.21 5.76 to 18.70	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=16)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Salton Sea south	2.17 ww 2.04 to 2.30	Geometric mean liver Range (n=2)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Salton Sea south	5.10 3.92 to 6.63	Geometric mean liver Range (n=2)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Alamo River Delta	3.13 ww 3.00 to 3.30	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Alamo River Delta	11.49 11.03 to 11.74	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Alamo River Delta	2.43 ww 2.30 to 2.50	Geometric mean carcass Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Alamo River Delta	7.30 6.76 to 7.84	Geometric mean carcass Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Alamo River Delta	4.96 ww	Result fillet (n=1)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Alamo River Delta	20	Result fillet (n=1)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Salton Sea at Red Hill Marina, Bombay Beach and New River Delta	1.69 ww 1.45 to 2.21	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Salton Sea at Red Hill Marina, Bombay Beach and New River Delta	7.9 6.65 to 10.2	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Salton Sea South and near Alamo and New River Deltas	2.51 ww 2.30 to 2.73	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=2)	Moreau (2004)
Corvina/Salton Sea South and near Alamo and New River Deltas	10.10 9.27 to 11.01	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=2)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/Salton Sea south	3.13 ww 2.10 to 5.60	Geometric mean Range (n=18)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Sargo/Salton west shore	2.10 ww	Result (n=1)	TSMP (1978-2000)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Sargo/Salton Sea south and north	2.25 2.10 to 2.60 ww	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/Salton Sea south and north	9.10 7.81 to 10.88	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/Salton Sea south	5.60 ww	Result liver (n=1)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/Salton Sea south	14.89	Result liver (n=1)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/New River Delta	2.16 ww 1.90 to 2.40	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/New River Delta	9.00 8.86 to 14.89	Geometric mean fillets Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/New River Delta	2.09 ww 1.90 to 2.30	Geometric mean carcass Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Sargo/New River Delta	6.40 5.85 to 7.03	Geometric mean carcass Range (n=3)	Moreau (2004)
Carp/Coachella canal and stormwater channel	1.45 ww 1.10 to 2.00	Geometric mean Range (n=13)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Carp/New River Westmorland and International boundary	1.23 ww 0.46 to 1.70	Geometric mean Range (n=21)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Carp/New River - Fig Lake	0.98 ww 0.93 to 1.00	Geometric mean Range (n=12)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Carp/Alamo River Calipatria, Holtville and International boundary	1.58 ww 1.30 to 1.94	Geometric mean Range (n=19)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Carp/various creeks and drains	1.51 ww 0.45 to 2.30	Geometric mean Range (n=30)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Grass carp/New River Westmorland and International boundary	1.50 ww	Result for composite (n=2)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Catfish/Coachella canal and stormwater channel	0.38 ww	Result for composite (n=3)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Catfish/New River Westmorland and International boundary	0.89 ww 0.36 to 3.23	Geometric mean Range (n=41)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Catfish/New River - Fig Lake	0.73 ww 0.48 to 1.70	Geometric mean Range (n=7)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Catfish/Alamo River Calipatria, Holtville and International boundary	0.99 ww 0.72 to 2.30	Geometric mean Range (n=15)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Catfish/various creeks and drains	0.71 ww 0.45 to 2.30	Geometric mean Range (n=43)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Largemouth bass/Coachella canal and stormwater channel	2.10 ww	Result for composite (n=3)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Largemouth bass /New River - Fig Lake	1.60 ww	Result (n=1)	TSMP (1978-2000)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Largemouth bass /Alamo River Calipatria, Holtville and International boundary	4.40 ww	Result for composite (n=2)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Largemouth bass /Alamo River Wiest Lake	1.72 ww 1.35 to 2.20	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Yellow bullhead/ New River Westmorland and International boundary	0.49 ww	Result (n=1)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Yellow bullhead/ New River Westmorland and International boundary	3.90 ww	Result for composite (n=2)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Amphibians and Reptiles			
Bullfrog/ New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	4.4 3.6 to 5.4	Geometric mean Range (n=2)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Spiny softshell turtle/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	10.3 8.0 to 14.0	Geometric mean Range (n=6)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Spiny softshell turtle/ New River Westmorland and International boundary	1.04 ww 0.68 to 1.50	Geometric mean Range (n=27)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Spiny softshell turtle/Alamo River Calipatria, Holtville and International boundary	0.79 ww	Result for composite (n=2)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Spiny softshell turtle/various creeks and drains	1.45 ww 1.40 to 1.50	Geometric mean Range (n=27)	TSMP (1978-2000)
Iguana liver/all sites at Sea	5.27	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Iguana liver/all sites 1 km from Sea	5.06	Geometric mean (n=3)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Iguana muscles/all sites 1 km from Sea	ND	Results (n=2)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Iguana tails/all sites at Sea	ND	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Iguana tails/all sites 1 km from Sea	3.32	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Lizard muscles/all sites at Sea	0.91	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Lizard muscles/all sites 1 km from Sea	0.88	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Birds			
Bird eggs/Salton Sea area	1.6 to 35	Range	Skorupa (1998)
Unspecified avian eggs/NIWQP Study Area, Salton Sea	6.2	Maximum (n=128 eggs)	Seiler et al. (2004)
BCNH eggs/Whitewater delta (1992)	6.18 3.30 to 7.85	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
BCNH eggs/Whitewater delta (1985)	1.10 ww 0.92 to 1.40	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Ohlendorf and Marois (1990)
WFI eggs/Finney Lake, Imperial Wildlife Area (IWA) (1992)	3.62 3.29 to 4.28	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
GE eggs/Mallard Road Wister Unit, IWA (1992)	4.95 3.45 to 6.17	Geometric mean Range (n=8)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
GE eggs/Whitewater delta (1985)	0.643 ww 0.54 to 0.82	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Ohlendorf and Marois (1990)
SE eggs/Whitewater	4.97 3.51 to 8.32	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Bennett (1998)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
GE eggs/Whitewater Poe Road delta	7.14 6.1-9.9	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Bennett (1998)
BNS eggs/around Salton Sea (1992)	6.60 3.74 to 14.2	Geometric mean Range (n=39)	Bennett (1998)
BNS eggs/around Salton Sea (1993)	5.82 3.67 to 8.96	Geometric mean Range (n=45)	Bennett (1998)
BNS egg/Salton Sea	4.3 1.6 to 35.0	Geometric mean Range (n=127)	Setmire et al. (1993)
BS eggs/Salton Sea (1992)	5.87 5.71 to 6.24	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
BS eggs/Johnson drain area, Salton Sea (1993)	6.01 4.61 to 7.19	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
BS eggs/Mullet Island, Salton Sea (1993)	6.78 5.10 to 8.17	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
BS eggs/Obsidian Butte, Salton Sea (1993)	6.35 3.59 to 8.92	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
BS eggs/Morton Bay, Salton Sea	4.47 3.25 to 8.03	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
CT eggs/Mullet Island, Salton Sea	2.60 1.40 to 3.81	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Roberts and Berg (2000)
AC eggs/Brawley Wetland near Legion Road	3.95 2.7 to 4.6	Average Range (n=4)	Skorupa (2003)
AC eggs/Imperial Wetland near Wienert Road	4.00 2.7 to 5.0	Average Range (n=4)	Skorupa (2003)
PBG eggs/Brawley Wetland near Legion Road	5.2	Result (n=1)	Skorupa (2003)
CM egg/Imperial Wetland near Wienert Road	4.0	Result (n=1)	Skorupa (2003)
Bird muscle/Salton Sea area	2.7 to 7.2	Range	Skorupa (1998)
Bird kidney or liver/Salton Sea area	2.7 to 42	Range	Skorupa (1998)
EG liver/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	12.7 2.7 to 35.1	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Setmire et al. (1993)
Dead EG liver/Imperial County	47	Result (n=5 composite)	Audet et al. (undated)
Dying EG liver/Imperial County	34	Result (n=5 composite)	Audet et al. (undated)
Healthy EG liver/Imperial County	44	Result (n=5 composite)	Audet et al. (undated)
Symptomatic EG liver/Salton Sea (1992-93)	29 17.1 to 56.2	Geometric mean Range (n=29)	Audet et al. (undated)
Asymptomatic EG liver/Salton Sea (1992-93)	22.9 12.0 to 38.1	Geometric mean Range (n=26)	Audet et al. (undated)
EG liver/North Salton Sea (1992)	27 21 to 46	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	Audet et al. (undated)
EG liver /South Salton Sea (1992)	30 17 to 53	Geometric mean Range (n=8)	Audet et al. (undated)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
EG liver/Salton Sea (1989)	13 2.7 to 35	Geometric mean Range (n=5)	Audet et al. (undated)
NS liver/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	19.1 9.1 to 47.0	Geometric mean Range (n=19)	Setmire et al. (1993)
NS muscle/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	5.2 3.8 to 12.0	Geometric mean Range (n=6)	Setmire et al. (1993)
NS livers/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	19.3 9.1 to 47.0	Geometric mean Range (n=31)	Setmire et al. (1993)
RD liver/Salton Sea	11.7 5.2 to 41.5	Geometric mean Range (n=57)	Setmire et al. (1993)
RD liver/Salton Sea (1992)	12 9.2 to 24	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Audet et al. (undated)
RD muscle/Salton Sea	4.8 2.7 to 7.2	Geometric mean Range (n=17)	Setmire et al. (1993)
WFI carcass/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	5.3 3.9 to 6.6	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	Setmire et al. (1993)
WFI liver/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	7.4 5 to 13.2	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	Setmire et al. (1993)
AC liver/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	12.3 7.9 to 21	Geometric mean Range (n=15)	Setmire et al. (1993)
AC liver/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	10.3 7.9 to 16.3	Geometric mean Range (n=3)	Setmire et al. (1993)
BNS liver/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	21.7 19 to 27	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	Setmire et al. (1993)
BNS carcass/Salton Sea	5.4 3.2 to 11.3	Geometric mean Range (n=19)	Setmire et al. (1993)
YCR whole body/New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains	4.8	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1993)
CE liver/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	5.9 5.2 to 6.7	Geometric mean Range (n=6)	Setmire et al. (1993)
DCC liver/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	24.5 18.0 to 42.0	Geometric mean Range (n=9)	Setmire et al. (1993)
GBH liver/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	15.0	Result (n=1)	Setmire et al. (1993)
HG/Imperial Valley (1986-90)	14.0	Result (n=3 composited)	Setmire et al. (1993)
BP livers/Salton Sea	11.5 3.11 to 35.4	Geometric mean Range (n=16)	Roberts (1997)
WP livers/Salton Sea	12.5 4.28 to 20.1	Geometric mean Range (n=10)	Roberts (1997)
Mammals			
Kangaroo rat (merriami) liver/all sites at Sea	2.72	Geometric mean (n=10)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Kangaroo rat (merriami) liver /all sites 1 km from Sea	2.58	Geometric mean (n=10)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Kangaroo rat (merriami) muscles/all sites at Sea	1.32	Geometric mean (n=10)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)

Table 4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota for Salton Sea and Associated Areas

Description/Location	Se Concentration	Parameter	Reference
Kangaroo rat (merriami) muscles /all sites 1 km from Sea	0.93	Geometric mean (n=10)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Kangaroo rat (deserti) liver /all sites 1 km from Sea	5.11	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)
Kangaroo rat (deserti) muscle /all sites 1 km from Sea	2.91	Result (n=1)	Gersberg and Wright (2004)

Notes: All concentrations are on a dry weight basis unless otherwise indicated with wet weight (ww) designation.

Bird names abbreviated as follows: AC - American coot; BCNH - Black-crowned night-heron; BNS - Black-necked stilt; BS - Black skimmer; BP - brown pelican; CM - common moorhen; ; CE - Cattle egret; CT - Caspian tern; DCC - Double-crested cormorant; EG - Eared grebe; GE – Great egret; GBH - Great blue heron; HG - Herring gull; NS - Northern shoveler; PBG -pied-billed grebe; RD - Ruddy duck; SE - Snowy egret; WFI - White-faced ibis; WP - White pelican; YCR - Yuma clapper rail

Table 5
Matrix of Selenium Concentration Data in Various Abiotic and Biotic Media at Salton Sea and Surrounding Areas

Media	Salton Sea	Rivers			Creeks	Agricultural Drains or Ponds
		Alamo	New	Whitewater		
Abiotic Media						
Surface water	X	X	X	X		X
Sediment	X	X	X	X	X	X
Soils	X	X	X			X
Biota-Aquatic						
Plants/algae	X	X	X	X	X	X
Invertebrates	X		X	X		X
Fish - Whole	X	X	X	X	X	X
Fish - Fillets	X	X	X			
Fish - Liver	X	X				X
Amphibians			X			X
Reptiles		X	X		X	X
Birds - eggs	X	X	X	X		X
Birds - liver	X					X
Bird - muscle	X					X
Birds - carcass	X					X
Biota-Terrestrial						
Plants	X					
Invertebrates	X					
Amphibians		X	X			X
Reptiles	X	X	X			X
Mammals	X					

Appendix A

SURFACE WATER

Table A-1

Selenium Concentrations (μg/L) in Water Samples, Salton Sea Area

Site	Date	Selenium
Tile drain 1	08-14-86	55
Tile drain 2	08-14-86	24
Tile drain 3	08-14-86	120
Tile drain 4	08-14-86	14
Tile drain 5	08-14-86	7
Tile drain 6	08-14-86	300
Tile drain 7	08-14-86	25
Tile drain 8	08-14-86	26
Alamo River at outlet	08-12-86	9
New River at outlet	08-12-86	4
Trifolium Drain 1	08-12-86	6
Salton Sea composite	08-12-86	1

Source: Setmire, J.G., J.C. Wolfe, and R.K. Stroud (1990)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table A-2

Summary Selenium Statistics for Monthly Water Samples from 15 Subsurface Drains in the Imperial Valley, August 1988 - August 1989

Site No.	Local identifier	Se concentration (μg/L)		Se/chloride ratio		Se load (lbs)
		Value	Range	Value (x 10^{-5})	r^2 value	
79	S-72	61±18.8 *68*	28-88	4±1 *2.7*	0.52	29
98	S-371	94±30 *76*	43-140	3.3±0.5 *2.9*	0.87	22.3
104	S-176	50±11.5 *51*	41-86	2.7±0.4 *2.3*	0.83	21.5
50	S-154	19±6.7 *15*	2-29	0.3±0.1 *0.33*	0.51	0.4
110	S-344	51±9.7 *60*	36-68	1.6±0.2 *1.6*	0.68	7.6
93	S-423	158±56 *68*	68-240	4±0.3 *3.8*	0.96	1.3
8	S-417	184±99 *300*	19-340	1.9±0.4 *1.8*	0.92	16.7
33	S-142	13.5±2 *16*	11-17	4.7±1.2 *7.3*	0.019	No flow observed
75	S-4	130±25 *170*	91-170	1.6±0.2 *1.8*	0.58	21.2
67	S-265	78±17 *76*	50-99	3.6±1.1 *4*	<0.01	55
87	S-352	42±19 *65*	12-65	2±1.4 *1.1*	0.90	4.2
6	S-226	267±75 *250*	71-360	2.5±0.7 *2.3*	0.28	40.9
7	S-269	267±44 *230*	180-360	3±1 *2.9*	0.03	54.6
30	S-94	35±16 *51*	17-67	1.1±0.6 *0.9*	0.96	5.5
41	S-241	45±16 *30*	13-70	1.6±0.3 *2.5*	0.88	4.2

Note: Values for selenium concentrations and selenium-to-chloride weight ratio are mean monthly values ± one standard deviation and *in italics,* May 1988 values. Selenium load is estimate for water year 1989.

Source: Setmire, J.G., R.A. Schroeder, J.L. Densmore, S.L. Goodbred, A.J. Audet, and W.R. Radke. (1993)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table A-3

Summary Selenium Statistics for Monthly Water Samples from Six Sites in the Imperial Valley, August 1988 - August 1989

Site	Selenium concentration (μg/L)			Se/chloride ratio (x 10^{-5})	Dissolved solids concentration (mg/L)	Selenium load (tons)
	Median	Minimum	Maximum			
Alamo River at international boundary	4.5 ±2.6	3	10	0.42 ±0.4	3,690 ±501	Not calculated
Alamo River at outlet to Salton Sea	8.0 ±2.1	2	10	1.7 ±0.26	2,170 ±159	6.5
East Highline Canal	2.0 ±0.3	2	3	2.2 ±0.35	686 ±41	Not calculated
New River at international boundary	2.0 ±0.5	1 1	2 2	0.15 ±0.06	2,670 ±362	0.5
New River at outlet to Salton Sea	4.0 ±0.5	4	5	0.45 ±0.06	2,835 ±130	2.5
Trifolium Drain 1	6.0 ±2	5	10	1.2 ±3.3	2,350 ±798	Not calculated

Note: Selenium load is estimate for water year 1989. Values are mean concentrations ± one standard deviation.

Source: Setmire, J.G., R.A. Schroeder, J.L. Densmore, S.L. Goodbred, A.J. Audet, and W.R. Radke. (1993)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table A-4

Selenium Concentrations in Surface Water (μg/L) in the Salton Sea Area

Location	Description	Se concentration
Colorado River	Used to irrigate Imperial Valley	2 (average)
Alamo River	At outlet to Salton Sea	8 (median)
Alamo River	At outlet to Salton Sea (June 1989)	2.56 (selenite) 3.79 (selenate) 6.35 (total selenium)
Subsurface drains (n=119 samples)	Imperial Valley (May 1988)	25 (median)
Subsurface drains (n=304 samples)	Imperial Valley (August 1994 to January 1995)	28 (median)

Source: Setmire, J.G. and R.A. Schroeder (1998)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Peer review publication

Table A-5

Selenium Concentrations in Surface Water (μg/L) in Salton Sea and River Samples Collected in March and October 1999

Salton Sea	Alamo River	New River	Whitewater River
1.1-2.1	5.9-6.6	3.4-3.5	2.4-2.5

Source: G.C. Holdren and A. Montano (2002)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Peer review publication

Table A-6

Selenium and Selenium Species Concentrations (μg/L) for Water Samples Collected in Salton Sea and Rivers on June 25, 2003

Sample ID	Analysis	Method	Result	Standard Deviation
Whitewater River (R03G0060-7)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	3.15 0.655 2.37 0.127	8.49E-3 4.24E-2 7.14E-2 1.22E-1
New River (R03G0060-8)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	3.87 1.34 2.06 0.471	8.84E-2 1.41E-2 3.11E-2 1.05E-1
Alamo River (R03G0060-9)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	5.28 1.11 3.90 0.276	9.83E-2 4.24E-2 4.81E-2 1.04E-1
Salton Sea - S1 (R03G0060-10)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	1.74 0.485 0.375 0.884	1.03E-1 1.06E-1 1.51E-1 5.80E-2
Salton Sea - S2 (R03G0060-11)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	1.85 0.428 0.252 1.17	1.24E-1 2.47E-2 1.17E-1 2.17E-1
Salton Sea - S3 (R03G0060-12)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	1.69 0.595 0.353 0.738	6.01E-2 2.19E-1 3.18E-2 2.47E-1
Salton Sea - B1 (R03G0060-13)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	1.51 0.408 0.299 0.804	7.28E-2 6.01E-2 1.70E-2 1.50E-1
Salton Sea - B2 (R03G0060-14)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	1.50 0.553 0.256 0.693	2.12E-3 5.3E-2 6.51E-2 1.2E-1

Table A-6

Selenium and Selenium Species Concentrations (μg/L) for Water Samples Collected in Salton Sea and Rivers on June 25, 2003

Salton Sea - B3 (R03G0060-15)	Total Selenium Selenium (IV) Selenium (VI) Organic Selenium	Proprietary	2.02 0.513 0.972 0.538	9.97E-2 8.13E-2 1.87E-1 5.66E-3

Source: Unpublished data from U.C. Riverside provided by Salton Sea Science office (2003)

QA/QC Data Group: C - Basis: While laboratory QA/QC criteria were met, there is no other documentation about sampling QA/QC

Table A-7

Characteristics of Salton Sea Study Area for National Irrigation Water Quality Program

Evaporation Index	Se in Source Water (μg/L)	Geology	Se in Surface Water (μg/L)		Avian eggs (n=number of egg sets sampled)/ classification
			(75th%)	Range	
24.5	3	Rivers traverse upper Cretaceous marine sedimentary rocks upstream from irrigated lands	8	<1 to 10	(n=9)/ elevated

Notes: Evaporation index is mean free-water-surface evaporation divided by mean annual precipitation

Salton Sea study area is classified as 'contaminated' because the surface water 75th percentile selenium concentration is at least 5 mg/L.

Bird eggs contamination is classified as 'elevated because maximum mean selenium concentration is between 3 and 8 μg/g.

Source: Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, and L.A. Peltz. (1999); Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, D.L. Naftz, and B.T. Nolan (2004)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publications:

Table A-8

Geometric Mean Selenium Concentrations in Surface Water (μg/L± 1 standard deviation) in the Alamo River and New River, 1988-1989

Alamo River	New River	Sample Size (n)
7.6 ± 2.0	4.3 ± 0.5	15

Source: R.A. Schroeder and W.H. Orem (2004)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Peer review publication

Table A-9

Selenium Concentrations in Selected Media of Concern from Wetland Ponds Red by Drainage from the Imperial Irrigation District

Location	Water (µg/L)	Sediment (µg/g dw)	Plant Stems (µg/g dw)	Plant Roots (µg/g dw)	Macro-invertebrates (µg/g dw)	Fish (µg/g dw)
Fig Lagoon #1	2.74	---	---	---	---	---
Fig Lagoon #2	2.98	0.20	<0.12	0.61	1.29	1.26
Fig Lagoon #3	2.56	0.65	<0.12	<0.12	0.22	<0.12
Fig Lagoon #4	2.28	---	---	---	---	---
Veysey's Pond #1	3.46	0.24	3.41	0.75	0.47	1.28
Veysey's Pond #2	2.96	---	---	---	---	---
Veysey's Pond #3	2.98	0.28	<0.12	---	---	1.24
Veysey's Pond #4	3.02	---	---	---	---	---
Gieselman Lake #1	---	---	---	---	---	---
Gieselman Lake #2	2.90	0.70	0.13	2.44	---	17.26
Gieselman Lake #3	2.20	0.32	5.02	---	---	7.16
Gieselman Lake #4	3.08	---	---	---	---	---
Desert Ranch #1	---	---	---	---	---	---
Desert Ranch #2	2.98	0.67	<0.12	<0.12	---	---
Desert Ranch #3	2.08	0.27	<0.12	0.66	---	4.63
Desert Ranch #4	2.04	---	---	---	---	---

Notes: #1 - Inflow Channel; #2 - Inflow Area; #3 - Outflow Area; #4 - Outflow Channel; ---, no sample.

Source: R.A. Roline and S.M. Nelson (2004)

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: Agency technical memorandum with general assessment of QA/QC results

Table A-10

Selenium Concentrations in Surface Water (μg/L) in the Coachella Valley Stormwater Channel (Whitewater River)

Date	Selenium Concentration	
	Total Recoverable	Dissolved
11/15/1997	< 5.0	NA
5/20/1998	7.0	NA
12/15/1998	7.0	NA
5/12/1999	26	NA
11/22/1999	12	NA
5/9/2000	10	NA
11/28/2000	8.0	NA
5/16/2001	7.0	NA
11/27/2001	< 5.0	< 5.0
5/22/2002	5.0	< 5.0
10/29/2002	< 5.0	< 5.0
5/21/2003	5.7	< 5.0
12/3/2003	9.4	3.5
5/20/2004	< 5.0	< 5.0
11/18/2004	8.5	< 5.0
Arithmetic Mean	7.0	< 5.0

Source: Coachella Valley Water District (2005)

NA - Not Analyzed
Reporting limit (RL) for selenium is 5.0 μg/L. Arithmetic mean was calculated by using a zero for all values below the RL.

QA/QC Data Group: C - Basis: Data provided by Irrigation District from semi-annual compliance monitoring with no assessment of QA/QC results

Appendix B

SEDIMENT

Table B-1
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Bottom Sediment, Salton Sea Area, 1986

Site No.	Site Name	Selenium
1	East Highline Canal	0.9
2	Alamo River at international boundary	1.6
3	New River at international boundary	1.0
4	Alamo River at Imperial Wildlife Management Area	0.6
5	New River at midpoint (August 11, 1986)	0.6
5	New River at midpoint (August 14, 1986)	1.3
6	Alamo River at outlet	0.4
6a	Alamo River Delta (immediately downstream of Site 6)	0.8
7	New River at outlet	0.6
8	Trifolium Drain 1	1.9
9	Trifolium Drain 4	0.4
10	Vail Drain 4	1.2
11	T-drain	0.7
12	Whitewater River upstream from Highway 111	0.1
13	Whitewater River at outlet	0.5
14	Avenue 64 Evacuation Channel at Highway 195	0.4
15	Salton Sea composite	3.3
	- Median	0.7
	- Minimum	0.1
	- Maximum	3.3
	- 25th quartile	0.4
	- 75th quartile	1.2

Source: Setmire, J.G., J.C. Wolfe, and R.K. Stroud (1990)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table B-2

Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) for Sediment Samples Collected in Salton Sea in December 1998 by EPA Method 7742

Detects/total number of samples	Geometric mean	Minimum	Maximum	Location of Maximum Value
55/71	0.44	0.12	8.5	Site 1 near Desert Shores Marina

Source: Levine Fricke (1999)

Summary statistics reported here. Refer to report for individual sample results.

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: These data precede final data validation results. Ultimately these data were used in a peer review publication by Vogl and Henry (2002).

Table B-3

Ranges in Selenium Concentration (μg/g dw) in Sediment at Foraging/Nesting Areas Associated with Bird Egg Studies

Location	Johnson Drain Area	Morton Bay	Obsidian Butte
Se Range in Sediment	1.32-3.11	1.13-1.36	0.191-0.953

Source: Roberts, C.A. and K.S. Berg (2000)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Analytical data validated by USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility

Table B-4

Selenium and Selenium Species Concentrations (μg/g) for Sediment Samples Collected in Salton Sea and Rivers on June 25, 2003 using EPA Method 7742

Sample ID	Analysis	Result
Whitewater River (R03G0060-1)	Total Soluble Selenium	0.029
	Soluble Selenium (IV)	0.015
	Soluble Selenium (VI)	0.007
	Soluble Organic Selenium	0.007
	Selenium (IV)	0.061
	Selenium (VI)	0.020
	Organic Selenium	0.011
	Elemental Selenium	0.024
	OM Selenium Plus Residue Selenium	0.197
	Total Selenium	0.313
New River (R03G0060-2)	Total Soluble Selenium	0.037
	Soluble Selenium (IV)	0.023
	Soluble Selenium (VI)	0.004
	Soluble Organic Selenium	0.010
	Selenium (IV)	0.057
	Selenium (VI)	0.018
	Organic Selenium	0.014
	Elemental Selenium	0.050
	OM Selenium Plus Residue Selenium	0.232
	Total Selenium	0.371
Alamo River (R03G0060-3)	Total Soluble Selenium	0.036
	Soluble Selenium (IV)	0.022
	Soluble Selenium (VI)	0.008
	Soluble Organic Selenium	0.006
	Selenium (IV)	0.070
	Selenium (VI)	0.051
	Organic Selenium	0.029
	Elemental Selenium	0.016
	OM Selenium Plus Residue Selenium	0.105
	Total Selenium	0.269
Salton Sea - B1 (R03G0060-4)	Total Soluble Selenium	0.140
	Soluble Selenium (IV)	0.096
	Soluble Selenium (VI)	0.011
	Soluble Organic Selenium	0.033
	Selenium (IV)	0.550
	Selenium (VI)	0.283
	Organic Selenium	0.586
	Elemental Selenium	0.171
	OM Selenium Plus Residue Selenium	5.45
	Total Selenium	7.04

Table B-4

Selenium and Selenium Species Concentrations (μg/g) for Sediment Samples Collected in Salton Sea and Rivers on June 25, 2003 using EPA Method 7742

Sample ID	Analysis	Result
Salton Sea - B2 (R03G0060-5)	Total Soluble Selenium	0.250
	Soluble Selenium (IV)	0.168
	Soluble Selenium (VI)	0.047
	Soluble Organic Selenium	0.035
	Selenium (IV)	0.358
	Selenium (VI)	0.249
	Organic Selenium	0.209
	Elemental Selenium	1.01
	OM Selenium Plus Residue Selenium	3.32
	Total Selenium	5.15
Salton Sea - B3 (R03G0060-6)	Total Soluble Selenium	0.154
	Soluble Selenium (IV)	0.113
	Soluble Selenium (VI)	0.003
	Soluble Organic Selenium	0.038
	Selenium (IV)	0.509
	Selenium (VI)	0.298
	Organic Selenium	0.186
	Elemental Selenium	0.277
	OM Selenium Plus Residue Selenium	2.99
	Total Selenium	4.21

Source: Unpublished data from U.C. Riverside provided by Salton Sea Science office (2003)

While not specifically reported, the concentration is presumed to be on a dry weight basis

QA/QC Data Group: C - Basis: While laboratory QA/QC criteria were met, there is no other documentation about sampling QA/QC

Table B-5

Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Selected Environmental Media

Location	New River at			All American Canal	Colorado River at NIB
Media	**Mexicali**	**Calexico**	**Salton Sea**		
Bed Material	1.2	1.2	0.2	<0.1	<0.1

Note: the New River bed material samples were collected in March 1995 and the other bed material samples were collected in June 1996.

Location	Alamo River			New River			Whitewater River		
Media	**Outlet**	**Nearshore**	**Offshore**	**Outlet**	**Nearshore**	**Offshore**	**Outlet**	**Nearshore**	**Offshore**
Suspended Sediment	0.7	1	8.7	1	1.4	16	1	14	11

Note: the suspended sediment samples were collected between October 23 and 28, 2001.

Selenium in Surficial Bottom Sediment, Salton Sea (July 1998, unless otherwise noted)

Location	Description of Sediment Depth and Location	Texture	Selenium (μg/g)
Site 1	Deep - near center of the south subbasin	Organic muck	8.8
Site 2	Deep - intermediate depth in south subbasin	Organic muck	5.8
Site 3	Shallow - near Alamo River delta	Med. fine sand	0.58
Site 4	Shallow - near San Filipe Creek discharge (southwest)	Sand, silt, & clay	0.9
Site 5	Shallow - near New River delta	Silty fine sand	1
Site 6	Medium - between New and Alamo River deltas	Muck and silty sand	1.5
Site 7	Deep - along ridge dividing north and south basins	Organic muck	8
Site 8	Shallow - near Salt Creek discharge (northeast)	Sandy	1.8
Site 9	Deep - near center of the north subbasin	Organic muck	6.5
----	Deep - near center of the north subbasin (May 96)	----	9.3
Site 10	Medium - near Whitewater River delta	Silty clay organic muck	11
Site 11	Shallow - near Whitewater River delta	Clay	2.7

Selenium Depth Profiles in Salton Sea Bottom Sediment

Depth below lake bottom (cm)	0-2	2-4	6-8	10-12	14-16	18-20	22-24	26-28	30-32	34-36
Site 9	9.7	9	11	15	6.1	2.1	0.45	1	0.96	0.55
Site 10	----	8.1	7.3	1.7	0.88	0.34	9.9	4.6	1.7	2.7

Source: Schroeder, R.A (2004)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table B-6

Selenium Concentrations in Selected Media of Concern from Wetland Ponds Fed by Drainage from the Imperial Irrigation District

Location	Water (μg/L)	Sediment (μg/g dw)	Plant Stems (μg/g dw)	Plant Roots (μg/g dw)	Macro-invertebrates (μg/g dw)	Fish (μg/g dw)
Fig Lagoon #1	2.74	---	---	---	---	---
Fig Lagoon #2	2.98	0.20	<0.12	0.61	1.29	1.26
Fig Lagoon #3	2.56	0.65	<0.12	<0.12	0.22	<0.12
Fig Lagoon #4	2.28	---	---	---	---	---
Veysey's Pond #1	3.46	0.24	3.41	0.75	0.47	1.28
Veysey's Pond #2	2.96	---	---	---	---	---
Veysey's Pond #3	2.98	0.28	<0.12	---	---	1.24
Veysey's Pond #4	3.02	---	---	---	---	---
Gieselman Lake #1	---	---	---	---	---	---
Gieselman Lake #2	2.90	0.70	0.13	2.44	---	17.26
Gieselman Lake #3	2.20	0.32	5.02	---	---	7.16
Gieselman Lake #4	3.08	---	---	---	---	---
Desert Ranch #1	---	---	---	---	---	---
Desert Ranch #2	2.98	0.67	<0.12	<0.12	---	---
Desert Ranch #3	2.08	0.27	<0.12	0.66	---	4.63
Desert Ranch #4	2.04	---	---	---	---	---

Notes: #1 - Inflow Channel; #2 - Inflow Area; #3 - Outflow Area; #4 - Outflow Channel; ---, no sample.

Source: R.A. Roline and S.M. Nelson (2004)

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: Agency technical memorandum with general assessment of QA/QC results

Table B-7

Comparison of Selenium Concentrations in Bottom Sediment (μg/g) Collected in Coachella Valley Stormwater Channel (Whitewater River) between USGS (1989) and Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD)

Location	Upstream from Highway 111 (300 yards east of Dune Palms Road		At Outlet (Lincoln Street crossing)		Avenue 64 Evacuation Channel at Highway 195 (200 feet south of Avenue 64)	
	USGS	CVWD	USGS	CVWD	USGS	CVWD
Selenium	0.1	< 5.0	0.5	< 5.0	0.4	< 5.0

Source: Coachella Valley Water District (1990)

QA/QC Data Group: C - Basis: Data provided by Irrigation District with no assessment of QA/QC results

Appendix C

Soils

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
Field at S-226 (Site 6)		
H-53-0-3 / D-322562	0.5	63
H-53-0-6 / D-322563	0.3	76
H-53-25-3 / D-322564	0.5	73
H-53-25-6 / D-322565	0.5	76
H-53-50-3 / D-322566	0.4	91
H-53-50-6 / D-322567	0.3	70
M-41-0-3 / D-322568	0.6	130
M-41-0-6 / D-322569	0.3	90
M-41-25-3 / D-322570	0.3	76
M-41-25-6 / D-322571	0.3	73
M-41-50-3 / D-322572	0.4	97
M-41-50-6 / D-322573	0.6	90
T-7-0-3 / D-322574	0.3	53
T-7-0-6 / D-322575	0.6	54
T-7-25-3 / D-322576	0.4	51
T-7-25-6 / D-322577	0.7	74
T-7-50-3 / D-322578	0.3	52
T-7-50-6 / D-322579	0.3	46
H-53-0-3 duplicate / D-322580	0.5	88
Laboratory standard / D-322581	1.1	10
Field at S-269 (Site 7)		
H-12-0-3 / D-322582	0.1	46
H-12-0-6 / D-322583	0.6	35
H-12-12-3 / D-322584	0.1	46
H-12-16-6 / D-322585	0.9	53
H-12-25-3 / D-322586	0.4	110
H-12-25-6 / D-322587	0.8	39
T-2A-0-3 / D-322588	0.1	11
T-2A-0-6 / D-322589	0.1	28
T-2A-12-3 / D-322590	0.1	14
T-2A-12-6 / D-322591	0.1	47
T-2A-25-3 / D-322592	0.1	17
T-2A-25-6 / D-322593	0.1	47
H-12-0-3 duplicate / D-322594	0.1	46

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
Laboratory standard / D-322595	1.1	10
Field at S-417 (Site 8)		
H-32-0-3 / D-322462	0.3	22
H-32-0-6 / D-322463	0.1	79
H-32-25-3 / D-322464	0.2	41
H-32-25-6 / D-322465	0.2	63
H-32-50-3 / D-322466	0.3	68
H-32-50-6 / D-322467	0.2	89
M24-0-3 / D-322468	0.2	40
M24-0-6 / D-322469	0.7	100
M-24-25-3 / D-322470	0.3	36
M-24-26-6 / D-322471	0.4	37
M-24-50-3 / D-322472	0.4	23
M-24-50-6 / D-322473	0.5	200
T-18-0-3 / D-322474	0.2	34
T-18-0-6 / D-322475	0.2	27
T-18-25-3 / D-322476	0.2	26
T-18-25-6 / D-322477	0.1	23
T-18-50-3 / D-322478	0.3	20
T-18-50-6 / D-322479	0.3	26
H-32-0-3 duplicate / D-322480	0.3	20
Laboratory standard / D-322481	0.9	9
Field at S-94 (Site 30)		
H-13-0-3 / D-322596	0.3	14
H-13-0-6 / D-322597	0.2	18
H-13-23-3 / D-322598	0.3	14
H-13-23-6 / D-322599	0.2	28
H-13-46-3 / D-322600	0.4	16
H-13-46-6 / D323601	0.2	14
M-11-0-3 / D322602	0.5	15
M-11-0-6 / D322603	0.4	18
M-11-23-3 / D322604	0.3	10
M-11-23-6 / D322605	0.2	8
M-11-46-3 / D-322606	0.2	8
M-11-46-6 / D-322607	0.3	12
T-9-0-3 / D-322608	0.3	7
T-9-0-6 / D-322609	0.4	12

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
T-9-23-3 / D-322610	0.2	7
T-9-23-6 / D-322611	0.3	14
T-9-46-3 / D-322612	0.1	3
T-9-46-6 / D-322613	0.3	13
H-13-0-3 duplicate / D-322614	0.4	13
Laboratory standard / D-322615	1.1	11
Field at S-142 (Site 33)		
H-6A-0-3 / D-322482	0.3	17
H-6A-0-6 / D-322483	0.1	11
H-6A-33-3 / D-322484	0.4	10
H-6A-33-6 / D-322485	0.1	11
H-6A-66-3 / D-322486	0.3	7
H-6A-66-6 / D-322487	0.2	11
M-4B-0-3 / D-322488	0.5	21
M-4B-0-6 / D-322489	0.1	10
M-4B-33-3 / D-322490	0.5	70
M-4B-33-6 / D-322491	0.2	40
M-4B-66-3 / D-322492	0.2	11
M-4B-66-6 / D-322493	0.2	7
T-2B-0-3 / D-322494	0.2	22
T-2B-0-6 / D-322495	0.2	13
T-2B-33-3 / D-322496	0.3	61
T-2B-33-6 / D-322497	0.2	14
T-2B-66-3 / D-322498	0.2	33
T-2B-66-6 / D-322499	0.2	13
H-6A-0-3 duplicate / D-322500	0.3	19
Laboratory standard / D-322501	1.1	8
Field at S-241 (Site 41)		
H-20-0-3 / D-322616	0.5	34
H-20-0-6 / D-322617	0.4	31
H-20-62-3 / D-322618	0.5	24
H-20-62-6 / D-322619	0.3	35
H-20-124-3 / D-322620	0.4	21
H-20-124-6 / D-322621	0.2	21
M-18-0-3 / D-322622	0.4	23
M-18-0-6 / D-322623	0.3	25
M-18-62-3 / D-322624	0.3	11

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
M-18-62-6 / D-322625	0.4	28
M-18-124-3 / D-322626	0.4	17
M-18-124-6 / D-322627	0.3	27
T-14-0-3 / D-322628	0.4	60
T-14-0-6 / D-322629	---	---
T-14-62-3 / D-322630	0.2	29
T-14-62-6 / D-322631	0.2	17
T-14-124-3 / D-322632	0.2	18
T-14-124-6 / D-322633	0.2	13
H-20-0-3 duplicate / D-322634	0.5	35
Laboratory standard / D-322635	1.2	10
Field at S-154 (Site 50)		
H-23-0-3 / D-325043	0.6	11
H-23-0-6 / D-325044	0.4	6
H-23-44-3 / D-325045	0.4	9
H-23-44-6 / D-325046	0.4	16
H-23-89-3 / D-325047	0.2	4
H-23-89-6 / D-325048	0.3	35
M-17-0-3 / D-325049	0.2	7
M-17-0-6 / D-325050	0.2	8
M-17-49-3 / D-325051	0.3	8
M-17-49-6 / D-325052	0.5	15
M-17-98-3 / D-325053	0.3	10
M-17-98-6 / D-325054	0.5	16
T-5-0-3 / D-325055	0.3	12
T-5-0-6 / D-325056	0.4	9
T-5-49-3 / D-325057	0.2	12
T-5-49-6 / D-325058	0.4	12
T-5-98-3 / D-325059	0.4	17
T-5-98-6 / D-325060	0.4	11
H-23-0-3 duplicate / D-325061	0.2	7
Laboratory standard / D-325062	1.0	11
Field at S-265 (Site 67)		
H-30-0-3 / D-322522	0.1	3
H-30-0-6 / D-322523	0.1	6
H-30-25-3 / D-322524	0.1	<3
H-30-25-6 / D-322525	0.1	4

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
H-30-50-3 / D-322526	0.1	3
H-30-50-6 / D-322527	0.2	15
M-30-0-3 / D-322528	0.1	3
M-30-0-6 / D-322529	0.1	3
M-30-25-3 / D-322530	0.1	6
M-30-25-6 / D-322531	0.1	12
M-30-50-3 / D-322532	0.1	3
M-30-50-6 / D-322533	0.1	3
T-30-0-3 / D-322534	0.2	9
T-30-0-6 / D-322535	0.1	6
T-30-25-3 / D-322536	0.2	18
T-30-25-6 / D-322537	0.1	10
T-30-50-3 / D-322538	0.1	10
T-30-50-6 / D-322539	0.2	34
H-30-0-3 duplicate / D-322540	0.1	3
Laboratory standard / D-322541	1.0	9
Field at S-4 (Site 75)		
H-12C-0-3 / D-322502	0.2	4
H-12C-0-6 / D-322503	0.2	15
H-12C-18-3 / D-322504	0.1	7
H-12C-18-6 / D-322505	0.2	25
H-12C-36-3 / D-322506	0.1	4
H-12C-36-6 / D-322507	0.2	25
M-9C-0-3 / D-322508	0.1	<3
M-9C-0-6 / D-322509	0.1	12
M-9C-18-3 / D-322510	0.1	7
M-9C-18-6 / D322511	0.2	40
M-9C-36-3 / D-322512	0.1	4
M-9C-36-6 / D-322513	0.1	25
T-6C-0-3 / D-322514	0.1	13
T-6C-0-6 / D-322515	0.2	22
T-6C-18-3 / D-322516	0.2	4
T-6C-18-6 / D-322517	0.2	65
T-6C-36-3 / D-322518	0.1	22
T-6C-36-6 / D-322519	0.2	50
H-12C-0-3 duplicate / D-322520	0.2	3
Laboratory standard / D-322521	1.1	8

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
Field at S-72 (Site 79)		
H-8-0-3 / D-322382	0.3	18
H-8-0-6 / D-322383	0.1	11
H-8-11-3 / D-322384	0.2	4
H-8-11-6 / D-322385	0.1	12
H-8-23-3 / D-322386	0.2	3
H-8-23-6 / D-322387	0.1	9
M-5-0-3 / D-322388	0.2	8
M-5-0-6 / D-322389	0.1	7
M-5-11-3 / D-322390	0.2	5
M-5-11-6 / D-322391	0.1	6
M-5-23-3 / D-322392	0.1	3
M-5-23-6 / D-322393	0.1	4
T-2-0-3 / D-322394	---	---
T-2-0-6 / D-322395	0.1	5
T-2-11-3 / D-322396	0.1	8
T-2-11-6 / D-322397	0.1	8
T-2-23-3 / D-322398	0.1	6
T-2-23-6 / D-322399	0.1	10
H-8-0-3 duplicate / D-322400	0.3	19
Laboratory standard / D-322401	0.9	8
Field at S-352 (Site 87)		
H-10-0-3 / D-322542	0.1	14
H-10-0-6 / D-322543	1.2	52
H-10-50-3 / D-322544	0.1	15
H-10-50-6 / D-322545	1.3	75
H-10-100-3 / D-322546	0.1	13
H-10-100-6 / D-322547	1.0	43
M-8-0-3 / D-322548	0.3	44
M-8-0-6 / D-322549	0.7	120
M-8-28-3 / D-322550	0.5	110
M-8-28-6 / D-322551	0.8	110
M-8-57-3 / D-322552	0.4	53
M-8-57-6 / D-322553	0.6	81
T-4-0-3 / D-322554	0.6	73
T-4-0-6 / D-322555	0.6	35
T-4-14-3 / D-322556	0.5	67

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (µg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (µg/L)
T-4-14-6 / D-322557	0.5	81
T-4-28-3 / D-322558	0.4	49
T-4-28-6 / D-322559	0.6	48
H-10-0-3 duplicate / D-322560	0.1	13
Laboratory standard / D-322561	1.1	10
Field at S-423 (Site 93)		
H-2C-0-3 / D-322442	0.3	5
H-2C-0-6 / D-322443	0.1	16
H-2C-26-3 / D-322444	0.2	3
H-2C-26-6 / D-322445	0.1	12
H-2C-53-3 / D-322446	0.1	9
H-2C-53-6 / D-322447	0.1	12
M-2C-0-3 / D-322448	0.1	6
M-2C-0-6 / D-322449	0.4	35
M-2C-26-3 / D-322450	0.1	7
M-2C-26-6 / D-322451	0.3	16
M-2C-53-3 / D-322452	0.1	3
M-2C-53-6 / D-322453	0.2	13
T-2C-0-3 / D-322454	0.3	13
T-2C-0-6 / D-322455	0.2	41
T-2C-26-3 / D-322456	0.3	18
T-2C-26-6 / D-322457	0.3	23
T-2C-53-3 / D-322458	0.3	33
T-2C-53-6 / D-322459	0.2	11
H-2C-0-3 duplicate / D-322460	0.2	3
Laboratory standard / D-322461	0.9	9
Field at S-371 (Site 98)		
H-4A-0-3 / D-322402	0.2	3
H-4A-0-6 / D-322403	0.1	4
H-4A-25-3 / D-322404	0.2	3
H-4A-25-6 / D-322405	0.1	4
H-4A-53-3 / D-322406	0.2	<3
H-4A-53-6 / D-322407	0.3	<3
M-7A-0-3 / D-322408	0.1	<3
M-7A-0-6 / D-322409	0.1	<3
M-7A-25-3 / D-322410	0.1	<3
M-7A-25-6 / D-322411	0.1	3

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
M-7A-53-3 / D-322412	0.1	<3
M-7A-53-6 / D-322413	<0.1	<3
T-10A-0-3 / D-322414	0.1	<3
T-10A-0-6 / D-322415	0.1	4
T-10A-25-3 / D-322416	0.1	3
T-10A-25-6 / D-322417	0.1	10
T-10A-53-3 / D-322418	0.1	<3
T-10A-53-6 / D-322419	0.1	4
H-4A-0-3 duplicate / D-322420	0.2	3
Laboratory standard / D-322421	1.0	9
Field at S-176 (Site 104)		
H-9-0-3 / D-322422	0.2	7
H-9-0-6 / D-322423	0.3	19
H-9-84-3 / D-322424	0.1	6
H-9-84-6 / D-322425	0.5	43
H-9-169-3 / D-322426	0.2	6
H-9-169-6 / D-322427	0.1	6
M-8-0-3 / D-322428	0.1	5
M-8-0-6 / D-322429	0.1	4
M-8-84-3 / D-322430	0.2	3
M-8-84-6 / D-322431	0.2	12
M-8-169-3 / D-322432	0.3	32
M-8-169-6 / D-322433	0.2	21
T-6-0-3 / D-322434	0.2	7
T-6-0-6 / D-322435	0.1	13
T-6-84-3 / D-322436	0.2	19
T-6-84-6 / D-322437	0.1	13
T-6-169-3 / D-322438	0.2	6
T-6-169-6 / D-322439	<0.1	5
H-9-0-3 duplicate / D-322440	0.1	6
Laboratory standard / D-322441	0.9	7
Field at S-344 (Site 110)		
H-10-0-3 / D-322362	0.2	22
H-10-0-6 / D-322363	0.2	19
H-10-83-3 / D-322364	0.2	8
H-10-83-6 / D-322365	0.2	18
H-10-166-3 / D-322366	0.3	16

Table C-1
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley, 1988-1990

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
H-10-166-6 / D-322367	0.2	16
M-8-0-3 / D-322368	<0.1	4
M-8-0-6 / D-322369	0.1	3
M-8-83-3 / D-322370	0.1	7
M-8-83-6 / D-322371	0.1	15
M-8-166-3 / D-322372	0.1	5
M-8-166-6 / D-322373	0.1	4
T-2-0-3 / D-322374	0.1	11
T-2-0-6 / D-322375	0.2	11
T-2-83-3 / D-322376	0.1	17
T-2-83-6 / D-322377	0.2	20
T-2-166-3 / D-322378	0.2	14
T-2-166-6 / D-322379	0.2	20
H-10-0-3 duplicate / D-322380	0.2	13
Laboratory standard / D-322381	0.9	11

Source: Schroeder et al. (1993)

Notes: Aqueous data are from analysis of extract using 5 to 1 ratio of deionized water to soil.

Soils samples were collected from either 3 foot or 6 foot depths to correspond to the maximum depth of cultivation and corresponding depth for subsurface drain installation. Soils were collected at the head (H), middle (M), and tail (T) of the field - from directly above, midway between, and one-quarter the distance from the drain laterals. Hence, S-417-T-18-50-6 designates a sample from the tail end of the field at S-417, 50 ft from subsurface drain lateral 18 at a depth of 6 feet.

Laboratory standard is a soil from the San Joaquin Valley.

QA/QC Data Group: A Basis: Agency publication

Table C-2
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
S-226-T-7-0-6 / D-317252	0.5	70
S-269-T-2A-0-6 / D-317253	0.2	42
S-417-T-18-0-6 / D-317247	0.3	40
S-94-T-9-0-6 / D-317254	0.3	20
S-142-T-2B-0-6 / D-317248	0.2	28
S-142-T-2B-0-6D / D-317256	0.1	24
S-241-T-14-0-6 / D-317255	0.3	34
S-154-H-23-0-3 / D317221	0.5	10
S-154-H-23-0-6 / D-317222	0.4	6
S-154-H-23-44-3 / D-317223	0.4	12
S-154-H-23-44-6 / D-317224	0.5	12
S-154-H-23-89-3 / D-317225	0.4	7
S-154-H-23-89-3D / D-317239	0.3	10
S-154-H-23-89-6 / D-317226	0.6	53
S-154-M-17-0-3 / D-317227	0.4	7
S-154-M-17-0-6 / D-317228	0.5	16
S-154-M-17-49-3 / D-317229	0.3	13
S-154-M-17-49-6 / D-317230	0.8	31
S-154-M-17-98-3 / D-317231	0.4	17
S-154-M-17-98-6 / D317232	0.5	23
S-154-T-5-0-3 / D-317233	0.4	16
S-154-T-5-0-6 / D-317234	0.6	13
S-154-T-5-0-6D / D-317244	0.5	14
S-154-T-5-49-3 / D-317235	0.4	20
S-154-T-5-49-3D / D-317240	0.3	19
S-154-T-5-49-6 / D317236	0.5	21
S-154-T-5-98-3 / D-317237	0.5	26
S-154-T-98-6 / D-317238	0.5	22
S-265-T-30-0-6 / D-317250	0.1	12
S-4-T-6C-0-6 / D-317249	0.2	28
S-72-H-8-0-3 / D-317257	0.4	30
S-72-M-5-0-3 / D-317258	0.3	6
S72-T-2-0-3 / D-317259	0.3	18
S-72-T-2-0-6 / D-317241	0.1	14
S-352-T-4-06 / D-317251	0.5	44
S-423-T-2C-0-6 / D-317246	0.2	60
3-371-T-10A-0-6 / D-317242	0.1	11

Table C-2
Selenium Concentrations in Soils and in Soil/Water Extract from 15 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley

Soil Identifier/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
S-176-T-6-0-6 / D-317243	0.1	23
S-344-T-2-0-6 / D-317245	0.2	18
Laboratory Standard / D-317260	1.1	10

Source: Schroeder et al. (1993)

Notes: Aqueous data are from analysis of extract using 5 to 1 ratio of deionized water to soil.

Soils samples were collected from either 3 foot or 6 foot depths to correspond to the maximum depth of cultivation and corresponding depth for subsurface drain installation. Soils were collected at the head (H), middle (M), and tail (T) of the field - from directly above, midway between, and one-quarter the distance from the drain laterals. Hence, S-417-T-18-50-6 designates a sample from the tail end of the field at S-417, 50 ft from subsurface drain lateral 18 at a depth of 6 feet.

Laboratory standard is a soil from the San Joaquin Valley.

QA/QC Data Group: A　　　　Basis: Agency publication

Table C-3
Selenium Concentrations from Soil Core Samples and in Soil/Water Extract from 3 Agricultural Fields in Imperial Valley

Core Depth (ft)/Laboratory number	Total Soil Se (μg/g, dw)	Soil/Water Extract Se (μg/L)
S-417 (Northern Site) Lysimeter Hole—Site 8		
14.5-15 / D-328519	0.4	95
20.5-21 / D-328520	0.3	55
S-417 (Northern Site) Piezometer Hole		
74.5-75 / D-328516	<0.2	25
141.5-142 / D-328517	1.6	200
196.3-197 / D-328518	<0.2	14
S-154 (Middle Site) Lysimeter Hole—(Site 50)		
13.5-14 / D-328525	0.3	55
S-154 (Middle Site) Piezometer Hole		
26.5-27 / D-328521	<0.2	5.5
56.5-57 / D-328522	0.3	18
71.5-72 / D-328523	0.3	14
101.5-102 / D-328524	0.3	75
S-371 (Southern Site) Lysimeter Hole—(Site 98)		
12.5-13 / D-328532	<0.2	14
18.5-19 / D-328533	<0.2	9
S-371 (Southern Site) Piezometer Hole		
17.5-18 / D-328526	<0.2	22
23.5-24 / D-328527	<0.2	9
34.5-35 / D-328528	0.4	31
65.5-65.8 / D-328529	0.4	14
81.5-82 / D-328530	<0.2	5.5
106.5-107 / D-328531	<0.2	<5.0
Duplicate at S-154		
56.5-57 / D-328534	0.2	18
Duplicate at S-371		
65.5-65.8 / D-328535	0.5	14
Laboratory Standard		
-- / D-328536	1.2	20

Source: Schroeder et al. (1993)

Notes: Aqueous data are from analysis of extract using 5 to 1 ratio of deionized water to soil.

Laboratory standard is a soil from the San Joaquin Valley.

QA/QC Data Group: A Basis: Agency publication

Table C-4
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Soils from Inland Margin of Salton Sea

Parameter	At Sea	1 km from Sea
Mean Se - All sites	0.37 (n=25)	0.49 (n=27)
Mean Se - Site A	0.26 (n=5)	0.34 (n=7)
Mean Se - Site C	0.38 (n=7)	0.65 (n=7)
Mean Se - Site D	0.41(n=7)	0.43 (n=6)
Mean Se - Site F	0.40 (n=6)	0.52 (n=7)
Mean for all samples	0.43 (n=52)	

Source: R.M. Gersberg and J. Wright (undated - In draft)

Note: Summary rows for All Sites and for Sites A, C, D, and F did not include samples from intermediate stations along the site transects from the Sea to 1 km inland.

QA/QC Data Group: B Basis: Peer review publication in draft

Appendix D

Biota

Table D-1
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota, Salton Sea Area, 1986-87

Sample Type	Site B1 New River at Rio Bend		Site B2 Whitewater River Delta		Site B3 Trifolium/Vail Drains		Site B4 New River Delta		Site B5 Alamo River Delta	
	1986	1987	1986	1987	1986	1987	1986	1987	1986	1987
Aquatic Vegetation										
Sago pondweed	—-	—-	—-	—-	1.1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Emergent Vegetation										
Bulrush/sorrel	<0.2	—-	0.43	—-	<0.2	—-	<0.2	—-	0.74	—-
	—-	—-	0.20	—-	<0.2	—-	<0.2	—-	—-	—-
	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	0.77	—-	—-	—-
Invertebrates										
Asiatic river clams	4.8	0.71	5.4	—-	—-	0.71	—-	—-	—-	—-
	5.1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
	6.2	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Crayfish	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	3.7	—-	2.5	—-	—-
Forage Fish										
Mosquitofish	5.4	—-	—-	—-	16	—-	—-	—-	7.6	—-
	—-	—-	—-	—-	7.3	—-	—-	—-	6.3	—-
Sailfin mollies	6.7	—-	3.7	—-	9.8	—-	—-	—-	11	—-
	7.7	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Redfin shiner	—-	—-	—-	4.7	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Tilapia	8.0	—-	6.3	—-	12	—-	4.3	—-	14	9.3
	10	—-	3.5	—-	9.3	—-	—-	—-	12	—-
	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	17	—-
Mudsucker	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	7.2
Corvina	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	20	—-

Table D-1
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Biota, Salton Sea Area, 1986-87

Sample Type	Site B1 New River at Rio Bend		Site B2 Whitewater River Delta		Site B3 Trifolium/Vail Drains		Site B4 New River Delta		Site B5 Alamo River Delta	
	1986	1987	1986	1987	1986	1987	1986	1987	1986	1987
Water Birds- Resident										
Black-necked stilt (liver)	—-	—-	19	—-	—-	—-	27	—-	20	—-
Coot (liver)	16	—-	14	—-	—-	—-	8.3	—-	21	—-
Cormorant (liver)	21	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	18	42
Cattle egret (liver)	—-	6.7	—-	—-	—-	5.2	—-	—-	—-	—-
Great blue heron (liver)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	15
Water Birds- Migratory										
Shoveler (liver)	—-	—-	17	—-	—-	—-	26	—-	21	—-
Gull (liver)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	14
Ruddy duck (liver)	19	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	27	—-	7	—-

Note: Results for each composite sample are shown separately

Source: Setmire, J.G., J.C. Wolfe, and R.K. Stroud (1990)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table D-2
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g ww) in Bird Eggs, Salton Sea, 1985

Black-crowned night heron		Great egret	
Geometric mean	Range (n=number of eggs)	Geometric mean	Range (n=number of eggs)
1.10	0.92 to 1.4 (n=10)	0.643	0.54 to 0.82 (n=10)

Note: Mean selenium concentrations in night-heron eggs were significantly higher than the mean selenium concentration in great egret eggs at $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

Source: Ohlendorf, H.M. and K.C. Marois (1990)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Peer review publication

Table D-3
Selenium Concentration (μg/g dw) in Biota, Salton Sea Area, 1988-90

Sample Type	Salton Sea			New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains			San Felipe and Salt Creeks		
	N/DV	GM	Range	N/DV	GM	Range	N/DV	GM	Range
Aquatic Vegetation									
Blue-green algae	1/1	—-	1.8	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Filamentous green algae	12/10	0.9	<0.58-1.7	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Tubular green algae	13/8	0.7	0.56-1.4	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Emergent Vegetation									
Cattail	—-	—-	—-	3/0	—-	<0.64	2/1	—-	<0.62-1.1
Invertebrates									
Amphipod, pileworm, waterboatman composite	2/2	2.8	2.6-3.1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Asiatic river clam	—-	—-	—-	5/5	4.4	2.6-6.4	—-	—-	—-
Crayfish	—-	—-	—-	2/2	3.1	2.4-3.3	—-	—-	—-
Pileworms	8/8	3.1	0.8-12.1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Waterboatman	3/3	2.1	1.4-3.3	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Fish									
Bairdiella	5/5	12.9	12.0-16.0	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Longjaw mudsucker	1/1	—-	6.1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Mosquitofish	—-	—-	—-	3/3	3.5	2.6-4.7	2/2	6.9	6.4-7.4
Sailfin molly	—-	—-	—-	4/4	3.9	2.5-5.8	2/2	6.4	5.5-7.4
Amphibians and Reptiles									
Bullfrog	—-	—-	—-	2/2	4.4	3.6-5.4	—-	—-	—-
Spiny softshell turtle (liver)	—-	—-	—-	6/6	10.3	8.0-14.0	—-	—-	—-
Migratory Birds									
Eared grebe (liver)	5/5	12.7	2.7-35.1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Northern shoveler (liver)	—-	—-	—-	19/19	19.1	9.1-47.0	—-	—-	—-
Northern shoveler (muscle)	—-	—-	—-	6/6	5.2	3.8-12.0	—-	—-	—-
Ruddy duck (liver)	57/57	11.7	5.2-41.5	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-

Table D-3
Selenium Concentration (μg/g dw) in Biota, Salton Sea Area, 1988-90

Sample Type	Salton Sea			New and Alamo Rivers and irrigation drains			San Felipe and Salt Creeks		
	N/DV	GM	Range	N/DV	GM	Range	N/DV	GM	Range
Ruddy duck (muscle)	17/17	4.8	2.7-7.2	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
White-faced ibis (carcass)	—-	—-	—-	9/9	5.3	3.9-6.6	—-	—-	—-
White-faced ibis (liver)	—-	—-	—-	9/9	7.4	5-13.2	—-	—-	—-
Resident Birds									
American coot (liver)	—-	—-	—-	3/3	10.3	7.9-16.3	—-	—-	—-
Black-necked stilt (egg)	127/127	4.3	1.6-35.0	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Black-necked stilt (carcass)	19/19	5.4	3.2-11.3	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Endangered Birds									
Yuma clapper rail (whole body)	—-	—-	—-	1/1	—-	4.8	—-	—-	—-

Notes: <, less than the indicated detection limit; —-, no data; N, number of samples collected; DV, number of samples with detectable values; GM, geometric mean (calculated using one-half detection limit when data sets had more than 50 percent detectable values).

Source: Setmire, J.G., R.A. Schroeder, J.L. Densmore, S.L. Goodbred, A.J. Audet, and W.R. Radke. (1993)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table D-4
Selenium Concentration (μg/g dw) in Biota from the Salton Sea Area and Other Locations

Sample Type	Salton Sea Area				Kesterson (NWR)	Grasslands (WD)	Fernley (WMA)	Volta (WA)
	Salton Sea	Major drains	Minor Drains	San Felipe and Salt Creeks				
Aquatic Vegetation								
Filamentous green algae	0.9 (ND-1.7)	—-	—-	—-	30.9 (14-120)	—-	1.4 (ND-2.2)	0.3 (ND-0.5)
Emergent Vegetation								
Cattail	—-	ND	—-	(ND-1.1)	37.2 (17-160)	—-	ND	0.6 (ND-1.2)
Invertebrates								
Waterboatman	2.1 (1.4-3.3)	—-	—-	—-	18.6 (5.9-130)	—-	4.1 (3.5-4.7)	1.6 (1.1-1.9)
Fish								
Mosquitofish	—-	10.8 (7.3-16)	3.5 (2.6-4.7)	6.9 (6.4-7.4)	226 (90-430)	7.0 (5.4-8.6)	4.2 (3.9-4.4)	1.9 (1.2-3.0)
Resident Birds								
American coot (liver)	12.3 (7.9-21)	—-	—-	—-	81.5 (19-160)	11.9 (7.0-28)	—-	5.4 (1.8-14)
Black-necked stilt (egg)	4.3 (1.6-35)	—-	—-	—-	24.8 (5.2-64)	4.7 (3.8-5.7)	—-	2.4 (1.6-3.4)
Black-necked stilt (liver)	21.7 (19-27)	—-	—-	—-	46.4 (19-80)	12.7 (4.3-41)	—-	7.8 (6.3-9.9)

Notes: Concentrations are geometric means and range (in parentheses). Values for Kesterson National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) and Volta Wildlife Area (WA) are from Schuler (1987). Values for Fernley Wildlife Management Area (WMA) are from Hoffman, R.J. and others (1990). Values for Grasslands Water District (WD) are from Ohlendorf and others (1987). Salton Sea values are from Setmire and others (1990) or from this detailed study. ND, not detected; —-, no data.

Source: Setmire, J.G., R.A. Schroeder, J.L. Densmore, S.L. Goodbred, A.J. Audet, and W.R. Radke. (1993)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table D-5
Selenium Concentration (μg/g dw) in Aquatic Bird Livers Collected from the Imperial Valley, 1986-90

Species	Number of samples	Geometric Mean	Range	Percentage of samples exceeding 30 μg/g*
American coot	15	12.3	7.9-21.0	0
Black-necked stilt	9	21.7	19.0-27.0	0
Cattle egret	6	5.9	5.2-6.7	0
Double-crested cormorant	9	24.5	18.0-42.0	33
Eared grebe	5	12.7	2.7-35.1	60
Great blue heron	1	—-	15.0	0
Herring gull	3 (composite)	—-	14.0	0
Northern shoveler	31	19.3	9.1-47.0	10
Ruddy duck	57	11.7	5.2-41.5	2
White-faced ibis	9	7.4	5.0-13.2	0

Notes: *Concentrations associated with biological risk (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (1990); J.P. Skorupa and others, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, written communication, 1992).

Source: Setmire, J.G., R.A. Schroeder, J.L. Densmore, S.L. Goodbred, A.J. Audet, and W.R. Radke. (1993)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table D-6
Selenium Concentrations in Pelican Liver Samples (μg/g, dw) from the Salton Sea

Brown pelicans			White pelicans		
Detection Frequency	Geometric Mean	Range	Detection Frequency	Geometric Mean	Range
16/16	11.5	3.11-35.4	10/10	0.45	4.28-20.1

Note: Means were calculated using one-half the detection limits for non-detects if non-detects were less than half of the samples.

Source: C.A. Roberts (1997)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Analytical data validated by USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility

Table D-7
Selenium Content of Snowy Egret, Great Egret, and Black-necked Stilt Eggs

Species	Location	Se concentration range (μg/g dw)	Geometric mean (n=number of eggs)
Snowy egret	Whitewater	3.51 - 8.32	4.97 (n=10)
Great egret	Whitewater/ Poe Rd. Delta	6.1 - 9.9	7.14 (n=10)
Black-necked stilts	Around Salton Sea (1992)	3.74 - 14.2	6.60 (n=39)
Black-necked stilts	Around Salton Sea (1993)	3.67 - 8.96	5.82 (n=45)

Source: Bennett, J. (1998)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table D-8
Selenium in Fish and Wildlife from Salton Sea Area

Primary Se species	Water source (μg/L)	Water system (μg/L)	Sediment (μg/g dw)	Fish (μg/g dw)				Bird (μg/g dw)			Effect on Fish and Wildlife Resources
				Whole body	Eggs	Muscle	Kidney or liver	Eggs	Muscle	Kidney or liver	
Selenate	2- 10	1.5	3.3	6.1-16	ND	7.9 -14	ND	1.6 -35	2.7-7.2	2.7-42	5% reduction of black-necked stilt nesting

Source: J.P. Skorupa (1998)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Analytical data validated by USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility

Table D-9
Ranges in Selenium Concentration (μg/g dw) in *Corbicula* (Asiatic River Clams) Transplanted into Agricultural Drains in the Imperial Valley, Iams

Parameter	Imperial Valley Drains (n=48 drains)	Reference Samples East Highline Canal	Colorado River
Lowest Se value	6.0	8.5	8.1
Highest Se value	15.8	15.5	11.9
Geometric Mean	9.54 (n=82)	10.94 (n=4)	9.81 (n=3)
Water Se Concentration	6 μg/L (median)	2 to 3 μg/L (range)	2 μg/L (average)

Note: Concentrations in parts per million.

Source: Setmire J.G. A. Hurlbert, and Roberts, C.A. (1999)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publication

Table D-10
Avian Eggs from Salton Sea Study Area for National Irrigation Water Quality Program

Number of eggs analyzed for Se		Greatest Se concentration (geom. mean) in μg/g	Area classification	Area ranking	Number of embryos assessed	Se-related bird deformities
Individuals	Sets					
128	9	6.2	Embryotoxic	14 out of 24	65	Possible

Notes: Embryotoxic classification due to greatest bird egg geometric mean concentration being above 6 μg/g. Out of 128 eggs, 15 eggs (11.7 percent) had selenium concentrations above this toxic benchmark level.

Source: Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, and L.A. Peltz. (1999); Seiler, R.L., J.P. Skorupa, D.L. Naftz, and B.T. Nolan (2004)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Agency publications

Table D-11

Selenium Concentrations in Livers (μg/g dw) from Composited Dead, Dying, and Healthy Eared Grebes and Ruddy Ducks in Imperial County and from Staging and Stopover Points, 1991-93

Sample Description	N	Selenium concentration
Dead eared grebe liver	5	47 (composite)
Dying eared grebe liver	5	34 (composite)
Healthy eared grebe liver	5	44 (composite)
Eared grebe liver - 1992 North Salton Sea (SSN92)	9	27 geometric mean; 21-46 range
Eared grebe liver - 1992 South Salton Sea (SSS92)	8	30 geometric mean; 17-53 range
Eared grebe liver - 1992 Camp Pendelton (CP92)	5	15 geometric mean; 5.1-25 range
Eared grebe liver - 1989 Salton Sea	5	13 geometric mean; 2.7-35 range
Ruddy duck liver - 1992 Salton Sea	10	12 geometric mean; 9.2-24 range
Eared grebe liver - Camp Pendelton 1992-93	4	7.01 geometric mean; 3.65-12.1 range
Eared grebe liver - Great Salt Lake 1992-93	15	6.42 geometric mean; 2.61-11.0 range
Eared grebe liver - Iron Mountain 1992-93	5	17.2 geometric mean; 12.3-21.3 range
Eared grebe liver - Mono Lake 1992-93	18	12.8 geometric mean; 6.42-35.7 range
Eared grebe liver - Snow Summit 1992-93	3	27.7 geometric mean; 20.5-35.7 range
Eared grebe liver - Salton Sea 1992-93 symptomatic	29	29.0 geometric mean; 17.1-56.2 range
Eared grebe liver - Salton Sea 1992-93 asymptomatic	26	22.9 geometric mean; 12.0-38.1 range

Source: Audet, D.J., W. Radke, L.H. Creekmore, G. Braden, and C.A. Roberts (Undated 199X?)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Analytical data validated by USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility

Table D-12

Selenium Concentrations in Biota (μg/g dw) from Salton Sea Area

Sample Description	N	Selenium concentration
Water boatmen 1988-89 (CRXD8-9)	4	2.1 geometric mean; 1.4-3.3 range
Water boatmen 1991-92 (CRXD1-2)	14	2.9 geometric mean; 1.2-11 range
Pileworms 1988-89 (PWRM8-9)	6	3.1 geometric mean; 0.82-12.1 range
Pileworms 1991-92 (PWRM1-2)	6	6.6 geometric mean; 4.7-12 range

Source: Audet, D.J., W. Radke, L.H. Creemore, G. Braden, and C.A. Roberts (Undated 199X?)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Analytical data validated by USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility

Table D-13
Selenium Concentration (μg/g dw) in Birds Eggs from Salton Sea Area

Bird Species	Location (year)	Geometric Mean (n=samples)	Range
Black-crowned night heron	Whitewater Delta, Salton Sea (1992)	6.18 (n=10)	3.30 - 7.85
White-faced ibis	Finney Lake, DFG Imperial Wildlife Area (1992)	3.62 (n=5)	3.29 - 4.28
Great Egret	Mallard Road, Wister Unit, Imperial Wildlife Area (1992)	4.95 (n=8)	3.45 - 6.17
Black Skimmer	Salton Sea (1992)	5.87 (n=5)	5.71 - 6.24
Black Skimmer	Johnson Drain area, Salton Sea (1993)	6.01 (n=10)	4.61 - 7.19
Black Skimmer	Mullet Island, Salton Sea (1993)	6.78 (n=10)	5.10 - 8.17
Black Skimmer	Obsidian Butte, Salton Sea (1993)	6.35 (n=10)	3.59 - 8.92
Black Skimmer	Morton Bay, Salton Sea (1993)	4.47 (n=9)	3.25 - 8.03
Caspian Tern	Mullet Island, Salton Sea (1993)	2.60 (n=5)	1.40 - 3.81

Source: Roberts, C.A. and K.S. Berg (2000)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Analytical data validated by USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility

Table D-14
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g ww ±1 Standard Deviation) in Selected Fish in Southern Salton Sea and Associated Rivers

Bairdiella		Orangemouth corvina		Tilapia		
River mouths (n=2)	Salton Sea (n=3)	River mouths (n=2)	Salton Sea (n=2)	River mouths (n=2)	Salton Sea (n=3)	Ranges
2.10 ±0.12	2.32 ±0.56	2.73 ±0.07	2.30 ±0.00	1.89 ±0.61	2.39 ±0.11	1.89 to 2.73

Source: B.A. Costa-Pierce, R. Riedel, D. Schlenk, and D. Frank (2000; 2001)

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis University consortium report with QA/QC methods for sample handling and laboratory analyses; however, no formal assessment of QA/QC results in report.:

Table D-15
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) in Selected Bird Eggs at New River Wetlands

Location	Site	Species	Selenium
Brawley Wetland near Legion Road			
South end of sediment basin	001	American coot	2.7
Among cattails of subcells of Pond 2	002	American coot	4.6
	002	American coot	4.3
	002	American coot	4.2
Open water north side of Pond 1	001	Pied-billed grebe	5.2
			3.95 (average for coot eggs)
Imperial Wetland near Wienert Road			
South shore of south sediment basin	002	American coot	2.7
North shore of south sediment basin	003	American coot	4.2
	003	American coot	4.1
	003	American coot	5.0
Cattails near outlet structure in Cell 4	001	Common moorhen	4.0
			4.00 (average for coot eggs)

Source: Skorupa, J., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Unpublished Report (2003)

QA/QC Data Group: A - Basis: Analytical data validated by USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility

Table D-16
Selenium Concentrations in Selected Media of Concern from Wetland Ponds Fed by Drainage from the Imperial Irrigation District

Location	Water (µg/L)	Sediment (µg/g dw)	Plant Stems (µg/g dw)	Plant Roots (µg/g dw)	Macro-invertebrates (µg/g dw)	Fish (µg/g dw)
Fig Lagoon #1	2.74	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Fig Lagoon #2	2.98	0.20	<0.12	0.61	1.29	1.26
Fig Lagoon #3	2.56	0.65	<0.12	<0.12	0.22	<0.12
Fig Lagoon #4	2.28	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Veysey's Pond #1	3.46	0.24	3.41	0.75	0.47	1.28
Veysey's Pond #2	2.96	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Veysey's Pond #3	2.98	0.28	<0.12	—-	—-	1.24
Veysey's Pond #4	3.02	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Gieselman Lake #1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Gieselman Lake #2	2.90	0.70	0.13	2.44	—-	17.26
Gieselman Lake #3	2.20	0.32	5.02	—-	—-	7.16
Gieselman Lake #4	3.08	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Desert Ranch #1	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Desert Ranch #2	2.98	0.67	<0.12	<0.12	—-	—-
Desert Ranch #3	2.08	0.27	<0.12	0.66	—-	4.63
Desert Ranch #4	2.04	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-

Notes: #1 - Inflow Channel; #2 - Inflow Area; #3 - Outflow Area; #4 - Outflow Channel; —-, no sample. The following species comprised the samples at Fig Lagoon - spikerush/cattails (plants); decapods (macroinvertebrates); and mosquitofish; Veysey's Pond - pondweed (plants); decapods, damselflies, beetles, homoptera (macroinvertebrates); and mosquitofish; Gieselman Lake and Desert Ranch - cattails (plants) and mosquitofish and unidentified fish spp.

Source: R.A. Roline and S.M. Nelson (2004)

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: Agency technical memorandum with general assessment of QA/QC results

Table D-17
Mean Selenium Concentrations (μg/g dw) and Sample Size in Selected Biota next to Salton Sea and 1 km from the Sea

Tissue type	Mean Se All samples	Mean Se All sites		Mean Se Site A		Mean Se Site C		Mean Se Site D		Mean Se Site F	
		At Sea	1 km from Sea	At Sea	1 km from Sea	At Sea	1 km from Sea	At Sea	1 km from Sea	At Sea	1 km from Sea
All Organisms	4.12 (177)	**2.31** (81)	**5.64** (96)	1.71 (20)	1.97 (28)	**1.20** (18)	**11.63** (29)	2.85 (27)	4.99 (15)	3.41 (16)	3.10 (24)
All Plants - leaves	3.16 (67)	**1.43** (37)	**5.29** (30)	1.08 (8)	1.39 (10)	**1.05** (9)	**11.41** (9)	2.32 (10)	4.28 (7)	**1.17** (10)	**3.04** (4)
Iodine bush - leaves	0.29 (2)	0.29 (2)	—-	0.48 (1)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	0.10 (1)	—-
Four-wing saltbush - leaves	2.92 (26)	**1.55** (14)	**4.52** (12)	1.07 (3)	1.02 (4)	**1.67** (4)	**7.82** (4)	**1.42** (4)	**4.71** (4)	2.05 (3)	—-
Alkali goldbush - leaves	4.25 (29)	**1.68** (17)	**7.91** (12)	1.56 (3)	2.31 (4)	**0.58** (4)	**16.68** (4)	4.01 (4)	8.50 (1)	**0.91** (6)	**3.47** (3)
Creosote bush - leaves	1.52 (6)	0.73 (2)	1.91 (4)	—-	0.24 (1)	0.48 (1)	4.63 (1)	0.98 (1)	1.04 (1)	—-	1.74 (1)
Tamarisks - leaves	0.69 (4)	0.39 (2)	0.99 (2)	0.24 (1)	0.37 (1)	—-	—-	0.53 (1)	1.61 (1)	—-	—-
Beetles - whole body	1.60 (35)	1.15 (11)	1.80 (24)	1.86 (1)	0.96 (7)	1.25 (6)	3.01 (8)	0.83 (4)	1.65 (4)	—-	1.19 (5)
Ants - whole body	12.73 (29)	**7.29** (11)	**16.07** (18)	5.29 (1)	4.95 (5)	—-	28.31 (7)	**5.16** (7)	**25.42** (1)	**12.92** (3)	**8.17** (5)
Iguanas - livers	5.06 (3)	—-	5.06 (3)	—-	—-	5.27 (1)	—-	—-	4.90 (1)	—-	—-
Iguanas - muscles	ND (2)	—-	ND (2)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Iguanas - tails	1.66 (2)	ND (1)	3.32 (1)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-
Lizards - muscles	0.90 (2)	0.91 (1)	0.88 (1)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	0.91 (1)	—-
Kangaroos rats (*merriami*) - livers	2.65 (20)	2.72 (10)	2.58 (10)	2.69 (5)	2.19 (3)	2.85 (1)	3.31 (2)	2.89 (3)	—-	2.22 (1)	2.53 (5)
Kangaroos rats (*merriami*) - muscles	1.13 (20)	1.32 (10)	0.93 (10)	0.99 (5)	1.09 (3)	1.80 (1)	1.61 (2)	1.86 (3)	—-	0.89 (1)	0.57 (5)
Kangaroos rats (*deserti*) - livers	5.11 (1)	—-	5.11 (1)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	5.11 (1)	—-	—-
Kangaroos rats (*deserti*) - muscle	2.91 (1)	—-	2.91 (1)	—-	—-	—-	—-	—-	2.91 (1)	—-	—-

Notes: Number in parentheses (n) indicates the number of samples. Paired **boldface** entries were determined to be significantly different from one another at the (p > 0.05) level using an ANOVA model. Summary columns for All sites and for Sites A, C, D, and F did not include samples from intermediate stations along the site transects from the Sea to 1 km inland.

Source: R.M. Gersberg and J. Wright (undated - In draft)

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: Peer review publication in draft

Table D-18
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g) in Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) from the Salton Sea

Program, date, and sample number	ww	dw	Program, date, and sample number	ww	dw
Toxic Substance Monitoring Program (1980-2000)			**Graduate School of Public Health (cont.)**		
Detection limit	0.2 to 0.05	—-	35 (F)	1.71	7.42
3 (F)	1.70	7.26	36 (F)	1.80	8.45
3 (L)	3.90	15.00	37 (F)	1.66	7.79
4(F)	2.00	9.10[a]	38 (F)	1.58	7.20
5 (F)	3.00	14.56	39 (F)	1.43	7.26
6 (F)	3.20	26.02	40 (F)	2.06	9.86
7 (F)	2.90	14.01	41 (F)	1.93	8.87
8 (F)	3.60	16.51	42 (F)	1.45	7.15
9 (F)	3.20	14.10	43 (F)	1.67	7.74
10 (F)	1.31	6.20	44 (F)	1.71	8.36
10 (L)	6.65	27.90	45 (F)	1.96	9.13
11 (F)	2.73	11.87	46 (F)	1.90	8.71
11 (L)	6.27	20.23	47 (F)	1.87	8.65
12 (F)	2.20	9.65	48 (F)	1.56	7.16
12 (L)	4.15	13.39	49 (F)	1.01	4.8
13 (F)	2.60	11.30	50 (F)	1.27	6.05
14 (F)	2.70	11.00	51 (F)	1.73	8.05
Geometric mean (F)	**2.50**	**11.79**	52 (F)	1.90	8.70
Geometric mean (L)	**5.10**	**18.35**	53 (F)	1.72	7.90
			54 (F)	1.43	6.71
National Fisheries Contaminants Research Lab (1985)			**Geometric mean**	**1.67**	**7.8**
Detection limit	0.05	—-			
15 (WB)	2.10	6.7	**Salton Sea Ecological Research Grp/Water Pollution Control Lab (2000-02)**		
16 (WB)	4.50	13.9	Detection limit	0.02	—-
17 (WB)	2.30	7.3	89 (WB)	2.31	7.99
Geometric mean	**2.80**	**8.8**	90 (WB)	1.90	6.40
			91 (WB)	2.05	6.77
US Geological Survey (1986) [a]			92 (WB)	2.44	8.89
Detection limit	—-	0.05	93 (WB)	2.17	7.83
24 (WB)	1.39	6.3	**Geometric mean**	**2.17**	**7.52**
25 (WB)	0.77	3.5			
26 (WB)	3.74	17.0	94 (WB)	1.91	7.05
27 (WB)	0.95	4.3	95 (WB)	2.15	8.05
28 (WB)	3.08	14.0	96 (WB)	2.14	7.43
29 (WB)	2.64	12.0	97 (WB)	2.13	8.35

Table D-18
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g) in Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) from the Salton Sea

Program, date, and sample number	ww	dw	Program, date, and sample number	ww	dw
30 (WB)	3.74	17.0	98 (WB)	2.27	8.83
Geometric mean	**1.97**	**9.0**	**Geometric mean**	**2.12**	**7.92**
			P-values[b]	0.72	0.47
Graduate School of Public Health (1998-1999) [a]					
Detection limit	0.001	—-	**Mississippi-Alabama Sea Grant Consortium (2000)**		
31 (F)	1.84	8.19	Detection limit	0.5	—-
32 (F)	1.72	8.14	99 (F)	2.39	10.9
33 (F)	1.69	8.55	100 (F)	1.89	8.6
34 (F)	1.81	8.24	**Geometric mean**	**2.12**	**9.7**

Notes: Fish tissue samples are whole body (WB), fillet (F) or liver (L).

[a] Concentration converted to wet or dry weight assuming a moisture content of 78.5 percent (average of 24 fillet samples) or 72.7 percent (average of 18 whole fish samples)

[b] P-values are for paired t-test comparing geometric mean for pre-spawning (samples 94-98) and post-spawning (samples 89-93) seasons.

Source: Moreau, M.F., J. Surico-Bennett, M. Vicario-Fisher, D. Crane, R. Gerads, R. Gersberg, and S.H. Hurlbert (2004a)

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: Peer review publication in draft

Table D-19

Selenium Concentrations (μg/g ww) and Sample Size in Selected Fish and Reptiles in the Salton Sea and Tributary Rivers

Species	Geometric Mean	N = number of fish	Minimum	Maximum
Salton Sea - South				
Bairdiella	3.24	35	2.16	6.20
Orangemouth corvina	2.59	27	1.82	3.60
Redbelly tilapia	3.05	11	2.90	3.20
Sargo	3.13	18	2.10	5.60
Tilapia	2.81	49	1.31	6.65
Salton Sea - West Shore				
Orangemouth corvina	3.76	16	3.10	4.30
Salton Sea - North				
Orangemouth corvina	2.27	20	1.36	3.00
Sargo	—-	1	2.10	2.10
Tiliapia	3.75	23	2.73	6.27
Coachella Canal and Stormwater Channel				
Carp	1.45	13	1.10	2.00
Channel catfish	0.38	3	0.38	0.38
Largemouth bass	—-	3	2.10	2.10
Red shiner	0.68	114	0.46	0.95
Redbelly tilapia	—-	17	0.56	0.56
Sailfin molly/Mosquitofish	—-	12	0.69	0.69
Tilapia	0.93	31	0.86	1.02
New River - Westmorland and International Boundary				
Carp	1.23	21	0.46	1.70
Channel catfish	0.89	41	0.36	3.23
Grass carp	—-	2	1.50	1.50
Sailfin molly/Mosquitofish	—-	70	1.50	1.50
Spiny soft-shelled turtle	1.04	27	0.68	1.50
Tilapia	—-	3	1.60	1.60
Yellow bullhead	—-	1	0.49	0.49
New River - Fig Lake				
Carp	0.98	12	0.93	1.00
Channel catfish	0.73	7	0.48	1.70
Largemouth bass	—-	1	1.60	1.60
Sailfin molly	—-	21	1.40	1.40
Alamo River - Calipatria, Holtville and International Boundary				
Carp	1.58	19	1.30	1.94
Channel catfish	0.99	15	0.72	2.30
Spiny soft-shelled turtle	—-	2	0.79	0.79
Largemouth bass	—-	2	4.40	4.40

Table D-19

Selenium Concentrations (μg/g ww) and Sample Size in Selected Fish and Reptiles in the Salton Sea and Tributary Rivers

Species	Geometric Mean	N = number of fish	Minimum	Maximum
Red shiner	—-	27	0.90	0.90
Mosquitofish	—-	25	1.30	1.30
Tilapia	—-	8	5.06	5.06
Alamo River - Wiest Lake				
Largemouth bass	1.72	9	1.35	2.20
Various creeks and drains				
Carp	1.51	30	0.45	2.30
Channel/Flathead catfish	0.71	43	0.22	3.40
Longjaw mudsucker	—-	5	1.80	1.80
Mosquitofish	1.25	608	0.54	2.20
Mozambique tilapia	3.57	21	3.00	4.60
Redbelly tilapia	2.15	32	0.20	17.00
Sailfin molly	1.12	255	0.62	2.30
Spiny soft-shelled turtle	1.45	8	1.40	1.50
Tilapia	1.98	9	1.90	2.07
Yellow bullhead	—-	2	3.90	3.90

Notes: The number of fish refers to the total number of fish in the samples. Smaller fish are analyzed as composite samples. Geometric means are only calculated where 2 or more sample results are given.

Some entries with erroneous selenium concentrations (i.e., -880.00) were deleted from the data set before summarizing the data.

Source: Toxic Substances Monitoring program (data from 1978 to 2000) on website at [**http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/programs/smw/**]

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: Agency-posted analytical results with no specific information on QA/QC procedures or results

Table D-20
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g) in bairdiella, corvina, and sargo from the Salton Sea

Program, date, sample number, and type	ww	dw	Program, date, sample number, and type	ww	dw
Bairdiella			**TSMP (1980-2000) continued**		
TSMP (1980-2000)			58 (F)	1.36	5.76
Detection limit	0.2-0.5	—-	61 (L)	2.04	3.92
2 (F)	3.80	15.57	62 (F)	1.82	8.05
2(L)	6.20	25.73	**Geometric mean (F)**	**3.06**	**13.21**
4(F)	3.40	15.25	**Geometric mean (L)**	**2.17**	**5.10**
5 (F)	2.50	10.87	**NFCRC (1985)**		
6 (F)	2.70	11.64	Detection limit	0.5	—-
7 (F)	2.16	9.52	63 (F)	3.30	11.74
Geometric mean (F)	**2.85**	**12.34**	64 (F)	3.10	11.70
NFCRC (1985)			65 (F)	3.00	11.03
Detection limit	0.5	—-	66 (C)	2.30	6.76
8 (F)	3.90	13.88	67 (C)	2.50	7.84
9 (F)	3.60	13.58	66 (C)	2.50	7.35
10 (F)	3.50	12.87	**Geometric mean (F)**	**3.13**	**11.49**
11 (C	3.00	8.82	**Geometric mean (C)**	**2.43**	**7.30**
12 (C)	3.10	9.72	**USGS (1986 and1989)**		
13 (C)	2.70	7.94	Detection limit	—-	0.5
Geometric mean (F)	**3.66**	**13.44**	69 (F)	4.96	20
Geometric mean (C)	**2.93**	**8.80**	**GSPH (1999)**		
USGS (1986 and1989)			Detection limit	0.001	—-
Detection limit	—-	0.5	71 (F)	1.73	8.55
14 (W)	1.79	7.20	72 (F)	2.21	10.2
15 (W)	3.47	12.00	73 (F)	1.47	6.73
16 (W)	3.13	12.00	74 (F)	1.45	6.65
17 (W)	3.82	16.00	**Geometric mean (F)**	**1.69**	**7.9**
18 (W)	3.19	12.00	**MASGC (2000)**		
19 (W)	3.16	13.00	Detection limit	0.5	—-
Geometric mean (W)	**3.35**	**12.92**	75 (F)	2.73	11.01
MASGC (2000)			76 (F)	2.30	9.27
Detection limit	0.5	—-	**Geometric mean (F)**	**2.51**	**10.10**
F	2.10	8.47	**Sargo**		
F	2.32	9.35	**TSMP (1980-2000)**		
Geometric mean (F)	**2.21**	**8.90**	Detection limit	0.2-0.5	—-
Corvina			85 (F)	2.10	8.86
TSMP (1980-2000)			86 (L)	5.60	14.89
Detection limit	0.2-0.5	—-	87 (F)	2.60	10.88

Table D-20
Selenium Concentrations (μg/g) in bairdiella, corvina, and sargo from the Salton Sea

Program, date, sample number, and type	ww	dw	Program, date, sample number, and type	ww	dw
43 (F)	3.10	14.16	88 (F)	2.10	7.81
44 (F)	3.60	16.14	**Geometric mean (F)**	**2.25**	**9.10**
45 (L)	2.30	6.63	**NFCRC (1985)**		
46 (F)[a]	4.00	17.39	Detection limit	0.5	—-
47 (F)[a]	3.70	16.09	90 (F)	1.90	7.95
48 (F)[a]	3.80	16.52	91 (F)	2.20	9.17
49 (F)[a]	3.70	16.09	92 (F)	2.40	10.00
50 (F)	3.40	14.35	93 (C)	2.30	7.03
51 (F)[a]	4.20	18.26	94 (C)	1.90	5.85
52 (F)[a]	4.30	18.70	95 (C)	2.10	6.36
53 (F)	3.20	12.65	**Geometric mean (F)**	**2.16**	**9.00**
54 (F)	2.40	10.26	**Geometric mean (C)**	**2.09**	**6.40**
55 (F)	2.50	10.59			
56 (F)	3.00	12.88			
57 (F)	2.90	12.55			

Notes:

Fish tissue samples are whole body (W), carcass (C), fillet (F) or liver (L).

Programs: TSMP = Toxic Substances Monitoring Program; USGS = U.S. Geological Survey; NFCRC = National Fisheries Contaminant Research Center; GSPH = Graduate School of Public Health, SDSU; MASGC = Mississippi-Alabama Sea Grant Consortium

[a] Concentration converted to wet or dry weight assuming a moisture content of 77 percent for corvina (average of 17 fillet samples)

Source: Moreau, Marie F., Biology Department, Center for Inland Waters, San Diego State University (2004b)

QA/QC Data Group: B - Basis: Unpublished data summarized from various agency-posted analytical results with no specific information on QA/QC procedures or results